

MODERN BANKING IN INDIA

;

MODERN BANKING IN INDIA

BY


S. K. MURANJAN, M.A. (Bom.), B.Sc., D.Sc. (Lond.)

PROFESSOR OF BANKING

BOMBAY EDL. SERVICE

SYDENHAM COLLEGE, BOMBAY

426
21 MAR 18



HIND KITABS LTD.
Publishers .. Bombay

First Edition 1940

**Printed by Dhirubhai Dalal at the Associated Advertisers & Printers Ltd.
505, Arthur Road, Bombay 7 and Published by V. Kulkarni, Hind Kitabs Ltd.
261-263 Hornby Road, Bombay.**

TO
OUR MOTHER
DEDICATED
ON BEHALF OF HER CHILDREN

BY THE SAME AUTHOR

ECONOMICS OF POST-WAR INDIA (Hind Kitabs)

& Prof. C. N. VAKIL

CURRENCY & PRICES IN INDIA (Longmans)

**ECONOMICS OF THE CABINET DELEGATION'S PROPOSALS
(Hind Kitabs)**

P R E F A C E

NO individual bank or banking system could be understood or judged except in the light of its past history. This is specially true in a country like India where banking is still in the earlier phases of its growth and where conditions differ materially from one part to another. The history of banking in India is indeed not much older than a few decades. But, even in that short life history, Indian banking has confronted one serious crisis, lived through the hectic times of the greatest war in history, survived unscathed an unprecedented world depression and stands today on the threshold of another great war. Events of such magnitude cannot but expose a banking system to severe tests and leave indelible marks behind. The present volume is an effort to portray banking in India in the historical and contemporary context of these events and circumstances.

Recent as are the origins of Indian banking, systematic exposition of our banking problems or monographs on origins and growth are more recent still. Among official documents, the Reports of the Herschell Commission, the Fowler Committee and the Babington-Smith Committee are concerned exclusively with our currency problems and make no more than incidental references to banking and credit conditions. The Chamberlain Commission found place for an Annexe in which is presented an interesting scheme for a mixed Central Bank for India somewhat on the lines of the Bank of France. Taking its cue from the prevalent opinion and sentiment in post-war Europe, the Hilton-Young Commission made a departure in placing in the forefront proposals for a Reserve Bank of India and stressing the need for an inquiry into and improvement of ordinary commercial banking. Their suggestions bore fruit in the Reports of Central and Provincial Banking Enquiry Committees which form a voluminous survey of contemporary banking conditions with suggestions for improvements.

Among unofficial publications, praise must be accorded to a few monographs on earliest origins of banking in India. The rest consists very largely of expositions of Indian aspirations on the subject unsupported by much study of existing conditions or meticulous synopses of material and ideas contained in well-known but bulky documents.

After the manuscript of the present volume was placed in the hands of publishers, the Reserve Bank of India published and circulated its proposals for a Banking Act. It was neither practicable nor indeed desirable to incorporate the various points raised in the document into the text itself. The proposals are indicated in foot-notes in relevant places with brief comments on their tendency and character. Along with the proposals, the Reserve Bank published also a very interesting memorandum on non-scheduled banks with special reference to distribution of assets and liabilities. The valuable tables on which the memorandum is based are broadly summarised in the text with incidental alterations in conclusions made necessary by these exact figures.

In spite of generous and appreciative compliance from many quarters, much difficulty was experienced in assembling extant material on the subject. Close co-operation and mutual assistance between "academic" and "practical" people, which have strikingly advanced both interests in nationally minded countries like England, Sweden, etc., are still a mere aspiration in this country. In spite of every endeavour, no one could be more conscious than the author himself of the grave deficiencies and perhaps inaccuracies of the material acquired and used. Readers who invite attention to any faults will establish as great a claim on the gratitude of the writer as that of the public for whom the book is meant.

• •

S. K. MURANJAN.

Sydenham College,
1st August 1940.

PREFACE TO SECOND EDITION

The first edition of this work was in press in the early months of World War II. The second edition delayed considerably by war time difficulties should have seen the light of day in the closing months of the war but for certain deplorable mishaps. The reception accorded almost without exception to the first edition of the book everywhere, and nowhere more emphatically than in Great Britain and the United States, was as great a surprise as encouragement. Its recognition by the University of London as meriting the distinction of D.Sc. was only the most conspicuous of many generous tributes. While expressing gratitude to them all and particularly to bankers in this country, I should like to acknowledge that this makes the author all the more keenly conscious of the blemishes and short-comings of the work.

In the economic upheaval set in motion by a war of such magnitude, banking like other economic activities had to operate amidst a radically altered environment. While it cannot be doubted that some features of this environment must continue to influence banking for many years to come and new ones are likely to be introduced as a consequence of any post war reconstruction, there are many influences in operation at present which are but of temporary significance. The original text of this work which carried the account almost to the outbreak of the war has therefore been left materially unchanged except for the statistical material which has been brought up to date as far as possible. The trends and developments of war time banking based on these statistics are presented in the closing chapter.

While there is in India still much cause to lament the absence of close co-operation and mutual assistance between "academic" and "practical" people such as have advanced strikingly both interests in countries like England, Sweden, etc., it must be recorded here that the present work could hardly have been undertaken or executed but for much generous and appreciative assistance from many quarters, particularly distinguished bankers in this country. Till the

recent establishment of the Reserve Bank of India with its means and powers to collect and publish banking information, serious study of banking meant an approach to each individual bank or banker with all the difficulties which such approach must entail. The highly confidential character of all banking business is itself a legitimate difficulty, which in one case at least was carried to the length of precluding the author from the use of even printed and published balance-sheets—and those too, of the years before World War I!

I owe a heavy debt of gratitude to the Managements of the Bank of India, the Allahabad Bank, the Indian Bank, the Bank of Behar and the Union Bank; the President of the Bombay Stock Exchange; Mr. H. T. Parekh, B.Sc. (Lond.), of Messrs. Harkissondass Lukmidass and many others but for whose kindness, much of the material contained in this book would have remained a sealed document. To the late Governor of the Reserve Bank of India, I owe thanks for placing at my disposal the library of the Bank. I need hardly add that none of them is in any way responsible for any statements made in the book.

As this work is to an extent the outcome of lectures delivered in the Sydenham College during the academic years 1937-41, I have profited not a little from contacts with the restless and questioning minds of my students from whom came the first clamour for the publication of the material contained in this book. But two of them, Mr. M. R. Damle, B.A., B.Com. now with the Reserve Bank of India and Mr. K. T. Mirchandani, B.Com. now A.T.S. Trichinopoly, deserve special thanks for valuable assistance rendered by them while working under me in the Sydenham College on their prescribed courses.

It would be difficult to express adequately my deep appreciation of the willingness and painstaking devotion with which my friend Mr. B. G. Murdeshwar, then Asst. Legal Remembrancer, Government of Bombay undertook the dreary and interminable task of correcting the proofs of the first edition of this work.

Sydenham College,
1st August 1945.

S. K. MURANJAN

CONTENTS

Chap.	Page
PREFACE	v
I. EARLY BEGINNINGS	
I. Before 1860—II. The Indigenous Banking System 1860 to 1900.	1-29
II. PRESENT CENTURY PROGRESS	
Absolute progress of banking resources—Place of banking funds relatively to other funds—Constituents of Indian banking system: their contribution to growth—Nature and character of the growth—: geographical expansion—Branch expansion as a factor in growth—Structural and financial implications of geographical and branch expansion—Diffusion and regionalisation of Indian banking structure—International comparisons of banking progress—Other banks.	30-63
III. THE STRUCTURE OF INTEREST RATES	
Relationship between short-term and long-term interest rates—(1) Some historical episodes—Episode of the nineties—The great war and post-war years—The aftermath of the great depression—(2) Differentials between short and long rates—(3) Rates paid on deposits—Demand deposits—Rates on fixed deposits—Average rates on all deposits—Regulation of deposit rates—Rates earned on investments—Other market rates—The gross rate of earning of banks generally.	64-100
IV. THE IMPERIAL BANK OF INDIA	
The presidency banks—The Imperial Bank of India (1921 to 1934)—Size and power of the Imperial Bank—Conflict of commercial and central banking functions—High liquidity of Imperial Bank Assets—Profit and loss account—Imperial Bank and competition with other banks—Character of executive, ownership and personnel—Seasonal character of its business and rate variations—Imperial Bank after 1934.	101-126
V. STRUCTURE OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES	
(1) Structure of liabilities—Capital and reserves—Deposits—Liabilities of banks—(2) Structure of assets—Loans and advances—Loans to money markets—Investments—Cash—Fixed assets—Other services of banks in India—Smaller banks—Loan-Offices of Bengal—Nidhis and Chits of South India—(3) Banks and industrial finance—Major industries—Medium sized and small enterprises—Provisions of long term capital—Commercial banks and industry—Industrial banks and small industries—(4) Finance of foreign trade and Exchange banks—Foreign banks generally—Status of foreign banks—(5) Finance of agriculture.	127-220
VI. THE LEADING INDIAN JOINT STOCK BANKS	
The Bank of India—The Central Bank of India—The Punjab National Bank—The Allahabad Bank—The Bank of Baroda—The Bank of Mysore—The Indian Bank—The Union Bank of India—The Bank of Behar.	221-270
VII. ECONOMY AND EFFICIENCY OF INDIAN BANKS	271-282

VIII. THE RESERVE BANK OF INDIA

Constitution—Monopoly of note-issue and management—Central banking control: its technique and relation to the money market—The Bank rate—Open market operations—Direct relations with trade and commerce—Reserve Bank and banking standards and practices—Definition of scheduled banks—Reserve Bank as clearing house—Reserve Bank and Agriculture—Reserve Bank in action,

288-329

IX. A BANKING CRISIS AND MANY BANK FAILURES

Failures according to age—Failures according to paid up capital—Lax Laws, public ignorance and bad or dishonest management—The Poona Bank, Poona—The Amritsar National Bank—The Pioneer Bank—The Hindustan Bank, Multan—The Kathiawar and Ahmedabad Corporation—The British India Bank—The Sivarama Iyyar Bank, Madras—The Bombay Banking Company—The Pioneer Bank, Bombay—The Credit Bank of India—The Bengal National Bank—Dangers of industrial finance—The Peoples Bank of Lahore—The Amritsar Bank, Lahore—The Tata Industrial Bank—Dangers of Speculation—The Indian Specie Bank, March 1914—Victims of misfortune—The Bank of Upper India, Meerut—The Alliance Bank of Simla—The Travancore National and Quilon Bank.

360-362

X. BANKING REFORM AND BANKING LEGISLATION

(1) Banking reform—Absence of confidence—Law level of incomes—Ignorance and illiteracy—(2) Banking Laws—Form of legislation—Law relating to balance sheets of banks—Capital—Reserve funds—Debts and liabilities—Property held by Company—Book debts—Cash and investments—Advances: Bills of Exchange—Interest accrued on investments—Profit and loss account—Law relating to officers of banks—Law relating to organisation and management—Organisation—Management of banks.

363-414

XI. LONG TERM CAPITAL MARKET

(1) Stock exchanges in India—Membership of Stock exchange—Sub-brokers—Classification of members—Listing of scrips—Cash and forward lists—Period of settlement and Speculation—Margins and speculation—Price on stock exchanges—Level of Values generally—Default—Intervention in the ordinary course of the market—Selling-out rule—Buying-in rule—Holidays—Banking funds and Stock exchanges—(2) The Bombay Bullion exchange—The Bullion exchange—(3) Life insurance—(4) Postal Savings Bank and Cash certificates—(5) The rupee debt and governments' borrowing policy—(6) Agriculture and long term Capital.

415-462

XII. BANKING DURING WAR AND PEACE

463 to end

INDEX

MAPS AND CHARTS

	Facing page
I. Regional distribution of Banks (maps)	54
II. Interest rates in India	82
III. Earning rates of Indian Banks	90
IV. (a) Percentage of deposits: Indian Joint Stock Banks—Big Five	128
V. (b) Percentage of deposits: Indian Joint Stock Banks—Big Five	139
VI. Loans, Cash credits, advances and Bills of Indian Joint Stock Banks—Big Seven	141
VII. Investment of Indian Joint Stock Banks—Big Seven	159

CHAPTER I EARLY BEGINNINGS

I. Before 1860

BANKING on modern lines began in this country with the foundation of the Agency Houses of Calcutta and Bombay in the eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries.

The Agency Houses were mainly trading concerns interested in tea and indigo. Banking was only an adjunct, although the most important one, to their business. In the course of their banking activities, they issued quite a volume of notes.*

The Company's services were the main recruiting ground of these "merchant princes of India." Many of them were men who abjured the limited prospects of the Company's employment for the incalculable prizes of private trade and commerce. Some drifted into these avocations under less praiseworthy circumstances. Indeed, for some time, men in the employment of the Company even were allowed to engage in private trade and finance. The situation changed little after 1813 when entry of private persons from abroad was permitted under a strict licensing system. Rights of residence and property† were conferred on foreigners much later by the Charter Act of 1833. It is interesting to note that a witness before the Select Committee of 1831 predicted that, if permitted to settle in India, banking institutions would be among the first undertakings of Europeans and would be extended to provincial towns.‡

Although some of the later joint-stock banks rose quite independently of Agency Houses, these must be regarded as pioneers of this type of banking enterprise in this country. Since there was no law till 1860 giving legal recognition to limited liability, all these banks except one

* *Commerce*, 4th September 1926, p. 461.

† "The Government of India has borrowed money for some time back at 5% ; the most respectable firms in Calcutta have borrowed at 8 or 5 per cent. during the same time. The result is connected with the want of real property there. Europeans are not allowed to purchase lands and therefore it is with private security only that they come into the market."

Q. 142. Select Committee 1831.

‡ *Ibid.*, Q. 2152.

started on the basis of unlimited liability. The exception was the General Bank of India* which had a strictly small number of shareholders who limited their liability to certain figures.

After the battle of Plassey, the currency of Bengal and of the Company's territories generally was found in a chaotic condition. It was recognized very early that introduction of paper currency must form an important part of any permanent and satisfactory scheme of reform. Contrary to the advice of Sir James Stuart, the famous economist of the time, Hastings made a proposal to have a Government note-issue. Outbreak of war and disturbed conditions generally forced him to abandon the scheme. The Government thereafter changed its policy and decided to encourage private agency.†

The joint-stock banks which saw now the light of day and others which were proposed but rejected by the authorities of the East India Company were frequently inspired by comprehensive ideas of banking policy. The inconvenience to trade and commerce of large, periodic withdrawals of funds into Government treasuries at the headquarters, the need of facilities for expeditious transfer of funds, whether public or private, the obvious usefulness of such agencies to put into circulation some standard coin like the sicca rupee—these objects figured in many minds which initiated these ventures.‡ Even in these very early stages just as in the many long decades which followed, people had a haunting dream of one great 'State Bank' or 'General Bank' which, with many branches in the country, should build up the banking structure of India.§ The

* *Early European Banking*, by H. Sinha, pp. 12, 15; 22. While subscription was open to all "without distinction of country or religion" the number of shareholders was limited to 400 and each share was of the value of Rs. 5,000.

† *Economic Annals of Bengal*, by J. C. Sinha, pp. 110-146.

Early European Banking, by H. Sinha, pp. 48-53.

‡ 'Hence Warren Hastings' 'General Bank in Bengal and Bihar' established in 1773 and dissolved shortly thereafter. It had a branch in every collectorate and its two managers were Indian shroffs.

§ Robert Richards, a member of Government of Bombay proposed his 'General Bank' in 1806. The proposal was rejected by the Court of Directors.

In 1833, merchants in India proposed the 'Great Banking Establishment for British India.' The proposal was rejected by the Court of Proprietors.

immediate and perhaps the most outstanding and permanent achievement of these banks was the introduction of a note-circulation in this country.

The Bank of Hindustan established as early as 1770 by the Agency House of Alexander & Co., led the way. Its maximum circulation seems to have varied between 20 and 25 lakhs.* The Bengal Bank, launched into existence before 1786, had a circulation of 8 lakhs when it closed its doors in 1791, owing largely to the panic of war with Tipu Sultan. These banks competed very keenly for the privilege of having their notes made receivable "at all offices of Government at the Presidency", or "in all payments to Government at different treasuries and public offices at the Presidency." In those days, circulation of notes depended very largely on the confidence that Government treasuries received them. For this reason, in the interior, notes were returned almost immediately they were received and paper circulation hardly existed. The choice of the Government fell at first on the General Bank of India, which, however, was dissolved in 1793. The Government sponsored thereafter the Bank of Calcutta founded by Palmer & Co., in 1806. In the description of its objects and reasons, the development of paper currency was specifically stated as the most important advantage to accrue from the institution. By 1820, its note circulation had reached the figure of 43 lakhs.† Naturally, the notes of these banks were not much in evidence beyond the outskirts and environs of Calcutta. A witness before the Parliamentary Select Committee of 1831 informs us that

In his Minute of 1859, James Wilson, Finance Member, made clear that his scheme for paper circulation was not intended to discourage the idea of a great country-wide bank. In 1862, Samuel Laing wrote a minute to advocate conversion of Presidency Banks into a State Bank.

In 1867 Dickson, Secretary to the Bank of Bengal, made proposals to amalgamate the Presidency Banks.

In 1870, Ellis, a member of Council, proposed a State Bank on lines of the Bank of France.

Sir E. Hambro in his minute to Fowler Report (1898) suggests a Central Bank for India—as also Sir Edward Law in a Minute in 1901.

Sir E. Holden advocated at the General Meeting of the London City and Midland Bank in 1913 a Central Bank for India in order to draw out gold into monetary circulation and cause a lowering of interest-rates.

Keynes in the Annex to Chamberlain Commission's Report elaborates a scheme for the purpose. See also pp. 357, 359, *Indian Finance and Banking* by G. F. Shirras.

* B. T. Thakur in *Organisation of Indian Banking*, p. 27, gives 50 lakhs as the maximum. No authority is quoted, but a Select Committee of Parliament places it at £400 to £500 thousand (Q. 539, 538).

† *Banking*, by Cook, p. 301.

Early European Banking, by H. Sinha, pp. 38, 40, 126, 145

these notes went as far as Chandranagore and Serampore, about 25 to 30 miles from Calcutta, and that they were not to be seen in the villages to any extent. The smallest denomination of notes was Rs. 4 while the Bank of Bengal issued notes ranging between Rs. 10 to Rs. 20,000.

Similar enterprises were springing up in other parts of India also. A bank of deposit and discount made its appearance in Madras as early as 1683. Another bank commenced operations in Bombay in 1724 and a little later, was given the privilege of note-issue to the extent of Rs. 8 lakhs.* Both had the sanction of the Court of Directors of the East India Company and were managed by the local Governments in the interests of their own financial needs. Madras had a few banks more, later on, including a private joint-stock bank named the Carnatic Bank and another Government Bank. These banks also rendered valuable service in making paper currency familiar to the public.†

Calcutta, which according to a Police Committee Report had a European population of 2½ thousand, offered a more favourable soil for germination of Agency Houses and banking institutions than Bombay. Contemporary evidence declares Bombay an unsuitable place for investment of

* The Government Bank of Bombay was "erected" on 22nd December 1720, after consultation with "eminent black merchants." The Bank was placed under the immediate direction of the Governor and two members of Council and was furnished with Rs. 1 lakh as capital stock out of the Co.'s cash. Deposits of Rs. 100 and more were received for six months for which the depositors received in exchange promissory notes bearing interest at one 'durgani' a day. Inhabitants of Bombay, whether native covenanted or hired servants, were allowed to borrow at a fixed rate of 9 p.c. against security of goods or joint security of borrower and another substantial party. Borrowers were 'encouraged' by facility of repayment in instalments of Rs. 100 and more. The Manager of the bank was paid for his trouble by a levy of 1 p.c. on each loan.

A Committee which examined the affairs of the Bank in 1744 throws curious light on its working. The total outstandings slightly exceeded Rs. 1 lakh, of which Rs. 43,000 was lent on personal security of good quality. Some debts had been outstanding for more than 20 years, many others for more than ten. The Committee advised that all bonds should be repaid immediately and that in future, mortgages and bonds should be repaid in five years at the latest—a recommendation which was endorsed by the Government on the ground that bank money must circulate and not stagnate "in particular hands." It was recorded that depreciation of values proved the existing practice of lending the full value of houses, courts and batty grounds to be wrong and that margins of 50, 33 and 25 p.c. respectively should be maintained against them; that when the borrower died, the sons and heirs must repay the loan or execute a fresh security; that borrowers of less than Rs. 200 were a nuisance.

Following a "great stringency of flowing cash" in 1770, the treasury repaid the Bank its debt of Rs. 8 lakhs inclusive of interest in notes of Rs. 40-1000 denomination. Days of grace, limitation of sums, days of grace in proportion to the amount of withdrawal were suggested to meet the danger of sudden withdrawal.

At this time, one Robert Blackford who claimed "to be bred up in the banking business" obliged the Company with an elaborate document of 'hints' but as the outstandings had reached by now Rs. 30 lakhs and the debt to the treasury a very high figure, the Government decided to close the bank and start a new one.

—Gazetteer of Bombay Presidency, Vol. 24.

† *Present Day Banking in India*, by R. Rau, pp. 166-168.

funds and indeed informs us that much Bombay money was engaged in Calcutta. At no time during the first quarter of the 19th century did Bombay boast of more than a dozen agency houses and more often than not, there were to be found less than half a dozen. The authorities of the East India Company were unwilling to allow creation of banks as they feared abuse of such authorization and found themselves at their wits' end to devise appropriate means of control.*

A very large proportion of the capital of these Agency Houses seems to have been derived from the deposits of natives. A fair proportion was claimed as their own. But contrary to the usual belief, contemporary evidence does not place funds derived from civil and military employees of the Company at more than a small proportion.

In Bengal, indigo planters were the most important clientele of Agency Houses. Contemporary witness are unanimous that indigo planters and other Europeans brought with them no capital when they arrived in this land of golden pagodas. The Agency Houses supplied the bulk of capital embarked on indigo plantations. Rarely were loans given without security. The usual practice was to insure the life of the borrower on an annual basis. Sometimes, the factory was mortgaged and very seldom, joint personal security was offered and accepted.

A great and unusual change appears to have come over economic conditions about the twenties of the last century. During the first decade, it was usual for Agency Houses to charge 10 to 12 per cent for their loans and a further commission on advances of 2½ per cent and on sales, of 2 per cent. On deposits, they paid 1 to 2 per cent less than their advances rate while on money withdrawable without notice,

* Among the Agency Houses which existed in Bombay, Forbes & Co. and Bruce Fawcett & Co. took an easy lead. They took part in financing the military needs of the East India Co., and we find the Company owing them early in the nineteenth century sums as large as 22 lakhs and 10-12 lakhs respectively. When pressed hard for repayment, the Company delivered to them cotton which was exported in the Co.'s vessels. Among others, we may mention, William Nicol & Co. which was founded by the Fleming brothers, John & James which after creating harbour facilities and the Port Trust, went down with the Glasgow Bank in 1875; the firm of James Tate, which specialised in ship building; Alexander Mackintosh & Co., which acquired fame by their Calcutta lotteries, Oriental Life Assurance of Calcutta, etc., Messrs Gray & Co. of old Bombay Bank fame; Stewart & Co. which went down with the Asiatic Bank; etc.

the rates were about 2½ to 3 per cent. In those years (1806) investment in Government funds fetched a high yield of 8 to 9 per cent.

About the end of the second decade, there took place a striking fall in interest rates. Government funds now yielded no more than 5 to 6 per cent and Agency Houses had to reduce their charges in the first instance to 8 to 9 per cent and later (1830) to 6 to 8 per cent. The rates paid on deposits fell from 8 to 6 per cent in 1819. While before this time, they used to discount bills at rates varying between 6 and 12 per cent, the discount rates about the year 1831 no longer exceeded 7 per cent. These low levels continued well into the forties.

This change in the monetary conditions was officially registered in what was called the court rate of interest at Calcutta. The maximum of 5 per cent limit on usury which prevailed in contemporary England was never in force in Calcutta but a law of George I prohibited Britishers from taking more than 12 per cent. When disputes came before the Supreme Court, it did not allow more than 12 per cent in any case. But a little before 1820, the Court lowered this rate to 10 per cent and in 1821 fixed it as low as 6 per cent.

While the successful termination of the Maratha and other wars of the first two decades must not be overlooked, it is significant to note complaints of a severe trade depression about 1823-4. Local contemporaries complained indeed of the arbitrary debt policy of the East India Company, sudden repayments causing sudden ease in rates, as also of failures of Agency Houses in Madras 20 years before this time. Bombay recorded also a continuous export of gold to England—an offshoot of England's return to the gold standard about 1819-20, and the abundance of silver in circulation.

By 1829-30, the Agency Houses stumbled into their final crisis. Among those which perished were Alexander & Co., Colin & Co., Fergusson & Co., Mackintosh & Co., Cuttendon & Co., Palmer & Co., etc. Seven or eight of

these alone are reported to have lost 15 million pounds.* With them were dragged into insolvency the associated banks as well.†

After the crisis and till 1860, banking activity proceeded with moderate zeal.‡ Out of 12 banks launched between 1833 and 1860, however, about half failed. Some of them were mere frauds made possible largely by the laxity of law. Others ventured upon imprudent investments in industries. Every one of them was a European enterprise.§

The most permanent institutional gain and achievement of this period was no doubt the emergence of the three Presidency Banks destined to play a great part in the history of Indian banking. The Bank of Bengal established in 1806 received its charter in 1809. The Banks of Bombay and Madras were founded in 1840 and 1843 respectively. They were intended to facilitate the borrowing operations of the East India Company as well as the trade of British merchants.§

II. The Indigenous Banking System

The Agency Houses and their banking ventures were innovations incidental to foreign contacts. Fateful and ominous as they both were to prove in the not too distant future, the contemporaries hardly took any notice of them. These Houses and their adjuncts were a mere parasitical growth almost lost in the midst of an indigenous banking system which, in its extent, its historical antiquity, its

* *Commerce*, 4th September 1926.

† The Bank of Hindusthan perished with Alexander and Co., in 1892. It suffered a run in 1819 on account of extensive forgeries of notes. The failure of Palmer and Co., caused another run in 1829 when Rs. 20 lakhs were paid out. It met all demands promptly before it failed.—Evidence of Larpent, House of Commons Committee on State of Manufacturers, Commerce and Shipping, 1833.

‡ For a list of the banks of this period compiled from Cook's *Banking in India*, see, *Indigenous Banking in India*, by L. C. Jain, p. 143.

§ The Union Bank. It was established in 1829 with a capital of 15 lakhs. In 1840, frauds by A. H. Sim, Accountant, were discovered. He had misappropriated assets coming into his hands and made false entries. When in 1845 this bank along with its rival the Calcutta Bank was closed, it was found that dividends had been paid out of capital and deposits while the directors also had helped themselves to the funds. Some of the loans amounted to one-fourth to one-sixth of the capital. The bank was also deeply involved in indigo plantations.

Benares Bank was established in 1844-5. It invested the whole of its capital practically in the losing Ganges Steam Navigation Co. It was discovered that the directors and many others had purchased the bank's shares with loans from the bank itself. The directors were all army men and were dismissed.

delicate and refined methods and instruments had no equal in any other part of the world.

It would be very difficult indeed, if not impossible, to recall to life the individuals and their activities making up the totality of the marvellous financial structure. For, these shroffs, sowkars or shahus ran into a score or two at least in every centre of trade and commerce, varied in their financial capacity from a few thousand rupees to lakhs and millions and took in their stride not only their home towns but whole provinces and sometimes indeed the whole country. It is hardly possible to observe the system at work at any point or stage of its history; the available records are mere fragments. And yet, on the vast canvass of history, there flits across now and then a prominent or outstanding figure shedding a human interest out of an otherwise impersonal system.

Some Figures from the Past

About 1620, we hear of "Champeseye" or "Chumpeshaw" (Champa Shah) of Patna, described as the chief banker of the City. But beyond the fact that he had a son at Agra, presumably as the agent of the firm, nothing further could be traced about him.* About the same time and for many years afterwards, the E.I.Co.'s correspondence contains references to the Paracks, an eminent family of banyans in Surat. In 1685, the Court of the E.I.Co. voted to "Bingee Parack, the companies banyan at Surat" a gold medal and chain of the value of £150.

Of all the banking houses of India, ancient or modern, none has achieved a place in history comparable with the house of Jagatseths, whose transactions, as Burke put it, "were as extensive as those of the Bank of England."† The

* Much of the information about the relations between bankers and E.I. Co. is gleaned from *English Factories in India* by Sir Foster. (Oxford, 18 Vols.).

† The sources for the history of Jagatseths are :—
Wilson—*Early Annals of the English in India*.

—*Bygone S-Salutin*.

Hunter—*Statistical Account of Bengal*.

—*Sir Munimurain*.

J. H. Little—*Bengal—Past and present*.

Bengal correspondence (India Office Records).

Burke's *Reflections*.

Long's *Selections from Unpublished Records*.

Vansittart's *Narrative*, etc.

founder of the house was Hiranand Sahu who migrated from Jodhpur to Patna in 1652. Even as late as 1800, it was recorded that nine-tenths of the bankers of India were Marwaris from that region. His son Manikchand was active in Dacca which was then the capital and place of the royal mint, but went to Murshidabad in 1703 when Murshid Kuli Khan removed the capital and the mint to the new city of his name. Manikchand succeeded his father in 1711, emerged soon at Banares as the Nagarseth who made a loan of Rs. 1 crore in aid of the revolt of Farrukhsiyar and was rewarded by that grateful emperor of Delhi with the title of Seth. He was succeeded in 1714 by his nephew and adopted son Fattēhchand under whom the house reached the climax of its glory and power. In 1722, the emperor Muhmad Shah conferred on him the title of Jagatseth as a hereditary distinction and bestowed the insignia of magnificent robes of honour, an elephant and a pearl ear-ring. His son got the title of Seth with the gift of robes of honour and a pearl ear-ring. On the death of Fattēhchand in 1743, his elder grandson Mahtab Rai became Jagatseth and the younger grandson got the title of Maharaja. These two lived to raise the house still higher but paid the penalty of their high politics when Mir Kassim executed them and exposed their bodies at Monghyr. Khusal Chand the eldest son of Mahtab Rai, became now (1766) Jagatseth while Udawat Chand the eldest son of Swarupchand inherited the title of Maharaja. The grateful Clive decreed the Jagatseth as the Company's banker but the house was on a rapid decline. Mir Kassim's extortions, the termination of their position as the Company's banker on the removal of the treasury to Calcutta, the stoppage of the ministerial allowance formerly paid by the Nabob, the abolition of the system of revenue farming in 1778, the famine of 1770, all conspired to cause a rapid shrinkage in the fortunes of Jagatseth. But Khushalchand, while appealing to Clive for special consideration of their past services, continued to keep in his employ 4,000 servants at his residence, to maintain a monthly expenditure of Rs. 1 lakh and appears to have declined as insignificant a yearly

pension of Rs. 3 lakhs which Clive offered. His adopted son Harakchand became Jagatseth in 1784 by the decree of the Governor-General issued for the first time without reference to Delhi. Indrachand became the 5th Jagatseth and the curtain falls on the glory of the house when Gobind Chand the VI Jagatseth, who in his dissipated career was glad to accept a monthly pension of Rs. 1,200, passed away in 1864.

While the local 'Chittees' were as prominent then in the banking activities of the South as they are today, Gujarathi merchants and bankers are quite as frequently referred to. About 1740, the largest banking house of South India was reputed to be that of Bukanji Kasidas, a fact well attested by the frequency with which he is styled as "Sarkar's sowkar and the Chief Shroff of the province".

The homelands of the Marathas were a no less fertile soil for the germination of an amazing number of money-lenders and bankers. The Peshwas themselves were always in the market as most needy borrowers. By 1760-1, the aggregate debt of the Peshwas exceeded Rs. 164 lakhs. In a list of about 200 creditors compiled for the years 1740-1 to 1760-1, the overwhelming bulk is composed strangely enough of Brahmins, a class otherwise vowed by religion and morality to poverty—a most amazing proof of the epigram that the distinguishing contrast of pre-capitalistic and capitalistic society is that while in the latter social type, wealth leads to power, power leads to wealth in the former.

The sacred city of Banares was the original home of many banking houses which afterwards reached fame and eminence in other parts of India. The pre-eminent among them during the latter half of the eighteenth century was the house of Gopaldas Sahu and his son, Manohardas. There was hardly a place of any importance from South to North and East to West which did not have a gumasta or accredited agent of the house; and Gopaldas was the Company's farmer of revenues and treasurer at Banares. When Gopaldas died in 1787, the Governor-General and Governor of Bombay issued instructions to the Resident to offer the survivors proper condolences and directed him and other

officers elsewhere to continue to patronise the firm as formerly. Khilats and jewels were bestowed on Manohardas and the widow of Gopaldas, just as Warren Hastings had conferred a khilat previously on Gopaldas when he visited Banares.

Contemporary with Gopaldas was the equally eminent banker in Surat, Trawdi Shri Krishna Arjunji Nathji, a Nagar Brahmin hailing originally from Banares itself.* Reputed to be the richest banker in Gujerat, he is found instructing his gumasta at Calcutta in 1787 to wait on the new Governor-General with a nazir of congratulations and prayer for letters of commendation to the Company's officers; and receiving assurances in return that the officers would exert themselves in promoting the prosperity of the house. His services to the Company were acknowledged shortly afterwards with khilats, medals and grants and he was officially proclaimed as "the Company's shroff in India". He died in 1822.

Many, many years before Arjunji Nathji, there flourished at Surat (1619-1634) the famous merchant banker Virji Vora described in the Company's correspondence & travellers' notes variously as "prime merchants of this town" "the greatest Bania merchant", "the greatest and richest general merchant that inhabiteth the vast kingdom", etc. Contemporaries placed his resources at 80 lakhs. Ahmedabad had its Seth Shantidas whose family has come down to us for centuries by its more widely known title of Nagar Seth conferred on it by the Delhi emperors, Shah Jahan or Aurangzeb.

Area of Operations

These banking houses had their accredited agents or gumastas posted all over the country. The firm of Gopaldas with its headquarters at Banarès claimed its representatives at Calcutta, Murshidabad, Patna, Gaya, Ghazipur, Mirzapur, Allahabad, Lucknow, Bareilly, Nagpur, Surat, Bombay, Masulipatam, Madras, Tanda, Phulpur and Poona. Besides, the house maintained Maratha army agencies at

* Bombay Gazette, 3rd September 1881.

Agra, Delhi, Ahmedabad and Baroda. Arjunji Nathji of Surat had ramifications on a smaller scale at Calcutta, Cossimbazar, Banares and Delhi. In the days of Manikchand who bore the title of Seth, the branches of his business were located at Dacca, Calcutta, Patna, Banares and Hugli. Although the Jagatseths commanded a fame which enabled their hundis to pass current in any part of India, their administrative tentacles do not appear to have penetrated much beyond the borders of Eastern India.

System of Intelligence

Through this wide-spread network of branches and agents, the bankers maintained a system of intelligence and information which was truly a marvel for the age. The branch of the House of Dixit-Patwardhan located at Bombay is recorded as writing to its head office at Poona frequently, almost daily, communicating developments and soliciting instructions. Hundi rates on Poona, Surat, Bhavnagar, Calcutta, etc. are carefully recorded, attesting to the enormous fluctuations of the money market in the following forceful and picturesque sentence, "These are to-day's rates. God knows tomorrow's (Shake 1756)." Nor is the state of monsoon or the course of prices including prices of gold omitted from record. The Governments of the day found in this all-pervasive and ubiquitous system of intelligence a vital means of state policies and endeavoured in every way to attach the loyalty and interests of bankers to themselves. In 1742, the E.I.Co.'s responsible officer in South India reports it as "shoffs' news" that the Marathas had made peace with the Nizam and that the funds of the timorous shroffs were returning to Arcot. Sometimes, the shroff's pattamars were found by them useful carriers of packets from Telichery to Bombay. For example, when in 1780 Arjunji Nathji conveyed from Ujjain to the chief of Surat his nazirs of congratulations on Goddard's capturing the fort of Bassein in a single day "after firing 70,000 canon balls into it whereas the Mahratts had taken two days to obtain possession of it," the chief told Arjunji's gumasta to write the particulars of the victory to

Calcutta. It is equally remarkable to record that as late as 1840 the British disaster in Afghanistan was known to Calcutta shroffs much earlier than the Government. Again in 1844, the native dak beat the Government dak "as usual" by some hours in conveying the news of the war fronts in Gwalior and the Punjab, causing fluctuations in the tone of the money market. As late as the forties of the last century, a knowledgeable witness records before the Parliamentary Select Committee that the shroffs corresponded with the big places of Asia—even Constantinople not being outside their range.

Transfer of Funds and Hundi

The hundi or Indian bill of exchange handed down for centuries from an unrecorded past must ever remain a singular monument to the financial acumen and ingenuity of these bankers. Foreign observers noted with wonder how these drafts passed unquestioned from "Travancore to Peshwar." With each adaptation to meet special circumstances, a large variety of them came into existence under appropriate names—Shah Jog, Name Jog, Dhani Jog, Jababi, 'bandye mudet' (band-i-mudat=fixed period of settlement), etc. To avoid falsification, etc. figures were expressed as fractions of larger sums or multiples of smaller sums, the number of lines in the bill was scrupulously stated and the name of the scribe of the hundi appended. Sometimes, bills for sums as small as Rs. 9 were to be met with. They were drawn for all sorts of periods, at sight, payable the next day, 4th day, 8th day, 17th day, 21st day, 45th day, 50th day, 65th day, 90th day, 101st day, etc. 'Bebust' or 'Barbast', i.e. custom or usage prescribed the period, whether twice seven days, etc. A witness before the Select Committee of 1831 gives 50 days as the common period. In 1621, a bill on Agra received at Patna was drawn at 40 days, "bandye mudet". To save "in the deheig" (dahyek=dah, i.e. 10 per cent), however, we find the same shroff requesting hundis to be drawn "at twice sevene dayes" and speedy "cassad" (messenger) to be employed so as to cover the distance between Agra and Patna in 11 days. In 1785, we find Bombay draw-

ing bills on Calcutta payable at 91 days. Days of grace allowed were 6 or 9. "Sutter jegres" (satta=bond; jhagra=quarrel) are rarely met with in the E.I.Co.'s correspondence. Apart from avoiding needless movements of currency, etc., the hundi enhanced in a high degree the safety of financial operations and investments. How great this need for safety was is well reflected in the request made in 1619 by the factor in Surat to the factor in Broach not far away to send a quantity of Mahmudi rupees "made upp in wascotes of duttie, which they weare underneath theire clothes for more ease and safetie, according to the manner used by sheraffes in like transportacions."

Trade

Then as now, the indigenous bankers combined banking with some trade. The house of Arjunji Nathji had considerable trade relations with Arab merchants in Surat. The Jagatseths are reported as supplying piecegoods to English merchants. The bankers engaged apparently in the business of safe custody also. When in 1741, an inventory of the effects of the Company's shroff Viswanathan was being made in Madras, local residents claimed the bulk of the effects as given for safe custody. A writer on this subject has been able to produce an account book of 240 years ago which proves that one-twentieth per cent per month was the charge for safe custody of gold and silver valuables, if kept open and one rupee per item if kept under a sealed cover.* But an experienced witness before the Select Committee of 1831 avers that their main business was discounting.

The System of Accounts

These high bankers who looked down on money-lenders and their humble clientele of cultivators and peasants evolved an efficient system of accounts which without much variations except of names prevailed over the whole country. The books maintained by them were roj-mel or day book; hundi-nodh or bill register; ovaro or journal; malni-nodh or goods register; khata-vahi or ledger; samadaskat

* *Indigenous Banking in Ancient and Medieval India*, by B. Bhargava, p. 103.

or account current book; viraj vahi or interest-book. Even today, the system shows hardly any change.

Loans to Political Powers

It is a commonplace, though an amazing one, of Indian political history that the country was subjugated and occupied by the British with the aid of Indian mercenaries or rice soldiers. Accident or design appears to have conspired to disguise the equally important fact that it was Indian money furnished by Indian bankers which enabled these mercenary armies to be raised. The contemporary foreigner, unable to appreciate the thorough extent to which religious-cum-occupational bonds had supplanted racial or territorial bonds of patriotism and destroyed in the public mind the distinction between countrymen and foreigners, records this damning verdict. "Loyalty and patriotism, those virtuous incentives to great and noble actions, are here unknown and when they cease to fear they cease to obey. . . . Money is here (if I may so express myself) the essence of power, for the soldiers know no other attachment than their pay and the richest party soon becomes the strongest." Warren Hastings in his memoirs made some exception for the Marathas as the only people of Hindusthan in whom he found a bond of unity. If practice of soldierly qualities in any cause and for any party was the key to heaven for the soldier, it could not be otherwise for the practice of mercantile virtues by the bankers in their dealings with anybody and everybody!

No banking house in India compared in the scale of their loans with the house of Jagatseths. In 1722, when Murshid Kuli Khan fell short of his Imperial tribute by 35 lakhs, Fattchchand came to his rescue with a loan. Later in its career, it is recorded that the house made a loan of 30 lakhs to enable Aliwardi Khan to repel a Maratha invasion. In 1742, Mir Habib, a Quisling instigated by the Marathas looted the house of as much as 2 crores of Arcot rupees. Yet, "so amazing a loss which would distress any monarch in Europe affected Fattchchand so little that he continued to give government bills of exchange at sight of

fall one crore at a time." Shortly afterwards, the same misfortune befell the house again, the Nawab or his agents being the culprits. The Jagatseth and all fellow bankers fled from Murshidabad and Cossimbazaar. And yet shortly afterwards, the English at Cossimbazaar borrowed a lakh of rupees from him, "he, not caring to lend a less sum."

The homelands of the Marathas were never famed for wealth. As in the case of Prussia, hunger made the land of Shivaji great. Maratha banking was therefore on a scale appropriate to the resources of the country. In the list of 200 and odd creditors adverted to above, only Raghunath Bhat, Patwardhan, Vishnu Mahadeo, Bhide, Oak, Parashram Naik and Dixit claim loans of 3 lakhs and above but in no case does the loan exceed 5 lakhs. The largest number of loans is for Rs. 50 thousand and less while loans of 8 to 10 thousand and even less are quite numerous. The house of Dixit-Patwardhan, one of the more prominent, was reported to have a working capital of Rs. 15 lakhs.

In the war with Holkar and Bharatpur in 1804, the Company found itself in a perilous condition. The Governor himself describes the financial state of the Company as pitiful, which made the supply of soldiers and material precarious. The initial successes of Holkar disinclined other bankers from taking the risk of loans to a falling power. At this critical juncture, Arjunji Nathji came forward with a loan of 35 lakhs. Tradition has it that carts loaded with bags of rupees lined the whole distance between Balaji's Chakla to Navasari Gate. The surprise and joy of the Governor-General and his staff knew no bounds.

Again when war broke out with Nepal in 1813, it was the purse of this banker from which flowed the means for raising soldiers and supplies. The fact that the government bestowed on Arjunji Nathji a khilat "for the joy of the capture of Nepal" indicates that the loan must have been large.

As noted above, on the death of Gopaldas, Manohardas besought the Governor-General for continuation of old favours and patronage. In a communication of 1787, he re-

calls the services of the firm including the supply of funds for the campaigns of Surat and Madras.

In 1800, the British raised Rs. 25 lakhs from the shroffs at Baroda to pay off the arrears of mutinous mercenary troops who threatened trouble. Seven districts of the Gai-kawar were taken possession of by force and farmed out to the bankers as repayment.

Even while the aforesaid loans were being raised, there were taking place improvements destined to create a money market of wide appeal and thus release the Company from dependence on individual bankers and houses. Between 1785-1795, bonds of unmanageable and variable amounts like Rs. 1 lakh gave place to bonds and certificates of fixed, standardized and convenient face values; they were better protected from forgery, being made from engraved blocks; they were now registrable; finally they were henceforth long-dated instead of short-dated as before. From 1790 arrangements were made for the public outside the metropolitan areas to receive interest from Revenue Collectors and Residents and from 1793, to subscribe to public loans. The consequent rapid growth of a money market is well reflected in the fact that while Hicky's Bengal Gazette (1780-2) and Calcutta Gazette hardly ever quoted security prices till then, such prices become a regular feature of such publications from now on.*

Their Place in High Politics

In these circumstances, it is hardly surprising that from the earliest times of which we have any record, the banker was a prominent and powerful figure at the courts of native or foreign courts. The princes and powers of India spared no pains to attach their loyalty and zeal to their respective causes. The bankers themselves could not underestimate the valuable financial privileges and the still more valuable protection which political power alone could dispense. For

No.	1792	1815	1820	1834
Agency Houses	16	23	31	50
Shroffs	17	34	42	56
Bankers	4	14	15	20

the public outside, they were useful intermediaries and channels of supplications. In 1622, Tapidas, a shroff at Baroda, offered his assistance to obtain permission for the English to continue their trading there or else to supply secretly the goods required. But the shrewd observer at Surat could detect the risks of placing reliance on shoffs and their political influence and spoke of the imprudence of holding commerce with "dishonest great ones, whose means and credits are not worth a straw longer than they are in their princes favour, and how instable that, is dayly experience shewes." Arjunji Nathji made financial arrangements in procuring for the English a firman for the castle and a sanad for the fleet and was rewarded in 1759 with a "writing . . . to show that the house is deserving of the countenance of the H'nble Co. in case of any oppression to them." In 1786, Gopaldas or his son Manohardas writes that "obedience and submission to the will of G.G. and service to the Co. are prior to any other consideration to him." But as will be easily imagined, the house of Jagatseths achieved a political power which almost eclipsed their banking power. We read of Arjunji Nathji being made a member of Council at Calcutta but nothing further is said about it. Jagatseths however always held membership of the Council of Three of the Nawab of Bengal. It was with the aid of the Seth that Murshid Kuli Khan was enabled to purchase the continuance of his office as Nawab after the death of Aurangzeb. When the household officers' clamour compelled Sarfraz Khan to dismiss the Jagatseth and his two colleagues from the Council in 1739, it was the Jagatseth who invited Aliwardi Khan to overthrow the good but infirm Nawab. As a consistent and loyal friend of the English even to the point of opposition to the Hindu Kings of Bihar, he dissuaded by many subterfuges the hapless and imprudent Sirajudowla from making an alliance with the French. The conspiracy to overthrow him originated at Murshidabad and not Calcutta where the Council weighed in a cold manner only their business advantages from the success of the conspiracy and voted in favour. Contemporary opinion, native and foreign, was unanimous

that the revolution was the work of Jagatseth and Durlabh Rai and Clive who was quite well known was merely "a great captain whom the Seths had brought from very far at a great expense to deliver Bengal.".... This is confirmed by Clive's lavish entertainment to the Jagatseth at Calcutta for four days at a cost of Rs. 17,374. Their influence at the Imperial Court of Delhi was no less unrivalled. The firmans of Delhi appointing the Nawabs of Bengal—Shuja-ud-daula, Sarfraz Khan, Mir Jaffar and a series of them were always promulgated through them as also Clive's patent as "an Omrah of the Empire". The Jagatseth paid the penalty of his high politics when Mir Kassim took him with other bankers to Monghyr, "that they may always be with me and attend to my business and their own, according to custom" and executed them at Baur, in the face of the protection promised them by Clive but not enforced by Vansittart.

Bankers and Revenue Collectors to Government

In many parts of the country, the revenues were paid not directly to the Government but through the shroffs as farmers. As the Government instalments fell due before they were collected, shroffs made the payments in bills of 15 or 20 days and thus became sureties for the revenues. Manikchand was the treasurer general of Bengal and keeper of the Nawab's private hoards. In April every year zamindars and collectors of revenues assembled at Murshidabad and settled accounts with the treasurer. Entitled to receive 10 per cent on all payments to the Nawab, the Jagatseth's profit from this source alone was estimated at 40 lakhs. In all parts of the country, revenues were transmitted to the headquarters by means of bills supplied by the shroffs.

Mint-masters and Money-changers

As in other parts of the world in old times, minting money was a private trade of licensed individuals. While in some cases, the Daroga or the mint-master was quite distinct from the banker, it is easy to see how banking and money

minting must have tended to become generally indistinguishable parts of the same occupation. The different practices in vogue in different parts of the country throw important light on the place of monetary circulation in the activities of the Indian Banker.

In Bengal alone, the minting of money appears to have approximated to a monopoly privilege, a result of the unique power exercised by the house of Jagatseths. Although the mint mastership was officially vested in a different individual, nobody but the house of Jagatseths had the effective use of the mint at Murshidabad. As early as 1717-19, the English merchants made efforts to secure from the Nawab the right of minting. But they were informed by the officers of the Nawab that "Fattehchand is so great with the Nawab, they can have no hopes of the grant." It was not till 1757 that the Company got the right to establish a mint at Calcutta. Even then the mint was of little use as the Calcutta rupee, notwithstanding its weight and standard were in every respect as good as the siccas of Murshidabad, was at the mercy of Jagatseth who "could make it fluctuate in such a manner as he seems fitting and convenient for this purpose." A parwana had to be obtained from Mir Kassim, ordering the rupee of the Company to pass current and forbidding any person to demand discount on it.

The stake of the Jagatseths and with them, of the banking community in maintaining the sicca rupee as the sole rupee of the realm was a very peculiar one. In virtue of their office as the treasurer of Bengal revenues, the Jagatseth prescribed that the revenues of the year must be paid in coins of the current year. As the coins of the earlier years were accepted only at a heavy discount, it became a lucrative occupation both for the shroffs and the mint to receive such rupees at discount and recoin them. The profit of ordinary minting itself was not small. In the year 1746, the Jagatseth was giving 201 sicca rupees for 240 rupees weight of silver offered to him.

This unusual hold on the mint and the legal tender of the land, the Jagatseths strove to protect by equally unusual means. It appears that about 1736, the English merchants at Calcutta began to import Madras and Artot rupees and use them in payment to Indian merchants. The Jagatseth retorted by obtaining a firman from the Nawab making these rupees receivable in public or private payments at much lower value. Shortly afterwards, a duty of $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent was levied on all foreign rupees tendered to the Government. This complete mastery over the mint enabled the Jagatseth to suffer no one but himself to buy even "a rupee's worth of silver" imported from outside at his own price.

The grant of monopoly of dealings in precious metals appears to have appealed to the Government of the land as a very profitable source of income to themselves. It is recorded that in 1621 the native governor of Masulipatam sought to confer on one shroff "the exclusive concession of the right of buying, selling or exchanging all gold and silver brought to port." To this, the Dutch and the English supported by native merchants opposed a passive resistance, with the result that after about three months, the plan "fell of itself."

In the Maratha territories, minting appears more as an industrial craft than a part and parcel of banking activity. The licence to mint was issued to many persons in different places for a period of years, usually three, and on condition of an annual payment to the Government, which rose annually from rupees fifty to about Rs. 125. In a place like Dharwar, separate licences were to be found at one time for minting each different kind of coin and six out of every thousand mohurs, rupees or hons minted were to be paid as Government royalty. In the Konkan, the Government nazar for minting the copper paisa was raised as high as Rs. 12,001. About the year 1760-P, we find such licensed mints at work in places as near or as far away from each other as Nagotane, Rewadanda, Mahuli, Dharwar, Nasik, Chinchwad, Chandwad, Daulatabad, etc. The number of mints need not cause surprise since the average mint was

small in size. When a mint was established under Government management at Nasik, the staff consisted of one Karkun or clerk, two peons, one blacksmith, five goldsmiths, two hammerers and one engraver, etc. Rs. 45 per thousand rupees minted was estimated as the cost of manufacture, i.e. material, wages, wastage, etc. The manufacturer appointed by Government at Dharwar was entitled to one gold coin out of every thousand coins turned out.

In South India, the coinage of the famous gold pagodas, fanams, etc. was licensed on lines more or less similar to those found in the Maratha territories. From their earliest days, European settlers of all nationalities were admitted to this privilege no less than the natives of the land. In 1692, the President of Fort St. George was presented with great ceremonial six iron chops or stamps for coinage by the local prince. But the privilege was of limited value since the Nawab would not make any coins but those issued from his Arcot mint receivable in Government payments. The Nabob's pagodas enjoyed for this reason a premium over all other coins and the efforts of the English to introduce a more reliable pagoda was discouraged by "the most knowing and eminent shroffs" as a vain novelty.

With these coinage arrangements, it is easy to see how money changing must have become an important and lucrative activity of indigenous banking. The famous French traveller Tavernier (1640-1665) has placed on record many shrewd observations on the minting and money changing activities which we have described above as prevailing in later centuries. "In India, a village must be very small if it has not a money-changer whom they call shroff, who acts as a banker to make remittances of money and issue letters of exchange. As, in general these changers have an understanding with the Governors of the Provinces, they enhance the rupee at their will for paisa and the paisa for these shells." It causes hardly any surprise to learn that in 1834, 2,163 bankers at Bombay petitioned the Government against the introduction of a uniform rupee as likely

to destroy "the only occupation left to them after the Peshwa's Government was overthrown by the British Government in 1818." To the normal commission on inter-change of money, the dishonesty of the seignors and the skill of counterfeiters added further sources of profit. In South India and elsewhere, the more well-known and respectable shroffs met the situation by putting into circulation bags under their own seals, containing 1,000, 100, and 50 and at times, amounts as low as 10 and 5 pagodas.

Sanctions of Commercial Obligations

In the special conditions of law and authority in those times, the force of custom and social pressure had to supplement unstable and uncertain political power to secure conformity to trade and financial obligations. When Surgee Ingedas at Broach declined to pay bills drawn on him unless he were allowed 15 days more the English creditors kept him prisoner in their house. Even when news arrived from Surat that satisfaction was received there, Surgee Ingedas was continued prisoner for a day and night longer until he promised 1 per cent of the amount more as amends for his behaviour. This was in 1622. In 1736, the Company's shroff at Madras, Viswanathan, and two bazar shroffs were found to have abused public confidence by putting into circulation under their seals bags containing pagodas of inferior touch. All three were sentenced to transportation to the Western Coast of Sumatra. On the intervention of "the heads of the Right and Left hand castes," the sentence on the bazaar shroffs was commuted to simple banishment and a fine of 2,500 pagodas but for Viswanathan, the heinous offence could be expiated only by an offer by the caste of 3,500 pagodas to be spent on the improvement of the native part of the town. Sometimes the punishments were less severe. In 1741, two town shroffs of Madras who were "guilty of sealing inferior pagodas" were "committed to choultry and their houses sealed up".

Business credit was as delicate and precarious an asset in those days as now. Even a remote suggestion of doubt or irregularity was a much more effective punishment than

any which authority could devise. Kashmiri Mal of Banares, a man of shady activities, held the office of treasurer to the Nawab Vazir and did no small business with the Company for 30 years. On a dispute between him and Gopaldas about sums payable on certain hundies, the Resident sent for him to appear in person and on his excusing himself "from one day to the other" dispatched a force of 10 or 15 harkaras. Kashmiri Mal protested that 15 chap-rasis were posted around his banking house and his residence, that his business as a banker was greatly injured, that the news of his disgrace had reached all quarters. The branches of his house were dispersed over a large part of the country at Bombay, Surat, Poona, Jainagar, Delhi, etc. and were imperilled by the rumour of this news. Since the appearance of the chap-rasis at his house was enough injury to his credit, he could not think of going to the Resident in their company. He pointed out that the concerns of the bankers were settled among themselves by arbitration, opposition to which was the only proper ground for punishment. The Resident called into consultation the bankers of Banares who averred that Kashmiri Mal's failure to appear was a fault but pleaded for pardon.

The bankers through their Mahajans exercised no doubt an effective authority over all their disputes. About the years 1780-1811, the Nanavatis of Ahmedabad promulgated rules about rates at which the Ankra currency was to be exchanged for sicca rupees and Ankra hundies to be discounted. Non-compliance meant exclusion from the Mahajan for two months and re-admission required payment of a fine of 25½ maunds of gram for 'Khore Dhere'.

But it was in the land of the soldierly Maratha that the moral pressure of fasting was employed for the enforcement of pecuniary obligations. The recalcitrant debtor found his threshold occupied by an emissary or emissaries who were hired by the creditor as specialists in the exercise of this spiritual weapon. What made the pressure irresistible was the old moral rule that the head of the

family could not himself sit down to food so long as the guest was hungry.

III. 1860-1900

For forty years, 1860 to 1900, banking and banking habit made but small headway among the people of this country. In the last three decades, the three Presidency Banks and Indian joint-stock banks added to their capital a mere three crores and to their deposits only fourteen crores.* These figures for such a lapse of time appear modest when compared with the addition to capital of 4 crores and to deposits of 29 crores in the next 13 years.†

The city of Bombay had indeed seen an extraordinary floatation of banks during the speculation fever of the American Civil War. As many as 25 banks with a paid-up capital of 13.6 crores and 39 financial associations with paid-up capital of 6.2 crores were conjured into existence in the short space of three years 1863-5. Of the banks, four including the Bank of Bombay were old concerns which were tempted to enlarge their capital enormously during the speculation wave. The premia collected on the bank shares alone has been estimated at 10.7 crores. Unfortunately, all this financial and banking enterprise was a mere incident in the fantastic speculations in land and other forms of wealth prevalent at the time and when the specula-

* The phrase "Indian Banking" is meant to be exclusive of exchange banks only. Some Indian authors (e.g. Jain, *Indigenous Banking in India*) exclude Presidency Banks as well. Except for the fact that the directorate and the higher staff of the Banks are drawn from Britishers there is no sufficient warrant for the procedure. (See Chapter IV). It is necessary to emphasize that in this and the next Chapter, the progress of banking is assessed with reference to the Imperial Bank, exchange banks and Indian joint-stock Banks of Class I (with capital and reserves of five lakhs and above) and Class II, (with capital and reserves between 1 to 5 lakhs) only. For some discussion about smaller banks for which no statistics are available, See pp. 58-59 166 ff.

† Presidency and Indian Joint-stock Banks. (Figures in lakhs)

	Capital.	Deposits
1870	372	658
1880	426	912
1890	498	1,746
1900	686	2,085
1906	880	3,900
1913	1,112	5,907

Deposits of Presidency Banks include private deposits only.

tion itself collapsed, not a vestige was to be seen of the colossal financial fabric reared to support and stimulate it.*

It has been suggested that the uncertainties of exchange explain the slow rate of banking progress.† It is of course natural that instability of exchange should have caused much hesitation, additional cost and difficulty in the financing of our foreign trade. Complaints to this effect were heard in plenty both before the Herschell and Fowler Committees. Nevertheless, it is most unlikely that exchange instability could have affected the business of banks other than the highly developed exchange banks and should not, for that reason, be held responsible for the slowness of domestic progress in this direction. Besides, even in those years, the technique of covering exchange risks was certainly not less well known and not less available than today. As the Herschell Committee state in their main Report, there is no adequate proof either in the statistics of our foreign trade that growth in its volume was retarded or that exports were stimulated at the expense of imports.‡

It accords better with facts to say that the slow progress of banking in India was but a reflection of the almost stationary economic conditions in the latter half of the nineteenth century. Instability of exchange could hardly be blamed for this general stagnation of economic life. Improvement no doubt occurred now and then. But it was more than destroyed by recurrent famines and long periods of mere recovery and recuperation had to supervene. In the absence of figures of national income, etc.,—and at best, they are rarely unambiguous and dependable—evidence of a piecemeal or general character is the only basis for forming impressions on the subject. But among those who have examined the facts attentively, there is and should be hardly any ground for disagreement on this point. It is indeed the inevitable theme of every student of the period.§

Among important factors which presumably shared responsibility for this outcome, the factor of special significance

* *A Financial Charter in the History of Bombay City*, by D. E. Wacha, pp. 24-32.

† *Indigenous Banking in India*, by L. C. Jain, no. 149-151.

‡ Report of Herschell Committee, Paras. 24; 25; 26; 27 and pp. 284-97.

§ *Currency and Prices*, by Vakil and Muranjan, pp. 347-51; 364-65; footnote, p. 357.

for banking is the course of prices and state of currency.* After the inevitable reaction to the high prices of the American Civil War, the Indian price level continued low with a downward trend till 1885-6. It then improved, made striking strides in 1891-3 and then got involved in the currency and famine turmoils of 1893-1900. The prices of indigenous manufactures with which the city-located banks were most directly concerned continued low and falling from 1866 to 1886, recovered appreciably between 1888 and 1893 and fell heavily thereafter till 1899. Such a course of events was obviously ill suited to stimulate growth of deposits and banking in a backward country like India.

On these basic economic factors, there was superimposed in 1861 a fateful change in the currency system of this country which influenced profoundly and indeed put a brake on the growth of banking. In poor and backward countries and in early stages of banking development, the currency note rather than the cheque has proved the most powerful factor in accelerating the growth of banking and banking habit. The existence of competitive note-issues is the foundation on which continental countries including France, Germany, Belgium, etc., have reared up their banking structures. A widespread use of notes ensues more quickly from a system of competing note-issuing banks than from a monopoly of note-issues vested in a single bank or a Government department. The banking legislation of the United States in the nineteenth century and that of England till the middle of the century gravitated mainly round the central objective of reconciling competitive note-issues with solvency of

* *Currency and Prices*, by Vakil and Muranjan, pp. 312-22; Tables, pp. 308-09; footnote, p. 318.

	General Price Level		Price-Level Manufactures (1873-100)	Purchasing Power of Silver over com- modities in England
1866	134	• •	83
1869	101		81
1870	107		94
1871	98	•	96	100
1886	96		74	123
1893	121		93	98
1899	99		75
1900	112		84

banks or the monopoly of issue of one bank with the natural desire of other banks for "free banking".*

Till 1861, banks in India enjoyed with or without restriction powers of note-issue. There were no doubt dangers inherent in such a situation. But such dangers could have been mitigated by prescribing appropriate conditions as to form, minimum denomination, aggregate volume, kind of cover, etc. for such note-issues. Unfortunately, the Paper Currency Act of 1861 fell in for a Government monopoly and closed the door on progress along the one line which promised quickest results. The growth of our banking system without any prolonged experience or historical stimulus of competitive note-issues is indeed a distinguishing mark of banking in India as compared with other countries.†

In 1870, there were only two Indian joint-stock banks with capital and reserves of 5 lakhs and more. By 1900, the number increased to 9. The most important among them were the Allahabad Bank of India (established in 1865), the Alliance Bank of Simla (established in 1874), the Oudh Commercial Bank (established in 1881), and the Punjab National Bank (established in 1894).

While the progress of banks and banking in general was very slow till 1900, one constituent of the banking system passed through a remarkable phase during the last decade. Indian joint-stock banks were hardly in evidence in the two decades 1860-80. During the next decade, they gained in size and strength. But in the last decade, they made a substantial gain of more than 5 crores in their deposits while exchange banks showed a betterment of 3 crores only and the Presidency Banks actually lost ground by 2½ crores.

* Cf. "The first stage of credit is a stage of note issue and gradually the note issue becomes of much less importance, and then you come to the second stage, when deposits become the principal form of banking."—Walter Bagehot before Select Committee of House of Commons on Banks of Issue, 1875.

† Perhaps, the strangest feature of the decision of 1861 was that it was taken by James Wilson who belonged to the Banking School. The Banking School held that there was no distinction of principle between definitive money, i.e., legal tender and credit money like deposits. On account of mutual substitutability etc., it was not possible to control rigidly the total means of payment. Besides, competition among banks and the principle of reflux i.e., continuous repayment of loans when capital needs were over, made over-issue unlikely. It was the rival Currency School which regarded note-issue as no banking function at all and advocated its centralisation in a government department. See Chap. VIII.

The Allahabad Bank alone recorded an improvement of 1½ crores.*

As our analysis elsewhere shows,† the last decade witnessed a sudden and remarkable boom of investment in this country. It is probable that the pressure of this boom and its subsequent collapse fell very largely on the Presidency Banks which had to supply cash to meet the two phases. The initial fall of interest on the other hand placed Indian joint-stock banks in an advantageous position since more than three-fourths of their deposits were probably fixed deposits and rates offered by them have been always very attractive. Perhaps, the Government also aided them by reducing their rate on postal savings deposits to 3½ per cent. It is not surprising then that they should have acquired 4 crores out of 5 in the years 1891-7, partly at the expense of Presidency and Exchange Banks but partly out of new sources also.‡

				(Figures in lakhs)		
				1870		
				Number	Capital and Reserve	Deposits
Presidency Banks	3	361	6398
Exchange Banks	3	2.1 (m. £s.)	52
Indian Joint-Stock Banks	2	11	14
				1880		
Presidency Banks	3	405	8498
Exchange Banks	4	3.0 (m. £s.)	389
Indian Joint-Stock Banks	3	21	68
				1890		
Presidency Banks	3	447	1,4768
Exchange Banks	5	8.0 (m. £s.)	753
Indian Joint-Stock Banks	5	51	270
				1900		
Presidency Banks	8	559	1,2288
Exchange Banks	8	15.7 (m. £s.)	1,050
Indian Joint-Stock Banks	9	127	807

* Private deposits only

† See Chap. III.

‡ Private Deposits (in lakhs)

	Presidency Banks	Exchange Banks	Indian Joint-Stock Banks	Total
1890	1,476	754	271	2,501
1891	1,413	863	346	2,622
1892	1,267	853	387	2,507
1893	1,210	813	408	2,431
1894	1,313	970	450	2,733
1895	1,312	1,013	566	2,909
1896	1,292	1,015	538	2,845
1897	1,016	909	681	2,606
1898	1,078	949	689	2,716
1899	1,141	1,070	743	2,954
1900	1,238	1,050	808	3,146

CHAPTER II

PRESENT CENTURY PROGRESS

A QUANTITATIVE estimate of the progress of banking and banking habit is in itself beset with many inherent difficulties. The absence of or inaccessibility to relevant statistics on this subject makes such a task even more impracticable in this country. The measure most easily available and ordinarily employed is the size of banking resources—the capital, reserve, and deposit liabilities of banks. But the use of this measure is valid only within certain limitations. In particular, it is not easy to distinguish how far changes in deposits are a reflection of changes in price-levels, growth of wealth in general, extension of facilities to new areas or classes, improvements in banking habits, technique, etc.

Absolute Progress of Banking Resources

Between 1900 and 1914, the deposit liabilities of Presidency, exchange and Indian joint-stock banks recorded an addition of 57 crores. Between 1914 and 1920, the gain in deposit resources amounted to 139 crores. The year 1920 was, however, an exceptional one, being at once the climax and collapse of war and post-war inflation. If we adopt the year 1922 as representing a substantial restoration of more normal conditions, the improvement should stand at 107 crores instead of 139.

It is a commonplace of Indian economic history that the first 20 years of the present century saw remarkable fluctuations in the rupee price level. Between 1899 and 1914, prices mounted by about 30 per cent. The war caused a degree of inflation which left the price level even as late as 1922 at about 84 per cent higher than in 1914. In these circumstances, it is very difficult to ascertain how far the growth in deposits was a mere concomitant of the rise in price levels and how far it represented a broadening or intensification of our banking structure. If index-numbers

of prices could be accepted as reliable correctives for the price factor, about five-seventh of the growth between 1900-1914 should be regarded as real, taking as our basis of comparison the purchasing power of the rupee in 1899-1900. In terms of the purchasing power of the rupee in 1914, the real growth in banking resources between 1914 and 1922 should be placed at a little more than half the nominal growth. As experience teaches, however, such reliance on index numbers of prices is not fully warranted.

The twenties of the present century present a very unusual period. Taking 1922 as a fairly normal year, the third decade seems to have been largely stationary in point of growth of deposits. A slight upward movement is visible but it could hardly be described as progress. This stationariness is in a large measure the reflection of the steady deflation of prices which was occurring throughout these years. The Calcutta-Bombay wholesale index numbers stood at 188 in 1920, 176 in 1922, 143 in 1929 and 121 in 1930. In the light of this persistent fall of prices, the small improvement of 1922-1929 even must be looked on as evidence of the growing strength of the banking system.

By 1931, the price level passed in its downward progress the level of 1914. The aggregate deposits of the Indian banking system then stood somewhere between 212 and 197 crores as against 87 crores in 1914.

The great deflation of prices initiated by the world crisis of 1929, was largely concentrated in India on the years 1929-1931 when the Calcutta-Bombay index number fell from 143 to 102. By 1936, prices settled down to about 94. It is remarkable that only in 1931, was there recorded a sharp diminution in the deposits of Indian banks. Between 1930 and 1936, the Indian banking system as a whole took another stride forward by acquiring about 45 crores of deposits more.*

* We have measured the growth of banking habit and banking power in the country exclusively by the growth of deposits. The volume of cheque clearances is another index which however suffers from the shortcoming that cheques drawn on and paid into the same bank do not naturally figure at the clearings. It is likely that such cheques run into a larger volume in India than elsewhere. Table I records the growth of cheque clearances and the figures are corrected for price changes by an index-number for internal price-level. We owe the figures to Dr. Meek, the Director of Statistics, (pp. 381-88 Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Part III, 1937). The highly inflated figures for 1920, 1928 and 1929 prove how cheque clearances are a better measure of business activity than of banking habit.

Place of Banking Funds relatively to Other Funds

Banks are only one among several reservoirs into which the savings of the community flow. These other reservoirs are by no means on all fours with banks. The quality and character of the resources attracted by each are by no means identical and to that extent, the comparison is subject to certain qualifications. Nevertheless, as long term and short term funds are distinguishable only in degree and not in kind and as the range of contact between them is a wide one, the place of banking funds relatively to other funds is certainly a matter of deep economic significance.

There is another qualification which we must bear in mind on this subject. There exist some important forms of investment for which we have no comparable statistics. Building activity, for example, absorbs in many countries about half the annual national savings. There are also large annual investments made from year to year on other than joint-stock basis. Even with these omissions, the trend of banking funds relatively to other funds is an important index to the direction of economic growth in this country.

The relevant statistics are brought together in the following table.

(Figures in Lakhs)

	Private Deposits of all banks.	Post-Office Savings Accounts Closing Balances.*	Post Office Cash and Defence Saving Certificates.*	Total Working Capital of Co-operative Societies.	Paid-up Capital Joint-Stock Companies.†	Life Assurance Funds.** of Indian Life Offices..	Permanent Rupee Debt of India.§ (in crores)	Net Imports + Net Exports - Gold and Silver (in previous decade).‡
				Figures in Lakhs)				
1890	2501	685	2445	11	102	+13027
1900	8146	1004	3609	52	115	+10055
1910	8231	1692	..	331	6333	233	138	+22764
1920	22028	2236	436	3636	16431	646	257	+33660
1930	22122	3700	3800	9191	23259	2557	494	+34836
1935	25180	7467	6440	10159	31145	4029	473	
1936	26128	7838	5703	..	29039	..	467	
1941	36474	5213	4336	138-5	30277	5986	634	
1942	49666	5228	4013	..	32519	..	774	
1943	71440	6491	5377	1030	
1945	91405	10510	9764	1210	

* For a fuller analysis, Chap. XI.

† An addition of 5 to 10 per cent. must be made for amounts carried to reserve annual.

** For a fuller account, Chap. XI.

§ For a fuller account, Chap. XI.

‡ See Chap. XI.

From our present standpoint, the increments which have occurred from year to year are more important than relative or absolute size. As a topic of familiar admonition, investment in precious metals arrests attention first. It is clear from this table that this form of investment is absorbing a diminishing proportion of our visible savings from period to period. Between 1890-1900, precious metals acquired were equal in value to two-thirds of our aggregate visible national savings. The proportion fell strikingly in the next decade 1900-1910 and in the next two decades, other forms of investment far out-stripped this particular form. So long as there is only a limited outlet for investment in urban property and peasant-proprietorship prevails in agriculture, India is bound to have more need of gold and silver than many other countries. Even so, the large net exports of gold from 1930 onwards present a novel feature the ultimate significance of which is a subject on which one can only speculate at this stage.

Among other claimants for our national savings, Government and joint-stock companies are the most powerful rivals to banks. The borrowings of Government have always been an overshadowing factor in this country. Except for the decade 1920-1930, they were exceeded only by the value of imported precious metals while other investments amounted to only a fraction. After 1910, however, the capital of joint-stock companies has shown a great capacity for expansion and is now a good rival to Government borrowings.

Since the close of the War of 1914-18, banks are encountering another rival, post office savings banks and postal cash certificates which attract the small man's mite. Funds flowing into assurance companies which exceeded Rs. 19 crores in 1941 must be placed largely in the same category.

The general import of these changes deserves some closer analysis.

Since the end of World War I, the world has seen two rival tendencies in the financing of trade and industry. In several countries, notably in the United States, industrial and commercial enterprise has increasingly sought to make itself

independent of banks and to rely on its own resources raised directly. Such a policy tends to divert funds from banks into stocks and shares, particularly those funds which are ordinarily placed with banks as fixed deposits. The other counteracting tendency has been caused by the abnormal post-1929 fluctuations in the values of equities. In certain countries, people are now inclined to prefer the safety and liquidity of fixed deposits to the risk of investment in industrial issues.

It does not seem probable that the American horror of indebtedness has become an important factor in this country. The recent growth of joint-stock capital is very largely due to rapid industrial development of the country. The second tendency has been more visible in India, as our analysis will presently show. The growth of fixed deposits, however, has not been sufficient to maintain the growth of banking funds in general—which must be ascribed as much to the gathering momentum of the deflation following World War I as to certain other factors to be noted presently.

Government borrowings have been a great competitor of banks in all countries. After World War I, the demand of State and public authorities for capital expenditure has increased very much. India has been no exception. In the earlier years budgetary deficits, and later the needs of development expenditure, constantly raided national savings—with the inevitable deflationary effect on banking funds.

Perhaps the most durable change in the years following World War I is the growth of thrift—thrift especially among the lower income classes. It has been estimated that, in some countries at least, the thrift of these classes has become the major source of capital formation. Apart from thrift, technological progress in production, slow or rapid fall of prices, much social and ameliorative legislation have been factors influencing the distribution of wealth more and more in favour of these classes. The effect on the position of banking funds and commercial banks generally has not been quite the same everywhere. In those countries in which these savings have been always relatively unimpor-

tant, the fraction of total deposits held as postal savings deposits has continued fairly unchanged. Such is the case with the United Kingdom, the United States, and South Africa. But in other countries like Norway, Sweden, New Zealand, Australia, etc., the fraction is today strikingly larger than it was in 1913 and commercial banking has to that extent lost ground.* India falls clearly in the second category. The growth of postal savings and cash certificates has been truly astonishing. The banks themselves assisted the growth of this type of savings by instituting savings bank accounts. The figures of savings deposits which are available separately for the Allahabad Bank of India and the Mysore Bank illustrate this fact very forcibly.†

In short, the relative share of commercial banks in the accumulation and disposal of savings in general seems to have suffered some decline in recent years. The same fact has been noted of many other countries as well. But the causes of this outcome are not the same everywhere. In France, Germany, Italy, Japan, etc., the volume of deposits held by commercial banks improved relatively to all deposits between 1913 and 1925 but lost ground heavily thereafter, due no doubt to severe deflation. Deflation as an important factor became active in India only after the 1929 crisis. The cause of a similar decline in the position of commercial banks in other countries is the increase of savings deposits. New Zealand, Norway, Sweden are such countries and there is little doubt that India must rank among them. It is, however, not likely that this decline will be a permanent feature in this country. Banking is still in its early stages of development and, sooner or later, the normal tendencies of growth must assert themselves. It

- * Savings Banks and Postal Deposits as p.c. of total Deposits.
 Norway 67% Australia 48%
 Sweden 47-50% United Kingdom 27%
 New Zealand 48% United States 21%
 South Africa 10-16%

Monetary Review, Vol. I, p. 77, (League of Nations.)

† Chap. VI; Tables XXI and XXII; Table XXV and Table XXVI.

Scheduled Banks. * (In Lakhs)

	Total Deposits	Saving Deposits
1938	240.56	3681
1940	344.99	4105
1942	489.65	4186
1943	674.37	5615
1944		

is worthy of note in this connection that in those countries in which banking has developed very highly, commercial banks have maintained their relative position despite the aforesaid forces.*

Constituents of Indian Banking System

Their Contribution to Growth

While the present century has seen more or less uninterrupted growth of the Indian banking system, the progress of the constituents of the system has occurred at different rates. These uneven rates of growth mean far-reaching changes in the quality and strength of the whole banking structure.

Till 1906, the Presidency and exchange banks maintained their accustomed lead in general growth. As compared with 1900, the increase in deposits amounted to 15 and 8 crores respectively. During the same years, the Indian joint-stock banks added to their deposits 11 crores only.†

The succeeding wave of Swadeshi sentiment altered the trend. In the few years from 1906 to 1913, Indian joint-stock banks added to their deposits full 11 crores against 9 crores of Presidency banks and 13 crores of exchange banks. The setback of 1913 struck Indian joint-stock banks appreciably. But the advent of war conditions arrested any tendency to prolonged decline. In the war years, 1914-1920, these banks with their aggregate gain of 54 crores maintained their progress against their two rivals which acquired 38 and 44 crores only.

The years 1920-30-36 reveal unusual developments. The crisis and deflation of 1920 caused a sharp and general contraction of about $1\frac{1}{2}$ crores in the case of Presidency banks, more than 6 crores in the case of exchange banks and full 8 crores for Indian joint-stock banks. By 1922, however, quieter conditions were restored. While the aggregate deposits of the Indian banking system remained more or less stable for the next 8 years, a noteworthy

* Bank Deposits as p.c. of Total Deposits: Canada 92%. British Banks 70%. United States 80%. Monetary Review, Vol. I, pp. 72-8; 77; 75-6 (League of Nations.)

† Class A only.

redistribution of resources took place among the constituents of the system. The territorial expansion of the Imperial Bank of India added 19 crores to its deposits while Indian joint-stock banks improved their position by about 2 crores. Their betterment was partly at the expense of exchange banks which in spite of some increase in their branches continued to lose ground and recorded a further contraction in deposits of 5 crores by 1930.

Again in the great accession to resources of 45 crores between 1930 and 1936, these banks participated in a very unequal manner. The Imperial Bank showed a betterment of 2 crores and the exchange banks of about 7 crores. The bulk of the improvement was contributed by Indian joint-stock banks whose deposits grew by about 35 crores.*

These unequal rates of growth are inevitably reflected in the relative position of the constituents of the system from time to time. In 1914, Indian joint-stock banks held only 21 per cent of the aggregate deposits of the Indian banking system. By 1920, their share rose to 32 per cent, gaining ground exclusively at the expense of the Imperial Bank of India. The creation of 100 new branches between 1921 and 1930 increased the share of the Imperial Bank slightly, gaining a little advantage over the Indian joint-stock banks which made a small absolute gain, and the exchange banks which were actually losing deposits. But between 1930 and 1936, the share of the Indian joint-stock banks rose from 31.6 to 40.2 as against a fall from 36 to 30 per cent in the case of the Imperial Bank which increased its deposits slightly and a fall from 32.4 to 29.1 per cent in the case of exchange banks which had more than repaired the contraction of 1922-30. It is a noteworthy feature of these developments that the share of the exchange banks was steady till 1920 and thereafter began to decline.

In all the changes noted till now, the position and progress of Indian joint-stock banks of B Class appear very remarkable. Between 1914 and 1922, their gain in deposits was Rs. 80 lakhs. Between 1920 and 1930, when the other

* See Table I.

constituents of the banking system with the exception of the Imperial Bank of India were marking time or losing deposits, they actually added to their deposits 2 crores. The years 1930-36 record a further improvement of 1½ crores. Anticipating a little of our later discussion, we may note that this growth has been largely a growth in numbers rather than in size. The deposit resources per bank improved under the influence of war inflation from an average of about 5 lakhs to an average of about 7 lakhs in 1920. But thereafter, the average has continued almost stable, the actual figures being 7.06 for 1920, 7.90 for 1930 and 7.4 lakhs for 1936.*

Nature and Character of the Growth Geographical Expansion†

Apart from the influences exerting on the relative sizes of banking funds and non-banking funds, the real growth of the former is an outcome of several interdependent and inseparable factors. The growth of wealth itself, the efforts of banks to reach newer and newer levels of income-classes, geographical expansion of banks—these are the more obvious ones which inevitably suggest themselves. We have now to estimate as accurately as we can, how far each of these factors explains the changes already described.

In the growth of banking funds, creation of new branches and expansion of area over which banks operate have inevitably played a notable part together. Between more intense exploitation of existing areas and extension to virgin fields, however, the former factor seems to have predominated. Except for war years when inflation made cities fruitful areas of banking activity, the average of branches per place with banking facilities fell from 2.47 to 2.15 between 1916 and 1926. But after the Imperial Bank ceased to pioneer branches in 1926, the average per place has risen continuously from 2.15 in 1926 to 2.82 for 1936. Except for the post-war year 1920, the latter figure is the highest on record.

* Pp. 32-33; See Chap. V.

† The subsequent discussion should be taken with the general background described in Chapter X, generally; and pp. 276-7, 287-288, 292-294.

There was no doubt once a tendency* to exploit more and more intensively the 5 big up-country centres of trade and commerce† and the 4 great ports of India‡. Even as late as 1916, about 32 per cent of the total head offices and branches were concentrated in these few places. The percentage has declined continuously thereafter to about 16 in 1936. As between the up-country centres and the ports, the absolute increase of branches in the former places has been 23 only between 1916-36 as against 85 for the latter. Partially at least, this must be due to the fact that, as in all other countries, country banks find it an advantage to have direct representation in the great financial centres and money markets of the country.¶

There are 2,300 towns in India with a population of five thousand and over. Of these, only 140 had any banking facilities in 1916. The number increased to 339 in 1926 and 736 in 1939 and 1,279 in 1943. The greater part of the extension occurred in the small space of years 1920-26, 1930-36 and 1939-43. In the earlier period, the expansion of the Imperial Bank of India according to the terms of the Charter of 1921 created a general eagerness to expand before it was too late, and as many as 154 new places were added to the list. During the depression years, 121 more towns were placed on the banking map of India in spite of the much stronger tendency on the part of rival banks to concentrate on the exploitation of the same places.

The creation of banking facilities in any place is largely determined by the volume of deposits or scope for investment which it may furnish. While many other factors are usually taken into account before reaching a decision to open a branch, population by itself is as a rule a fairly good index to banking potentialities. Judged by this standard, extension of banking seems to have proceeded in a haphazard manner rather than on a systematic plan. The figures for 1936 throw very instructive light on this aspect of the

* Q 7949; 8058 —Minutes of Evidence, Chamberlain Commission.

† Lahore, Delhi, Amritsar, Lucknow and Cawnpore.

‡ Bombay, Calcutta, Madras and Rangoon.

¶ Table II.

problem. Of 373 places which have a population of 20,000 and more, about 230 or about 62 per cent had banking facilities in that year. Of 632 places, which were inhabited by more than 10 and less than 20 thousand people, 91 or about 14 per cent were endowed with some bank or branch of a bank. Of the rest 1,295 places, 186 or about an identical percentage has similar facilities.

It is true that a considerable improvement has been taking place in the direction of extension of banking facilities to smaller places. A comparison of place distribution of banking facilities in 1921 and 1936 reveals this tendency very clearly. In 1921, a little over 19 per cent of places with banking facilities had populations below 10 thousand. The percentage rose to 36 in 1936. The percentages for places with populations above 20 thousand confirms the same fact by a consistent decline. When it is recalled that the places comprised in the analysis were 198 in 1921 and 507 in 1936, the greatness of the change in the structure of Indian banking will be easily realized. As we shall discover presently, the change is not a little due to the emergence of small banks.*

It is perhaps inevitable that the bigger places in the country should attract banking enterprise first. Still, the omission of 40 per cent of places with a population of 20 thousand and over is in itself not a little surprising. It seems to indicate that preliminary exploration and mapping out of banking potentialities do not play their due part in banking development.

To a certain extent, the haphazardness and irregularity of our present distribution of banking facilities are no doubt explained by the general avoidance of Native State areas by responsible British Indian banks.† That this prudence has ample basis in fact is well proved by the occurrences connected with the recent failure of a Native State

* Tables III and IV.

† In 1934, out of 108 important Native States, only 20 had banking facilities. The number of places for the more important States are given below :—

Mysore	21	Kashmir ..	4
Travancore	15	Gwalior ..	2
Baroda	12	Porbunder ..	1
Hyderabad	9	Jaipur ..	1
Cochin	9	Jodhpur ..	1

bank which had a large extension in British India territories. The numerous difficulties, legal, judicial and administrative, which arose during the liquidation of the Travancore National and Quilon Bank are a sufficient warning to British India enterprise for the future.*

It is more than probable, nevertheless, that the present distribution of banking facilities is the outcome of lack of initiative, adventure and planning on the part of our banking community. The general tendency is that some adventurous bank pioneers a branch in a new place and others hasten in its wake in an indiscriminate manner. A comparison of the present place-distribution of the branches of the Imperial Bank with that of the Big Five of the Indian joint-stock banks confirms this surmise in an emphatic manner.† In the year 1936, the Imperial Bank was spread over 156 places. In the same year the Allâhabad Bank existed in 36 places but of these, in only three was the Imperial Bank unrepresented. The Central Bank of India had branches in 52 different places but it was free from the competition of the Imperial Bank in only 12 places. The Punjab National Bank was active in 46 places but its operations were free from the shadow of the Imperial Bank in only 15 places. The Bank of India, rigidly confined as it is to about 7 big cities of India naturally encountered competition from almost all its big rivals. Of the 23 places in which the Indian Bank of Madras operated, the Imperial Bank was absent in only 4. The Bank of Baroda was the only big bank which enjoyed immunity from the Imperial and other banks. It was in exclusive ownership of its areas in as many as 16 out of 22 places. This immunity is largely due to the fact that it is largely located in the territories of the Baroda State. Even in the case of the Central Bank of India, many of its places of exclusive jurisdiction are situated in the territories of Native States. In 1939, 3 towns with a population between 50 thousand and 1 lakh were

* See pp. 358-360.

† Big Five i.e., Bank of India; Central Bank of India; Allâhabad Bank; Punjab National Bank and Bank of Baroda; Big Seven: these five and Bank of Mysore and Indian Bank of Madras.

without a bank-office of any kind. It is clear from this how Indian banks as a rule are content to take advantage of the pioneer work of some exceptionally adventurous or privileged bank.

Of 514 places which had banking facilities in 1936, the Imperial Bank, the biggest five of Indian joint-stock banks and the Indian Bank of Madras were represented in approximately 194 places. It is very unlikely that exchange banks were represented in places other than these 194 towns. In other words, about two-thirds of the places with banking facilities in 1936 were dependent for them on the smaller Indian joint-stock banks belonging to class A & B in the country. In British India in 1939, 61 scheduled banks were operating in 452 towns. In the same year, 682 non-scheduled banks were operating in 476 towns, of which 282 were free from the competition of scheduled banks. 255 out of these 282 places had populations between 5 and 20 thousands. As the progressive rise in the proportions which the more populous places bear to the total number occupied by the bigger banks indicates, the smaller banks are concentrated in the less populous, smaller places.*

Analysis of banking facilities by provinces brings out with equal clearness the part played in this country by the smaller banks.

	Percentage of Urban Population	1939 Number of Towns	Towns with banking facilities	Population per Banking Office 000s
Bombay	22.6	* 214	59	24
Madras	18.5	342	298	8
Punjab	18.0	199	98	12
U.P.	11.2	441	80	35
Bengal	7.4	189	106	10
Assam	2.5	28	22	
C. & P.	10.9	112	17	
N. W. F. P.	15.9	27	7	
B. & O.	4.4	78	31	

It will be observed that the more highly urbanized provinces are not those which have as a rule more widespread banking facilities. Provinces like Assam, Bengal and Madras which abound in small banks have their towns largely covered with banks of one sort or another. In a

province like Bombay which has few non-scheduled banks, the bulk of the towns is returned as lacking in banking facilities altogether. In the U.P. and the C.P., the N.W.F.P. and Bihar & Orissa, which abounds in towns of 5 to 20 thousand inhabitants, the extension of bank branches has been extremely slow.

It would not be correct to infer from this that the smaller places outside the orbit of the bigger banks do not possess adequate banking potentialities. The place distribution in 1936 of the aforesaid bigger Indian banks itself contradicts such an inference. Of 194 places mentioned above, 37 or about 19 per cent had less than 20 thousand population. Of the class immediately above it, i.e. places with populations between 20 to 30 thousand, there were 32, or about 16 per cent. The first percentage is no doubt low as compared with the figure for all places with banking facilities which stands as high as 55 per cent. Even though the smaller places are thus occupied predominantly by smaller banks, the percentage 19 is quite a substantial proportion and suggests large scope for extension to such areas. This surmise is much confirmed by the performance in this direction of one of the Big Five and decidedly a well-managed institution, namely, the Bank of Baroda. Of its 22 branches in 1936, as many as 13, i.e. about 60 per cent were concentrated in places with populations below 20 thousand. Yet, this has not affected either its liquidity or its profitability.

Branch Expansion as a Factor in Growth

We have recorded above that multiplication of branches has been a more marked feature of the growth of our banking system than extension to new places. Growth in resources and in the number of bank-branches shows a parallel movement. 198 new branches between 1916-20, 402 between 1920-30 and 512 between 1930-36—this shows indeed a momentum which is progressively gathering strength. Between 1916 and 1936, the aggregate branches and offices of banks in India more than quadrupled themselves. Unlike extension to places, growth in branches is

taking place at an even rate—which marks it out once more as the more easily available line of expansion for most banks.

The same factor explains more or less the lines and rates of growth in the resources of the constituents of the banking system. The competition for new branches has rested chiefly between the Imperial Bank of India and the Indian joint-stock banks. During World War I, the Presidency banks were almost quiescent. In the short space 1916-18 Indian joint-stock banks added on the contrary 71 branches, i.e. as many as the Presidency banks then had. This should be sufficient to explain the striking improvement in relative position which these joint-stock banks made at the expense of the other constituents.* Between 1920 and 1930, the Imperial Bank and Indian joint-stock banks competed very keenly for opening new branches. Of 402 new branches then created, the new statutory branches of the Imperial Bank ran into 100 while the new branches of its competitors easily exceeded 250. While the slow fall of prices of those years over-shadowed every other factor in growth, the relative position of these rivals remained almost the same—with perhaps a slight improvement in favour of the Imperial Bank.

The situation changed in a very striking manner after 1929-30. After creating its statutory 100 branches by 1926, the Imperial Bank decided on a policy of consolidation and hardly made any addition to its branches.† The Indian joint-stock banks embarked on a spate of branches creation which accounted for 502 out of 512 new branches which appeared during this period. The striking absolute gain and the still more striking relative gain of these banks in these years are thus easily explained.

The exchange banks maintained their relative position till 1920. But thereafter they lost position both absolutely and relatively till 1930 and later only relatively. It has been claimed officially on their behalf that their policy is

* See pp. 36-8.

† See p. 40.

to refrain from creation of branches in the interior unless their clients demand such facilities for the particular kind of business in which these banks specialize. Only 45 in 1916, the branches of these banks increased to 77 in 1926 and to 99 in 1936. The growth in numbers has been evidently slow and is tending to be slower and slower.*

Of 1450 branches, head-offices and agencies in existence in 1936, 99 belonged to exchange banks and 360 to the Imperial Bank of India. Of the balance of 991, about 275 were claimed by the 6 biggest Indian joint-stock banks. In other words, more than 700, i.e. about half the number of bank offices in the country were created by the smaller Indian joint-stock banks of class A & B. These banks, it will be recalled, operate exclusively over about two-thirds of the places with banking facilities in this country.

The distribution of the branches of the seven biggest Indian joint-stock banks over places follows more or less closely their distribution on the basis of population. The only notable departure, as may be guessed, occurs in the highest population class. Places with populations of 1 lakh and more form only 16.4 per cent. of the aggregate number of places. But as many as 24.4 per cent. of branches are concentrated in them.†

The growth of our banking system and resources by means of creation of branches raises unavoidably the problem of overcrowding in certain places. It is of course very difficult to arrive at a precise index or definition of overcrowding. The size and character of the population of a place are the outcome of many circumstances which are not always relevant to the question of banking potentialities. Nevertheless, unless a place is a centre of mere pilgrimage or education or resting halt on converging trade routes, etc., it may not be very wide of the mark to suggest that the

* "... there are no branches of Exchange Banks in 6 out of 9 provinces in India.... in almost all these places, certain Indian joint-stock banks have opened branches long after the establishment of branches by the foreign exchange banks." Para. 517, Banking Enquiry Committee Report.

† Table IV.

pressure of a large number of branches to such an extent that the ratio of population per banking office falls below 5,000, raises a presumption of overcrowding. In the more moderate sized towns, deterioration of the ratio below 10,000, might be accepted as indicative of a similar unhealthy condition. The following analysis covers cities in British India which have a population of 50,000 and more and is based on the census of 1931.

	Bombay	Calcutta	Madras	Places with less than 5000 people per Office	Places with less than 10,000 people per Office	Places with more than 10,000 people per Office
Number of Places	7	10	29
Scheduled bank branches ..	32	31	17	32	72	138
Non-scheduled bank branches ..	2	105	41	122	192	61
Average Population per place 000s	69	149

These figures suggest important inferences. In those places in which the ratio of population falls below 5,000 or 10,000, the predominance of the non-scheduled banks is quite well marked. Four of the places in the first category and eleven in the second category hail, as might be expected, from the province of Madras. The rest belong to Bengal or Assam. In those places where overcrowding is not so obvious, the scheduled banks preponderate in the number of their branches. In other words, overcrowding is largely caused by non-scheduled banks and is not to be found in those places where they have to meet the strong competition of bigger banks. The number of branches of non-scheduled banks in the cities of Madras and Calcutta need not cause any surprise. Madras and Bengal are the two areas most prolific in small banks and there are obvious advantages of having direct representation at the provincial headquarters.

The part played by non-scheduled banks in the overcrowding is illustrated by the following more detailed analysis.

		Places with less than 20,000 people per scheduled bank office.	Places with 20 to 30 thousand people per scheduled bank office	Places with more than 30 thousand people per scheduled bank office
Number		19	12	14
Assam, Bengal and Madras branches	Scheduled	13	26	40
	Non-scheduled	134	29	28
Other provinces, branches	Scheduled	69	34	9
	Non-scheduled	10	22	23

In those provinces in which small banks do not germinate easily, the scheduled banks act as an effective check on their growth. As exploitation by scheduled banks becomes more intense and the population ratio falls, the number of non-scheduled bank branches declines. In the provinces of Assam, Bengal and Madras, the situation is markedly different. The grip of non-scheduled banks is so well established that their branches increase in number even though the offices of scheduled banks have smaller populations to serve.

Structural and Financial Implications of Geographical and Branch Expansion

When expansion of banking facilities occurs as a consequence of creation of branches by a few big banks, it means an accession of strength and consolidation to the whole system. Among other gains, diversification of risks which such expansion brings with it must be counted as the most important factor making for stability. Even if expansion causes a fall in the average of resources available per branch, such a fall, while it may detract something from the profitability of banks, detracts nothing from the strength of the structure. The reverse consequences are to be feared when expansion ensues from a mere multiplication of smaller banks and their branches—particularly when a country lacks the means to organized action in the money market.

Such geographical and branch expansion as has taken place in recent years is bringing our banks into contact with progressively leaner places and lower-income classes. Between 1926 and 1936, the deposits raised per place with

banking facilities have fallen from 63 lakhs to 50 lakhs. This is a fall of about 21 per cent. The figures for earlier years confirm the same tendency to continuous diminution. Between the same years 1926-1936, deposits per branch for all banks taken together fell from 29.4 lakhs to 17.7 lakhs, which means a fall of more than 39 per cent. The larger fall in the case of deposits per branch as compared with deposits per place is a mere re-statement, in another form of our earlier conclusion that exploitation of existing banking towns attracts banks more than extension of activities to virgin fields.*

Of course, for reasons already indicated, this tendency to a progressive fall in resources available per place and per branch is not inherent in the present banking situation. The geographical and branch expansion of the Indian banking system is taking place in an unplanned and haphazard manner. The progress has not occurred in a consistent manner from places with larger banking potentialities to places with smaller banking potentialities. Large voids and lacunae are visible in many bankable parts of the country and until these areas are systematically surveyed and mapped out, our statistical evidence must lack finality.

This geographical and branch expansion has not the same significance for the different constituents of the banking system. In its great period of branch expansion, 1920-1930, the Imperial Bank of India actually improved its volume of resources per branch in an appreciable manner. Even in its period of quiescence after 1926, there was a tendency to slight improvement. Taking figures in the large, however, it may be said that its volume of resources per branch has been maintained at about 45 to 47 lakhs.

Very much the same statement could be made about exchange banks. As recorded already, the years 1920-1930 saw a substantial fall in their deposit resources in India. But after the great loss of the initial years, they also seem

to have settled down to a stable level of about 75 to 79 lakhs per branch.

Appreciably different has been the course of events with Indian joint-stock banks. Between 1926 and 1930, they maintained their aggregate deposits stable only by a large creation of new banks and branches. In these four years, deposits per branch fell drastically from about 13 lakhs to 9 lakhs. The next six years recorded in an irregular manner a further fall to about 8½ lakhs. The fall is rather of a small order when we take into account the great impetus to expansion which marked these years. Nevertheless, the general drift is quite unmistakable.

The vast disparities in these figures of resources per banking office cannot but provoke some surprise. A bank has to justify itself ultimately by its ability to offer adequate services to the public in competition with its rivals and at the same time, earn profits normal to banking enterprise. Yet there are banks in India whose volume of resources per branch varies on the average within the wide range of 8½ to 75 lakhs and yet are able to satisfy their shareholders with soothing dividends. The inevitable inference is suggested that they represent either different types of organization or are engaged in different classes of business.

We must bear in mind that even this figure of 8½ lakhs per branch overstates the size of resources for the major part of these banks. For, these banks include the Big Five or Six whose deposits per branch varied in 1936 between 11 to 13 lakhs for the Indian and the Punjab National Banks and 106 lakhs for the Bank of India. It will be shown presently that these big banks hold more than three-fourths of the aggregate resources of Indian joint-stock banks. If they are omitted from our present reckoning, the figure for the rest of the banks will probably fall to about 3½ lakhs. Yet, these banks are responsible for banking facilities in about two-thirds of the places on the banking map of India, claim about half of the bank offices in the country and have improved their share of the aggregate deposits of

the country from about 6 per cent in 1930 to 11 per cent in 1936. When Indian joint-stock banks (class A & B) alone are considered, the proportion of deposits held by these small banks is seen to have risen from 19 per cent in 1930 to about 36 per cent in 1936.

That great disparities can exist in the volume of resources per branch without crippling the profits of banks or forcing them into questionable lines of business is amply proved by the discrepancies in the figures for the Imperial Bank of India and the exchange banks. The specialization of the latter in exchange business which earns relatively a low rate on invested funds, and rigorous limitation of the former to the more lucrative short term business perhaps explain a large part of the apparent disparities. From the standpoint of organization also, it would be wrong to postulate the standard of equipment and maintenance of the bigger joint-stock banks as the minimum suitable to this country. Many of them and certainly the Imperial Bank have yet to make efforts to adapt their technique, business methods and staff to the quality and size of business available in the smaller and leaner places in the country. This is well substantiated by the figures of their average expenditure per branch in a good year like 1936. The Bank of India leads with an expenditure of about 98,000 per annum per branch and is followed by the Imperial Bank with a figure of about 82,000. The Bank of Baroda and the Indian Bank which are located largely outside the bigger towns bring up the rear with an expenditure per branch, of Rs. 25,000 only.

On account of varying conditions of business, costs, etc., it is difficult to state the minimum resources which on the average a bank should have per branch in order to assure sound lines of business and adequate profits to shareholders. To limit the range of our ideas on the subject, however, a calculation may be offered for banks which work under conditions typical of the biggest Indian banks. We may take as our basis the lowest average expenditure incurred per branch by Indian joint-stock banks of the status of the

Indian Bank or the Bank of Baroda, namely, Rs. 25,000. In the same year 1936, the highest rate of gross profits earned was 2.68 per cent by the Imperial Bank of India. If banks in general could earn as high a rate as this, it would mean that a branch should have resources on the average of the order of 9 lakhs simply to meet the minimum expenditure postulated above. It is clear from this that with the best of management in the world and the most skilful technique of business, the previously ascertained figure of 3½ is regrettably small.*

That our calculation of a minimum of about 9 lakhs is somewhere in the neighbourhood of truth is corroborated by another piece of evidence. Of the 88 new branches, which the Imperial Bank of India opened by 1926, only 32 were working at a profit and 56 showed actual loss. Deposits at these branches of all kinds aggregated to 835 lakhs. In other words, acquisition on an average of about 9 to 10 lakhs per branch showed a loss on the bulk of the branches.†

The situation in the case of smaller banks is partially mitigated by their capital and reserves which bear a much higher proportion to deposits than in the case of the bigger banks. With many of them, the bulk of their resources is derived from their own funds.‡

Degree of Concentration

Although small banks hold in exclusive possession about two-thirds of the banking map of India and own at least half the total number of branches, the Indian banking system as a whole is a highly concentrated one. Till very recently, the Imperial Bank of India, 18 exchange banks and the Big Five of Indian joint-stock banks held among them

* For a branch in Bombay which collects deposits of about 25 lakhs and invests about 65 to 70 lakhs of the bank's funds and which has a staff of about 25 persons, the expenditure per month may be about Rs. 3,000. For a branch in a small place of about 50,000 souls which is mainly a deposit-collecting agency, the expenditure per month may be about Rs. 300. In a place like Nagpur, a branch with a staff of about 6 persons spends about Rs. 900 and with deposits of 5½ to 6 lakhs is just able to meet the expenditure. See footnote, pp. 277; 369.

† Q. 6848-51; Appendix p. 479. Table 18th; Q. 12371—Minutes of Evidence. Hilton Young Commission. According to the third Schedule of the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, the Imperial Bank is to receive in consideration of the maintenance of these branches 2, 3 and 4 lakhs per annum for three successive periods of 5 years each from the Reserve Bank of India.

‡ See Chap. V.

90 per cent of the deposit resources of the country. In the last few years, the percentage has declined to about 87. If we add the Indian Bank and the Bank of Mysore to the list, the percentages for the years 1930 and 1936 are 94 to 89. Having regard to the area and the special political and social conditions of the country, few countries in the world could show a higher degree of concentration.

If Indian joint-stock banks are regarded as a special and peculiar credit-structure by itself—and for certain purposes, such a procedure is justified—the degree of concentration appears much less. By 1930, the share of the Big Five in the aggregate banking power of Indian joint-stock banks rose to about 75 per cent and of the Big Seven to about 80 per cent. The corresponding figures for 1936 record a decline to 68 and 73 per cent. respectively.*

Causes of Concentration

Such concentration is inherent in the necessities of the banking business itself. The fate of an ordinary enterprise is linked to the market prospects and fluctuations of one commodity or at the most, a group of commodities. Banks whose resources are withdrawable on demand cannot allow themselves to be caught in a stagnation or collapse of any particular market or allied markets. Self-preservation continuously goads them into interest in and relations with varied lines of trade and commerce. Such diversification of risks presupposes large resources and large clientele which can be secured only by exploitation of new territories. High specialisation of certain areas in certain agricultural products, concentration of financial requirements of different areas at different times of the year, confinement of manufactures to certain narrow belts and areas of the country—these have no doubt influenced and impelled the growth of certain banks.

Inequalities of interest-rates are another factor causing territorial expansion. Even in small countries, rates are apt to vary from area to area according as these are

* Table V.

agricultural, manufacturing, etc. In vast countries like India, territorial divisions are much sharper and differentials in rates vary wide. As analysed elsewhere,* interest-rates are the highest in Madras and South India generally and quite high in the Indus and Gangetic plains. In the triangle roughly delimited by Bombay, Ahmedabād and Calcutta, which represents the manufacturing and high finance area of the country, rates are comparatively low. To the impelling motives to diversify risks are thus added tempting opportunities of earning high rates. Cyclical or transitional changes which cause precipitous declines in interest rates are apt to make such an impulse to exploit higher rates almost irresistible. The years since 1929-30 illustrate such times when interest-rates in certain areas and particularly in big towns have fallen to persistent, low levels. Whether this impulse acts slowly or in great spurts, it does not exhaust itself till rates have been brought down to more or less common levels.

The third factor which tends in the same direction is the force of mutual competition among banks. Fears raised by the growth of rival banks are very frequently the cause of general movements for expansion whether by means of new branches or amalgamations. Much of the rapid expansion of branches and places which took place between 1921 and 1930 when the Imperial Bank was creating its 100 statutory branches was of this character. Many of the leading banks seem to have been seized with the fear that they might lag far behind in the volume of their resources and therefore suffer from the powerful competition of the Imperial Bank. Such competition redounds to the benefit of the country so long as it has to reach its full banking development. But many times, competition forces expansion beyond the point of economic justifiability and burdens the country with too many branches, over-centralization, concentration of money-power, high cost of finances, etc. The expense ratios of our banks analysed

* See pp. 96 ff.

REGIONAL DISTRIBUTION OF BANKS

PUNJAB NATIONAL BANK:
45 BRANCHES
OUT OF 48

ALLAHABAD BANK:
28 BRANCHES OUT OF 36

CENTRAL BANK: 69 BRANCHES
& PAY OFFICES OUT OF 103

BANK OF BARODA:
24 BRANCHES
OUT OF 25

BANK OF INDIA:
17 BRANCHES

CENTRAL BANK:
34 BRANCHES & PAY OFFICES
OUT OF 103




IMPERIAL BANK:
360 BRANCHES &
PAY OFFICES

INDIAN BANK:
39 BRANCHES OUT OF 40


LEGEND:

- IMPERIAL BANK
- CENTRAL BANK
- ALLAHABAD BANK
- PUNJAB NATIONAL BANK
- BANK OF BARODA
- BANK OF INDIA
- INDIAN BANK

INDIAN BANK:
39 BRANCHES OUT OF 40

 IMPERIAL BANK
 CENTRAL BANK
 ALLAHABAD BANK
XXXXXX PUNJAB NATIONAL BANK
X-X-X BANK OF BARODA
 BANK OF INDIA
 INDIAN BANK

REGIONAL CONCENTRATION OF 8 LEADING BANKS 1947 TOTAL BRANCHES 594



Map on the left shows the position in 1936

must add special types of business peculiar to each locality with which the local bank has obvious advantages in dealing.

Amalgamation which in most countries has been the chief instrument of concentration has also to run the gauntlet of certain special difficulties in this country. Like all other institutions, banks also tend to become the mustering centres of all communal, sectional and even family interests. Existing staff and management fear loss of importance and prospects. Shareholders fear loss of dividends. Local commercial and economic interests fear the routine and mechanical ways of bigger banks. These and other interests are apt to rise in arms with destructive power and resourcefulness against any movement which threatens them.

Running athwart all these forces is the cross-current of Native State territories. As observed already, British India banks are loth to place their interests under the protection of Native Princes. Native State banks themselves avoid on their part such common interests. The upshot is independent local banks for different states.

These factors have demonstrated their power in more recent years. We have already noted the speed with which small local banks have sprung into existence or have exploited opportunities to expand themselves. Banks with capital and reserves of 5 lakhs and over have increased from 30 in 1930 to 42 in 1936, while those with capital and reserves between 1 to 5 lakhs have increased from 57 to 74.

These very factors have operated to give even some of the bigger banks a strongly regional character. As the maps facing page 55 vividly brings out, the Punjab National Bank, the Allahabad Bank of India, the Bank of India, the Bank of Baroda, the Indian Bank and the Mysore Bank have their operations concentrated in certain well-defined regions of the country. The Bank of Baroda and the Mysore Bank are most active within the territories of their respective states. The Indian Bank works in the special conditions of South India among a clientele largely composed of the Nattukottai Chetties. The narrow area covering chiefly the

Bombay Province served by the Bank of India is the outcome of deliberate policy to adhere to the biggest industrial and commercial centres of the land. The Punjab National and Allahabad Banks have always been the banks primarily of North-West India. Overshadowing all of them and competing with them almost everywhere are the Imperial Bank of India and the Central Bank of India, the former under the pressure and inducement of special Government patronage and concessions, the latter out of a will and spirit to expand. The Imperial Bank was a factor to reckon with between 1920-30, while the Central Bank has been most aggressive after 1930. The marked stationariness of some of the regional banks, notably the Punjab National and Allahabad Banks may be due in large measure to their loss or more liquid business in favour of these two great rivals.

International Comparisons of Banking Progress

The following table sets forth some broad facts to illustrate the progress of banking in India and elsewhere.

1936 OR NEAREST YEAR						
	India	England & Wales	France (Principal Banks)	Germany	Switzerland	U.S.A.
Density of Population ..	127	685	197	367	255	37.2
Square Miles per Banking Office	1,392	5.79	102.7	242.6
Population per Banking Office	2,76,000	8900	20,000	..	1,333	7,900
Population in places with 5000 and over per branch ..	89,000
Population in places with 1000 and over per branch ..	1,39,000
Deposits per head (Shillings) (Rs.) 7.0	1164	165	212	275	1,317	
Deposits per head in places with population of 5000 and above	(Rs.) 45.5

Today, the deposit per head in India is a little over 10 shillings as against 212 in Germany, 275 in Switzerland, 1,164 in England and 1,317 in the United States.

It is obvious that the smallness of our per head deposit reflects more the lack of banking facilities than the poverty

of the country. The figures of population and number of square miles per banking office brings this out quite clearly.

Less than one quarter of towns with population of 5,000 and over have banking facilities at present. If per head deposit is calculated for these places only, the figure is in the neighbourhood of 70 shillings. Even then, the figure is about one-fourth of Switzerland and one-third of Germany. The backwardness of banking habit and the low levels of income in this country must be set down as the next cause in order of magnitude.

Countries with high densities of population find development of banking facilities naturally easy. But densities are relevant only when they indicate degrees of urbanization. The United States have a density of one-fifth that of India only while France has a density much higher than that of India. Yet their population per banking office is about 8,000 for the United States and 20,000 for France. The figure for India is 2,76,000 per banking office.

Other Banks

The banking structure and banking facilities which form the basis of our analysis in this chapter refer only to the banks which have a paid-up capital and reserve of 1 lakh and more. They exist, however, against a vast background of indigenous credit institutions and small banks which in their territorial extent and their aggregate financial operations overshadow by far modern banking in this country. Money-lenders and indigenous bankers still continue to be the backbone of all agricultural finance.* On account of the deficiencies of law before 1936, the total number of companies which called themselves "banks" was far above the number of banks mentioned just now. Taking British India and Native States together, more than 2,300 companies returned themselves in 1936-7 as "banking and loan companies". Of these about 110 only were accounted for by the banks we have mentioned above. The rest aggregating to more than 2,200 were "banking and loan companies" with

* See pp. 218-217.

a paid-up capital and reserve of less than Rs. 1 lakh. It would be an error to underestimate their aggregate financial importance to this country. Their paid-up capital appears to be equal if not indeed definitely in excess of the scheduled banks and Indian joint-stock banks of class B.

The distribution of these "banking and loan companies" according to provinces gives us an unmistakable clue to what they really represent in our financial organization. About 1,200 of them are located in the province of Bengal and Madras accommodates about 500 of them. Bengal is the well-known breeding ground of what are known as "loan offices" and has contributed little by way of modern banks. Madras is the home of those ancient but queer Nidhis or Chit funds and has shown a peculiar genius for a prolific output of tiny banks. Whatever their usefulness in the economic life of the country, most of such companies do not satisfy the prime test of banking business, the acceptance of deposits withdrawable by cheque.*

According to figures compiled by the Reserve Bank of India for December 1938, the number of non-scheduled "banks", i.e. banks with capital and reserves of less than Rs. 5 lakhs, is about 1,421. As may be surmised from the distribution of banking and loan companies referred to above, the bulk of these banks is concentrated in Bengal (988) and Madras (252). Among them all, companies which are banks in the sense that they accept deposits withdrawable by cheque and which conform to the obligation under Section L of the Indian Companies Act to submit cash

* (In Lakhs)

	Banking Cos. number	Pd. Capital	Class A Banks number	Pd. Capital and Reserve	Class B Banks number	Class C & D Banks number	Pd. Capital class B, C. w D Banks
1936-37	2,342	8,221	42	1,594
1938-39	1,457	1,225	74	1,947	..
1939-40	1,369	1,305	119	512	384
1940-41	1,379	1,301
1941-42	1,342	1,296	44	1,350
1942-43	44	1,625
1943-44	57	2,366	152	274	496

1936-37 figures include loan Cos.

Provinces in 1924-37 with 50 Banking and Loans Cos. or more.

Madras	451	Assam	67
Bengal	1,173	U. P.	53
Bombay	86	Punjab	79

reserve returns, aggregate to about 626 only. If we deduct from this figure the number of Class B banks, we arrive at about 500 and odd concerns as non-scheduled banks with capital and reserves of less than Rs. 1 lakh. After the Law of 1936, the situation changed inevitably in a material way. From 1936 till 1944, banks with capital and reserves exceeding one lakh rose from 74 to 152. There was a material rise in the number of banks with owned resources of Rs. 50 thousand to 1 lakh but banks with less than 1 lakh of owned resources in general fell from more than 1,400 to 274. •

TABLE I (Figures in lakhs)

Imperial Bank of India (or Presidency Banks)			Exchange Banks		Indian Jt.-Stock Banks A Class		Indian Jt.-Stock Banks B Class		Total Deposits (private) 8 + 9 + 7 + 9 (cm.)
Paid-up Capital and Reserve.	Public Deposits.	Private Deposits.	Paid-up Capital and Reserve (m ^l).	Deposits in India.	Paid-up Capital and Reserve.	Deposits.	Paid-up Capital and Reserve.	Deposits.	
1870..	361	548	639	2.1	52	11	14
1880..	405	291	849	3.0	339	21	68
1890..	447	859	359	8.0	753	51	210
1900..	559	280	1288	15.7	1050	127	807
1905..	623	311	2226	22.4	1704	163	1193
1906..	640	807	2745	24.8	1806	190	1155
1907..	655	335	2811	26.0	1917	292	1400
1908..	669	325	2861	25.8	1951	308	1626
1909..	678	319	3265	30.1	2479	254	2049
1910..	691	423	3234	34.3	2816	376 ¹	2563
1911..	700	488	3419	35.6	2816	413 ¹	2529
1912..	738	427	3548	37.6	2958	426 ¹	2725
1913..	748	538	3648	37.8	3103	364	2359	50	151
1914..	764	501	4004	36.9	3014	392 ¹	1710	55	126
1915..	747	468	3861	36.8	3354	436 ¹	1787	53	91
1916..	785	530	4470	37.9	3808	461 ¹	2471	68	101
1917..	742	771	6771	32.7	5387	466 ¹	3177	54	99
1918..	719	844	5097	39.4	6185	602	4059	68	155
1919..	783	773	6821	53.0	7486	764	5899	74	238
1920..	753	903	7801	90.2	7480	1092	7114	81	233
1921..	976	680	6577	111.6	7519	1289	7689	100	326
1922..	995	1418	5700	112.2	7888	1063	6168	110	387
1923..	1017	856	7419	140.0	6843	973	4442	111	326
1924..	1043	750	7671	180.4	7063	1070	5250	108	269
1925..	1053	548	7783	138.3	7054	1059	5449	118	341
1926..	1072	645	7889	147.9	7153	1084	5961	125	347
1927..	1066	730	7207	180.8	6855	1108	6084	112	345
1928..	1101	795	7130	187.9	7113	1109	6385	119	349
1929..	1110	700	7164	227.6	6665	1553	6273	115	357
1930..	1151	736	7660	198.6	6811	1190	6325	141	430
1931..	1062	382	6335	..	6747	1208	6326	123	392
1932..	1079	706	6863	..	7306	1221	7334	129	392
1933..	1084	644	7412	..	7075	1234	7167	131	474
1934..	1097	673	7427	..	7136	1267	6977	149	511
1935..	1109	..	7909	..	6718	1320	8445	150	544
1936..	1112	..	7879	..	7503	1355	9814	156	522
1937..	1112
1938..	1117
1939..
1940..
1941..
1942..	1138	..	16846	1635	13934	548	2901
1943..	1148	..	21453	2307	31965	597	4023

Cheque Clearances*

				Total clearances (crores)	Corrected for price- charges	Index Number
1914	533	533	100
1915	563	503	93
1916	809	633	117
1917	801	621	115
1918	1396	734	145
1919	1801	919	170
1920	3143	1565	290
1921	3024	1135	211
1922	3023	1143	212
1923	1856	1079	200
1924	1778	1023	191
1925	1770	1113	207
1926	1593	1076	200
1927	6149	1114	207
1928	1857	1281	233
1929	2038	1445	268
1930	1804	1555	289
1931	1561	1626	309
1932	1577	1733	322
1933	1630	1662	316
1934	1708	1919	356
1935	1860	2044	380
1936	1833
1937†	2061

TABLE II

	Number of places with one bank office or more.	Total number of branches.	No. of bns. or office at Lahore, Delhi, Amritsar, Lucknow Cawnpore.	No. of branches or offices at Bombay, Calcutta, Madras, Rangoon.	Imperial Bank branches.	Exchange Bank Branches.	Indian Joint-Stock Bank (A and B) Branches.	Deposits for office or Branch (Lakhs)			Deposits Place (Lakhs)	per Branches	per Place.	Deposits per branch. (Lakhs.)	Scheduled branches.	Non-scheduled bank branches.
								Imperial Bank.	Exchange Banks.	Indian/Joint Stock Banks (A and B)						
1916	140	338	57	54	223	2.47	25.5
1920	165	536	60	93	69	127	2.39	48.6
1921	207	94	..	29.4
1926	359	730	169	67	434	44	35	13.0	65	2.16
1930	393	933	67	94	164	98	633	47	77	9.8	54	2.33	23.0
1934	478	1269	163	98	1008	45	79	8.1
1936	514	1450	80	139	166	99	1185	47	76	8.7	50	2.32	18.1
1939	736
1940	174	61.7	1277
1941	177	67.9	1322
1942	1033†	179	67.7	1454	717	..
1943	1279†	1450	898	..
1945	1633	1161	..
1946

* Journal of Royal Statistical Society, Part III, 1937, pp. 331-33.

† Excluding Rangoon.

‡ Banks with Capital of less than 50 thousand and Co-op. banks with capital of less than Rs. 1 lakh omitted.

TABLE III

Places with Banking facilities according to population.

	Total number of Places analysed.	Below 5000	5000 to 10,000	10,000 to 20,000	20,000 to 30,000	30,000 to 40,000	40,000 to 50,000	50,000 to 75,000	75,000 to 100,000	1,00,000 to 2,50,000	2,50,000 to 5,00,000	5 lakhs and over.
1921	198	31	17	38	23	19	14	28	9	22	4	3
As Percentage of Total number i.e. 198		10.6	8.5	19.2	11.6	9.6	7.0	14.1	4.5	11.1	2.0	1.5
1936	507	120	60	91	72	85	35	88	15	27	7	3
As Percentage of Total number i.e. 507		24.8	11.8	17.9	14.2	6.9	6.9	7.1	2.9	5.3	1.4	0.6
1936 Big Six	194	37			32	22	22	31	15	32		3
1936 Imperial Bank	156		22		18	17	22	28	14	32		3
1944	626	56	178	259	123	59	29	58	18	34	10	7
As percentage of total number i.e. 626		6.7	21.5	31.8	14.8	7.1	3.5	7.0	1.5	5.4	1.2	.8

TABLE IV

Branches of Big Seven Distributed according to Population of Places 1396.

	Below 20,000	20,000 to 30,000	30,000 to 40,000	40,000 to 50,000	50,000 to 75,000	75,000 to 1,00,000	1 lakh to 2 lakhs	2 lakhs to 3 lakhs	3 lakhs to 4 lakhs	4 lakhs to 5 lakhs	5 lakhs and over.	Total analysed	Total number of Places covered
Number	69	42	32	36	46	28	37	25	12	5	15	341	104
Percentage of Total	18.4	12.3	9.3	10.5	13.4	8.2	23.1				4.3

TABLE V

	Total Private Deposit (lakhs)	Percentage of 1 held by Imperial Bank.	Percentage of 1 held by Exchange Banks.	Percentage of 1 held by Indian Joint-Stock Bank (A & B)	Percentage 1 held by Big Five.	Percentage 1 held by Big Seven.	Deposits of Indian Joint-Stock Banks (A & B) lakhs	Percentage of 7 held by Big Five.	Percentage of 7 held by Big Seven.
1900 ..	3145	40.9	33.3	25.6	307	23.9	..
1913 ..	9163	40.0	34.0	26.0
1914 ..	8728	45.8	33.2	21.0	10.5	..	1896	50.1	..
1920 ..	226.23	34.4	33.2	33.4	7347
1928 ..	295.00	22.1
1930 ..	212.22	36.0	33.4	31.6	22.1	25.7	6751	74.6	56.8
1934 ..	237.54	32.6	31.4	35.9	26.5	..	8183	73.7	..
1935 ..	245.16	32.3	31.3	36.6	26.5	..	8959	73.6	..
1936 ..	257.48	30.8	30.1	40.2	27.2	29.3	10366	67.7	73.6
1939 ..	267.28	34.9	27.7	37.4	27.4	30.1	10603	68.2	75.6
1940 ..	293.14	32.7	29.1	38.2	28.0	30.8	11501	71.3	78.5
1941 ..	342.36	30.1	29.3	40.7	27.3	30.0	13467	73.7	80.3
1942 Ind. only	474.30	36.4	24.6	36.0	30.0	31.1	17236	80.2	85.7
1943 ..	654.27	29.4	19.3	45.3	28.9	31.0	†24651	57.1	61.4

* † All scheduled non-scheduled.

TABLE VI

Towns (Br. India) according to classes of Banks 1939

Population.		Scheduled Banks Represented in	Non-Scheduled Banks only Represented in	Scheduled and Non-Scheduled Banks Represented in	Total served by all classes of Banks.
1 Lakh and over		29	..	27	29
50,000 to 1 Lakh		47	1	31	48
20,000 to 50,000		113	23	54	146
5000 to 20,000		258	255	80	513
				Total ..	736

TABLE VII

Number of Branches and Deposits per Branch (Lakhs)

	Imperial Bank		Central Bank of India		Bank of India		Allahabad Bank of India		Bank of Baroda		Punjab National Bank	
	No.	De- posit	No.	De- posit	No.	De- posit	No.	De- posit	No.	De- posit	No.	De- posit
1939	173	57.3	114	23.7	20	103.6	55	23.2	24	33.0	66	11.7
1940	174	61.7	132	26.9	20	122.2	55	23.9	25	33.2	69	12.4
1941	177	67.9	155	29.9	22	127.5	66	24.0	26	41.3	72	14.5
1942	179	97.7	163	33.7	22	177.4	67	26.5	26	55.5	71	19.9
1943			198	..	24	..	69	..	28	..	112	..

	Indian Bank		Union Bank of India		Bank of Bihar		Indo Com- mercial Bank		Bank of Hindustan		Devkaran Nanjot Bank	
	No.	De- posit	No.	De- posit	No.	De- posit	No.	De- posit	No.	De- posit	No.	De- posit
1939	40	10.4	4	49.3	13	10.4	29	3.1	10	2.4	3	16.7
1940	45	12.0	4	61.8	15	3.7	29	2.4	7	2.8	4	8.0
1941	49	15.6	4	73.3	17	3.3	23	4.5	9	2.3	5	13.0
1942	59	14.5	4	101.5	19	9.3	24	6.2	8	3.3	10	15.0
1943			4	..	20	..	23	..	8	..	17	..

CHAPTER III

THE STRUCTURE OF INTEREST-RATES

RECENT monetary theory tends to look on money rates of interest as the chief dynamic factor in our economic system. Since rent and wages are more or less rigid elements in costs, and profits in the short run at least are only a residue or surplus, this significance ascribed to interest-rates is in one sense inevitable. No general agreement is visible however as to the exact process by which these changes in rates become effective. Difficulties start with the very distinction which is usually made between short-term and long-term rates. It is obvious that among the multiplicity of rates which prevail in a modern money and capital market, an average or typical rate to represent either of them can be nothing more than a total of theoretical analysis. The border line which separates short and long rates is necessarily indeterminate and certainly less ascertainable than that which separates open-market rates from customer's rates. Further, differences arise when attempts are made to explain the exact manner in which changes in short rates react on the economic process. According to one view, sponsored notably by Hawtrey, changes in short rates are by themselves sufficient to start an economic phase. Hawtrey analyses how costs of different classes of business men are affected by a change in short rates and arrives at the conclusion that the sensitiveness of dealers in stocks to such changes is the starting point of those impulses which ultimately take shape as booms or depressions. The second view, originated by Wicksell and re-interpreted in various ways by Keynes, Hayek and others, looks to the sympathetic effect of short rates on long rates for the initiation of economic changes. The essence of a phase lies for them in the bias created by such changes in the structure of production now in favour of capital-goods and now in favour of consumption-goods. Changes in long-

term rates are in this view inter-related with changes in the structure of production. An intermediate view seeks to explain economic transitions as due to the gaps which reveal themselves now and then between short and long rates. According as the gap is more or less tempting, vast funds on the border-line flow into either short or long investments, and without waiting for sympathetic reactions on long rates enlarge or narrow the volume of employment. This intermediate view has this common ground with the second view that it looks to an enlargement of the volume of long-term investment for enlargement of employment.*

It may well be that these differences are ultimately differences of emphasis only and do not mean unqualified unwillingness on the part of advocates of each view to concede some element of truth in favour of other views. In any case, it is clear that the organization of interest rates has a significance which goes far beyond the individual concern and interests of banks. Banks have indeed to work within the conditions set by interest rates. But since commercial banks are the community's main repository of short-term capital and the Central bank has the control of the cash-basis of the credit-structure, the banking system is also the most dominating influence on these rates. The exact degree to which the banking-system controls or is controlled by interest-rates must however depend on the character of fundamental forces determining the rates structure of each country. An attempt is therefore made in the succeeding pages to describe the rates structure as it exists in this country.

Relationship Between Short Term and Long Term Interest-Rates

Our first concern must be to examine the relationship such as it exists in this country between short-term and long-term interest-rates. Banks are mainly interested in short-term investments. But it is obvious that the relative levels of these rates have a direct bearing on their profits and therefore on their policies. We may distinguish here

* A. T. K. Grant : *A Study in Post-War British Capital Markets*.

two questions which must be answered separately. In the first place, we must analyse those factors which decide that one class of rates should be higher or lower than the other. In the second place, the causes which determine whether the differentials between them shall be narrow or wide have to be ascertained.

The yield on debentures of large and well-established industrial concerns furnishes a reliable barometer of returns on long-term investments. Unfortunately, an index of that quality is not easily available for this country. In the absence of such statistics, we may fall back on the yield of $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. government security as representative of the level of long-term rates. To a certain extent, the yield on government securities of this kind is indeed more akin to short rates than otherwise. The chief drawback of long-term investment, namely, non-availability of funds, is diminished to the very minimum since these scrips are highly marketable. Nevertheless, political factors which are inseparable from such investment and which make for an element of risk, and general psychological attitude and practices of the public which looks on them as an outlet for its permanent savings, make these securities a fair representative of long-term investments in general.

The rate of the Imperial Bank on demand loans represents *par excellence* earnings on short term investments which are free from risk and liquid in a high degree. As we shall see presently,* other open market rates conform more or less to this rate on demand loans, which before the advent of the Reserve Bank, was also known as the bank-rate.

From our record of these rates in Table VI and the accompanying chart, the normal relationship between them appears to be that the short-term rate is generally, though not always, substantially above the long-term rate. For 30 years in the last century the excess amounted to more than 1 per cent for 23 years and in years of difficulties like the seventies, it widened to as much as 2 per cent. There is a noticeable narrowing of the difference during the present

* pp. 92-95.

century, particularly after the outbreak of the World War I.*

There are a few exceptional periods, which by their very unusual character, serve to throw into bold relief the normal relationship. In 1891-92, 1921-22 and in the years which followed the crisis of 1929-30, the short-term rate actually fell below the long-term. The significance of this reversal of what appears to be the more normal relationship for this country will be analysed in another place in this chapter.† In the meanwhile, it is important to note that in all ordinary circumstances relative cheapness or dearness of these rates expresses itself as a mere narrowing or widening of the margin between them. The years 1872, 1892, 1923, 1925, 1926 and 1930 are illustrative of this proposition.

This normal relationship between short and long rates in India is an arresting contrast to conditions obtaining in other countries. In England, the greatest international source and reservoir of short-term and long-term capital, the yield on consols or on high-grade industrial debentures shows itself consistently above short-term rates quoted for 3 months bank bills. It is difficult to say how far the long-term rate in England is raised by the annual outflow of capital and how far the short rate is lowered by the inflow of short-term capital from abroad for trade and investment purposes. It is more than probable, however, that even without the intervention of these factors, the relationship is expressive of the basic and permanent facts of British economic and financial structure.‡

At the first glance, the United States appear to present a situation very similar to that in India. The rates for commercial paper of 60-90 days maturity have, on the whole, persisted in levels above the yield of Home Railway Debentures or Treasury Bonds of more than 8 years' maturity. But there are two special circumstances about this

* Chap. III; Chap. V

† Sec. III.

‡ "As a rule, although by no means always, short rates are below long rates..... The true reason why short rates are usually below long is to be found partly in the structure of the market and partly in the extra risk of lending on long-term."—*Economics*, by F. Benham, p. 259.

Also Chap. V, of *Value and Capital*, by J. R. Hicks.

commercial paper which deprive the similarity of its apparent significance. In the first place, commercial paper in the United States does not represent any specific transaction and consequently any specific security of goods. Secondly, commercial paper is a much less important outlet for investment of short-term banking funds than call and time loans to the Stock-Exchange. Call loan rates in the New York Market which are the true counterpart of short-rates in London tend on the whole to be much below the yield of Railway Debentures or Treasury Bonds. Between 1890 and 1911, call-loan rates shot above the yields of Railway Debentures and Treasury Bonds only in the years 1899, 1902, 1905-7.* It may be said therefore that in the United States also, the normal relationship is an excess of long rate over short.

The relationship between short and long rates is sometimes sought to be explained by means of an alleged distinction between money capital and real capital. The demand for short-term loans is according to this view essentially a demand for money-capital. The long-term rate represents the price which has to be paid for factors of production or real capital in general. Although divergences between the supply of money-capital and real capital occur now and then and have far-reaching consequences for the economic system, it is highly doubtful whether the distinction has any validity as a long period or secular phenomenon. The aggregate loan-capital of society, in the money sense, arises because income-receivers, etc. prefer to hold a part of their money-income or money resources as deferred purchasing power. To the extent that they defer their claims on wealth, a stock of wealth composed of finished, half-finished and unfinished goods is diverted from direct consumption into further production. If a cross-section of economic society were obtained at any point of time, we should be able to see the two sides of the process at once—a disbursement of money-incomes equivalent to the net contribution of each factor to the creation of wealth

*pp. 278-282, *Study of Interest Rate*, by Kook

and the existence of a stock of wealth in all inchoate or finished stages arising out of the fact that a part of the aggregate income of society is held back from consumption for short or long periods. An advance out of money-capital, however short or long its duration, means therefore a transfer of command over some portion of real wealth whether inchoate or in a finished condition. It is clear from this argument that short-term or long-term investments differ only in the time-length of the advance and not in the kind or character of the resources which they enable the borrower to acquire. There is also no warrant except an empirical one, for the belief that short-period advances mean the creation of less durable goods while long-period advances mean an accretion of more durable goods. If the foregoing analysis is valid, then, a persistent relationship, as distinguished from cyclical movements, between short and long rates must be explained as much in terms of the supply and demand* of real wealth as in the case of any other economic price.†

I

SOME HISTORICAL EPISODES

While the normal relationship in India is a level of short rates higher relatively to long rates, exceptional years or periods are not altogether absent.‡ In 1891-92, 1921-22 and in the years following the great crisis of 1929-30, short rates actually fell below long.

Episodes of the Nineties

Events in India in the closing decade of the last century have an unusual interest when studied in their international setting. The western world was then passing through what Keynes has described as "the famous and curious depression of eighteen-nineties." The curious character of the

* Chap. II, *Study of Interest-Rates* by Kock.

† Chap. IV, *ibid.*

‡ Chap. I

episode is intensified when European experience is compared with what transpired in India during the same time.*

In one important particular, the situation in England and India was markedly similar. "The period (1890-96) was marked [in England] by an extreme abundance of gold and extreme ease of credit." The Bank of England rate was low and from February 1894 to September 1896, it stood at the unusual level of 2 per cent.†

In India, the short-term rates fell suddenly below the long-term in 1891 and 1892. In 1888, 1889 and 1890, the average rate of the Bank of Bengal was 5.460, 6.991 and 5.790. For 1891 and 1892, the average reached 3.062 and 3.4999. The rates of the Presidency Banks of Bombay and Madras recorded identical movements. Although the rates firmed up subsequently and rose above long rates, conditions continued on the whole easy from 1893 to 1896.‡

This unusual ease of monetary conditions was the outcome of the fall in silver exchange and the incidental heavy imports of silver. For the 5 years, 1884 to 1889, the net imports of silver amounted to 44.6 crores but in the next five, they rose to 61 crores.§

Most of this silver found its way into banks which used it to add to their cash or converted it into notes and coin. The highest point reached by "notes current" between 1875 and 1890 was 16.4 crores. But in 1891, this figure rose to 25 crores from the low level of 15.7 crores in 1890 and remained at 24 crores in 1892.

The introduction of the 5 Rupee note in 1891 explains only a part of the increase. The larger part of the increase

* Chap. V,
† Chap. V

Vol. III, *Treatise on Money* by Keynes.

	Yield on Rupee Security	Price of 3½ Govt. Security	Rates of Bank of		
			Bengal	Bombay	Madras.
1890	4.0	5.79	6.24	5.73
1891	3.8	3.06	3.05	2.92
1892	3.9	3.50	3.50	3.50
1893	3.0	4.88	4.90	5.27
1894	3.9	5.39	5.50	5.01
1895	3.4	4.83	3.93	4.28
1896	3.2	107-8	5.69	5.47	5.64
1897	3.4	100-8	7.92	7.87	7.98
1898	3.6	95-0	8.06	8.29	7.80
1899	3.4	101-2	9.91	9.88	6.05

Kemmerer in his *Modern Currency Reforms* [pp. 66-67, fails to appreciate accurately the course of interest-rates, due no doubt to the choice of wrong periods.
Qs. 109-121. Appendices, Herschell Committee's Report.

occurred in 10,000 Rupee notes—the form in which banks usually hold their cash.*

Except for the extraordinary ease of monetary conditions, India presents a complete contrast to Great Britain. A boom in investment, particularly in foreign lending between 1888-90, had led to the Banking Crisis in 1890 and a collapse of investment activity thereafter till 1896.† In India, on the other hand, the fall in short-rates initiated a similar fall in long rates and paved the way to a well-marked investment boom. When the rate of the Bank of Bengal fell as low as 2 per cent. in 1891, there occurred an increase in the price of $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. government security, or what is the same thing, a fall in their yield. In 1893, the premium on these securities varied between 3 to 4 rupees, in 1895, it reached 5 to 9 rupees, in 1896 the premium exceeded Rs. 10.

With the general fall in interest-rates, enterprise began to gather strength. From 1890 to 1893, the paid-up capital of joint-stock companies increased at a moderate speed. But thereafter till 1898, it mounted up at a rate which for those years was astonishing. The floatation of joint-stock enterprise was observed with surprise in contemporary business circles.‡

In spite of a rising total of wages-bill, higher rates of money-wages and increase in other incomes, England experienced "trade . . . stagment, employment bad and prices falling."§ Between 1890-96, Sæurbeck's index-

* Amount of 10,000 Rs. Notes in Circulation (in crores).

1887-88	2½	1892-93	5 1/25
1888-89	2	1893-94	11 9/10
1889-90	1½	1894-95	8½
1890-91	7½	1895-96	..
1891-92	5 1/5	1896-97	3

† New Issues in England. (Annual Average).

1880-89	102
1889	163
1890	141
1891-96	76

‡ Paid-up Capital of Joint-Stock Companies. (In Lakhs).

1890	24,25	1896	81,15
1891	26,55	1897	33,12
1892	26,79	1898	35,19
1893	27,51	1899	23,00
1894	27,66	1900	24,70
1895	29,38		

See also Qs 2847-73; 3309-31; 3484-3500; 3478; Minutes of Evidence, Fowler Committee.

§ Treatise.

number fell by 18 per cent. and the *Economist's* by about 14.1 per cent. In the terminology of the *Treatise*, savings outran investment.

Things appeared otherwise in India. From 1881 to 1888 prices were on the whole stable—the index-number moving within a narrow range of 97-100. After 1888, however, in sympathy with the fall of interest-rates and progress of investment boom, prices mounted up rapidly passing 117 to the 1896-97 famine level of 132.*

Keynes describes the British situation of eighteen-nineties as “a perfect example of a prolonged Commodity Deflation developing and persisting in spite of a great increase in the total volume of bank-money.” In the light of the contrast presented by England and India, one may well wonder whether something more than interest-rates, saving and investment has not to be called in to explain the complicated train of events under observation.

This era of low short rates and long rates ended abruptly about 1897. The reversal of the trend of early nineties had been really initiated early in 1893, with the closing of the mints. But many adventitious causes delayed the logical consequences of the step. The supply of currency was actually enlarged as government accepted silver from banks and other parties who were likely to suffer loss by the new exchange policy. The Secretary of State insisted on rates for Council Bills much higher than market rates and his forced withdrawal from the field led only to large imports of silver as the only alternative means of payment. Subsequently, after two successive reductions in rates, he re-established touch with the market in January 1894 and released large quantities of rupees which had been impounded in government treasuries from June 1893 to January 1894.† The improvement in exchange rate, in the meantime, tended to defeat itself by calling rupees out of hoards for the purchase of the apparently cheapening silver.

* Chap. IX; General Index. Number; *Currency and Prices* (Longmans).

† Sales of Council Bills. (m. Rs.)

1893-93	265
1893-94	157
1894-95	310

The withdrawal of Government as the usual largest borrower in the market intensified the trend to lower rates.

By about 1897, however, the closure of the mints began to be effective. By 1897, interest rates reached levels such as were never seen before except in the crisis year 1866. While a "bank rate" of 5 to 6 per cent in India as against a Bank of England rate of 3 per cent was regarded as normal, the "bank rate" in India reached levels as high as 12-13 per cent in 1896-97. The Bank of Bengal pleaded lack of funds to make advances even against gilt-edged government securities and at interest-rates as high as 18 per cent. Up-country native firms were reported to be in difficulties or became actually insolvent. A significant feature of the situation was the greater stringency of funds in the banks than in the bazaar; bills for which the Bank of Bengal quoted 13½ per cent. were being dealt with in the bazaar at 8 per cent.*

The long-term rate was not slow in rising. The price of 3½ per cent. government security which had reached Rs. 110 in 1896 fell down to Rs. 103.8 in 1897 and still more precipitately to Rs. 93 in the following year.† Government intensified the situation by resumed borrowing—it borrowed 10 crores in 1896-99. Investment met an abrupt check. The paid-up capital of joint-stock companies evaporated till in 1899 and 1900 it was lower than the pre-decade level even. The price-level was 117 in 1896 and 132 in 1897. It fell to 109 in 1898 and 99 in 1899.

World War I and the years following

The last years of the war recorded a noteworthy narrowing of the differential between short and long rate in India. The narrow margin ultimately gave way to an actual reversal of the relationship in 1921 and 1922. This time,

* Qs. 1680; 1735; 5225-28; 5925, 5759-63; 3482; 3627-27; 8290; 8873-74; Minutes of Evidence; Fowler Committee's Report.

† Selling Price of 3½ p.c.

Securities	Premium.	+ Discount—
1894-95	+3- 1-0	to +4-14-0
1895-96	+5- 5-0	to +9- 1-0
1896-97	+10-4-4	to 0-14-0
1897-98	—0- 9-0	to —4-12-0
1898-99	—6- 6-0	to +1- 1-0
1899-1900	+1- 0-0	to +4-12-0
1900-01	+2-11-0	to +5- 8-0

the narrowing of the margin and its final reversal were caused not by any abundance of credit and short-term funds as in the last case but by the exceptional demand of government for savings of all kinds. In the years 1917-19, the government borrowed Rs. 130 crores which in the circumstances of India was a colossal sum. The pressure of this demand and the growth of war investments forced up the long-term rate from its low level of 3.8 per cent. to 6.4 per cent. in 1922.*

The needs of war for which the Indian capital market was depleted of its resources were such that they had the effect of keeping the short-term rate relatively low. The funds raised by government were largely used for securing an increased supply of consumption goods and destruction-goods. In other words, long-term funds raised by government were restored to the producers as working capital to enable them to increase the supply of these goods. The production of capital or durable goods was much discouraged by the prevailing high rate and the deflection of demand from its usual channels. The working of these forces is well-reflected in the striking increase in the proportion of current to fixed deposits which marked war and post-war years.

Although this was the main factor, it was not the only one which tended to depress the short-term rate. When loans fell short of urgent needs of war and further taxation became inexpedient, government issued paper to cover its expenditure. Of the net deficit in revenues estimated for 1914 to 1920 at 36 crores by the Inchcape Committee the greater part was met in this manner. Since the aggregate pre-war cash balances of all Indian Banks were about 2½ crores only, such an addition to the cash of the community

* Differential Between Average Rate of Presidency Banks and yield of 8½ per cent Government Security.

1914		1921	—0.6
1915	1.8	1922	—0.5
1916	2.4	1923	0.2
1917	1.3	1924	1.4
1918	0.7	1925	0.5 Table IV.
1919	0.9		
1920	0.8		

was bound in the first instance to create an apparent plethora of cash in the banks and keep interest-rates low.

Despite the soaring prices of war-years, the percentage of cash to the deposit-liabilities of Indian banks reached record levels. In ordinary circumstances, unusual accumulations of cash occur either because of recall and non-renewals of loans or excess of payments-in over withdrawals. In times of great trade activity and business profits, however, such occurrences are out of the question. Nor could the high cash balances be ascribed to the undoubted fall in investments, for, the void was filled with loans and bills. The unusual growth in the liquidity of the banking system is explained by the creation of legal tender by Government in such a way that every rise in the price level was a prelude to a fresh issue of currency notes.

The close of the war did not bring any diminution of pressure on the supplies of long-term capital. The years 1920-23 saw great investment in housing and joint-stock enterprise among others. Most of these concerns had been really initiated in the great boom of 1920 when the capital issues of joint-stock companies alone exceeded by more than 13 times the issue of the previous year which itself aggregated to a large figure. It is hardly strange that the long-term rate continued to mount up till 1923. Thereafter, with the decline of enterprise, the long rate began to decline.

The break of 1920 boom caused a slump in the bank-rate in 1921. The actual deflation of 1920 however seems to have caused no embarrassment to the banks or their customers. Although the sales of reverse councils effected a total contraction of 39.7 crores, the banks offset the pressure by allowing their inflated cash to fall its more normal proportions. The rate of the Bank of Bombay was only $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. higher than in previous two years and the rate of the Bank of Bengal was a trifle higher. The upshot was that while rupees and notes were drawn out of circulation, the

deposits of Presidency, Exchange and Indian joint stock banks increased in the very same months by $23\frac{1}{2}$ crores.*

But circumstances were conspiring to force up gradually the short rate and restore the usual relationship. Although banks avoided the deflation pressure, the bazaar rates could not escape it and rose to 8 to 11 per cent, as against 5 per cent. of the Presidency Banks. In 1922, 1923 and 1924, the Government were taking steps to force up the external value of the rupee to 1s. 6d. sterling. In 1922 Government sold no council bills and prices both in India and the United Kingdom were stable. From January 1923 to June 1924 Government sold council bills to a very limited extent and prices actually fell in India while they rose in England. From July 1924 to March 1925, prices in India kept pace with the deflationary policy of the Return to the Gold Standard in the United Kingdom. The Reports of the Currency Controller for the years 1922, 1923 and 1924 bear ample testimony to the stringency which developed and caused the average rate of the Imperial Bank of India to mount up progressively from 5.57 in 1921 to 6.68 in 1924.

The Aftermath of the Great Depression

The years 1923 to 1929 were years of normal conditions and prosperity in India. Although the yield of $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent government security fell at first till 1927 and then gradually rose, the ordinary excess of short rates above long continued in the usual manner. The Imperial Bank was content to maintain its investments at a low but slowly rising level of about 20 per cent. and allow its cash balances to fluctuate enormously according to the course of the seasons. The Indian joint-stock banks followed a different course. The low level of war time investments was quickly raised to

* See Note by Sir C. Kisch—Appendices to Hilton Young Commission.

	Capital Issues of Joint-Stock Companies.†	(Figures in Crores) Paid-up Capital of Joint-Stock Companies.	Post Office Savings and Cash Certificates.	Prices Calcutta.
1918	121.2	106
1919	281.7	122	..	208
1920	148.0	104	..	188
1921	80.8	227	..	181
1922	84.4	258	26	176
1923	20.2	244	33	177
1924	21.2	275	38	167

† Official years.

34-36 per cent. while cash and loans were established round 11 and 55 per cent. of deposit liabilities.

The disastrous effect of the great depression on agricultural prices, the fall in the value of Indian exports and weakness in exchange, contraction of currency in 2 years of 43 crores and growing internal political instability were creating a difficult situation just before England abandoned the gold standard and the rupee was linked to sterling. The short rates were rising very sharply in the early part of 1931 and the long rate was mounting all through 1930-32. The currency measures of September changed the situation in a dramatic manner. The deflationary pressure ceased, agricultural prices were stable at first and then improved, exports of gold in so far as their equivalent was not hoarded relieved the pressure on monetary supplies. But the depression continued to deepen for some time longer.

The bills and advances of the Imperial Bank collapsed from about 51 per cent in 1931 to about 23 per cent. in 1935. It met the onset of rising cash balances by raising its investments from less than 25 per cent. to more than 50 per cent. The joint-stock banks whose participation in seasonal loans is much more limited passed through a similar phase, though not on the same scale. The ratio of bills and loans of the Big Five fell from about 55 per cent. to about 45 per cent. They distributed the inflowing funds somewhat equally between cash and investment, cash rising from less than 15 per cent. to 20 per cent. and investments from about 35 per cent to about 40 per cent.

As the trade demand for loans fell, at least in money value, short rates collapsed from their high level of 1931 and from 1933 onwards sank below the long-term rate. The latter also fell though in a more slow manner. The post-1933 years are an epoch in the history of interest rates in India. Never before has the short rate persisted at levels markedly lower than the long rate for such a long period of time. Never before has it been maintained at one uniform level for such a long period and from season to season.

The years from 1931 onwards present a contrast to the

episode of eighteen-nineties. In spite of a great and dramatic fall in interest rates, recovery was slow and painful. On the industrial side, no investment boom such as we observe in the eighteen-nineties is visible till 1936 and when it did appear, it proved short-lived. New issues hardly improve till 1936 and the paid-up capital of joint stock companies is almost stationary. The exception to this stagnation of investment is an outburst of house-building of astonishing magnitude which changed the appearance of almost every town in this country. Profits began to recover almost immediately from their record low level of 1933 but even in the recovery years 1934-35 they were far short of the 1928 level. Agricultural prices stabilized themselves at their low depression level till 1935.

It is difficult to make any definite statement about the parallel course of savings. With the drastic fall of prices the middle and lower urban classes which depend on wages and salaries certainly benefited. The funds invested in postal savings and cash certificates, insurance, etc., which come largely from these classes record a striking growth. Some of the agricultural classes even may have had surplus funds to invest out of the huge gold exports. Judging from the number of assesseees to income-tax, it appears that out of the 18 classes into which they are divided, the top 8 classes show some decline in numbers while the lower ten classes are either stable or actually increase. On the whole, savings do not seem to have declined.

The striking difference between the nineties of the last century and the thirties of the present century is to be interpreted only in terms of the linking of the rupee to the sterling. This country was thus linked to the international depression also. With the silver rupee in the nineties, India had only her own weight to pull. In the thirties, India was only one member of a large team attempting to pull themselves out of an ever deepening morass.*

	Capital Issues of Joint-Stock Companies. (Crores)	Paid-up Capital of Joint-Stock Companies. (Crores)	Chain- Index of profits 1928=100	Max. min. Index of share prices.	Cash-certi- ficates and Postal Savings.	Prices Bombay Calcutta: 1914=100	Agriculture Prices March 1914=100.
1928	21.	278	100	101.9- 96.0	68	145	..

II

DIFFERENTIALS BETWEEN SHORT AND LONG RATES

It is more easy to account for the differentials between short and long rates than their relative position. These differentials must depend in the long run on the extent to which funds available for the two kinds of investments compete with each other. It is obvious that under appropriate conditions it is possible to finance long-term requirements with a series of short-term loans. The rationality of meeting short-term requirements with a long-term loan is not so immediately obvious. A long loan raised to finance short-term needs involves the inconvenience of holding idle cash-balances when the needs are satisfied. In certain circumstances, however, it may be economic to incur a long loan and place the balance as fixed deposit, when the short-term needs are over. This happens when, although the rate on fixed deposit is less than the rate payable on the long-term loan, the payment to be made on short loans is so high that the excess more than counter-balances the loss on fixed deposit.* To the extent to which such substitution of long loans by short ones and vice versa is possible the rates payable on them must tend to hold close together. In ordinary circumstances, however, such substitution is impeded by certain costs and inconveniences which to that extent create margins between these rates.

(1) A series of short loans can replace a single long loan. But for the borrower, the renewal of a loan means expense and inconvenience from time to time. Besides, there is an element of uncertainty that short loans may not be forthcoming at the expected time, at the expected rate and in expected quantities. The borrower is willing for these reasons to offer a higher rate for a long loan.

1929	28	286	78	101.4-93.2	72	148	189-119
1930	67	282	47	95.6-75.0	75	121	122-80
1931	29	285	27	74.5-68.2	82	102	84-60
1932	30	285	24	58.1-77.6	98	100	69-70
1933	50	300	44	81.4-92.8	115	98	64-72
1934	36	303	62	95.5-120.8	128	92	77-74
1935	46	302	69	112.4-102.7	182	95	75-79
1936	109	..	63	105.8-127.0	..	94	83-84
1937	53	..	55	128.1-106.5	..	106	84-..

* Chap. V, *Trade and Credit*, by R. G. Hawtrey.

(2) The preference for short or long loans is much influenced by anticipations about future course of interest-rates. When long rates are high but in course of time are expected to fall, financing by short loans is sought by borrowers as much as possible. Funding of existing short-term indebtedness is postponed—which means again diminished interest on long loans. This preference for short loans explains how in the ascending phase of the trade-cycle when rates are hardening, short-rates are apt as in recent years in Great Britain and the U. S. to mount above long rates. When the trade-cycle is reversed, the same factors depress short rates very much below long. In the case of India, as noticed already, these phases reveal themselves merely as narrowing or widening of the margins.

(3) The trouble and expense of repeated renewals have obviously no relevance to long loans. Periodic anticipations of changes in rates have also limited significance, since a long loan takes account of every foreseeable factor over long periods—which indeed explains the relative stability of long rates. The factor which directly affects the long rate is the unavailability of invested funds and the risk of default, which grow with the length of the loan. In making a long loan, the lender has to take into account not merely the ability and character of the borrower but also probable economic changes and events which may affect the borrower's capacity to repay.

Besides these factors, investment habits and financial organisation of a country also decide the extent to which short and long loans are in direct competition. If commercial banks hold the bulk both of short-term and long-term savings of a country, short and long rates naturally tend to hold close together. In Sweden, for example, the small investor is not accustomed to invest directly in shares and bonds while the rich man is not able to find outlet for his temporary surpluses because of the non-existence of an open money-market. This situation is reflected in the fact that 80 per cent. of the deposits of banks consist of time and savings deposits while the balance is made up largely

of special accounts withdrawable at 14 days' notice.* In India, on the other hand, investment in industries etc., is direct, which leaves the banks control largely over short-term funds. Thus, although the return on short loans tends to be higher, the reservoir of funds available for such investment is not directly replenished from supplies of long-term capital, and a deviation of short rates from long wider than what exists in Sweden is made possible.

The foregoing factors explain the limits within which short and long loans complete with each other for employment and the consequent margins which are established between them. They also suggest the line along which an explanation must be sought of the reversal in India of the normal relationship between the rates. The largest demand for employment of short-term funds in India arises from the needs of agriculture. But employment of funds for purposes of agriculture suffers from two drawbacks which entirely change its character. The risk of default is very high and compares unfavourably with the risk which may be incurred in long term investment in joint stock enterprise. Secondly, although the finance required may be short term in name, the proportion of renewals as a matter of course is so high that the investment is inevitably looked on as long-term in essence. The high rates for what is in name only short term finance for agriculture react on other rates in agricultural areas and influence ultimately the situation in the organised money markets of the country. The attitude of the foreigner towards investment in India tends towards the same result. Foreign funds flow willingly into long term investment, lowering the long rate, but hold scrupulously aloof from short term investment—regarding India as a particularly unattractive market despite its high rates.

As a profit-making business, a bank is but a broker between persons who have funds to lend and others who wish to borrow. The margin between the borrowing and lending rates is the source of bank-profits. Ultimately, indeed, these rates depend on the supply and demand of capital and the state of trade and business confidence. But

* Chap. VII in *Study of Interest-Rates* by Keck.

within the limits set by these general conditions, banks offer on their deposits as low rates and charge on their loans as high rates as are consistent with maximum profits. Under perfectly elastic and competitive conditions, the margin will correspond to the costs of banking business.

III

RATES PAID ON DEPOSITS*

Demand-Deposits

In offering rates on deposits, banks have to take into account the volume of resources they wish to attract and can profitably invest. If this object is approached from the standpoint of the banking system as a whole, the offer of interest on demand or current deposits is either superfluous or not justified by any comparable advantage. Demand deposits are sought by the public more as a financial convenience than as profitable investment and as such their aggregate volume is little responsive to offer of interest-rates. The effect of these payments on banking policies is on the other hand generally undesirable. The necessity of earning interest puts banks under constant pressure to make investments of some kind or another, even in times of slack trade and limited outlets. The evil of these rates was illustrated in the case of England by the crisis of 1857, but the banks waited till 1877, by which time there had taken place an enormous growth in deposits, for a voluntary abolition of interest payments on current accounts. It was one of the many lessons learnt by the United States from the banking disaster of 1932-34 and a prohibition on such interest payment was embodied in the great banking laws of 1933 and 1935.

In India, Exchange Banks offer as a rule some interest on current deposits. The Imperial Bank of India does not allow any interest on current accounts. Indian joint-stock

* Interest-rates have been arrived at as explained in foot-note † p. 271 Ch. VII. Rates are of course never quoted in decimal fractions. But it is not possible to obtain past records and the choice lies between some indication of level and trend and no indication at all. In a certain sense and for certain purposes we are justified in regarding these calculated rates as the real effective rates.

banks quote as a rule rates on current as well as fixed deposits. The offer of these rates appeals to them as a means to make headway or at least hold their ground against the prestige, power and size of foreign banks. It is quite probable that but for the attraction of interest on current accounts, a part of their resources would be diverted into Exchange Banks and the Imperial Bank. But it is a matter for grave consideration whether the practice is not a source of weakness to them in the long run. The absence of a market in call-loans and high quality bills aggravates this evil by making the less liquid investments a larger proportion of the whole.

We have no satisfactory, or abundant record of rates paid by Indian joint-stock banks on current deposits. There is available however a record of the average rate paid on such deposits by the Central Bank of India.* The Central Bank may be said to represent conditions and policies which stand midway between the most orthodox and the unorthodox banking practices prevalent among these banks. Information relating to it is, for this reason, particularly instructive.

Till 1931, the Central Bank paid on demand deposits an average rate which varied between 2.01 and 2.53 per cent. In conformity with the course of interest-rates in general, the rate declined fast thereafter, reaching the record low level of .77 per cent.

Its significance is made clearer when compared with other rates. Till the depression, this average rate of the Central Bank was generally only a little less than $\frac{1}{2}$ the yield of $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. Government security. After 1931, the rate dwindled to about $\frac{1}{3}$ rd and later to less than $\frac{1}{4}$ th even.

Equally significant is the gap maintained between fixed and current deposit-rates. Till 1933, a gap of about 2.7 to 3.7 was deemed necessary to prevent wholesale migration of funds from this category into the other. The gap then narrowed to about 1.5 per cent.—current rates falling more precipitately than fixed rates. These gaps by themselves are impressive. As the level of current deposit rates sub-

gests, these gaps bespeak mainly the high levels which rates on fixed deposits are apt to reach.

Half the yield on $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. government securities is rather a high inducement. It is possible only on account of the abundance of high earning assets in India and the paucity of liquid investments like call loans. From a long distance viewpoint, however, the presence of this feature in our banking system must be reckoned as a source of weakness and likely embarrassments.

Rates on Fixed Deposits

Rates on fixed deposits partake of a different character altogether. According as their level is high or low, relatively to returns on other forms of investment, the volume of fixed deposits is apt to fluctuate in a very sensitive manner. Even as between fixed and current deposits, there is a minimum level below which the return on fixed deposits is felt hardly to compensate for the loss of availability, and conversion into current deposits is preferred to a certain extent. There is on the other hand another and a more direct criterion which the banker takes into account—the rates which he expects to charge his customers. The interaction of these two sets of rates, the rates on alternative forms of investment and the rates prevailing in the market for short-term accommodation gives the economic level for rates on fixed deposits.

From the standpoint of the depositor the most direct alternative to fixed deposit is gilt-edged government securities, represented in England by the Consols, and in India by the $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. securities. There can be of course no invariable relationship between the yield on fixed deposit and the yield on government securities. The state of business-activity, risk of depreciation of securities, the specific purposes for which deposits are to be held, etc.—these are factors which may create divergences between the two. Besides the direct influence of the long-term rate is naturally limited to those deposits which are fixed for longer periods. Between deposits which are impounded for short-periods like fourteen days or even one month and deposits

which are fixed for 6 months or one year, no direct competition exists. In India, deposits for shorter periods than 6 months—the so-called “short deposits”—are usually accepted in the bigger places but the most common period for fixed deposits is one year. The proportion of long-period deposits is apt to be very high.

As for lending rates, these are represented in highly organised money-markets like those of London and New York by the bank-rates. A practice has therefore grown up of relating deposit-rates to the bank-rate as so many points below the latter. The other lending rates then fall automatically to their economic levels. The situation in India is materially different. The rate on demand-loans of the Imperial Bank represents broadly the minimum return obtainable on short-term accommodation in the highly developed financial centres of the country. The rates obtained by other banks vary enormously according to the localities they serve and the type of business they solicit.

Even in the slack season, rates as high as 10 to 11 per cent. could be reaped against wheat-pits in the twenties of the present centuries. When the monopoly of the bank in question was intruded on by another bank, the rate fell to 8 per cent. The appearance of the Imperial Bank brought it down to the civilised level of 6 per cent. What is more, the effects of these changes are felt far beyond the locality immediately concerned, a big, circumambient region of 100 miles being mentioned.*

Apart for disparities of economic conditions and state of competition from one tract to another, banks themselves have the choice between business and business in the same tract. There exist strong grounds for the surmise that our banks tend to concentrate on one type of business or another according to the state of competition, etc. There are banks which find it profitable to lend money at rates almost the same as in England. But for others, such rates would be highly uneconomic.† The Imperial Bank has been found to

* Minutes of Evidence—Hilton-Young Commission, Q 12061; 12002. The place concerned was Harpur.

† Q 10067-74; 12064—Minutes of Evidence, Chamberlain Commission.

quote 5 per cent. at a time when the Allahabad Bank could not have a profit-margin at less than $7\frac{1}{2}$ per cent.* Thus, the Indian joint-stock banks are not a homogeneous system but a group made up of several types whose common form of organisation conceals serious qualitative differences.

Hence, Indian joint-stock banks offer rates which are conspicuous for their large variations. Even in a country like England, deposit-rates in the short loans market of London and in the country reveal as large differentials as 1 to $1\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. In India, the differentials range much wider. 5 per cent. for one year, 6 per cent. two years, and so on at a time when the yield on $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. securities was no more than $3\frac{1}{2}$ to 4 per cent—these are by no means infrequent with certain types of banks. The effectiveness† of high rates to overcome the psychological resistance of the public cannot be doubted either. In his evidence before the Chamberlain Commission, the Secretary of the Bank of Bengal amply testified to this fact. When the Bank raised its rate for 12 months from 3 to 4 per cent. as an experimental measure, the incoming flood of funds was so embarrassing that as a measure of self-protection, it promptly restored the old rate.‡ “Money is available in India,” testified a banker twelve years later, “if the price is paid for it, and there is no more powerful agent for bringing hoards into fruitful employment than a good price for money.”¶ In fairness to the more reputable banks, it must be recorded that they rarely accept fixed deposits for periods longer than one year.

The wide divergence of rates may be illustrated with reference to Madras, the area of the highest rates in this country. The Indian Bank of Madras is a good barometer of local conditions. X and Y are two medium sized scheduled banks with a history of varying fortunes but quite appreciable duration. The bank Y which claims a much longer record of service has passed through serious difficulties and but for the War, might have declined still further.

* Q 11866-11870—Minutes of Evidence, Hilton-Young Commission.

† Q 8488-8724—Minutes of Evidence, Chamberlain Commission.

‡ Minutes of Evidence, Q 7950.

¶ Appendices, Hilton-Young Commission; p. 509.

The bank Z approaches the Indian Bank in its vigorous activities and respectable standards.

	1934		1936		1938	1939	1940	
	Y	Z	Indian X Bank		Y	Y	Indian Bank	X Y
Current Accounts	2½	1	1		1-2	1-1½	1	1 1
Savings Account	4½	..	2½-3 4		2½	2	3 2½
Fixed Deposits								
3 mos. 3		2-3	1½-3	..	2½ 3
6 mos.	5 3½			3½	..	3 3½
9 mos. 4		4½-5
12 mos.	..	3	2½ 4½		4-4½	2½	3½ 4½
24 mos.	6	3½	3 5		4-5½	3	4 4
36 mos. or more	5½-4½	..	4½ 4½
Yield of 3½ p.c. Security	3.9		3.52		3.55			

The bank Y has been paying throughout the highest rates. Particular attention may be invited to the rates paid on 12 months' deposits which contribute the bulk of fixed deposits in this country. In 1936, the rate varied from 2½ of the Indian Bank of 4½ of the bank X which is a well managed bank. In 1940, the rate of the Indian Bank went a little higher to 2¾ while the bank Y, although on its decline, paid a rate of 4½ which was 1 point higher than the rate of bank X.

The only continuous record for rates on fixed deposits we have got relates to the Central Bank of India. While the average rate paid by it on all deposits, current and fixed taken together, has been as a rule below the yield of 3½ per cent. securities, the rate on fixed deposits was above it by a margin of .7 to 1 per cent. in the years of high interest-rates 1924-29. But after 1929, the fixed deposit rate fell below the yield of these securities and presumably, this was the case before the year 1923 also.*

* The rates offered and changes in them made by the Indian Bank of Madras are set out in the following table :—

	Before 1910 and still 1917	1917	1926	1936	1940
Fixed Deposits—					
24 months	5	5½	6	4½	3 3
12 "	4½	5	5½	4½	2½ 2½
9 "	4	not not
6 "	3½	received received
Religious and Charitable Deposits.	2 p.c. above the ordinary rates.....				
1 month minimum and notice of a fortnight	2½ *
Current Account—minimum Daily balance of Rs. 100 (now Rs. 300)	2	1	1
Savings Account minimum Balance of Rs. 5. (Now Rs. 10)	4½	3-2½	2
Commission for purchase and sale of Government scrips	3/16

Average Rate on all Deposits

Although we have no separate records for rates paid on fixed and current deposits except for the Central Bank of India, statistics for the average rate paid on both types of deposits taken together are more abundant. The average rate is useful as giving us a direct idea of the profitability of different assets of banks.

The Allahabad Bank which operates largely in the U.P. and a part of the Punjab paid a rate on the average which till 1925 was less than the yield of $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. securities. But thereafter, it seems to have been unable to reduce its deposit-rates in conformity with the rapid fall of interest-rates which took place. The average rate has been actually higher than the yield on the aforesaid securities.

The Punjab National Bank which operates to an extent in the same area records a different state of things. The relationship between its average deposit rate and the yield on $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. securities was rather indefinite till 1929—the former fluctuating a little above or a little below the latter. After 1929, the average rate sank below that yield. Since the proportion of fixed deposits in the liabilities of the Punjab National Bank is higher than in the case of the Allahabad Bank, particularly in recent years, it is clear that the rates of the Allahabad Bank on fixed deposits tend to reach higher levels than those paid by the other bank.

The rates of both the Allahabad Bank and the Punjab National Bank are as a rule higher than those of the Central Bank of India.* After 1925, the differentials between them have widened in a marked manner.

After the Allahabad Bank and the Punjab National Bank, the highest deposit-rates are paid by the Indian Bank which operates largely in South India. Till 1933 its average rate, which as a rule has been below the yield of $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent government securities, stood between $3\frac{1}{2}$ to 4 per cent. Afterwards, it fell much below that yield but continued to be quite as high as among important Indian joint-stock banks. The margin between the rates of the Central Bank

of India and the Indian Bank tends to be about 1 per cent and has rarely fallen below $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. Even during recent years, the margin continues to be about the same.

We have no statistics available for certain important banks like the Imperial Bank, the Bank of India, the Bank of Baroda, etc. From our analysis of their profit and loss accounts and particularly their rates of gross and net profits, however, it can be confidently inferred that their rates are lower than those of the Central Bank of India.*

As we have indicated already, the significance of the average rate cannot be accurately appreciated without taking into account the proportions of fixed and current deposits. These proportions vary markedly between bank and bank. The Punjab National Bank has as high a proportion of fixed deposits as 75 to 80 per cent of the total. The Bank of India maintains it at about 50 to 55 per cent. A larger proportion of fixed deposits means a limited ability to earn profits and a corresponding temptation to launch into illiquid business.

Regulation of Deposit-Rates

Variations in deposit-rates from tract to tract and banks of one type of business to banks of other kinds of business

* Cf. "The Punjab National Bank which is the only Indian Bank in Northern India with foreign connection is said to charge very high rates as compared with exchange banks in Bombay." — Banking Inquiry Committee Report 1931, p. 283.

Deposit-Rates

	Imperial Bank			Central Bank of India			Bank of India			Allahabad Bank	
	Fixed	Savings	Current	Fixed	Savings	Current	Fixed	Savings	Current	Fixed	Current
1931 ..	3½	3½	nil	5	4	2	4½	3½	2
1932 ..	2½	2½	..	4	3	1½	4	3	1½
1933 ..	2	2	..	3	2½	1	3	2½	1
1934 ..	2	2½	..	1	2½	1	2½	2½
1939	1½	..	1½
1940	1½
1941 ..	1½	1½	1½	2	..
1942 ..	1½	1½	15/8	2	..

	Bank of Baroda		Punjab National Bank		Indian Bank		Bank of Behar	
	Fixed	Current	Fixed	Current	Fixed	Current	Fixed	Current
1939 ..	1 7/8	..	2 7/8	1	2½	1
1940 ..	1 7/8	..	2 5/8	1	2½	1
1941 ..	1½	..	2 5/8	1	2 5/8	5/8	2½	1
1942 ..	1½	..	2½	1	2½	1	2½	1

are both inevitable and in conformity with the economic needs of India. But variations which arise from unhealthy competition among banks are a source of weakness to the banking system as a whole. Uneconomic rates offered by banks mean unavoidably unhealthy business and banking practices. Advanced banking systems recognise that unchecked competition in deposit rates advance the interests neither of individual banks nor of the banking system. The Federal Reserve Board in the United States, the Syndicate of Banks in Paris, the Stempelvereinigung in Germany, the informal association of the Big Five in England reach agreements from time to time as to the deposit-rate which are strictly adhered to. Nothing will ensure the steady progress of banking in India more than some regulation of deposit-rates which is both effective and at the same time elastic enough to permit unimpeded the work of reaching all classes of potential depositors. A workable scheme would be to divide the country into a number of circles marked from each other by well-defined financial needs and characteristics. As in France, banks in each circle should voluntarily allot themselves to three or more classes according to their type of business and each class should have maximum rates which they must not exceed. The regulation need not seek to cover all classes of deposits. As in England where the agreed rates apply to deposits subject to 7 days' notice only, we might prescribe rates for the most common period of fixed deposits—which is usually one year.

Rates Earned on Investments

In examining the structure of rates charged to the public, we have perforce to exclude all rates for which continuous or reliable records are not available. A modern capital and money market presents two broad classes of rates: rates prevalent in the open market or open market rates and rates charged to customers. Even in more advanced countries, sufficient and reliable data for the latter class are not easily obtainable. It is no surprise then that the only

statistics available in this country relate to open market rates.

The rates charged to customers by banks and particularly by other lenders should not, however, be underestimated as factors in the general banking and economic situation. Mortgage loans, it is to be presumed, absorb no small fraction of the annual savings seeking investment. In urban centres, loans against houses and sites, and in less industrialised places, loans against farms attract not a small share of banking and other funds. Loans for consumption whether direct, or indirect in the form of instalment credit, have an important place in most countries. The growth of institutions for financing instalment sales have tended in recent years to link these rates to the rates structure of each country. A more astonishing development has been the growth of "professional and private" loans in the aggregate advances of banks. No mean fraction of these loans consist of consumption loans, pure and simple.

Broadly speaking, the main factor distinguishing rates charged to customers from open market rates is that while differentials among the latter are much influenced by the period of the loan and the quality of the collateral offered as security, the differentials among the former are mainly determined by the presumed banker-customer relationship. Time is not an overriding factor in customers' rates because the banker strives to retain his customer as a permanent client while the customer expects the banker to take into account not merely the profit on the particular loan but the present and future welfare of the business as well. Renewals of these loans, whether given initially on a time or demand basis, is a common occurrence and practice. Security also is not of so much relevance since the banker seeks safety, not in any particular kind of collateral, but in a number of facts and factors which he has learnt to take into account as a result of long personal contacts and relationship. A customer is not merely an outlet for investment. In his capacity as depositor, he proves an important source of bank funds also.

Secondly, unlike open market rates which tend to approximate to a certain level, customers' rates are apt to show great geographical variations. Since local knowledge and contacts are the chief basis of these loans, local conditions influence rates which, even in countries like the United States, are apt to be twice as high in certain localities as in others.* The presence or absence of a local link with the central bank of the country, the abundance or paucity of capital indicated roughly by the volume of local deposits, the degree to which funds are attracted from smaller to bigger centres or vice versa, operating costs of banks relatively to the size of business—these are some of the important factors normally at work in determining the level of these geographical variations.†

Open Market Rates

(1) The Imperial Bank's rate for demand loans may be taken as the barometer of returns on short-term capital in this country. The rate may be treated as representative of rates charged for cash-credits and ordinary loans as well. These forms of accommodation offer certain advantages which have reduced other forms of accommodation like discount of bills, etc., to insignificant proportions in the assets of Indian banks.‡

(2) The Imperial Bank Hundi rate* is the rate at which the bank discounts first class trade bills. Till 1935, the law restricted the maturity of these bills to 3 months. As a matter of practice, the maturity of bills discounted averaged to about 60 to 61 days.§

Since the bank can terminate a demand loan, usually with some notice, one should expect the hundi rate which

* F. 80 Table Chapter VI. *Money Rates and Money Markets in the U. S.*, by Riefler.

† In a year of low interest rates like 1940 when the yield of 8½ c. securities was 3.84, a not too well managed scheduled bank from Madras made the following return of rates charged to customers:

Advances against gold and bullion	7½ to 12 p.c.
" " Govt. obligations	
" " Commodities	7 to 9 p.c.
" " Real estate	6 to 12 p.c.
" " fixed deposits	8½ to 9 p.c.
" " trade bills	9 p.c.
Clean Advances	6 to 12 p.c.
Advances against guarantee	8½ to 12 p.c.
Discounted debts	6 p.c.

‡ Table VIII and footnote, p. 343.

§ Q 9599. Minutes of Evidence, Hilton-Young Commission.
Para. 576 Banking Inquiry Committee's Report.

is based on an assured investment for a certain period to be, if at all, above the rate on demand loans. As a matter of fact, the hundi rate although it moves generally in sympathy with demand loan rate, is found to be sometimes above it or below it.*

(3) The call money rate is the rate for surplus money seeking investment for possibly a minimum period of 24 hours. Call money is repayable at the option of either lender or borrower. In London, call loans are given only on security. It is the practice in India to limit these loans to first class parties without demanding any security.†

Call money is used in India for purposes of dealings in the bullion markets and stock exchanges. It is not infrequent for individuals of high financial status in Bombay to apply for and obtain call loans for ordinary trade purposes, the object being to save interest on cash credits and overdrafts. It is probable that loans for stock exchange purposes are more important in Bombay than in Calcutta. Even in Bombay, the volume for these purposes does not reach more than a modest size.‡ Call money figures prominently in inter-bank loans.

Call money rates§ move generally in sympathy with the rate for demand loans. They are apt to rise very high and on occasions, equal it even. In the busy season, call money is sometimes unavailable at any rate while in the slack season it falls below short deposit rates, losing all touch with the Imperial Bank rate for demand loans.

(4) Bazaar Bill rates are the highest rates in the Indian money market. They are charged on bills which are discounted for small traders by shroffs. The rates are lower in Bombay than in Calcutta. This is probably due to a closer association of shroffs with the banking system in Bombay than in Calcutta.¶

Our analysis suggests the conclusion that the only relationship which exists between different money rates in this country is such as arises out of basic economic facts

* Para 590, Banking Inquiry Committee Report.

† Minutes of Evidence, Hilton-Young Commission (M. S. M. Gubbay Esq.) Qs. 12598 to 12604. Para 590, Banking Inquiry Committee Report.

‡ Para 577, Banking Inquiry Committee Report.

§ Table VIII.

¶ Table VIII.

like seasonal variations in demand, general shortage of capital, etc. There is no precise definiteness about their inter-relations such as exists in the advanced money markets of the world. The indefiniteness may be traceable to several causes: absence of a sense of common interests in the banking community, the lack of an effective central currency and credit authority, impediments in movements of capital, etc.

Agreement and convention among bankers regarding the articulation of rates have a very precise economic objective. Taking the bank rate as representative of short rates in general, convention seeks to establish definite margins between different open market rates and thus eliminate the danger of unhealthy competition. The margins are not arbitrary but bear a fairly accurate relationship to the costs of different kinds of investments. The practice in London for example has been to quote rates on deposits repayable at short notice at about 2 p.c. below the bank rate. The fixed margin between deposit rates and the bank rate is chiefly useful as preventing any tendency to grab deposits and assures banks a margin of reward commensurate with their costs. The rates for short and call loans are essentially rates for surplus funds or funds which are to be invested in such a manner that they are almost equivalent to cash. These rates tend to fall almost to the levels of deposit rates with a bare margin sometimes for costs. From the standpoint of the control of the money market, the regulation of these rates is much more important than the definition of the relationship between the bank rate and the deposit rates. The bill market and stock exchanges into which these funds flow very largely are very sensitive to changes in interest rates. The control of credit acquires quickness, momentum and effectiveness when exercised through these parts of the economic structure. Their ultimate effects on other parts of the structure are apt to be uncertain and at best tardy. As for advances, the tradition in London has been a rate $\frac{1}{2}$ to 1 per cent above the bank

rate with a minimum of 4 to 5 per cent in all circumstances.*

The absence of convention in India is perhaps best illustrated by the indefinite relationship between the Imperial Bank's rate for demand loans and its hundi rate. As the security behind a demand loan is as good as that supporting a trade bill, the demand loan rate should be a little lower if the average period of such loans falls short of 60 or 61 days or a little higher if the period tends to be longer. Actually, the rate is sometimes above and sometimes below the hundi rate. Such indefiniteness contributes an element of uncertainty as to the best lines along which credit instruments and practices could develop.

Call loans are prized abroad because they serve as a second line of protection after cash. Rates in a market like London are fixed in a definite relationship to the bank rate because if loans are not forthcoming from commercial banks, the bill market can always turn to the Bank of England for discount at its rate. In India, call loans are essentially loans out of surplus funds in which large variations occur according to seasonal tightness or slackness. The only alternative to call loans is maintenance of larger cash which earns no return or lock-up of funds in advances or securities which on the average earn much less than demand loans. When funds are released by cessation of seasonal demand, rates on call loans are governed by the simple principle that some return is better than no return and that investment should be of such duration as will release funds for use when the busy season returns. When call loans become scarce, borrowers have no alternative source to appeal to as in London but must put up with whatever levels these rates reach in sympathy with the demand loan rates.

* The automatic character of these rates is well illustrated by figures for two consecutive days on the eve of World war II.

						August 28, 1939 LONDON August 29, 1939	
						2	4
Bank Rates		
Discount Rate							
60 Days	7/8	3 3/4
3 Months	1	3 7/8
6 Months	2-2 1/2	3 1/2-5
Treasury Bills							
3 Months	7/8-1	3 7/8
Loans—Day to Day	1-1	
.. Short-Loan		
Deposit-Allowances		

The Gross Rate of Earning of Banks generally*

Although we have no record of rates charged to customers separately, we are fortunate in having some indication of the levels of open market and customers' rates put together. Important banks publish figures which enable us to obtain rates of earning per cent of funds at their disposal. The rate of earning does not represent indeed the full cost paid by the public for the use of banking funds. The resources of which gross earnings are expressed as a percentage include cash which is not lent out at all. This means that the actual cost paid by the public for funds lent out is a little higher than this percentage. Investments which are composed largely of government securities and into which a large proportion of bank funds finds its way present another difficulty. Besides, in making comparisons, we must remember that the rate will depend on the policy of each individual bank—in particular, the proportions in which it distributes its resources among the various kinds of assets available. The gross rate of earnings represents on the whole the minimum level above which the public has to bear on the average a certain surcharge for banking accommodation.†

The earning rate of the Central Bank which is generally the lowest for the banks for which we have figures demonstrates again the high profitability of short-term finance in this country. The "bank rate" of the Imperial Bank and the Central Bank's earning rate do not maintain indeed a definite relationship. According to monetary conditions and cyclical influences, the Central Bank's earning rate is sometimes above and sometimes below the "bank rate." During the war years, when money was abundant, if not wealth, the rate fell below the "bank rate." From 1920 to 1927, when the world was generally suffering from very high interest rates, the rate of earnings moved on to a distinctly higher level. In the few following years, it fell below the "bank rate" but after 1933, since customer's rates have always a

* See foot-notes, * p. 82 and † p. 271.

† Table VII.

rock-bottom level, the earning rate once more rose above the "bank rate".

The rate on short-term finance charged by the Central Bank could not be lower than that of the Imperial Bank. If its gross earning rate falls below the Imperial Bank's rate for demand loans it must be because of lower customers' rates or lower yield of investments. As compared with other banks, the lowness of its earning rate is to an extent ascribable to the comparatively lower proportion of its loans and advances.

The facts are materially different with the other three banks. These banks operate in areas of high rates in general and perhaps, the high deposit rates they offer may be a factor in inducing them to seek business of a somewhat different kind. The earning rates of all of them are higher than the bank rate. Till 1933, the Punjab National Bank was content with a margin of less than 1 per cent. Thereafter with the fall of short rates, the margin expanded to about 2 per cent.

Till about the end of the first World War, the Allahabad Bank kept its earning rate lower than the bank rate. Thereafter, circumstances seem to have forced the bank to revise its standards of acceptable business and its margin above the bank rate has been perhaps higher even than in the case of the Punjab National Bank. Partly, it might be explained by the unusually high level of its cash credits and overdrafts as against loans.

The Indian Bank of South India has the highest earning rate and the highest rates charged to the public in India.* From 1910 till 1931, its earning rate has been well above 6½ per cent. and has on occasions exceeded 7 per cent. A margin of more than 1 per cent. and sometimes even 2 per cent was very common. A notable factor at work in this connection is undoubtedly the very high level of its loans and advances till the Great Depression set in. In the depression years, the earning rate fell a good deal but never below 3½

* Table VIII.

per cent.—the margin above the demand loan rate of Imperial Bank being more than maintained. The margin between its average deposit rate and its earning rate has been generally more than $2\frac{1}{2}$ to 3 per cent. and even higher on occasions.

TABLE VI
Indian Money-Rate Per Cent

(Figures in Brackets indicate the approximate number of months for which the particular rate prevailed)

Year.	Yield on 3½ Govt. Security on April 1st.	Bank-Rate.	Call-Money Rates.		Imperial Bank Hundi-Rate	Bazar Bill Rate.	
			Bombay	Calcutta		Calcutta.	Bombay.
1922 ..	6.4
1923 ..	5.7
1924 ..	5.2
1925 ..	5.1	7(5) 5(4)	3(8)	1½ to 2½(6)	4½ to 5½(6) 7(4)	10 to 11(9)	8½ to 10(7)
1926 ..	4.7
1927 ..	4.4
1928 ..	4.6
1929 ..	4.9	7(5) 5(4)	1½ to 2(6) 6(8)	2½ (4) 5(4)	7(4) 5(4)	11(7) 10(5)	9 1/16 (4) 5 5/16 (2)
1930 ..	5.1
1931 ..	5.5	7(5) 6(4)	5 to 6½(9)
1932 ..	5.7	4(5) 6(2)	1 to 2(5)	4 to 4½(8) 5(4)	7(5) 5(3)	7 to 8(8)	3-4(4) 6-7(6)
1933 ..	4.8	1 to 2(6)	4(6) 5(3)	5 to 7(5) 7 to 8(7)	3-4(5) 6(4)
1934 ..	3.9
1935	3½(11) 3(1)	1 (6) ½ (6)	2 to 3½(6) ½ to 1(6)	3½(10)	6 to 7 (4) 5-6 (4)	6½(6) 3 to 4½(4)
1936 ..	3.5	3	½ (6) ½ (2)	½ (6) ½ (5)	3	5 to 6(12)	3 3/8 (6) 5½ (4)
1937 ..	3.6	3	3
1938 ..	3.5	3	½ (5) ½	1 ½	3	7-8 (6) 6-7 (6)	8 5 5/8
1939 ..	3.79	3	2-2½ (5) 1-1 (6)	2-2½(5) 1-1 (6)	3(10) 3½(2)	6-7	5-5½ (9)
1940 ..	3.84	3	1-2 (5) 1-1 (7)	1-1 (10) 1½ (2)	3½	7-7	5½-6 (8)
1941 ..	3.67	3	1(11) 1(1)	1	3	6-7	5½-6½(7)
1942 ..	3.80	3	1(11) 1(1)	1	3	6-7	6-6½(8)
1943	3	1 1	1	3	6-7	4½-5½
1945	3	1 1	1	3	6-7	5½

TABLE VII

India				United Kingdom (London)				United States (New York)				India				United Kingdom				United States					
Yield on 2½ p.c. Rupee Security	Average Bank Rate	Excess + or Deficit of 2 over 1.	Yield on 2½ p.c. consol.	8 mos. Bank Rate	Yield on 2½ p.c. Treasury Bonds	Treasury Bonds (Am. Avg.)	Call Loan Rate	Stock Exchange	Yield on Rupee Security	Average Bank Rate	Excess + or Deficit of 2 over 1.	Yield on 2½ p.c. Consols.	8 mos. Bank Rate	Treasury Bonds etc.	Call Loan Rate	Stock Exchange.	Yield on Rupee Security	Average Bank Rate	Excess + or Deficit of 2 over 1.	Yield on 2½ p.c. Consols.	8 mos. Bank Rate	Treasury Bonds etc.	Call Loan Rate	Stock Exchange.	
4.3									1896	3.24	5.50	+2.26	2.86	2.69	4.54	4.21	1927	4.4	5.71	+1.32	4.55	4.55	4.24	3.24	4.01
									1897	3.47	6.59	+3.12	2.92	2.71	4.38	1.77	1928	4.6	6.19	+1.59	4.47	4.47	4.15	3.33	4.34
									1898	3.68	5.05	+1.37	2.84	2.84	4.21	2.16	1929	4.9	6.23	+1.33	4.61	4.61	4.37	3.60	4.78
									1899	3.48	5.94	+2.46	3.46	3.02	3.98	5.04	1930	5.1	6.89	+1.79	4.49	4.49	3.61	3.38	4.58
									1900	3.64	5.51	+1.87	3.14	3.14	3.95	3.98	1931	5.5	7.04	+1.54	4.40	4.40	3.55	3.51	4.69
									1901	3.64	5.89	+2.25	3.24	3.24	3.79	3.98	1932	5.7	8.02	+2.32	3.75	3.75	3.66	3.66	4.84
									1902	3.61	5.07	+1.46	3.24	3.24	3.77	3.98	1933	4.3	8.56	+4.95	3.40	3.40	3.69	3.31	4.87
									1903	3.54	5.22	+1.68	3.42	3.42	3.92	3.79	1934	4.9	8.50	+4.00	3.10	3.10	3.69	3.10	4.14
									1904	3.58	4.86	+1.28	3.48	3.48	3.92	3.79	1935	5.0	8.46	+3.92	2.89	2.89	3.58	3.70	4.91
									1905	3.54	5.09	+1.55	3.52	3.52	3.82	4.39	1936	5.23	8.0	-0.53	2.94	2.94	0.59		
									1906	3.56	5.25	+1.69	3.55	3.55	3.88	3.94	1937	5.35	8.0	-0.53					
									1907	3.60	5.15	+1.55	3.76	4.28	4.22	7.97	1938	5.35	8.0	-0.53					
									1908	3.59	5.04	+1.45	3.73	3.88	4.16	1.97	1939	5.79	8.0	-0.77					
									1909	3.73	5.46	+1.73	3.79	3.15	4.00	2.70	1940	5.84	8.0	-0.89					
									1910	3.73	5.47	+1.74	3.79	3.15	4.14	2.97	1941	5.67	8.0	-0.89					
									1911	3.64	5.50	+1.86	3.84	3.16	4.16	2.57	1942	5.80	8.0	-0.80					
									1912	3.63	5.50	+1.86	3.84	3.16	4.16	2.57	1943	5.80	8.0	-0.80					
									1913	3.66	5.17	+2.58	3.40	4.57											
									1914	3.63	5.59	+1.92													
									1915	3.58	5.35	+1.75													
									1916	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1917	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1918	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1919	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1920	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1921	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1922	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1923	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1924	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1925	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1926	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1927	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1928	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1929	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1930	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1931	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1932	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1933	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1934	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1935	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1936	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1937	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1938	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1939	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1940	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1941	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1942	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1943	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1944	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1945	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1946	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1947	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1948	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1949	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1950	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1951	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1952	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1953	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1954	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1955	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1956	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1957	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1958	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1959	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1960	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1961	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1962	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1963	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1964	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1965	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1966	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1967	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1968	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1969	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1970	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1971	3.5	5.05	+1.55													
									1972	3.5	5.05	+1.55													

TABLE VIII

	Yield on 4 percent Govt. Security on April 1st.	Average Rate on Fixed and Current Deposits.				Central Bank		Average Bank Rate.	Average Rate of Earnings.			
		Allahabad Bank	Punjab National Bank.	Indian Bank.	Central Bank of India.	Average Rate on Fixed Deposits.	Average Rate on Current Deposits.		Allahabad Bank.	Punjab National Bank.	Indian Bank.	Central Bank of India.
1910	3.7			3.38				5.46			5.69	
1911	3.6			3.95				5.50			6.70	
1912	3.6		3.12	3.50				5.50		6.57	6.44	4.12
1913	3.6	4.1	5.07	4.75	2.12			6.24	5.6	7.59	8.68	12.9
1914	3.6	4.4	4.05	4.78	6.6			5.53	6.1	8.14	7.93	7.14
1915	3.8	2.5	4.02	3.82	3.88			5.65	5.3	5.89	6.05	5.47
1916	4.2	3.4	3.77	3.09	2.45			5.65	5.2	6.24	6.68	4.13
1917	5.1		4.80	3.80	2.40			6.76		6.99	6.43	3.58
1918	5.2	2.5		3.90	3.48			6.47	5.0		6.83	5.31
1919	5.0	3.6		3.23	2.90			5.92	5.2		5.83	4.17
1920	5.9	4.9		3.90	4.23			5.97	7.0		7.56	6.72
1921	6.2			3.75	3.96	5.20	2.56	5.56			6.54	5.86
1922	6.4	2.3		4.12	4.23	5.68	2.45	5.81	3.2		7.93	6.33
1923	5.7	4.7		3.73	4.18	5.72	2.32	5.96	6.6		7.56	6.01
1924	5.2	4.9		4.13	4.78	6.58	2.46	6.68	8.4		8.02	7.04
1925	5.5	4.4	4.77	4.02	4.14	5.82	2.25	5.64	6.5	6.87	7.87	6.10
1926	4.7	4.9	4.69	3.95	3.68	4.96	2.17	4.82	6.9	6.49	5.81	5.45
1927	4.4	5.4	4.96	3.29	4.02	5.49	2.39	5.72	7.3	6.75	5.73	5.77
1928	4.6	5.2	4.59	5.59	3.98	5.30	2.46	6.19	7.1	6.43	6.66	5.79
1929	4.9	5.0	5.35	3.53	4.17	5.70	2.53	6.32	6.8	7.81	6.51	5.99
1930	5.1	5.3	4.43	3.64	3.28	4.47	2.01	5.88	7.1	6.68	6.32	5.12
1931	5.5	5.8	5.38	3.98	3.51	5.43	2.42	7.04	7.7	7.83	6.6	5.82
1932	5.7	6.2	4.50	3.22	2.66	4.70	1.98	5.02	8.1	6.06	5.44	4.58
1933	4.8	5.4	3.86	2.84	2.71	3.95	1.69	3.56	7.1	6.30	5.60	4.11
1934	3.9	4.8	3.20	2.48	2.09	3.01	1.35	3.50	6.8	6.63	5.63	3.83
1935	3.52	3.9	2.68	2.09	2.05	2.93	1.29	3.46	6.0	5.33	4.88	3.64
1936	3.63	3.3	2.37	2.11	1.60	2.59	0.93	3.00	5.5	4.32	3.75	3.24
1937	3.55		2.06	1.89	1.40	2.22	0.91	3.00		4.35	3.77	3.57
1938			2.18	2.03	1.81	2.05	0.77	3.00		4.94	4.24	3.37
1939	3.79				1.35	2.40	0.73	3.00				
1940	3.84	1.24		1.70	1.22	2.37	0.65	3.00				
1941	3.67	1.14		1.88	0.94	1.84	0.57	3.00				
1942	3.30	1.02		1.46	0.61	1.80	0.33	3.00				
1943	3.60	0.07		0.89	0.51	1.68	0.26	3.00				
1944	
1945	..	0.69	..	1.41	2.9	..	2.7	3.26

CHAPTER IV

THE IMPERIAL BANK OF INDIA

THE idea of a Central bank or a great State bank for India is a very old one.* The first tentative effort towards the goal was, however, delayed till 1921 when the three Presidency Banks with their 68 branches were amalgamated into the present Imperial Bank of India. The amalgamation was largely the outcome of *rapprochement* on the part of the banks themselves to whom the events and experiences of the first World War brought a new and broader outlook on the banking problems of the country. Their informal but profitable co-operation during the war coupled with the fear of an invasion from London, banking interests gave them a keen realisation of the commonness of their interests. The intimate touch established between them and the Government in meeting the unusual circumstances of the war reinforced the same conviction. There was also the pressure of public opinion which saw in such an amalgamation a great instrument for the extension of banking facilities in the country.

The Presidency Banks

Prior to 1862, the Presidency Banks were directly controlled by Government and had to work within certain restrictions imposed on them by their charters. Along with other private banks, they enjoyed the valuable right of note-issue.†

In 1862, they were deprived of their right of note-issue, although they continued to manage the new Government note-issue as agents to Government. As compensation for

* See footnote . p. 2, p. 4.

† The Bank of Bengal founded in 1809 was for example restricted in the following ways: Advance to an individual could not exceed 1 lakh and to Government 5 lakhs. Interest charged was limited to a maximum of 12 p.c. corresponding to a similar maximum of 5 p.c. in England. Cash-reserve was to be at least 1/3rd of demand-liabilities while total liabilities—deposits and notes—were not to exceed the capital which was 50 lakhs. In 1833, the note-issue was fixed at a maximum of 2 crores and cash-reserves at a minimum of one-fourth of demand liabilities. In 1859, the charter fixed maximum advance to an individual at 5 lakhs and currency of a loan at 8 months. The security prescribed was two un-connected persons. Bills discounted were to be payable in India only.

the loss of their valued privilege, they were freed from many of the old restrictions on business and were given the use and management of Government balances. In 1866, the Government themselves assumed the management of the paper currency.

The danger of these relaxations of law were speedily illustrated by the behaviour of the Bank of Bombay. Apart from negligence or incompetence of the Presidents and Directors of the Bank, the abuse of powers given by Act X of 1863 to the Secretaries, the absence of sound legal advice and assistance, etc., the chief cause of the failure of the Bank undoubtedly lay in the legal changes made after 1862. The Bank of Bombay Commission which inquired into the whole lamentable episode put the point in quite an emphatic manner. "It may be," they wrote, "that the old Act was unnecessarily restrictive but that did not justify the removal of all restrictions. . . . They opened the door to great laxity of practice and a ruinous system of banking, and were in fact the chief cause of the Bank's failure."

The Presidency Banks Act of 1876 restored substantially the old restrictions, prohibiting the Banks from conducting foreign exchange business, borrowing or receiving deposits payable out of India, lending for a longer period than six months or upon mortgage or on the security of immovable property or upon promissory notes bearing less than two independent names, or upon goods, unless the goods or titles to them were deposited with the bank as security. The Government balances at the disposal of the Banks were strictly limited. At the same time, Government sold out their share holdings in the Banks and ceased to appoint official directors.

The only notable change in the long period which ensued till the amalgamation of 1921 was the increase, during the first World War, of Government balances at the headquarters of Presidency Banks, the object being to assist the money market in its periodic stringencies.

The Imperial Bank of India. 1921-1934

Under the amalgamation scheme, the paid-up capital of the new bank was increased from 3½ crores to about 6 crores, raising the ratio of capital and reserves to total public and private deposits from 9.6 per cent in 1920 to 13.7 per cent in 1921.*

The public character and responsibilities of the Bank were secured in no uncertain manner. The Managing Governors, not exceeding two in number, were to be appointed by the Governor-General-in-Council. Besides the Managing Governors and the representatives of Local Boards, the Central Board which was the controlling authority was to include the Controller of Currency or some other comparable officer and four or less non-officials, all at the nomination of the Governor-General. The Governor-General was empowered to issue instructions to the Bank with the specific object of safeguarding Government balances or the financial policy of the Government. The Controller of Currency or the officer nominated in his place was to act as the watch-dog of the Government in aforesaid matters, could exercise a suspensive veto pending final decision of the Governor-General-in-Council.

An agreement between the Bank and the Secretary of State re-defined in certain material particulars the business of the Bank.

The management of the public debt as hitherto and all the general banking business of the Government of India were vested in the Bank. The Bank was to hold hereafter all the treasury balances. The Government agreed to transfer funds for the Bank through Currency free of charge and discontinue the issue of currency transfers or supply bills in all those places where the Bank existed to serve the public. The Bank was now allowed to open

* (Figures in crores.)

	Prior to Amalgamation. 1921	Post-Amalgamation January 1921
Authorised Capital	3½	11½
Paid-up Capital	3½	5 3/5
Reserve Fund	3 2/5	3 3/4
Deposits	76	76 2/5
Government-Balances	6 9/10	7 9/10

office in London but it could not open cash credits for, or receive deposits from, any one other than its old clientele.

In return for all these valuable privileges, the Bank undertook to open within five years 100 new branches, of which the Government was to determine the location of one in four and further, to give the public facility for transfer of money between its offices at rates to be approved by the Controller of Currency. To no part of the Imperial Bank Act of 1921 did public opinion attach greater importance than this undertaking to extend banking facilities. The presence of a bank whose position and stability were beyond all doubt and which could keep their cash balances was expected to have a very uplifting effect on local banks. The management of work connected with Government securities at each local branch, instead of at the headquarters of Government as formerly, was expected to stimulate interest in productive investment and in banking generally.

By March 1926, the Bank fulfilled its legal obligation to create these new branches. Of 102 new branches, 36 or about one-third were located in places where there was previously no bank of any kind. As many as 89 were located in places where there were Government treasuries or in other words, Government balances to be taken possession of.

Schedule I to the Act of 1921 defined the business of the Bank both positively and negatively in two separate parts. One part set forth the business which was absolutely prohibited to the Bank. The other part indicated the business which the Bank was permitted to undertake.

The existence of special laws and charters throughout their history prove that the Presidency Banks and their successor, the Imperial Bank of India have held and been meant to hold special status and fulfil special functions in the banking structure of India. Laws and charters have however aimed at two specific objectives only, the safeguarding of public funds and the extension of banking facilities. But more peremptory than the force of law was

the pressure of actual needs and circumstances which tended gradually but surely to widen the public character and responsibilities of the Bank. In this continuous growth, a critical stage was reached when the Hilton-Young Commission of 1926 raised the question of a Central Bank for India as the next logical step in the organisation of currency and credit. It was inevitable that for this purpose, all eyes should turn, in the first instance at least, to the Imperial Bank as the instrument most easily available at hand. But to the Hilton-Young Commission, it seemed an unquestioned and unquestionable presumption that central banking function and commercial banking activities could not and should not go together. To dismantle the Bank of its commercial function was in its opinion to arrest the progress of the country in the one sphere in which progress was most urgent and vital.* The creation of the Reserve Bank of India in 1935 gave effect to this conclusion and closed, so far as law can close, future growth of the Imperial Bank along these lines. But as history reveals again and again, practical necessities are apt to overbear and in the end dominate the limitations of mere laws. Despite the Reserve Bank Act of 1934, the Imperial Bank holds and must continue to hold a unique place in the banking system of this country. The causes, outside, if not inside, the framework of law which gave it this unique position have not yet ceased to operate and it would be hardly surprising if the course of evolution gradually led it into a position not contemplated by the framers of law. To analyse these causes is to analyse the manner and character of the past and present working of the Bank to which we must now address ourselves.

Size and Power of Imperial Bank

By its size alone, the Imperial Bank has been well situated to exercise great power over the credit structure of the country. Its private deposits have amounted generally to one-third of the aggregate deposits of banks in India. By 1926, it had more than twice as many branches

* Report. Paras, 87, 88.

as all exchange banks put together and as compared with Indian joint-stock banks, it had more than one-third of theirs. A bank with such enormous resources, expanse and clientele could always be sure of forcing others to follow its lead, when such lead was in conformity with operative circumstances. Its rate changes and policies could be depended on to attract or repel as circumstances required borrowers on a scale which was sufficient to put the necessary pressure on other banks.*

This great position of the Imperial Bank has been built largely no doubt on the basis of its special connection with the Government of the land. This connection gave it command over a large and dependable volume of public funds which were of especial use in the early stages of its career. But more decisive than the funds themselves was the general confidence arising out of the belief that the Government were deeply concerned in and could be trusted to take measures to ensure, its stability and solvency.

The great drawback of periodic withdrawal of large funds from the market into Government treasuries was not abolished, however, at a single stroke. Although the Imperial Bank and before it, the Presidency Banks were entrusted with Government balances, the assistance to the market was limited at first to certain maxima and even after the maxima were abolished, to those places only, at which these banks had their branches. With the growth of the branches of the Imperial Bank, Government funds began to flow into the market in a larger and larger volume. Government on its part made efforts to reduce the amounts kept at out-stations by instituting currency chests at sub-treasuries and transferring surplus funds there to these currency-chests against a corresponding

		* Total Deposits (Private; in crores)	Percentage of Deposits of Imperial, Exchange, Big Five Indian Joint-Stock Banks.	Percentage of Private Deposits of Imperial Bank to Total.
1914	..	87	91.8	45.8
1920	..	226	84.4	34.4
1930	..	212	90.0	30.0
1934	..	227	86.1	32.6

transfer from Currency Reserves at the headquarters to their balances at the Imperial Bank.*

On this point of size and power, the Imperial Bank invites comparison most appropriately with Banque de France which throughout its long history has combined, and combined effectively, central banknig with commercial functions. Leaving aside Caisse des De'pot et de Consignations which manages public funds, savings bank deposits, post office cheque accounts, etc., and the Banque d'Affairs which are mainly industrial banks, the Banque de France held less than a quarter of the banking resources of the country. The six big deposit banks of Paris with their affiliates alone claimed more than twice the deposit-resources of the Banque de France. Yet, through its 600 and odd branches and about 4 lakhs of accounts, the Banque de France is able to make its lead and power felt throughout the country.†

Conflict of Commercial and Central Banking Functions

While the size and resources of the Imperial Bank gave it a natural leadership of the Indian banking system, it was a matter of doubt to many whether an ordinary com-

	(In Lakhs)	Average Monthly Balances at Treasuries and Sub-Treasuries.	Average Month-end Balances with Presidency or Imperial Banks..	Percentage of Public to Private Deposits.
1911-12	..	1,380	414	..
1912-13	..	1,901	451	..
1913-14	..	1,909	560	..
1917-18	..	1,242	1,382	..
1918-19	..	849	1,031	..
1919-20	..	679	1,157	..
1920-21	..	625	1,261	..
1921-22	..	509	1,391	10
1922-23	..	400	1,325	25
1923-24	..	340	1,661	12
1924-25	..	295	2,067	10
1925-26	..	267	1,638	7
1926-27	..	249	1,919	9
1927-28	..	230	1,056	10
1928	11
1929	11
1930	10

§ These balances fluctuate according to the issue of treasury bills, remittances of Government of India to Secretary of State, etc.

† Relative Position of different Classes of Banks in France, 1937.

	(Figures in Million Fcs.)	Capital and Reserves.	Deposits.	Note Circulation.
Caisse des D'pot et des Consignations.	100,000	..
Banque de France	..	500	15,000	55,000
6 Deposit Banks and Affiliated Banks	..	3,500	57,000	..
Independent Provincial Banks	..	1,000	6,000	..
Banque D'Affairs	..	1,000	4,000	..

‡ A State institution managing savings banks' deposits post office cheque account, and many public funds including sinking funds.

mercial bank could be trusted to discharge these responsibilities in a loyal manner. A commercial bank must exist first and last for making the largest profits while a Central bank has on occasions to forgo profit in the interests of the country at large. When an incipient boom has to be checked, a commercial bank may well be disinclined to incommode its customers and to diminish its profits by advancing its rates earlier than its competitors. Still greater is its embarrassment in an obstinate depression when the rate may have to be reduced below the economic level. The same unpleasant choice confronts it with regard to open market operations. When rates are rising in the course of a boom and securities depreciate in consequence, the Central bank has often to sell off these low-priced securities to stave off a serious crisis. When a depression sets in with low rates and high security prices, the Central bank has to buy these very securities to increase the cash basis of banks and induce lending. The dilemma is resolved in the case of most Central banks by statutory or voluntary limits and checks on their rates of dividend.

Firstly, as regards the bank's obligations towards its own customers. The rate of a Central bank is effective less by its level, which must always maintain touch with market-rates, than by the conditions of eligibility attached to it. Except in England, the declared rate is a minimum rate for bills of certain stringently defined qualities and length but the bank's established customers can always take to the bank bills of other qualities and length and obtain funds at almost the usual rates. As for advances, the rates are always subject to a certain minimum below which the banks hardly ever descend. It seems unlikely therefore that a commercial bank engaged in Central banking functions will be embarrassed in regard to its usual customers.

Even then, it must be admitted that not every country is equally well situated to attempt a combination of Central banking and commercial banking functions. The Banque de France is an excellent example of how policy and

circumstances may conspire to bring about a happy combination of this kind. With few sensitive elements in its export trade and with a perpetual need of finding investments for surplus savings abroad, French economy is well suited to run on an even keel, not much disturbed by economic changes in the outside world. The Banque de France improves on these advantages by a policy which aims at accumulating immense gold reserves against its enormous note-issue and by using them freely to aid foreign Central banks in difficulties no less than to meet internal demand for hoarding or export. These basic economic facts and the banking technique reared on them find their objective expression in the exceptionally stable rates which mark French monetary conditions. Between 1898 and 1914, the Swiss National Bank changed its rates 56 times; the Reichsbank, 62 times; the Bank of England, 79 times. But the Bank of France found it necessary to change its rate 14 times only.

The position of India was till recently materially different. There are of course many sensitive elements in our export trade and with the distribution of economic power, as it is, our imports are relatively inelastic as experience has indeed demonstrated again and again. Our obligations to foreign investors on private account are large and till recently our obligations on public account, although less in bulk, linked our taxation-system to our monetary system.* Until these obligations are materially reduced or abrogated, the maintenance of an export surplus comparable with the volume of these obligations was naturally the main concern of our monetary authorities. In other words, co-operation with our foreign markets and foreign creditors has been our goal rather than monetary autonomy on French lines. Whether and to what extent co-operation can be distinguished from mere submission to the lead from abroad is a delicate point which involved consideration of our political relations with the largest of our erstwhile creditors, namely, Great Britain.

* The latest estimate of British Investments in India is 11,00 m. £.

To take up the next point regarding the losses which are involved in the discharge of Central banking functions. It is a point worthy of close inquiry whether such losses are not more than counterbalanced by the interest-free funds of Government and the compulsory deposits of commercial banks with the Central bank. In the 7 years 1921-22 to 1927-28, the average month-end balance of Government funds with the Imperial Bank was about 16.6 crores. During the years 1935-36 to 1937-38, the average deposits of scheduled banks with the Reserve Bank of India were in the neighbourhood of Rs. 26 crores. It would be a moderate estimate to place the average interest-free balance available to a Central bank in India at about 40 crores in round figures. At the average net profit rate of the Imperial Bank for the years 1935-36, this should give the Bank an annual income of about 58 lakhs or about half the net aggregate profits for these years. This is surely more than a generous margin for any losses which a bank could incur in the critical stages of a boom or depression. The steady dividends of most Central banks of the world are convincing proof that Central banking is not incompatible with good profits.*

High Liquidity of Imperial Bank Assets

Design and practice combined to give the assets of the bank a degree of liquidity worthy of a central bank charged with the duty of aid to banks in difficulties and the management of the note-issue and legal tender of the country.† Legal stipulations regarding the duration and security of loans and bills prescribed as early as the law of 1876 are almost the same as in the charters of many central banks like the Bank of France. The practice of the bank was indeed even more conservative than the law itself. While the law allowed bills a maximum maturity of 90 days, the actual maturity of bills discounted was on the average 60 days only.† In the absence of a sufficient quantity of bills, loans were kept within strict bounds while cash credits

* In the course of 18 years, the twelve Reserve Banks in the United States paid the Treasury \$ 150 m., dividends to member banks (6%) aggregating to \$ 120 m. and accumulated a surplus of \$ 260 m. The steady 12 p.c. dividend of the Bank of England is well-known

† Tables IX and X.

which are terminable on demand were generally twice in volume and in adverse days, much more. Advances against security of one name were the exception rather than the rule.*

Of course, an even higher degree of liquidity is common among the central banks of the world. Although the law fixes 90 days as the maximum maturity for ordinary bills and 9 months for agricultural bills, the average maturity of bills actually discounted by the Federal Reserve Banks did not exceed 8 days in fairly normal years like 1925 and 1926. The practice of the Bank of France with its direct discounting for individuals and concerns is even more relevant and illuminating. From 1927 to 1934, years of great strain and stress, the average maturity of bills discounted was only 18 days in 1927 and though it rose subsequently, the maximum reached was only 33 days in 1933. It must however be remembered that bills are more abundant in the United States than in India and that in France, they are the chief outlet for bank funds.

Profit and Loss Account

Analysis of profit and loss account makes it clear that in spite of restrictions of law, there was a most generous scope for profitable business. The margin of profit was always so large that any reasonable restrictions imposed in the interests of central banking were not likely to make the bank less profitable for the existing shareholders or prospective investors.†

Barring the Indian bank of Madras in which province highest rates prevail as a rule, the Imperial Bank has always had the highest rate of gross profits of which we have any record. Till 1932, the rate rarely fell below 3.25 and was usually at least 1 per cent in excess of the next best rate earned by the Allahabad Bank of India. Even after 1932, although the differences narrowed, the rate of the Imperial Bank did not fall below 2.50.

* Qs. 9599; 9790; 9800—Minutes of Evidence, Hilton-Young Commission. One-name paper is not a marked feature of Indian business.

† Table's XXXIII and XXXIV.

Its expense ratio, however, has been midway between the most economically and the least economically run Indian banks. The ratio showed a tendency to rise in the years after the first Great War but after the 1929-30 crisis, it has declined in a significant manner. A more rapid pace of Indianisation, etc., is likely to prove a more permanent influence on this ratio than economy expedients improvised to meet recent difficult years. Some justification for this belief is found in the proportion which salaries bear to the aggregate expenses of the bank. Of the large gross profits it earns, larger per unit than in the case of any other important bank, as large a proportion as 35 to 40 per cent. is spent on salaries. Its expenditure on salaries compares favourably only with that of the Allahabad Bank of India whose rate of gross profits stands next to the Imperial Bank's. The fraction shows a remarkable stability from year to year but may be expected to fall as the employment of foreign skill and direction is discontinued.

Its rate of net profits is more decisive on the point we are seeking to establish. The rate for the Imperial Bank is easily the highest among those for which we have any record. Always more than 1.60 per cent. of resources employed, even the last depression could not reduce it below 1.10. As a percentage of capital and reserves, which themselves have always borne a steady and high ratio to deposit-liabilities round about 13 to 14 per cent., net profits are well above 10 per cent as a rule.*

Imperial Bank and Competition with other Banks

No argument weighed so much in favour of the creation of a new institution as the fear of the incompatibility between competition in business and national leadership. Limitation of profits is no doubt one obvious means of reconciling the two. Restrictions on business such as the Presidency Banks and the Imperial banks have always had to conform to, is a still more direct means of attaining the same objective. But far more important than legal prohibitions and restrictions are tradition and habits growing

* Table XXXIII.

out of natural evolution. We must examine how far past history was tending to fit the Imperial Bank for such a role.

National leadership depends on two factors. It may grow out of a historical environment of mutual trust and habits of informal co-operation. The growth of such an environment may be initiated or facilitated by the manner in which the executive of a bank is constituted. The two, although interdependent, deserve to be analysed separately.*

It appears that the competition of the Imperial Bank became a source of constant complaint only after the amalgamation of 1921 and the subsequent creation of branches. The Allahabad Bank, in particular, was loud in its out-cry before the Hilton-Young Commission. It was on record, however, that out of 88 new branches created by 1926, as many as 75 were located in places where no bank had any branch before. The new branches competed with the Allahabad Bank in 12 places only and even among these 12 places, there were at least 4 in which the Allahabad Bank was already confronted with competition from the Alliance Bank of Simla.†

It is difficult to set any narrow or definite territorial limits to the effective influence of a new branch.‡ This influence is apt to extend far beyond the town or place in which the bank-branch is located in the physical sense. A range as wide as 100 miles round-about was mentioned as the radius of this influence. There was perhaps an element of exaggeration in the statement. It is nevertheless necessary to ascertain how far the Imperial Bank gave any reasonable grounds for complaint on the score of unfair or uneconomic rates.

Unfortunately, the Imperial Bank does not publish figures of its total earnings like some other banks. It is

* Almost throughout the whole of its history till almost the end of the 19th century. private bankers and after 1826, joint stock banks accused the Bank of England of competing unfairly with them and of placing its private gain above national interests. The Bank of England on its part tried several policies tentatively, complete divorce from the discount market by maintaining its discount rate higher than the market rate as after 1819, 1857 and 1888; keen and active rivalry by lowering its rate below the market rate as between 1844-47; partial divorce by keeping its rate higher but maintaining contact by quoting a lower rate for its special customers as after 1847 and 1890. Even as late as 1890, the technique of the central bank penal but elastic rate was so little understood that the commercial banks threatened to fix their own rates independently of the Bank of England and even to launch a new Reserve Bank for themselves.

† Qs. 9545-51; 9551; Table 15, p. 479—Appendices, Hilton-Young Commission.

‡ Ibid, Qs. 12002; 12034; 11957.

not possible therefore, to compare its rate of earning per unit of funds employed with the rates of other banks. Its gross profit rate, as we have already recorded, is the highest in our table and is apt to stand above the next highest rate, namely, that of the Allahabad Bank, by an impressive margin. The gross profits rate may be high either because the Imperial Bank is able to charge very high rates or because it has to pay a relatively lower price for the funds it obtains. Since the complaint is one of unfair competition for business, it is clear that rates of the Imperial Bank are alleged to be uneconomically low. It was asserted before the Hilton-Young Commission that when the Allahabad Bank quoted $7\frac{1}{2}$ per cent., the Imperial Bank was found to be content with 5 per cent. The more usual difference between the rates of the two banks was given out as $\frac{1}{2}$ to 1 per cent.*

There could be no complaint of unfair competition if the low rates which the Imperial Bank paid to its depositors bespoke merely the confidence which the public reposed in the Bank on account of its better management. But the argument was that these low rates were due to the special privileged position of the Bank. Vast funds free of interest were placed at its disposal in the course of the management of government revenues and expenditure or the public debt services. Besides, Government transferred funds for the Bank through Currency free of charge. These privileges, it was suggested, enabled the Bank to offer accommodation to the public at uneconomic rates and yet to maintain the highest rate of gross profits among Indian Banks.

It will be recalled that except in the year 1922, the proportion of public to private deposits never exceeded 12 per cent. For many years, it was much less than 12 per cent.† Even if $\frac{1}{6}$ th of the total funds it employed were assumed as free of interest, and the rates paid by the Imperial Bank on its other deposits were taken to be as high as those of the Central Bank of India, the former could not account for

* Qs. 12664, 11957—Minutes of Evidence. Hilton-Young Commission.
† P. 508—Appendices. Hilton-Young Commission.

an advantage in the gross rate of more than 6 to 7 per cent. Actually, the difference between the gross profit rate of the Imperial Bank and the Allahabad Bank is much more than 1 per cent., perhaps nearer to 2 per cent. than 1 per cent. It is self-evident therefore that the larger size of its gross profit rate was due as much to its ability to attract funds cheaply as to the funds placed at its disposal by the Government.

It is also probable that a part of the explanation is to be found in the composition of the private deposit liabilities of the Imperial Bank and other Indian banks. We have evidence for the Imperial Bank only for the war years 1915-17, 1919, the post-war year 1925 and the years 1939-43. In these years, the Banks of Bengal and Madras showed fixed and savings deposits to be about 33 to 45 per cent. of all deposits. For the same years, the percentage for the Allahabad Bank was as high as 77 per cent. for fixed and savings deposits. When we recall that even for the Central Bank of India, the average difference between rates paid on fixed and current accounts is about 2.5 to 3 per cent. and that the Imperial Bank pays no interest on current accounts, the ability of the Imperial Bank to quote lower interest-rates on its loans is seen to be due not entirely to uneconomic competition.*

It is rather significant that the loudest outcry has generally come from those areas where high interest-rates prevail generally. One such area is the Punjab and the United Provinces where the Allahabad Bank and the Punjab National Bank carry on their main business. Another such area is South India where the Indian Bank and a large number of small banks ply their trade. The relative level of gross earnings of different banks is a good indication of the conditions amidst which these banks lend their funds. The Central Bank of India with its branches more or less evenly distributed in all parts of

* Imperial Bank (lakhs. Last Week, March 1925).

	All India.	Bengal Circle.	In Percentage of All-India.	Total Deposits. Bengal Circle.
Current Accounts ..	1,544	2,717	55.1	53.1
Fixed Deposits ..	1,256	2,408	44.9	46.9

Pp. 50-60. Bengal Provincial Inquiry Committee Report. See also Table.

India may be taken as the type of banks which are neither exceptionally favoured by high interest-rates nor exceptionally prejudiced by low rates. Yet, the Allahabad Bank and the Punjab National Bank are able to maintain their gross earning rate at one per cent and more above the rate of the Central Bank of India. In the years after the crisis of 1929, the differences have widened still further in favour of the aforesaid two banks, 2 and 3 per cent. being quite common. The earning rate of the Indian Bank is much higher, an excess of 3 per cent. and more above the Central Bank rate being quite common. This leads to the conclusion that while sporadic cases of drastic use of its power by the Imperial Bank could be cited here and there, a general tendency to the lowering of rates on account of the appearance of the Bank on the scene was but a natural effect of the aforesaid conditions and could hardly be a ground for legitimate complaint on the part of other banks.*

It is not sufficient merely to prove that the complaints of certain banks were not altogether well-founded. A bank which is moving towards the leadership of a banking system must actively foster and justify confidence in itself. As the history of the Bank of England proves, a tradition of such confidence is slow to grow and has to progress through much difficulty and misunderstanding. There is, however, some evidence that the Imperial Bank was slowly establishing for itself a somewhat analogous position.

One great obstacle, perhaps the greatest obstacle, was ignorance—ignorance on the part of the public as well as banks. This was well illustrated by the crisis of 1913. The Allahabad Bank found itself in an embarrassing situation because a rumour spread that it had asked for assistance from the Imperial Bank.† For a long time thereafter, the Allahabad Bank made it a policy not to borrow from any big bank to meet even temporary difficulties. Unwillingness to borrow from a rival on grounds of

* Q. 11896-11870; 19081—Minutes of Evidence, Hilton-Young Commission.

† Q. 12365. P. 419 Table No. 13—Ibid. 926.

prestige is to be met with even in advanced countries like France. But backwardness of public sentiment is an additional difficulty in the adoption of what in other countries would be looked on as normal and natural banking operations.

Things, however, tended to gradual improvement with the passage of time. In the twenties of the present century, the Bengal National Bank had cause to express gratitude for the willing assistance rendered by the Imperial Bank. The special position of the Imperial Bank was brought into greater prominence by the events subsequent to the failure of the Alliance Bank of Simla Ltd., on 27th April, 1923. Acting under instructions of Government and a guarantee of the Finance Dept., the Imperial Bank undertook to pay immediately 50 per cent. of the amounts due to depositors inclusive of current accounts and savings bank accounts. To enable the Bank to meet such situations with promptitude and effectiveness in the future, an amendment was enacted shortly afterwards by which the Bank was empowered to advance or lend money to a banking institution with a rupee capital upon security of its assets with the the specific object of averting or facilitating a winding-up.*

The more enlightened among the banks have shown from very early days a better appreciation of the position and responsibilities of such a Bank. They have entrusted to it their surplus cash balances; and a certain proportion of the Imperial Bank's private deposits is accounted for by this practice. The volume tended to fluctuate according to the demands of trade. We have it on the authority of the Central Banking Inquiry Committee's Report that in 1925 these balances varied between such wide limits as Rs. 2 crores and Rs. 13½ crores. The figures given for March and September of 1928, 1929 and 1930 by the same Report show these balances at about 3 to 4 crores. The funds borrowed by the banks from the Imperial Bank varied in a like manner.

Character of Executive, Ownership and Personnel

A powerful influence in the creation of such leadership is the public confidence that the character of the Executive, ownership and personnel is such as to conduce to national outlook and conservation of public interests. This condition is satisfied in most countries by vesting the power to appoint the executive in the Government or making the appointment subject to its confirmation. Some countries go much further in these safeguards. As our account of the history of the Imperial Bank indicates, the constitution of the executive has always been recognised as a national concern and more emphatically so, in the changes of 1921. The history of the Bank of England should be sufficient assurance that with this initial advantage, the course of development might have followed similar lines but for the decisions of 1926 and 1934.

The public mind in India was impressed not so much with the policies of the Imperial Bank as the large European element in its personnel and clientele. For a long time, there has been an undisguised suspicion that the presence of this element made the Bank more solicitous of alien banking and commercial interests. Many changes in the desired direction, particularly Indianisation of personnel, have taken place in recent years. But the close alliance between the Bank and British commercial interests still persists, albeit to a more limited extent now than formerly.*

The evidence tendered before the Chamberlain Commission as long ago as 34 years showed that 4/5ths of the shareholders of the Bank of Madras were Indians. Out of 732 lakhs of advances, 588 were advanced to Indians. 3/5ths of the deposits of the three Presidency Banks belonged to Indians. Of the aggregate advances of the Bank of Bombay, 5/6ths were made to Indians and Indian industries. By

* Distribution of Shares of Bank of Bombay. (1840).

	No. of Shares
178 Europeans (Resident in India)	3,261
12 Native Christians	49
3 Muslims	55
109 Parsees	1,238
35 Hindus	327
Government Shares	5,200

—Cooke, *Banking in India*, p. 165.

1925, the situation improved still further. Of individual deposits, Indians then held 67 per cent. as against 33 per cent. held by Europeans. Of advances, 68 per cent. went to Indians as against 32 per cent. to Europeans. Of the deposits of banks, one-quarter belonged to Indian banks but of advances, more than one-half were made to Indian banks.* In spite of this growing stake of Indians in the Bank, the supreme direction of the Bank has always remained in non-Indian hands.

Seasonal Character of its Business and Rate Variations

It would be more legitimate to blame the Imperial Bank on the ground of its almost total failure to prevent a very wide range of seasonal fluctuations in interest-rates† and the very high levels which they reach at certain times of the year. The retention of the ultimate control of currency in the hands of the Government and the peculiar arrangement by which aid from currency reserves was made dependent on particular levels of the bank-rate were no doubt aggravating factors in the situation. Still, it cannot be doubted that it lay in the power of the Imperial Bank to moderate the range of fluctuations but it preferred its own profits to national interests and exploited to the full the highly seasonal demand for currency.

The burden of meeting the seasonal demand was supported largely by the Imperial Bank. This was a consequence largely of the prohibitions laid on it against engaging in any but truly short-term and self-liquidating business. Great variations in cash rather than in any other assets of the bank were the main technique employed by the Bank for this seasonal adaptation. When seasonal demand rose, cash was permitted to fall to comparatively low levels. When seasonal slackness caused the inevitable fall in loans,

* Q. 1829; 9832; 9855; 9857—Minutes of Evidence, Vol. II, Chamberlain Commission, 1912.

Last week of March 1925. (in Lakhs)

	Indian Banks	Other Banks
Deposits	185	576
Advances	306	228

Q. 9750. Appendix No. 48—Hilton-Young Commission.

† During the 10 years 1921-30, the rate for demand loans of the Imperial Bank stood at 9 p.c. for approximately 8 weeks, 8 p.c. for 47 weeks; at 7 p.c. for 119 weeks; 6 p.c. for 140 weeks; 5 p.c. for 129 weeks; 4 p.c. for 81 weeks.

cash credits and above all in bills, the inflowing repayments merely went to swell the cash. Investments which are composed almost entirely of Government paper could not be availed of for the purpose since depreciation and capacity of the market are factors to be reckoned in. In the stable years 1923-1929, between the months of March and September, an average fall of about 7 per cent in cash credits, 5 per cent. in loans and 7 per cent. in bills measured on the basis of the volume of deposits was offset by an increase of more than 16 per cent. in cash. The increase in investments is so slight as to be hardly comparable and reflects more truly a secular trend.* In contrast with this high seasonal trend of the balance-sheets of the Imperial Bank, the balance-sheets of other Indian joint-stock banks hardly disclose much seasonal variation.†

Analysis of aggregate monthly advances for the years 1921 to 1930 suggests June as the month lying midway between the peak and trough of the seasonal demand for money. In March or April, business demand reaches its full height, the average level of advances as a proportion of deposits being about 15 per cent. over the June level. September records the lowest level, about 14 per cent. less than in June. The average difference in advances in the aforesaid years was 15 crores between the peak and trough months while the average cash-balance held in March was about 18 crores and in September above 30 crores. •

Seasonal demand by itself need not cause embarrassment to individual banks or to the banking system as a whole. When the outflow of cash at one time of the year is certain

* Average Percentage to Total Liabilities. (Liabilities Capital, Reserve, Public and Private Deposits).

				1921-1922		1923-1929	
				March.	September.	March.	September.
Cash	24.8	32.5	16.5	39.8
Loans	19.7	22.8	17.8	12.2
Cash				
Credits	29.2	24.4	34.1	26.7
Bills	• 10.6	5.9	11.7	4.8
Investments	18.8	12.6	16.7	19.9

Table XI

† 55 Scheduled Banks (Including Imperial Bank).

(Average Percentage to Total Liabilities).

		March.	September.
Cash (1925-26; 1928-29)	..	12.81	14.88
Bills and Loans (1927-28; 1928-29)	..	54.20	46.84

See Table XII.

to be followed at another time by inflow on the same scale, variations in cash caused by them cease to have much significance for safety or liquidity of bank assets. When interest-rates show a wide range of seasonal variation, it is apt to bespeak more the character of the monetary organisation of a country than the inevitability of such monetary rhythm. The demand for finance, for example, has assuredly a far wider seasonal range in the United States than in England. Yet even before the advent of the Federal Reserve system, the monthly averages of rates on prime commercial paper for the years 1890-1900 gave a spread of 1.04 only. The spread in England for the period 1882-1913 was 1.50 for average Floating Rate and 1.70 for average Discount-Rate for 3 months bank bills. The greater spread in England is explained by the fact that the money market cannot replenish itself at the Bank of England unless the market rate rises to the bank-rate and the bill-brokers are forced into the Bank. In India, the Imperial Bank which had some of the privileges and a few of the responsibilities of a Central bank preferred to raise its rate to the point of maximum profit rather than allow its cash to fall low in the interest of stable rates. The fact that the level of cash in the busy month of March was on the average as high as 16 per cent. of deposits during the twenties of the present century proves what a large margin there was for a policy of more rational rates. Unfortunately Government also set its imprimatur on unstable and high rates by linking aid from Currency Reserves with certain prescribed levels of the bank-rate. A more rational policy has had to await the creation of a Central bank placed above the motive of private profit.*

Imperial Bank after 1934

The Reserve Bank Act of 1934 put an end to or at least sought to barricade the growth of the leadership of the Imperial Bank along certain lines. That law caused certain changes in the position and powers of the Bank which we must now proceed to assess.

* Pp. 322-23 and Kock, *Study of Interest-Rates*, pp. 110-114.

The Bank ceased to be the banker to Government. The Government, on its part, practically withdrew from the management of the Bank. In the general interests of the country, however, power was reserved to the Governor-General-in-Council to nominate to the Central Board non-official persons not exceeding two in number. Contact between Government and the Bank was assured by the nomination by Governor-General of an officer of Government entitled to attend the meetings of the Central Board, to take part in its discussions but not to vote. This arrangement is an obvious recognition of the unique place of the Imperial Bank in the financial and banking interests of the country especially in times of crisis and war.

With the withdrawal of special privileges and Government control, the justification of old restrictions on the business of the Bank ceased.

The Bank was now authorised to transact foreign exchange business, to open branches and undertake banking business of any kind inclusive of borrowing abroad. It was allowed to buy bills of exchange payable abroad and of a usance not exceeding nine months in the case of bills relating to the financing of seasonal agricultural operations and six months in the case of other bills.

In the case of advances or loans relating to the financing of seasonal agricultural operations, the period of six months was extended to nine months. The Bank was now permitted to acquire and hold, and generally to deal with, any right, title, or interest in any property movable or immovable which may be the Bank's security for loans and advances or may be connected with any such security. The security for loans and cash credits was now widened to include municipal debentures or securities, when permitted by the Governor-General-in-Council, of a Native State of India, the debentures of limited liability companies approved by the Central Board, etc.

Under an agreement between the Imperial Bank and the Reserve Bank which will last in the first instance for 15

years and which may terminate with 5 years' prior notice on either side, the former is to act as agent for the latter in all places in British India where it has a branch and the latter has got none. The Imperial Bank shall also perform in those places the usual functions on behalf of Central and Provincial Governments and the Railway Board. For these latter services, the Imperial Bank is to receive commission at certain rates on the volume of transactions for the first ten years and the actual cost for the next five years and, if necessary, thereafter also.

TABLE XI
Imperial Bank of India, (Balance-Sheet December 31st) (Figures in lakhs)

Year	Deposits.				Total.	Reserve.	Paid up Capital.	Loans against Security per contract	Loans from paper Currency.	Total Liabilities 8+8+10	Loans.	Cash Credits.	Bills.	Cash on hand and at Bank.	Government Securities.	Other authorized Investments.	Total Investments.	Dead Stock.	Gross Profits (000*)	Net Profits. (000s)	Establishment Expenses (000s)	Other Expenses (000s.)
	Public.	Fixed.	Current.	Total Private Deposits.	Total Deposits + Liabilities																	
1914-15	599			3071	3670	755	755				1030	945	547	2174	448	79	527	30	6983	4803	1507	35
1915-16	497			3860	4357	744	744				993	860	461	1519	1117	136	1253	45	6903	4526	1591	35
1916-17	520			4471	4991	736	736				1515	830	915	3556	1445	164	1009	56	7443	4839	1833	37
1917-18	770			6770	7540	738	738				1820	1352	436	1816	1108	165	1271	58	8333	5190	2104	37
1918-19	863			5095	5958	715	715															
1919-20				6578	7358																	
1920-21	690			5700	7116	905	905	39	7258	8111	1867	3537	903	1360	1117	123	1245	236	23202	13053	6873	3474
1921-22	1480			7419	8276	995	995	88	8111	9283	2163	3899	619	1507	853	125	978	245	27160	14543	8409	4309
1922-23	837			7671	8421	1007	1007		900	9750	1879	3334	1143	1601	1088	128	1316	244	29865	15478	9839	4696
1923-24	750			7783	8329	1044	1044		200	9373	1470	3577	1159	1560	1515	121	1636	263	32083	15960	10945	5478
1924-25	546			7390	8025	1071	1071			9106	1453	2653	733	1746	1579	123	1701	273	31509	14319	11693	5398
1925-26	645			7207	7927	1074	1074		400	8677	1639	2975	1546	2080	1719	195	1914	277	33208	14949	12433	4931
1926-27	795			7130	7925	1084	1084			9009	2162	3750	1244	1087	1904	189	1859	276	33124	15080	12398	4766
1927-28	760			7164	7924	1094	1094			9018	895	2787	624	1400	3016	233	3176	281	33122	15014	12677	4680
1928-29	736			7860	8396	1102	1102			9493	1490	2589	875	1304	3556	268	3399	280	33381	15309	12844	4359
1929-30	833			6385	7217	1083	1083			8529	1041	2654	459	1103	2759	267	3026	263	31937	14490	12653	4496
1930-31	706			6336	7542	1094	1094			8621	661	1901	104	2096	3037	166	3203	261	29419	13751	11473	4196
1931-32	644			7412	8056	1097	1097			8700	416	1529	200	1839	4619	83	4702	253	25497	10071	11904	3764
1932-33	673			7427	8069	1109	1109			9196	583	1559	255	1897	4155	46	4697	231	24325	11642	11875	3998
1933-34				7879	8699	1112	1112			9018	369	1487	300	1933	4640	46	4697	231	24325	11642	11875	3998
1934-35				8116	8918	1117	1117			9218	650	1687	479	1858	5231	27	4763	232	24198	10630	10716	3963
1935-36				8151	8953	1122	1122			9286	1098	2176	555	1843	4632	139	4764	239	24487	10657	10923	3978
1936-37				8784	9603	1134	1134			9906	1070	3098	639	1108	3815	44	4874	289	24487	10657	10923	3978
1937-38		5111	3114	9603	10892	1136	1136			10727	735	3114	381	2493	4760	43	4857	189				
1938-39		5835	3969	10892	12453	1147	1147			12016	1160	2013	632	2333	6320	63	6438	178				
1939-40		6543	3446	10908	12453	1147	1147			17483	985	1792	632	2333	11446	86	11597	174				
1940-41		10908	4074	23778	26937	1162	1162			22800	1873	1966	731	5376	13713	236	13979	160				
1941-42				23778	26937	1162	1162			24940	1513	4445	1064	2831	14479	384	14963	166				
1942-43				26937	27106	1168	1168				3023	3342	931	4160	14894	524	15418	168				

* Includes taxes payable by the Bank.

TABLE XII
Imperial Bank of India December 31st (In percentage to Total Deposits.)

	Capital and Reserves	Public Deposits.	Loan from P.C.R.	Fixed Deposits.	Other Deposits.	Loans	Cash Credits.	Bills	Government Securities	Other authorised Securities	Total Investments	Dead Stock	Cash.
1910	21.8												
1911	20.4												
1912													
1913													
June													
1914	19.0	23.1				38.3	35.5	20.5	16.8	2.9	19.7	0.3	46
1915	19.3	11.4				22.7	19.7	10.5	25.6	3.1	28.7	1.1	35
1916	16.4	10.5											45
1917	10.9	10.2				20.0	11.0	12.1	19.1	2.1	21.2	0.7	45
1918	14.1												
1919	11.3												
1920	9.6												30
1921	18.7	9.3				25.7	34.8	13.6	15.3	1.7	17.0	3.2	18.7
1922	13.9	10.8				27.1	40.7	8.6	11.9	1.7	13.6	3.4	21.1
1923	12.1	10.3				26.7	45.4	13.8	13.1	1.5	14.6	2.9	18.1
1924	13.4	8.9	2.5			18.1	39.5	13.7	17.9	1.4	18.3	3.1	18.5
1925	12.5	6.5				17.6	42.9	5.8	18.9	1.4	20.3	3.2	20.9
1926	13.3	8.0				17.8	31.5	9.1	21.3	2.4	23.7	3.4	26.8
1927	12.8	8.6	4.8			19.5	35.7	18.5	20.0	3.2	22.2	3.3	13.0
1928	12.6	10.0				27.3	34.7	15.6	24.0	3.4	27.4	3.5	13.3
1929	13.8	9.5				8.7	34.5	7.8	38.0	3.5	41.5	3.5	17.6
1930	13.1	8.7				17.7	30.8	4.4	31.6	3.1	34.7	3.2	15.6
1931	14.2	11.1	3.3			14.4	35.5	6.1	36.9	3.5	40.4	3.4	14.7
1932	14.3	9.3				8.7	25.3	2.5	42.2	2.2	44.4	3.4	27.7
1933	16.4	7.9				5.1	18.9	2.4	37.3	1.0	38.3	3.1	26.0
1934	15.5	8.2				7.1	19.2	3.1	51.3	0.5	51.3	3.0	23.4
1935	14.0					4.6	18.8	3.7	53.6	0.5	52.1	2.9	24.5(0.2)*
1936	14.1					7.2	20.6	6.0	66.3	0.3	66.3	2.6	9.4(0.9)
1937	13.7					8.0	20.8	7.3	55.9	1.7	58.6	3.1	13.3(3.1)
1938	13.6					13.4	26.6	6.5	55.1	0.5	53.5	3.6	6.9(4.1)
1939	12.7					12.1	35.2	7.5	42.2	0.5	42.3	3.1	11.1(1.5)
1940	11.7	37.8				12.1	35.2	6.5	42.2	0.4	42.3	1.8	25.3
1941	10.7	33.7				7.6	22.0	3.9	49.6	0.4	49.6	1.6	14.0
1942		34.4				10.6	18.4	6.5	53.6	0.5	53.5	1.0	14.0
1943	6.9	29.9				5.7	10.9	3.9	70.5	0.5	70.5	0.7	25.0
1944	5.3	21.8				6.3	9.0	3.3	59.2	1.2	63.7	0.7	11.9
1945	4.5					6.3	18.7	4.4	60.3	1.6	63.4	0.6	16.0
						11.6	12.8	3.5	57.4	2.0	59.4	0.6	

* Figures in brackets are balances with other banks.

TABLE XIII

Imperial Bank of India (Percentage to Deposits)

	1921	1922	1923	1924	1925	1926	1927	1928	1929	1930	Average for 1921-22	Average for 1923-29
Cash :												
March ..	26.8	22.9	17.1	15.2	16.9	24.5	18.3	12.1	11.9	18.9	24.8	16.5
Sept. ..	29.0	26.1	37.8	26.1	32.4	45.2	31.8	26.1	30.5	25.8	32.5	32.8
Loans :												
March ..	19.1	20.1	22.2	22.7	19.2	17.3	18.0	12.7	12.0	9.7	19.7	17.8
Sept. ..	23.1	17.6	14.5	14.9	14.1	10.6	11.4	12.0	8.3	17.5	22.8	12.2
Cash Credits :												
March ..	27.1	32.7	37.1	35.0	30.6	34.8	33.2	32.9	35.7	31.4	29.9	34.1
Sept. ..	22.6	26.3	29.3	34.8	33.5	18.8	24.8	28.1	22.8	28.8	24.4	26.7
Bills :												
March ..	11.7	10.1	10.5	12.9	16.8	5.9	7.4	16.5	12.8	7.3	10.9	11.7
Sept. ..	7.8	4.1	4.5	5.3	5.1	4.4	6.2	4.7	3.2	2.4	5.9	4.8
Investments :												
March ..	16.0	11.5	11.2	9.8	14.0	15.5	20.0	22.6	24.1	29.3	13.8	16.7
Sept. ..	15.4	9.8	12.1	11.5	17.1	18.0	22.5	25.8	32.5	24.0	12.6	19.9

TABLE XIV

(Average Percentage to Deposits)

						Imperial Bank	Bank of India.	Central Bank of India.	Punjab National Bank.	Bank of Mysore
Cash :										
1915-20 June	22.0	16.4	16.1	27.6
Dec.	27.4	16.4	15.7	28.4
1921-25 June	34.2	21.2	16.2
Dec.	19.4	20.8	19.4
1926-30 June	28.3	17.4	..	9.4	15.3
Dec.	17.2	15.8	..	8.0	10.4
1931-35 June	25.2	17.8	..	5.1	15.4
Dec.	23.7	14.7	..	6.3	14.4
Loans, Advances Bills :										
1915-20 June	32.1	61.7
Dec.	31.1	62.4
1921-25 June	60.0	31.3	64.0
Dec.	74.7	78.6	68.6
1926-30 June	53.6	74.9	..	63.5	77.5
Dec.	63.4	68.6	..	65.2	77.4
1931-35 June	37.9	53.8	..	60.0	72.9
Dec.	35.1	51.3	..	63.4	70.0
Investments :										
1915-20 June	12.6	..	22.9	..
Dec.	12.3	..	20.3	..
1921-25 June	13.4	17.3
Dec.	17.1	20.3
1926-30 June	24.9	17.4	..	30.9	23.4
Dec.	30.2	27.8	..	24.2	25.3
1931-35 June	44.5	31.5	..	26.5	30.1
Dec.	50.9	22.9	..	33.2	35.3

CHAPTER V

STRUCTURE OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

THE structure of assets and liabilities of a banking system is the outcome within certain limits of their mutual interaction. The quantities and character of resources which form the liabilities of banks limit naturally the choice of business which they undertake. But it is also within the power of banks themselves to influence to an extent the volume and composition of their liabilities. Both assets and liabilities in the long run are themselves the reflection of basic economic conditions of a country or region in the determination of which the banking system as such is only one among several factors.

It is usual to speak of the Indian banking system as being composed of three elements. The Exchange Banks conform to one pattern, the basic aims and objects of which are broadly reflected in their structure of assets and liabilities. The Imperial Bank of India holds a special status which is to be largely interpreted in terms of political and historical circumstances. The third constituent is made up of Indian joint-stock banks which it is usual to class under one head. As a matter of fact, Indian joint-stock banks do not conform to one homogeneous type but reveal certain divergences of aims and objects or economic environment which it should be one of our main objects to study. The present chapter is devoted to the study of these three constituents but in the case of the last, it covers very largely those leading banks for which information is easily available.*

I

STRUCTURE OF LIABILITIES

Capital and Reserves

The capital and reserves of a bank perform a dual function. They serve as a guarantee fund to the creditors

* Reference throughout Section I are to Tables XIII and XIV and in the case of the Imperial Bank Tables IX to XII.

of a bank, since in case of failure, losses must in the first instance fall on capital and reserves. To that extent, a high proportion of capital and reserves is the basis of public confidence in a bank. Secondly, they form the fixed part of the resources of a bank and as such may be found useful for certain types of investments for which other borrowed resources are not deemed so eligible.

To a certain extent, the size of the general reserve and, according to circumstances, of special reserves if any, is a better index to the strength and stability of a bank than even paid capital. For, the building up of a large reserve over a period of time is itself a record of the profit making capacity and therefore the success of a bank. Taken with the dividend distributed, it is a proof of prudent and cautious management.

This proportion is the outcome of diverse factors. They may be stated in general terms as —Bank Policy; Banking Laws; Bank-Amalgamations; Causes influencing the normal size of deposits; Monetary changes which ensue in appreciable inflation or deflation and which, though they act on deposits, are so important that they deserve a separate place in our analysis.

The banks themselves have it in their power to fix this proportion by estimating the volume of business they aim at or aspire to build and raising their capital accordingly. It has been a serious and well-founded complaint against Indian banks that many of them start on their career with capital which is altogether insignificant for the type of business and responsibilities which a bank is held to undertake. The more flagrant type of abuse has now been prohibited by the Indian Company Law of 1936 which prescribes a minimum capital of Rs. 50,000 and a statutory accumulation of reserves so as to raise the owned funds by a further Rs. 50,000 in a short period.

The growth of banks whether by natural expansion or amalgamation tends to lower the ratio of capital and reserves. Economies of large scale are to a certain extent as much evident in banking as elsewhere. Growth in size

attracts confidence and confidence attracts resources and so on in an endless chain. The more expensive staff at the top is either utilised more intensively or, as in the case of amalgamations, rendered partially superfluous. The less profitable branches or lines of business can now be closed and the work passed on to existing organisation. In the case of amalgamations, there is the further factor that the absorbing bank which is as a rule the bigger one offers its shares which have a greater market value in exchange for the issued capital of the smaller bank. There takes place in this way a reduction in the amount of the nominal capital added to the capital of the bigger bank. Sometimes, indeed, amalgamations take place by discharging the shares of the small bank in cash or by the allotment of Government stock.*

The proportion under discussion depends as much on the absolute size of deposits as the absolute size of capital and reserves. It is within the discretion of banks to determine, at least initially, the size of the latter. But the factors which influence the size of the former are very largely beyond the power of the banks to determine.

Holland is a very arresting example of how a high proportion may be the outcome, not of the largeness of capital and reserves, but of the relative smallness of deposits. In India also, factors are at work which on the balance tend to keep down the volume of deposits. Ignorance and illiteracy make the cheque habit the exception rather than the rule. Hoarding or direct investment whether in industries or money-lending is preferred to the use of banks as custodians or intermediaries. Postal money orders are a more common medium of transfer of funds while postal cash certificates and postal savings accounts attract not a small part of the savings of the community. Hence, the volume of deposits,

* The influence of this factor is well illustrated in the case of the British banking system.
United Kingdom

	1897	1910	1914	1919	1922	1929	1938
Proportion of Capital and Reserve to Deposits and Notes (including Bank of England)	15.8	12.8	9.7	6.0	7.0	7.5	6.7
Do. Do. (Excluding Do. Do.)	9.9	6.0	7.2	8.4	7.5

—Bankers, Insurance Managers and Agents Magazine. October 1939, pp. 518-514.

small as it is in relation to the area and population of the country, tends to be small also in relation to the capital structure of banks.

No factor we have analysed till now can compare in its importance, however, with inflationary or deflationary movements and the rise and fall of prices. In such times, capital and reserves remain unchanged while the volume of deposits is subject to large and rapid alterations. Even when further capital is called and profits diverted into reserves, the aggregate is hardly able to keep pace with inflation. When inflation ultimately gives way to its deflationary sequel, bank-failures and net withdrawals of cash are the only factors exerting to bring deposits into some adjustment with the fixed capital structure. The more usual course of events is that the proportion falls in times of rising prices and remains steady in times of falling prices. The uncertainties of inflation and deflation, their uncertain degree, duration, etc., make bank managements naturally unwilling to undertake in such times any hasty alterations in the fixed capital structures of banks.

The force of this factor is well illustrated by the banking systems of those countries which have passed through great inflationary and deflationary phases. In France, the pre-war ratio of 20 per cent. fell to 8 per cent. by 1929, i.e. till the currency stabilisation of 1928. In Germany, the pre-war ratio of 30 per cent deteriorated to 8 per cent. by 1929. Many other countries show evidence of the operation of the same forces—although not on the same scale.*

The slow progress of the banking habit and growth of deposits in the nineteenth century in India has been already recorded elsewhere.† Even the Presidency Banks, with all the prestige of Government to support them, found it necessary to depend for a substantial part of their working

* Percentage of Capital and Reserves to Public Liabilities.
1913 1929

Percentage of Capital and Reserves to Public Liabilities.						1913	1929	
						1913	1929	
Netherlands	58	28	France	..	20	8
Germany	30	8*	U.S.A. (Member-banks)	..	19	14
Switzerland	22	16				
England	10	7				

Pp. 27-28. League of Nations. Commercial Banks 1913-29.

† See pp. 25-29, and Tables XXI, XXII.

resources on their capital and reserves. As high as 56 per cent. and more of their private deposits in 1870, their capital and reserves were still 30.2 to 43.2 per cent. of deposits during the interesting episode of the nineties.

The present ratio of the Imperial Bank is partly the outcome of the great inflation of war years. Before the first world war, the ratio used to be in the neighbourhood of 20 per cent. and even above. That war with its grave inflation caused a rapid fall till it was less than 10 per cent. by 1920. The increase of capital in the amalgamation of 1921 on the one hand and the great expansion of deposits consequent on the creation of its 100 statutory branches on the other seem on the whole to have balanced each other at a steady level of about 13 to 14 per cent. The great deflation of post-1929 years has not affected this proportion.

In a growing banking system, the factor which influences the proportion most is naturally the growth of deposits caused by expansion of branches or new places of operation. The Allahabad Bank of India, the oldest among existing "Indian" joint-stock banks, had a capital of 3 lakhs in 1870, when the Presidency Banks had a capital and reserves of 361 lakhs. In 1870, i.e., 5 years after its establishment, the proportion of owned to borrowed resources was as high as 78 per cent. With the growth of deposits, the proportion fell to 22.8 in 1880 and by the turn of the century, it diminished to a mere 6.5 per cent. The Punjab National Bank was launched in the middle of the remarkable nineties with capital and reserves which amounted to less than 2 lakhs only by 1900. At that time, the proportion stood at 14.1 per cent.

In the early years of their career, just before the first world war, the Big Five of Indian joint-stock banks maintained a ratio of 12 per cent. This ratio is best understood in the light of the previous history of Indian joint-stock banks of A Class in which they are included. Till 1906, when the Swadeshi movement became active, these banks had maintained a ratio between 16 and 18 per cent. The Swadeshi wave, reinforced by steadily rising prices, caused

an increase in deposits and lowered the ratio to less than 11 per cent. in 1910. In 1912, the year before the banking crisis broke out, the ratio of the Big Five was 12.8.

During the first world war, extension of branches and inflation acted together to affect this ratio adversely. In the case of Class A banks, the ratio fell from 14.5 per cent. in 1915 to 12.9 per cent. in 1919. The figures for the Big Five are 19.3 and 10.6 per cent. in spite of substantial additions to their capital and reserves in the closing years of the war.*

The steady deflation of 1922-29 was more than counter-balanced by extension to new places and creation of new branches. Class A banks maintained a steady ratio of 16 to 18 per cent. The Big Five among whom the Central Bank of India recorded a great accession to capital and reserves on its amalgamation with the Tata Industrial Bank, improved and maintained their level at about the same proportion as the Imperial Bank, viz., 13 to 14 per cent. The situation changed strikingly after 1929 when the great spate of expansion added so enormously to their deposits that the proportion fell down to 9 per cent. by 1938. Class A banks recorded a fall to about 14 per cent.

Class B banks present an altogether different case. Insignificant capital and reserves mean *ipso facto* insignificant capacity to attract deposits. It is hardly surprising that such banks should have to depend for their working capital more on owned than borrowed resources. Hence, the proportion, in their case has been always very high, generally much more than 30 per cent. It shows also much less fluctuation for the simple reason that their growth is always more in numbers than in size.†

The general drift of our analysis may be stated in this manner. The capital and reserves of a banking system may not be judged as adequate or inadequate on any *a priori* grounds. While a certain minimum size may be always postulated as desirable on the basis of the wealth and cir-

* See pp. 222; 229; 249.

† See pp. 21-22.

cumstances of a country, their ratio to deposits is determined by many factors among which the policy of bank management is only one and by no means the most powerful. Among the other factors, a high place must be assigned to the past history of a banking system and world-wide forces like inflation or deflation. The desirable level is to be decided relatively to the special circumstances of each country and particularly, to each phase of growth. An effort to set up rigid standards on this subject should have to take account of so many complicated and special factors as to make it nugatory in practice.

There is indeed an additional factor relating to differences between individual banks of which we have yet to take note and which is no less important than others. It appears obvious that the protective value of capital and reserves should be assessed not merely by the ratio to deposit liabilities they must support but also by the liquidity or risklessness of the assets in which the deposits are invested. But this is true in a special sense which ought to be carefully distinguished. Unlike cash which has to be held against immediate demands, capital and reserves exist as an assurance to creditors available only in the last resort. The liquidity or risklessness of assets held is therefore relevant here only in so far as it has a tendency to diminish the chance of incurring losses in the ultimate outcome. It would indeed be difficult to prove that the magnitude of losses in the long run is likely to be small in the case of so-called short term or liquid business as compared with so-called long term or non-liquid finance.

Deposit-Liabilities of Banks*

The bulk of the resources which a bank employs are borrowed from the public. This is indeed one of the main, if

*		Aggregate Deposit (calendar year-end)	Scheduled Banks Deposits (In Lakhs)	Percents of
				2 to 1
1935-36	..	245.16	217.72	88.8
1936-37	..	257.48	229.97	89.8
1937-38	241.81	..
1938-39	237.87	..

not the main, characteristic which distinguishes banking from ordinary money-lending. The quality, character, behaviour, etc., of these resources are therefore an important key to the interpretation of the inner character of a banking structure.

By far and away the most significant fact about these borrowed resources is their distribution between time and demand deposits.* The only statistics available on this point relate to the Big Five or Seven of Indian joint-stock banks and, for a few recent years, the Scheduled Banks in which the former are of course included. The Scheduled Banks represent almost 90 per cent. of the organised banking power of the country. The Big Seven claimed in the same years 30 per cent. of this banking power. Figures relating to these banks may therefore be taken as representing fairly general conditions in the country.

Ratio of Demand Deposits to Total Deposits

			1912	1918	1920	1926	1929	1935
England and Wales	68.8	57.8	54.1	54
U.S.A. (N. -Bs.)	91.1	73.8	58.6	56.8	54
France (Principal)	98.0	95.5	96.7	92.7	97
Germany (Berliner)	58.6	76.7	50.1	41.8	45
Netherlands	55.2	69.1	52.1	47.6	..
Switzerland	23.5	37.6	31.0	29.5	..
Indian (Scheduled)	54.7
Big five	27.9	18.1	34.8	33.4	35.0	49.1

Ratio of Current to Total Accounts

			London Clearing Banks.	U. S. Com- mercial Banks.	France 6 principal Banks.	Germany 5 Banks Nov. figure.	Indian Scheduled- Banks.
1929	54.1	55.9	92.7	41.8
1930	52.9
1931	52.7	58.4	93.7
1932	49.5	58.8	95.2
1933	51.3	56.2	94.8
1934	51.8	55.9	95.0	54.7
1935	53.8	45.3
1936
1937	46.3	54.8
1938	46.9	54.6

* Certain qualifications have to be borne in mind in interpreting these terms and particularly in making comparisons. In certain countries (e.g., U. S. A. and England) deposits for three months and more only are returned as fixed deposits. In other countries, the minimum period may be as short as one month or even one week. In India, fixed deposits are rarely accepted for less than six months. But the figures in the text are compiled on the basis that whatever each bank so describes is fixed deposits. Again, it should be borne in mind that in times of difficulties respectable banks do not insist on their legal right but allow even fixed deposits to be withdrawn before due time. Insistence on legal rights is apt to aggravate fear and suspicion. Finally, sometimes overdraft is granted on the security of a fixed deposit. In this case, the procedure is tantamount to conversion of a fixed deposit into a current deposit.

These figures indicate that demand deposits formed in recent years more than half of total deposit-liabilities. In the case of our Big Five, the proportion has been a little less, 50 per cent. in fact. This proportion is almost as high as in many advanced countries like the United Kingdom, the United States, etc. Even though allowance has to be made for differences in definitions of time and demand deposits, the figures are nevertheless arresting in themselves. Evidently, they demand some scrutiny for their proper interpretation. A high proportion of demand-deposits reflects sometimes a highly developed banking habit. But in certain other circumstances, as in France for example, it is indicative merely of the smallness of time deposits.

In the first place, the use of cheques in India is more or less limited to five hundred and odd towns and cities only. A good proportion of places with a population of 20 thousand and over, a fair proportion of places which have populations between 10 and 20 thousand and a very few places with populations under 10,000—these are the territorial limits of the banking habit in this country. An analysis of currency habits indicates how the use of notes and rupees predominates in certain parts of the country while other means of payment are in vogue in other parts.* The high proportion of demand deposits in our banking resources is therefore representative only of a small India, hidden in and overshadowed by an immense India around, still addicted to primitive monetary habits.

Secondly, this high proportion seems to be only a recent occurrence, particularly of post-1929 times. It is symptomatic not so much of any unusual growth of demand deposits as of an arrest in the growth of fixed deposits. Before the outbreak of the first world war, fixed deposits of the Big Five used to be as high as 70 per cent. and more. The war with its great inflation initiated a change and till 1920, the proportion fell with marked rapidity. The percentage continued stable at about 60 to 65 till 1925 but a rapid decline set in thereafter. Between 1926 and 1936, the fixed

deposits of the Big Five rose from 30 to 34 crores only but demand-deposits mounted from 17 crores to 35 crores. Several causes have been at work to diminish the importance of fixed deposits in the banking system.

Since 1920, Postal Accounts and Cash Certificates have competed severely with fixed deposits as an alternative outlet for investment. Hardly 1/9th of private deposits of all banks in 1920, they grew to be more than half in 1934. Apart from the confidence which post offices must always inspire in a country like India, the course of interest-rates in post-war and particularly post-1929 years has been no inconsiderable factor in this development.*

The remarkable and growing popularity of life insurance and preference for direct investment in stocks and debentures must tend in the same direction. Funds which used to appear formerly as fixed deposits now pause for a while as current deposits on their way to absorption in permanent investment. Preference for direct investments of this kind has been no mean factor in the surprising bulge of current deposits which is such a feature of the French banking system. This factor is seen in operation in India on a far larger scale during the second world war. Demand liabilities outdistanced time liabilities till they became twice and then thrice as high. Land, houses, precious metals, any durable assets was preferred to fixed deposits.

The relative rates obtainable on current and fixed deposits and on other forms of investment have a direct bearing on this distribution. In connection with the former, the position of short rates relatively to long rates which we have already emphasised in a previous chapter has an obvious and profound bearing on this question. The long-term rate was falling between 1920 and 1927 but it still remained at the high level of 4.4 per cent. It rose subsequently, reaching 5.7 per cent. in 1932. Throughout these

* Rates of Interest of Postal Savings Bank Accounts.

- (1) Three pias for complete sum of Rupees five for one month upto 31-3-1894, i.e., 3½%.
- (2) Three pias for complete sum of Rupees six for one month from 1-4-1894 to 31-3-1903.
- (3) 8% per annum from 1-7-1905.
- (4) 2½% per annum from 1-11-1923.
- (5) 2% per annum from 1-7-1926.
- (6) 1½% per annum from 1-12-1928.

years, rates offered on fixed deposits were unusually high even in the case of exchange banks and the Imperial Bank of India. As we have recorded, the fixed and saving deposits of the Big Five accounted for about 65 per cent of the total till 1930-31. The situation changed radically after 1932. The long-term rate declined from 5.7 in 1932 to 3.55 in 1938. The short rates fell even more precipitately.* From 1931, the proportion of fixed and savings deposits for the Big Five began to fall steadily. By 1938, it reached the unprecedentedly low level of 50 per cent.

The average size of accounts, their degrees of activity and the aggregate number of depositors have no doubt a direct bearing on the profits of banking business. They have also a deep significance for the strength and stability of a banking system. To extend exploitation from classes and places of larger banking potentialities to those with smaller banking potentialities is not a matter of mere economy in expenditure or technical organization. It means sometimes the exposure of the banking system to all irrational and tidal changes of moods which sway the mass of humanity. It is more than probable that the extraordinary increase in the number of depositors of commercial banks between 1915 and 1930 was no mean factor in the collapse of confidence which occurred in the United States in 1932-33. Saving depositors who are generally drawn from the strata of moderate incomes had alone recorded an increase from 8½m. to 41m. In a country like India, the infiltration of the masses into the banking system is fraught with even more incalculable dangers.

Direct statistics on these matters do not exist in this country. We have noted that the proportion of places with banking facilities has altered very much in favour of smaller towns with less than 10 thousand population. Since creation of branches has been a more important factor in the growth of deposits than extension to new places, it must also tend in the direction of bringing into the orbit of the banking system classes of smaller banking potentialities.

* See Pp. 76-78.

In his testimony before the Hilton-Young Commission, the Chairman of the Bengal National Bank referred pointedly to the great growth in small-sized savings bank accounts which proved very expensive and troublesome to manage.*

It is even more difficult to speak of the social strata "from whom our depositors are drawn. We can merely draw on the impressions from time to time of leading men in the banking world and trust to the imagination of the reader to complete the picture. The Secretary of the Bank of Bengal averred before the Fowler Committee of 1898 that the wealthier ryots and zemindars rarely deposited their money in banks.† The situation had apparently changed favourably by 1911-12. In his evidence before the Chamberlain Commission, the agent of the Allahabad Bank described Indian joint-stock banks as drawing their funds from "the artisan, babu, pleader and cultivator." While expressing fears about "mushroom banks operating all over the country," he described the depositors of respectable banks as professional people, doctors, lawyers, government servants, etc.‡ The Chairman of the Bengal National Bank giving his evidence before the Hilton-Young Commission of 1926 reported that a movement from hoarding into banking was visible even among peasants and cultivators.§

II

STRUCTURE OF ASSETS ‡

There is nothing like an ideal distribution of assets which holds true for all times, for all countries or even for all

* Q. 7932-33.—Evidence, Hilton-Young Commission.

The Bengal Provincial Inquiry Committee records as follows with reference to the Bengal Circle figures of the Imperial Bank for the quinquennium ended 31st December 1929. "Deposits in current accounts and fixed deposits have somewhat declined and those in savings banks have increased during the period, but the number of holders of current accounts has increased much more than that of savings banks depositors. Another noticeable feature is that the average amount for which each cheque is drawn has declined while the number of cheques drawn on each account has increased, specially after the abolition of stamp-duty on cheques." Pp. 39-40. See also p. 339 n.

† Q. 3613; 3616.

‡ Q. 8663; 8625.

§ Q. 7932-33.

‡ The percentages usually used in the analysis of assets and liabilities of banks in such discussions have to be accepted with certain qualifications. (1) Collecting bankers are apt to credit cheques before the drawee bankers have debited them. If in order to rectify this double counting in the figures for aggregate deposits cheques in course of collection were subtracted, the deposits in England, it has been estimated, will have to be reduced by 3 to 4 per cent. (2) The proper place of sight deposits with foreign banks and foreign currency does not yet seem to have been fixed. (3) Under a loan account £1,000 of which only 600 have been availed of appear as an asset. But under an overdraw account, £400 only are shown as an asset.

banks in the same country. The financial and economic structure of each country prescribes in a broad manner the character of resources which banks attract and the type of investments in which their funds find outlet. Within the limits set by the financial and economic structure, each bank and banking system have to strive to reach a balance, often a very delicate one, between a maximum of profit and a maximum of liquidity and elasticity. The factors which underlie the financial and economic structure are themselves liable to large cyclical and secular changes amidst which eternal vigilance and adaptability are the only key to success in banking.

British Clearing Banks

(Percentages of Deposits).

	1929.	1935.	1936.	1937.
Cash	10.6	10.5	10.0	10.2
Call and Short Loans	7.9	7.1	7.1	6.8
Discounts	13.2	14.6	15.5	18.0
Investments	14.8	30.2	28.5	27.2
Advances	55.5	37.3	39.8	42.6

4 French Deposit Banks

(Percentages of Deposits)

	1929	1935	1936	1937
Cash and at Bank	20	19.5
Discounts	58	61
Loans and Advances	35.5	31.0
Investments and Premises	1.8	1.2

5 German Banks

(In Percentages of Deposits).

	1929	1935*	1936*	1937	1938
Cash	3.5	2.5
Discounts	45.6	55.7
Loans	44.9	45.6
Securities	8.9	17.7

* November.

5 Banks, Holland

(In Percentages of Deposits).

	1929	1933	1937
Cash	69.4	139.2	151.1
Bills	83.7	101.9	135.6
Advances against securities	58.8	41.6	73.9
Investments	22.9	49.7	29.5

* Capital and Reserves as P.C. of deposits—95; 173; 122.

continued on next page

Loans and Advances

As indicated in our analysis of the structure of interest rates, returns on short-term investment tend to be relatively more attractive than on long-term investment. This

continued from previous page

U. S. A.

(In percentages of deposits ex-inter-bank).

	1929*	1931*	1933*	1934*	1935†	1936†	1937†
Cash	8.6	9.5	11.6	16.7	15.8	18.4	10.9
Bills
Investments	34.9	41.0	50.6	54.7	67.9	69.3	62.3
Loans and Advances	77.7	70.5	55.7	45.6	46.6	43.1	47.0
Premises	3.9	4.2	4.4	3.8

* End of June National Banks. League of Nations. Commercial Banks. 1936, p. 201

† Annual Averages. Members-banks; Federal Reserve Bulletin. December 1938, p. 1041

France, Commercial Banks.

(Percentages of Deposits).

	1936 Dec.	1937 Dec.	1938 Dec.
Capital and Reserves	11.1	10.3	9.3
Time Deposits	7.2	7.4	7.0
Sight Deposits	92.8	92.6	98.0
Cash	10.4	10.9	10.7
With banks and Correspondents	10.6	13.3	12.0
Bills and T-Bills	59.0	57.3	61.6
Advances and Participation	30.5	28.7	24.9

Indian Scheduled Banks.

(In Percentages of deposits only).

	1935	1936	1937	1938	1940	1941	1942	1943
Capital and Reserve*	10.8	10.1	8.9	6.5	..
Cash in hand and at Banks	13.5	12.9	12.9	9.5	10.1	16.7	23.1	13.9
Bills and Loans	3.2	5.0	5.9	32.0	33.6
	33.9	38.5	41.4
Investments	51.5	55.1	50.3	53.7	51.4
Fixed Assets

* 23 banks exclusive of Imperial Bank and others.

All Scheduled Banks.

(In Percentages of deposits only).

	1935-36	1936-37	1937-38	1938-39
Cash	17.3	14.0	12.8
Bills	2.3	2.5	1.5
Advances and Discounts	42.0	43.4	49.4

The Big Five.

(Percentages based on Capital, Reserve and Deposits except for 1926)

	1916*	1917*	1927	1928†	1930	1934	1935	1936
Cash	24.7	25.0	13.8	11.6	11.8	14.0	21.1	21.1
Bills and Loans	65.0	71.4	55.3	2.0	48.3	37.7	44.2	40.4
Security	9.2	11.4	36.0	24.0	37.0	45.3	40.0	38.5
Fixed Assets	1.0	1.5	3.5	3.5	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.0

* India, Central and Allahabad only. † The Big Five and Peoples' Bank of Northern India. Percentages based on current and deposit accounts only and therefore relatively larger.—Banking Inquiry Committee Report, p. 408.

should by itself make investments in short loans particularly sought by banks. As a matter of fact, the proportion of loans and advances to total liabilities does not appear to be much higher in India than in some other countries. Indeed it is even difficult to speak of a normal volume of loans and advances for banks in India. It is of much more practical importance to note the large fluctuations which occur in this volume and to seek their causes. It is probable that in these fluctuations, the relative position of short and long rates is much less decisive than the differential between the two. In India, as observed already, a change in the trend of long and short rates rarely takes shape as a reversal of the permanent relationship between them. Its effect is seen largely in widening or narrowing the gap according as rates are tending to be stable or moving up and down. In the war years 1916 and 1917, when short rates were rising faster than long rates, the proportion of loans and advances of the Big Five to their deposit-liabilities rose as high as 70 to 80 per cent. In the more stable years 1922-29 when the long-rate was moving on a high plane, the proportion declined to 62 per cent. In the years after 1930, when with stagnation of trade and industry short rates actually fell below long, the percentage of loans and advances reached much lower levels round 40 per cent, and showed signs of recovery only after 1937.

These figures do not convey adequately the extent to which banks invest in and profit by short-term loans. The percentages are based on figures which are not averages for the whole year but relate to a particular date, generally December end. On account of the predominance of agriculture in India, short-term finance has a highly seasonal character. It may, therefore, be expected that the assets of banks should show large fluctuations according to the time of the year. As a matter of fact, however, such a strongly seasonal trend holds true only of the Imperial Bank of India. The balance sheets of the other big joint-stock banks do not show seasonal variations which could be compared with those of the Imperial Bank.

September and March or April represent the two extremes of the demand for seasonal accommodation.* Unfortunately, comparative figures on this point are available only for June and December. June may be described as lying midway in the transition from conditions of stringency to conditions of slackness while December represents the antipode of June. Although the contrast between June and December is not as sharp as between March and June, it demonstrates sufficiently the point at issue.

In the two five yearly periods of 1921-25 and 1926-30, the proportion of cash to deposits between the months of June and December shows as large a variation as 15 and 11 per cent. in the case of the Imperial Bank. For four among the Big Five, the variation is barely 2 to 3 per cent. It is only with the onset of the depression and stagnation of 1931-35 that the Imperial Bank has a cash-ratio as stable as those of other banks.

The reverse side of the picture is represented in the movements of Loans, Advances and Bills. For the first two periods, the advances of the Imperial Bank show an average increase in the proportion of the order of 14 and 11 per cent. for the month of December against corresponding counterbalancing movements in cash. The movement in the case of the other banks are small and insignificant. In all cases, investments move independently of changes in cash or advances ratios and record a definite secular trend irrespective of seasonal conditions. The significance of this point will be elaborated presently.

We have observed above that loans and advances of Indian banks do not bear a much higher proportion to their deposit liabilities than elsewhere.† This suggests that the exploitation of the profitability of short-term investment is impeded

* Pp. 119-21; and Table XII.

† Loans and Advances.

(As percentages to Deposits)

	1929	1931	1935	1936	1937	1938
United States	77.7	70.5	46.6	43.1	47.0
England	55.5	37.3	39.3	42.6
France	33.5	31.0
Germany	44.9	45.6
Indian Scheduled Banks	33.9	36.5	41.4
Big Five	61.3	45.6	43.7	43.9	50.5
* All Scheduled Banks	42.0	42.4	49.4

either by the shortage of credit-worthy borrowers or by the attractiveness of some other forms of investments. A paucity of borrowers who are willing and able to offer security acceptable to banks is not a problem special to India. It is the other factor which requires analysis here.

The profitability of short term loans in India, which we have analysed elsewhere, is an average profitability. The lender who is eager to reap the high returns of the busy season has to take into account the risk that his average profit may fall very much if the slack season fall of rates should turn out severer than expected. Investments in time loans, renewable according to circumstances, offer a lucrative alternative whose steady returns are not an inadequate compensation for loss liquidity. The rates on such loans are stated to be about the same as in England or Scotland, 5-5½ per cent.*

Secondly, in discussing the proportion of loans and advances to total deposits, we must note that the attraction of short-term, seasonal loans is not the same to all banks. If seasonal loans are more profitable than equally safe long-term loans, there are other kinds of loans which rank higher in profitability than even seasonal loans. Mortgage loans offer a type which is least shiftable and at the same time most lucrative. In the absence of mortgage institutions, many banks in this country, there is ground to believe, are tempted into this field. The high proportion of fixed deposits and among them a high proportion of those fixed for one or two years or even longer may be an inducement to such loans. This seems to explain how even in the post-Crisis years 1931-1936, certain banks, e.g., the Punjab National Bank and the Allahabad Bank of India, carry loans and advances at the surprisingly high level of about 60 per cent.

According to the law relating to balance sheets in India, loans and advances of banks may be analysed under three heads.

The first and by far the most important head is "secured debts." The character of these secured loans is made clear

* Chamberlain Commission, Vol. II. Appendices, p. 614.

when they are grouped under the various kinds of security in vogue in this country. The following classification is sufficiently representative of conditions in different parts of India.

Loans against	Gold, bullion & jewelry.
" "	Government obligations.
" "	Shares etc. of joint-stock Cos.
" "	Commodities, e. g paddy, piece-goods, coal, turmeric, cotton, ground-nut, oil, etc.
" "	Real estate—municipal, agricultural.
" "	Loans against own fixed deposits.

The proportions in which total loans and advances are distributed over these sub-heads furnish a fair index to the activities and character of a bank. Smaller and less known banks will show sometimes as much as 40 to 50 per cent. of their loans and advances against gold, bullion and jewelry, a clear proof that they have not moved much further away from money-lenders' or pawn-brokers' business. It was not unusual before the World War II to find the average amount of such loans falling below Rs. 50, the margins as high as 50 per cent. the actual security consisting largely of trinkets, the rates charged varying between $7\frac{1}{2}$ to 12 per cent. The bigger banks deal of course with quite different clientele. Bombay with its great and unique Bullion Exchange offers great scope for loans against gold and silver bars. The margins in this case are small and aggregate loans run into big figures. The closer a bank approximates to banking business proper, the higher is the proportion of loans against commodities.

Real estate is apt to be the Achilles' heel of many banks in India. The changes in the proportions of "fixed assets" may be taken as indicative of the trend, if not the extent, of loans against real estate, urban and agricultural. Recent events e.g., in the United States in 1932-34 have proved the dangerous instability of values of this asset. Of the aggregate increase in the assets of commercial banks between 1921-29, one-third went into investments, one-half into security loans, one-fifth into real estate loans. But in the amazing collapse which followed, urban real estate proved

the doom of the banking system, and these loans, the most intractable head in the balance sheets.

The second head under Loans and Advances is "unsecured debts." These are composed of advances against trade-bills, clean advances and finally advances which are clean but supported by the guarantee of persons other than the borrower himself. The volume of trade-bills should ordinarily be an excellent index to the liquidity of a bank and, according to the area in which the bank operates, is apt to be as important as and sometimes even more important than the advances against commodities. But the quality of the portfolio must in actual practice depend on the character and financial status of the parties to such bills. It is not unusual in the case of many small banks to find that most of the bills in the portfolio are made or endorsed by the same few parties and the average value of the bills does not exceed a few hundred rupees—sometimes indeed not even one or two hundred rupees.

Finally, we arrive at the head "bad and doubtful debts" or decreed debts. As pointed out elsewhere the balance sheets of banks can least afford to be frank and precise on this point. The big banks as a matter of prestige or accounting practice rarely show any figures under this head. The smaller or less well managed banks show them more or less fully only when their difficulties have become public knowledge or they have made up their minds to return to the paths of virtue. Sometimes, one stumbles on a bank which actually claims to have over-estimated its bad and doubtful debts by as much as 30 per cent. just to forestall the clamour of shareholders for dividends.

Funds included in the omnibus head "Loans and Advances" are offered under three forms: cash credits, demand loans or overdrafts, and loans.* These forms of accommodation deserve attention not only as points of banking technique but also because they offer another illustration of the eternal economic problem of reconciling liquidity and profitability. The practical significance of this ques-

* Pp. 218-17.

tion is well revealed by the striking contrasts disclosed in the balance sheets of individual banks. The Bank of India and especially, the Bank of Baroda returned formerly a larger volume of assets under loans than under cash credits and overdrafts but are satisfied in recent years with an approximate equality between the two. The Imperial Bank of India shows a regular predominance of cash credits and overdrafts over loans. The most arresting example is the Allahabad Bank of India which does not seem to cultivate loans much but has consistently a volume of cash credits and overdrafts four to five times as large.

Banks must adapt themselves to the business offering in the areas of their operation or, as in the case of the Imperial Bank before 1934, allowed by law. But within these limits, banks can and do influence the quality and composition of their assets by means of differential interest rates, margins, facilities and concessions as regards insurance of stocks, etc. From the standpoint of banks, cash credits suffer from certain drawbacks, notably the uncertainty of the extent to which funds lent may actually be availed of and the low interest rate obtained. But cash credits mean also large amounts, the personal security of men of large financial status and short duration of the relationship ranging from 2 to 6 months. Overdrafts are more suitable for lendings of medium size and fetch a higher rate of interest. In a financial centre like Bombay, with its Stock Exchange and other markets, the security for overdrafts in their order of importance will be stocks and shares, Government obligations, personal credit, etc. Loans show a greater variation in size and continue for a longer duration, which, however, rarely extends beyond one year, Government obligations, real estate, stocks and shares are in the order of mention more suitable security for this form of lending. Cash credits and overdrafts are invariably used for trade and business but loans are raised not infrequently for non-trading purposes as well. Real estate, ornaments and rarely Government obligations are in the latter case the common security offered.

Loans to the Money Market*

After cash, loans to the money market are regarded in all advanced banking systems as the next best protection of 'liquidity.' They have the advantage over cash that they earn some return. In London, the rates on call-loans and discounts tend to fall almost as low as deposit rates. In the United States, rates on call-loans and loans on short-term Government obligations are generally below the Federal Reserve discount rate which represents the price banks have to pay for funds from the F. R. System. Rates on commercial paper and time loans to the stock exchanges are well above the F. R. Bank Rate.

Loans to the money market fall under three categories—call loans to the bill market, call and time loans to stock-exchanges, and finally loans between the banks themselves. The extent to which the available volume of short-term funds is used for one purpose or another has itself a deep significance for the economy of a country no less than for the stability and character of a banking system.

It is generally presumed that the creation of bills and a bill market in India is a pre-requisite of a sound commercial and central banking system. As a preliminary step, therefore, it is necessary to estimate the potentialities of such a development in our present conditions. Three questions have to be answered in order to reach conclusions on this point. In the first place, it is necessary to ascertain the basic conditions which give rise to bills and the extent to which they are present in this country. Secondly, we have to inquire how far the existence of bills implies automatically the services of brokers and dealers to deal in them. Finally, the causes and the extent of the desire of banks either to invest their resources in bills or offer their funds for business in bills have to be taken account of.

(1) It is a self-evident principle of all exchange that no place or country can in the long run buy more than it sells. But because of territorial specialisation in production and the consequent roundaboutness of trade, the equality of

* See pp. 300-303.

credits and debits may not hold good as between two specific places or with reference to any particular span of time. When a trade in bills develops, i.e., these titles to debts are concentrated in a few hands, debits owed to whomsoever in the wide world can be used to satisfy credits in favour of persons in the same place. Apart from rendering unnecessary in this manner movements of currency or gold to and fro, bills as objective expressions of the need for funds enable temporary surpluses in certain places to be employed to meet temporary needs of other places. A bill market thus serves to equalise the flow of capital over space or time and thus prevent wide fluctuations in interest-rates.

The need to offset credits and debits which ensues from the indirectness of all modern trade is common to India and all other countries. But territorial disparities in the supplies and needs of working capital caused by the seasonal factor are of such outstanding importance in this country that some description of their working may not be out of place.

When the Rangoon rice crop matures, advances in anticipation of disposal have to be made to the Burman cultivator from December to March. Cotton seeds and early wheat of Bombay and Karachi and the spring crops of Northern India which are the staples of trade in the great markets of Lahore and Cawnpore require to be financed in the same manner between November to March and between April to May respectively. The Calcutta jute crop has its demand for finance concentrated from July to October.* Of course, the quicker the transport of goods and disposal to the final consumer, the less is the burden of holding stocks and financing. So far as domestic consumption is concerned, agriculturists and non-agriculturists have perforce to share the burden of holding stocks till such time as their depletion makes room for the output of the next season.

The aggregate of funds to be paid to the producers or in other words, the value of stocks to be held in anticipation of the demand of consumers runs into large figures. 30 to 40 per cent. of the total value of cotton crops raised in cer-

* Report of the Controller of Currency, p. 26. 1921-22.

tain areas and estimated at 10 to 16 crores was reported in the early thirties of the present century to have been directly financed by the Imperial Bank, Government treasuries, currency railed out of the currency office and that imported by private agency. In the case of jute, out of a crop valued between 50 to 55 crores in the late twenties, Government treasuries and the Imperial Bank alone are estimated to have financed something like 30 to 40 per cent.

While a bill market may serve as a valuable connecting link between credits and debits and between areas of surplus and deficit capital, it is by no means the only intermediary available for the purpose. As banks have grown and extended their branches far and wide, they have tended to supplant the domestic bill market in many countries. Historically, it will be found that bill markets have established themselves in those times when banks were highly localised in their business or in those countries where branch banking is not allowed by law. In India, there are as yet no restrictions on the creation of branches. Direct discounts and collection of bills by bank branches must therefore militate against the rise of a bill market on the scale familiar in some other countries.

As for bills themselves, they serve no doubt as an excellent basis for the grant of credit. A bill is in itself only a legal evidence of indebtedness between persons but its excellence as an instrument of financing trade and commerce lies in three important qualities—its simplicity, the short and definite period within which it matures and the guarantees of the acceptor, drawer and endorser attached to it. When a seller of goods wants immediate payment for the furtherance of his business but the buyer must have time to dispose of the goods, other persons or institutions are glad to step in and discount the bill, i.e., make the required advance on it with due deduction for interest.

It is clear that the bill will have its vogue as the basis of credit only so long as other forms of advances do not prove cheaper and safer. As a matter of fact there has been a recent tendency to prefer the overdraft to the bill as a

means of raising finance from banks. From a purely logical point of view, there is no reason why the overdraft should involve more formalities or be less certain of repayment on an appointed day or have less dependable security behind it than a bill. Apart from incidental expenses like stamp duty, acceptor's commission, taxes, etc., it lies in the power of the banks themselves to decide whether one form of advance shall be cheaper than the other according to the rates they quote.

(2) There will still remain some scope for bills and a bill market. Many traders lack the requisite standing with banks to have overdraft facilities or to draw bills which may be directly discounted. Such traders find in bills as compared with bank-guarantees a more convenient document on which a third party, the bill-broker, may be induced for a modest commission to add his guarantee by endorsement and which may thus be made acceptable to banks.

To the function of bill broking, pure and simple, the bill brokers find it necessary and profitable to add another function, that of the dealer in bills. For banks are not always able or willing to take up all bills on offer. They insist on high quality and have a special interest in those bills which are admissible for rediscount at the central banks. Besides, according as the surplus of funds they have varied, they vary also the quantities and maturities of the bills they discount. This means that there must exist a bill-market which holds on the one hand quantities of bills which fall short of banking standards or exceed the temporary needs of banks and on the other, judges, grades and classifies bills so as to supply at short notice parcels of bills which conform exactly to bank requirements. For reasons of economy, the bill-broker prefers to act as a holder of such stocks also.

(3) To discharge the function of bill-dealer efficiently, the broker-dealers must have adequate funds to meet all the varying phases of the trade. They can and do engage in this business with their own funds. But as in all other

trades, temporary needs of working capital have to be met with temporary funds. In other words, efficient trading in bills presupposes ability to raise funds, when required, at rates which are sufficiently below the market rate of discount to give an adequate profit margin to the dealers. In many countries, loans to the stock exchanges are so much more attractive that banks are unwilling to place any large volume of funds in the bill market.* For quite different reasons, it is probable that banks in India have no such surplus funds to lend for this purpose. In India, the surplus of bank funds when available is a seasonal and not a steady surplus. Even when banks seek bills for themselves, they naturally prefer those which mature at specific busy times of the year and the volume of such bills is inevitably very limited.

Even in these circumstances, more funds could be released for the bill business if banks were enabled to lower their cash ratios. But this is feasible only when funds placed in the money market can be withdrawn or replaced with funds from some other source. The bill market, on its part, could grow only if there is a dependable supply of funds from one source or another and at rates which enable steady business.

Till the recent establishment of the Reserve Bank of India, the only alternative source of such funds was the Imperial Bank of India. Unfortunately, commercial banks in India have been inclined by tradition to look on the Imperial Bank more as a competitor than as a friend in need. Public rumour also was apt to associate aid from such a rival with embarrassment and difficulties rather than normal banking operations.† The banks had even a grievance against the Imperial Bank in its alleged privileged position which enabled it, according to them, to undercut its rivals.

* According to the law in France, securities pledged for loans cannot be sold without a notice of 7 days. This proves naturally an onerous condition for call loans. Besides, the personal element in the relationship between banker and customer in France make the courtier *i.e.*, broker less necessary.

In the U. S. A. the prevalence of unit banking should make the bill market a valuable medium for proper distribution of bank risks and capital. But the stock exchange is too powerful a rival for loanable funds and it is to be seen how far recent legal restrictions on speculative loans to the stock exchanges really release funds for the bill market.

† 12268; and Q. 12252-60; pp. 506-09—Appendices, Hilton-Young Commission.

The Imperial Bank on its part took a commercial view of its position and never sought to define the relationship between its rate for demand loans and its hundi rate.* The hundi rate was sometimes higher and sometimes lower than the other rate. No discrimination in rates was made in favour of bank-endorsed bills as compared with bills endorsed by private parties. It is no surprise that in these circumstances banks preferred to raise demand loans against securities since the period at least for which a loan is to run is within their discretion.

With the establishment of the Reserve Bank of India, the situation may now be expected to change in an important manner. With the lure of profits out of its way, the Reserve Bank can devote itself to the creation of a stable and well-articulated rates-structure. By maintaining an appropriate margin between the discount-rate and the loan-rate, it may give a powerful stimulus to the desire of banks to acquire bills and bring into existence an outside market in bills.

But although direct investment by banks in bills might stimulate the creation of bills, it is doubtful whether banks will be inclined to offer loans to brokers and dealers for such business. Since under our arrangements, banks are to have direct discount facilities at the Reserve Bank, they have much less need of loans to the money-market recallable at short-notice. London banks feel such need because on account of historical circumstances, they have no direct but only an indirect access to the Bank of England through bill-brokers and discount houses. Indian banks will naturally prefer to absorb for themselves as large a quantity of bills as possible—taking care to maintain a large proportion of rediscountable bills. In other words, the market for bills which are not bankable or are in excess of what the banks can absorb seems to have a doubtful future in this country.

The bill of exchange in the form of hundi has been known and used in this country from times immemorial. Several causes, many of them of recent origin, have how-

* Pp. 98; 119-21.

ever retarded and even diminished the growth of this most valuable instrument of commerce and credit.

In the first place, the mudatti hundi or usance bill has become a very expensive instrument of finance on account of heavy stamp duties which Governments have imposed in the interests of revenue. Calculations show that the levy may vary from $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. and more to anything like 3 to 4 per cent. even. It is reported from Bihar and Orissa, Assam and other provinces that the mudatti hundi has almost disappeared from use while in the province of Bombay, the cities of Bombay and Shikarpur, which are the native places of the indigenous bankers dealing in bills, alone retain it in their affections. Bengal is perhaps the only important province in which the mudatti hundi is still in common use. Everywhere else the darshani hundi or demand draft which is on a par with promissory notes in regard to stamp duties and pays only $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. has taken its place. With the quick means of transport, the darshani hundi is obviously of little use for the grant of short term credit.

In the second place, the free negotiability of a hundi is apt to be much restricted by certain conditions which are attached to it by usage. As a conditional document, it does not then fall within the definition of a bill of exchange in the Negotiable Instruments Act. Three classes of hundis may be distinguished in this connection. The sah-jog or shah-jog hundi is so-called because it is payable only to a shah, i.e. payable only after ascertaining the respectability, title and address of the payee. Although, as in Bombay, the shah condition may be increasingly ignored in practice, its presence cannot but limit its legal capacity to circulate. The shah-jog hundi is in most common use in Bombay, Bengal, Central Provinces, Bihar and Orissa, etc. The second class of this indigenous instrument of finance consists of jokhmi hundis which are to be sometimes met with in Bengal but are not found at all in certain other provinces like Bihar and Orissa. In the jokhmi hundi, the drawer or the holder of the hundi and not the

drawee is required to bear loss in case of destruction or damage to the goods which gave rise to the paper. Finally we have got in this country the Dhani-jog hundi which corresponds to the true bill of exchange. The dhani i.e. the party to whom the hundi is payable means in this case the holder. With the exception of Assam where it is usually known as hundi-jog and is in very common use, no other province reports more than a very infrequent appearance of this instrument.

Local variations from these well-established and well-known forms, tending either to retard or facilitate their circulation, are to be noted here and there. The shroffs of Bombay have attempted to overcome the disadvantages of conditional hundis by introducing a class of bearer instruments described as dekhadnar-jog hundis, i.e., hundis payable to him who presents. Its circulation is however limited to Bombay and Gujarat where the Gujarathi shroffs are present in large numbers. Firman-jog hundis i.e. instruments payable to firman or order were also tried but have failed to establish themselves. Far in the South, the great banking caste of Nattukkottai Chettiyars use a sight bill called nadappu vaddi hundis. These are so called because they are discountable at the nadappu rate—the rate fixed by Nagarathas on the 16th of each Tamil month at Madras and Rangoon. This variable rate is itself a difficulty when banks are approached to discount them.*

In the third place, there is nothing on the face of a hundi to indicate whether it is a trade bill arising out of a genuine deal in goods or mere accommodation or finance paper. No documents of any kind, whether railway receipts, warehouse receipts, etc., are attached. In Bengal and the United Provinces, hundis serve almost always as a means to borrow. In Bihar and Orissa, as much as 37 per cent. of the remittance business was found in the thirties to be executed by means of hundis. The Nattukkottai Chettiyars in Madras use this paper very largely for purposes of

* In 'purja' hundies, the drawer and acceptor are identical i.e., there are only two parties to the hundi.

Hundies are drawn in triplicate. The first copy is known as "khoka", the second as "paith" and the third "parapaith."

accommodation and remittance in their widely spread business in Burma, Ceylon, Strait Settlements, etc. An advance on a hundi is thus generally an advance on the personal credit of the parties.

In spite of these difficulties, the high specialisation achieved in this business by a few castes and communities has made the hundi the prime and most ancient instrument of finance in this country. The Marwaris are almost in entire possession of the field in Bengal and Assam while in Bihar and Orissa they share the trade with Gujaratis and Kachis. In Bombay, Gujarati, Marwari and Multani or Shikarpuri shroffs share the honours equally and are organised in their respective associations.* In Madras, the Nattukkottai Chettiyars who are estimated to employ as much as Rs. 50 crores of their own capital in the banking business have to face competition from Marwaris and to a much less extent, Multanis and Kullidiakurchi Brahmans of Tinnevely. In many places, as in the United Provinces and Bihar and Orissa, some of these people act as brokers pure and simple in the capacity of dalals or sarafs.

The strong ties of caste and community tend on the other hand to isolate the hundi market from the general modern market for short term funds. The Nattukkottai Chettiyars raise some thavanai or fixed deposits for periods of 2^o to 3 months and, at their nadappu rates, some current deposits as well. Rates offered by them are as a rule higher than those of joint-stock banks. Multanis accept little deposits. When in need, they apply to their native place, Shikarpur. The Marwaris are even less inclined to approach the outside market for deposits. In the province of Bombay, except for the capital city and the bigger cities, the busy season is met very largely by inter-shroff borrowing which significantly enough is cheaper than borrowing from banks.

As for the practice of discounting, in most places in the country the payee when a merchant, rarely discounts.

* During the season of 1927, Multani shroffs in Bombay were estimated to have loaned well over 11 crores in the bazaars.

The indigenous bankers resort to banks more frequently. In Bombay and the bigger places in the province, shroffs replenish themselves at their banks by means of either promissory notes of two approved shroffs or by re-discount of hundis. In a place like Cawnpore, discounting and re-discounting in the native bill market are more common.

The extension of bank branches, restricted urgency of loans to the bill market, lack of standardization,* etc., are several other factors which limit the future growth of a market in domestic bills. For similar reasons, creation of large quantities of foreign trade bills also appears to have only a limited future. Vast distances, natural obstacles to full and accurate information, etc., make the intervention of a bill and discount market even more advantageous in this field. But in the absence of a large maritime trade, a world-wide network of financial and economic service, such as England has, the basis for foreign bills must be relatively slender. As our more exhaustive analysis of this subject in another section will show, the sterling bill and operators in sterling bills, namely, the exchange banks, control the whole field of foreign trade finance.

Just at present, the volume of bill-discounts is very insignificant in India. In 1928, the five leading Indian joint-stock banks held bills of domestic origin to the extent of 7.1 per cent. of their aggregate deposits. For Indian Scheduled Banks which include the Imperial Bank and exclude exchange banks with their high specialization in foreign trade bills, the percentages for the three years 1935-37 were 3.2, 5.0 and 5.9 respectively.

The volume of bills discounted fluctuates much according to the course of prices and trade. The figures compiled for the Big Five or Big Seven of Indian joint-stock banks

* Standardization is required in respect of the following points :—

- (i) Although Hindi with different scripts is used as a rule, a hundi printed in vernacular and English seems very desirable.
- (ii) Time and hours of presentation, acceptance, etc. Business till midnight is not uncommon.
- (iii) Days of Grace. In 'after date' hundis, 3 days of grace are allowed. In 'days Khara' hundis, no grace is allowed.
- (iv) Holidays. In C. P. entering of office dhara means that holidays are excluded.
- (v) Procedure in case of lost hundis.
- (vi) Procedure in case of dishonoured hundi.

demonstrate this very clearly. Before and during the early part of the first world war, the proportion of bills discounted to their deposits was 15 per cent. and even more. In the later stages of the war, dislocation of foreign trade, exchange restrictions, etc., caused a steep shrinkage in foreign trade bills and consequently in that portion of our domestic bills which are meant to finance the movements of our goods from the interior to the ports. The tendency to shrinkage continued steadily even after the war and by 1929, their proportion had fallen from about 10 per cent. in 1919-20 to about 6 per cent. The collapse of prices and trade in 1929-30 caused an immediate contraction to about 3 per cent. and after still further deterioration, the proportion recovered to 3½ per cent. only by 1937.

The Imperial Bank which participates on a larger scale in short-term seasonal accommodation shows parallel movements although on a higher plane. As high as 20 per cent. in 1914, the proportion stood at about 12-13 per cent. during the war. It fluctuated much in the next few years but reached higher levels just before the crisis. The crisis affected the Bank most, the proportion was only 2.4 in 1933, recovering thereafter to more than 6 and 7 per cent. by 1937-38.

Indeed it is a matter for speculation whether this asset, so well beloved of bankers, will ever again assert its old quantitative importance. Forces of a more permanent character than the course of trade and prices are active in retarding the recovery of bills to their old levels. In many countries, notably in the United States, industry now prefers to finance itself by direct appeals to the capital market instead of bills floated against its assets in general. Movements are on foot in many countries to eliminate the wholesale merchant and as many intermediate stages between producer and consumer as possible. The seller instead of drawing a bill on the buyer is inclined to throw the burden of arranging the finance on him and the buyer prefers to create an overdraft at his bank which enables him to extinguish the debt by instalments and thus save


in interest charges. The more frequent use of telegraphic transfers tends in the same direction, i.e., narrowing the basis for creation of bills. It is true that some of these forces have not yet become visible in this country and others are operating but weakly. Sooner or later, however, changes occurring in the finance of foreign trade are sure to affect the volume of domestic bills also, the two operations of financing trade between the interior and ports and between ports and foreign countries being indissolubly linked.*

Although ordinary commercial bills as outlet for short-term investment seem destined not to recover their pre-war importance, another kind of bill has greatly grown in volume in post-war years. These are treasury bills which are now issued both by central and provincial Governments and in which the Reserve Bank, ordinary banks, Governments, commercial bodies, etc., find an excellent lodgement for their temporary surpluses. But while treasury bills offer all the advantages of liquidity, specific maturity, etc., they do not require any grading or further endorsement to enhance their security. The banks can therefore tender for them directly. In other words, no elaborate machinery of a bill and discount market is necessary in their case. The importance of treasury bills as a factor in the money market may be inferred from the fact that the total of treasury bills outstanding with the public, the Reserve Bank and Provincial Governments and Burma stood at Rs. 31, 28.54, 38.01 and 46.30 crores at the end of the official years 1935-36 to 1938-39. These figures might be compared with the year-end holdings of ordinary bills of the Imperial Bank and four of the Big Five (the Punjab National Bank being excluded) which were Rs. 6.4, 8.4 and 7.6 crores for the years 1936 to 1938. Even if these holdings reached their

* Bills	(Percentage to Deposits).									
	1914	1915	1920	1928	1929	1931	1935	1936	1937	1938
United States	18.2	..	14.6	15.5	13.0	..
England (Clearing Banks)	58.0	61.0
France (4 deposit Banks)	45.6	55.7
Germany (5 German Banks)	3.2	5.0	5.9	..
Indian Scheduled Banks	2.0	2.9	2.6	..
Big Five (Indian Joint-Stock)	.. 14.7	14.7	10.1	7.1	6.6	3.0	2.0	2.9	2.6	..
All Scheduled Banks	2.3	2.4	1.5	..

INVESTMENTS OF INDIAN JOINT-STOCK BANKS BIG SEVEN PERCENTAGE OF DEPOSITS

- BANK OF INDIA
- CENTRAL BANK OF INDIA
- ALLAHABAD BANK
- PUNJAB NATIONAL BANK
- BANK OF BARODA
- INDIAN BANK
- BANK OF MYSORE



1910 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 1930 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 1940 41 42 43 44 45 46

pre-crisis proportion to deposits, the volume must still be comparatively small. The importance of the treasury bill as a factor in the money market has been recognised by the Reserve Bank which has intimated to the scheduled banks its willingness to purchase from them Central Government treasury bills of currency up to three months at fine rates on the current tender rates. The banks have naturally been encouraged to invest in treasury bills and to make use of this facility at the Reserve Bank.

We have examined the nature of call loans and the rates charged on them in our chapter on Structure of Interest-rates.* The other important use of short-term loans to the money market relates to dealings on stock exchanges. The nature and effect of loans for this purpose as also inter-bank loans are analysed elsewhere.

Investments

Banks invest a proportion of their resources in Government and non-Government securities partly as a source of steady income and partly as a means to raise cash when required. From the latter standpoint Government securities are preferred as being less liable to depreciation. Even among Government securities, short-dated ones obviously give better protection from fluctuations in value. Under banking conditions such as obtain in India where scheduled banks have to depend more on loans against securities than on discounts at the Central Bank, the definition of securities eligible as collateral for loans must exercise a powerful influence on the choice of investments by banks.†

The relative importance of investments differs from one banking system to another according to the availability of alternative outlets for funds and relative position of short and long rates. Investments of British clearing banks which have access to the largest money market of the world have rarely reached 30 per cent. of deposits and are usually well below 25 per cent. Before the outbreak of the first world war, a much smaller proportion somewhere in the

* P 88.
† Pp. 311-12.

The relative position of short and long rates and more particularly, cyclical trends in rates and security values cause marked cyclical and secular changes in investments. When the long rate was expected to rise and securities to depreciate in the early years of the first world war, investments of the Big Five sank below 8 per cent.* Widening differentials between short and long rates and enormous pressure for short-term accommodation made investments even less attractive. The burden of maintaining the values of Government securities fell on the Imperial Bank which continued to maintain its securities at the high level of 20 per cent. Investments of the Big Five began to mount slowly in the later stages of the War when the differential began to narrow and Government issued its vast terminable loans. As the long-term rate fell steadily from 6.2 per cent. in 1921 to 4.4 in 1927, both the Big Five and the Imperial Bank hastened to profit from these appreciating investments. By 1928, the Imperial Bank reached a percentage of 27.4 but the Big Five recorded the much higher level of 45 per cent. When the approach of the crisis initiated a stiffening of rates which continued till 1932, there was some hesitation and even a desire to reduce the volume. The proportion of Government securities shows a tendency to decline. But the abandonment of the gold standard in England and the linking of the rupee to sterling brought

* From Rs. 99, the price of 8½ p.c. security fell to 68 in 1917.
Rs. 70, " " " 59 in 1920

about a dramatic change; the long rate fell steeply from 5.7 in 1932 to 3.5 in 1936 and short rates collapsed much more. The Big Five staged a recovery but they had already moved into securities in the period of falling long rate between 1921-28 to such an extent that despite a further collapse in loans, advances and bills, the scope for further loading of securities was limited. As pointed out in another section,* they were now inclined to develop certain other lines of investment. The position of the Imperial Bank was different. It has not suffered in the pre-crisis years so much as other banks in its short-term business and it had not loaded itself with securities to their extent. But now, deprived of its mainstay of short-term accommodation to which its charter confined it, the Imperial Bank added to its securities quickly till it reached 66 per cent. by 1936. In a single year, 1929-30, the proportion increased by 14 and in another turning point year 1932-33 it made another stride by 15.

Naturally, Indian joint stock banks differ among themselves in the extent to which, in order to meet these vicissitudes, they have rung the changes on their investments. The Bank of India and the Bank of Baroda which pursue a stricter policy of short term finance have contented themselves with a moderate and steady volume of investments. The Central Bank of India and the Allahabad Bank have made more frequent and larger changes in this class of assets, the former largely as an alternative to cash. The Indian Bank does not find investments more attractive than the re-lending business it has developed through the medium of the Chetties, the premier money-lenders and indigenous bankers of the South. The Punjab National Bank does not find its assets sufficiently elastic to permit much cyclical or secular adaptation of investment. Since 1936, incalculable factors of war and politics began to exert themselves on the banking situation and a general though

* See pp. 147-65.

somewhat hesitant tendency to reduce investments made itself visible.*

Our previous analysis does not support the general belief that Indian banks invest a disproportionate part of their resources in government securities. On the contrary, their investments show a commendable degree of elasticity and adaptability according to circumstances. The present high level of government securities is not peculiar to the Indian banking system as such. It has been a widely observed feature of banking in many parts of the world. With the present relative position of short and long rates, no other policy could commend itself to banks.† It may be that elasticity and adaptability of investment policies in India relates to a larger volume on the whole than is found in other countries. But it must be borne in mind that these countries have as a rule a second line of protection after cash in their call loans to the bill markets or the stock exchanges.

It is not easy to make out how far the low level of loans and advances before the second world war reflects cyclical conditions which are bound to pass away and how far it is part of a secular tendency to contraction and fore-shadowed a fundamental change in the methods of finance. The falling volume of loans, advances and discounts sug-

* Investments.

(Percentage to Deposits.)

	1913	1914	1915	1920	1925	1928	1929	1931	1935	1936	1937
United States	34.9	41.0	67.9	69.	61.3
England	27.0	16.7	15.8	...	14.8	...	30.2	27.	27.2
France (including Premises)	1.8	1.2	...
Germany	8.9	17.	...
Indian Scheduled Bank	51.5	55.	50.8
All Scheduled Banks	43.0	43.0	49.4
Big Five (Indian Joint-Stock) ...	7.8	8.8	8.4	12.0	33.6	45.8	38.8	35.6	36.9	40.	37.2
Imperial Bank	19.7	28.7	...	20.8	27.4	41.5	40.4	59.1	66.	58.6
Bank of India ...	9.9	8.5	9.5	8.6	42.0	28.2	39.8	22.2	29.8	31	31.1
Central Bank ...	40.0	61.8	30.2	21.4	53.8	61.7	52.9	44.7	39.5	40	40.1
Punjab National Bank ...	21.4	36.2	28.4	...	26.0	30.8	38.8	50.6	35.1	31	28.1
Bank of Baroda	2.8	4.2	17.1	45.5	47.3	45.9	40.4	52.0	51	45.8
Mahabab Bank ...	2.0	3.0	2.9	1.8	17.2	29.2	28.7	30.8	35.1	32.	32.6
Indian Bank ...	3.7	4.7	16.1	10.1	16.6	26.7	17.7	23	23.2

† 1936 Government Securities.

(Percentage of Total Assets).

Canada ...	39.6
United States ...	33.1
South Africa ...	26.2
United Kingdom ...	28.8
Belgium ...	16.6

gests that the subsequent collapse is merely an aggravation of a deep-rooted trend. The banking system was therefore confronted with a more permanent problem, viz., how to replace this time-honoured asset with some other worthy of its traditional place in the banking system. For the time being, the void was filled very largely with government securities. Unless banks are content to become investment trusts, however, a rise in the short rates and long rates might have caused a disgorging of securities and revive the problems of alternative outlets. With the outbreak of the second world war, the pressure of surplus funds and limited outlets has temporarily disappeared and the pre-war problem perhaps stands postponed.*

One or two of the Big Five, have always held a small proportion of their funds in Improvement Trust, Port Trust, and Municipal Bonds. Only two among them make a separate return. Their proportion was 4 per cent. in 1926 but has risen well above it recently. A much more significant development has been the changed attitude towards industry. Four of the Big Five have increased their proportion of funds invested in debentures, shares and stocks of joint stock companies. Two per cent. only of deposits in 1924, the level stood in 1938 at about 5½ per cent. The implications of this tendency are examined in the following section of this chapter.

Cash

Banks seek safety partly in highly shiftable assets like loans to the bill and money markets and partly in actual cash. The cash ratio of Indian banks as a whole is generally much higher than in the United States or in England. British Banks adhere to a ratio of approximately 10 per

* Government Security Investments by maturity. August 1943
(Percentages to Capital & Deposits.)

	Treasury Bills.	Maturing within 5 yrs.	Maturing 5 to 10 yrs yrs.	Maturing 10 to 15 yrs.	Maturing After 15 yrs.	Total
Imperial Bank ...	36.7	13.8	6.8	8.2	3.3	67.8
Central	1.0	16.8	3.3	13.6	35.3
India ...	33.4	11.5	14.5	0.8	8.2	59.4
Punjab	6.7	4.0	6.5	24.0	51.2
Allahabad ...	2.5	11.5	12.2	14.5	5.1	45.8
Baroda ...	9.7	12.4	7.0	13.3	42.4

cent. from which only moderate departures take place from time to time. The cash ratio tends to average to the same level in the United States but fluctuations round the average are apt to be much wider. Between 1929-37, United States ratio has moved between a substantial margin of 8.6 per cent. to 16.7 per cent. The relatively low cash ratio of British clearing banks is easily explained by the important place which call and short loans occupy in the assets. The lower cash ratios of the United States are perhaps justified by the large volume of call-loans offered by banks to the stock exchange. Perhaps, the country which is most similar to India in this respect is France where about 20 per cent. is the normal ratio. The need for such a high proportion is explained largely by the extent to which coins and notes rather than cheques are used in ordinary transactions. But there also exist important differences between France and India which deserve careful notice. Banking in India is concentrated in a few large urban and industrial centres where the cheque habit is much more developed than elsewhere. In France, banking facilities have been carried to the remotest villages which makes need of cash much more urgent. The situation is partly remedied by the fact that, in France, the scope for loans and advances is very limited while the habit of creating bills for even small transactions furnishes banks with a liquid asset which they can always use at the Bank of France or otherwise to replenish their cash.

Among Indian banks themselves, cash-ratios show quite remarkable disparities. These disparities are partly due to policy and partly to the extent and character of the regions they serve. Banks which like the Bank of India confine their operations to a few large urban and industrial centres are always able to show a relatively more liquid position. Other banks like the Imperial Bank, the Central Bank of India, Bank of Allahabad, etc., which either belong to or have spread into the smaller places and the countryside, show large variations in their cash-ratios. Some of them adhere strictly to short term finance while others venture into mortgage or long-term business. The persistently low

cash-ratios of the Punjab National Bank even in times of stagnation after 1930 indicates a large participation in long term business. The Imperial Bank which by its charter or inherited practice is confined to short term business shows high but fluctuating ratios. Other banks lie between these extremes inclined largely to short term business but participating to a fair extent in long term business as well.*

Fixed Assets†

Fixed assets consist of immovable properties like bank premises, etc. and the equipment of the offices. Obviously, they are incidental acquisitions and not an integral part of the business of banks as such. But several factors are apt to invest these assets with more importance than their intrinsic affinity to banking could justify. Many times, these assets fall into the possession of banks unsought because they formed the security of loans which have not been repaid. In the second place, it is a practice with many banks to undervalue them as a device for creating hidden reserves. On the other hand, many banks find in

* Cash.
(Percentage to Deposits.)

	1914	1915	1920	1928	1929	1931	1935	1936	1937	1938
United States	8.6	9.5	15.8	18.4	10.9	...
U. K. (Clearing Banks)	10.6	...	10.5	10.0	10.3	...
France (4 Deposit Banks)	20.0	19.5
Germany (5 Banks)	8.5	...	2.5	...
Indian Scheduled Banks	18.5	12.9	15.9	...
All Scheduled Banks	17.8	14.0	12.8	...
Big-Five ...	27.3	21.5	21.2	18.9	18.4	12.1	10.9	15.9	16.5	...
Imperial Bank ...	46	...	30	...	18	15	24.5	9.9	18.8	6.9
							(0.2)	(0.9)	(8.1)	(9.1)
Exchange Banks A ...	28	...	30	...	15	15	15	18	19	...
B ...	26	...	58	...	10	9	19	10	10	...
Indian Joint-Stock Banks—A ...	21	...	28	...	14	18	23	16	17	...
Indian Joint-Stock Banks—B ...	22	...	18	...	18	12	16	19	16	...

† Ex. balances with other banks.

† Fixed Assets.

(Percentage of Capital, Reserve and Deposits) (Percentage to Deposits)

	1916	1917	1927	1929	1930	1934	1935	1936	1941	1942	1943
Bank of India ...	0.07	...	0.9	0.9	...	0.5	...	0.5
Central Bank of India	5.1	6.2	6.2	...	4.9	4.4
Punjab National Bank	4.1	...	10.1	14.8	14.5	13.8
Bank of Baroda	2.6	2.8	2.7	3.2	3.4	3.0
Bank of Allahabad ...	3.2	3.3	3.9	4.5	...	4.2
Indian Bank	0.06
Imperial Bank	2.9	...	2.9	2.6	2.5	2.4	1.5	0.97	0.73
Other Scheduled Banks	4.4	2.8	1.5
Non-Scheduled Banks (Capital and Reserve over 1 lakh)	3.8	2.6	3.2

their valuation a means to conceal losses or bad debts. For these reasons this item in the balance-sheets deserves closer scrutiny than it would otherwise attract.

The disparities in the ratios of fixed assets are indeed remarkable. The Central Bank which acquired the imposing premises of the Tata Industrial Bank shows a substantial ratio. But banks like the Bank of India which depend very largely on hired premises naturally show much less significant ratios. More remarkable and suggestive than these disparities is the tendency of some banks like the Punjab National Bank to show an increasing ratio from year to year.

Other Services of Banks in India

Besides the collection of temporary savings and their investment, banks in India engage in many other services some of which are cognate to banking while others are essentially non-banking in character. The years after the first world war witnessed a remarkable expansion of such non-banking activities in most countries. The impelling motive is the substitution of impersonal and therefore disinterested agency of banks in the place of individuals like solicitors and brokers who offer no doubt the advantage of greater adaptability and informality but cannot be expected to be always above self-interest. As the custodian of all financial interests, the banker indeed bids fair to take his place alongside the trinity of the family doctor, priest and lawyer.*

As elsewhere, banks in India undertake transfer of funds by telegraphic transfer or demand draft as required. Each bank makes a minimum charge for the purpose—about 4 annas per cent. while, for larger amounts, favourable rates are quoted. The scheduled banks make use without charge of the agency of the Reserve Bank which in its turn makes use of the Imperial Bank and its numerous branches and pay-offices.

* The bank-manager in the mofussil is recognised even in India as an important member of local society and his activities are apt to extend much beyond his official narrow sphere. It appears to have been quite an ordinary occurrence early in the present century to be called in to draw up wills and to settle petty matrimonial squabbles. Indian banks have yet to recognise the value of social contacts outside formal banking relations on the part of their managers particularly in the mofussil.

Many banks receive valuable articles, whether ornaments, securities, etc., for safe custody and have built modern vaults for the purpose.* The usefulness of such services in a country like India where people hold such a large part of their savings in precious metals and stones hardly needs any emphasis.

Many banks undertake to buy and sell shares, stocks, etc., on account of their customers. Advice on investment is a natural incident of such activities and banks employ suitable staff for this purpose. It cannot be said that banks have yet made any systematic efforts to build up this business to the full possible extent. As pointed out elsewhere,† there is every advantage in banks replacing the individual broker in as large a part of this business as possible. In order to facilitate this development, the rules of our stock exchanges should be amended so as to permit at least scheduled banks to become members of these institutions. Many of our brokers could then find employment as salaried officers of banks and be freed from the temptation of offering any advice but what should conduce to the welfare of the clientele. New floatations would not then find their course as easy as at present since a bank's support, although very decisive, will be obtainable only when the bank has carefully scrutinised the position and satisfied itself on all relevant points. The customer also will not have to pay a double commission as at present on the ground that the bank has to put through its business through a regular broker.

Some of the more respectable banks have also ventured into executor and trustee work. The execution of wills is made a very difficult task in India by the complicated personal laws of each community and the proneness of parties to evade wills in their own interests by collusion.

* Early in the present century, the "strong room" of a bank in Quetta which accepted regimental plate for safe custody was a mere lean to bath room! At Peshawar, a bank had an armed guard at night which was composed of a dozen wild Pathans armed with ancient muzzleloaders and without any ammunition. No ammunition was known to have been supplied either within living memory! People, however, seem to have been more honest then than now. It is recorded now in Simla, a peon about 18 years old could go to the Bank of Bengal with a cheque of Rs. 50,000, collect 50 miscellaneous coolies from the local bazar place a bag containing Rs. 1,000 on each head and then lead the procession to the payee bank without any guard and without any mishap.

More lamentable is the state of administration of trusts in favour of widows, minors and charitable objects. The agency of banks for these purposes has an advantage which no other private agency can offer. Unfortunately, the work involves great costs and when banker's costs are added to ordinary legal costs, the facility is hardly worthwhile for any but big estates. Larger social ends may more than justify a lightening of legal charges when execution of wills and administration of trusts are sought to be put through the medium of banks. The banks on their part have also yet to make efforts to organise their work on a basis more suitable to the means and circumstances of this country.

It is difficult to estimate or describe how far each Indian bank takes a share in the variety of services which modern banks offer to the public. The introduction of home safes to encourage thrift and of night safes for the convenience of traders and others who come into possession of cash after ordinary banking hours; the extended payment of salaries, on behalf of public authorities and other customers by crediting employees' banking accounts; similar arrangements in respect of interest and dividends, avoiding the distribution of individual warrants; payments for customers under "standing orders"; payment of trading accounts for customers—these are some examples of service which banks offer according to circumstances. As a distinguished banker observed not long ago, banks have in essence become a labour-saving device with the added advantage of guaranteeing security.

Smaller Banks

The foregoing analysis of the structure of assets and liabilities of banks is based on the balance sheets of the biggest seven or eight among Indian joint stock banks and, for the last three or four years, the consolidated balance sheets of all scheduled banks. Of the aggregate resources of banks which have paid-up capital and reserves of Rs. 1 lakh and more, the scheduled banks account for about 90 to 95 per cent.

Still, by their number and territorial jurisdiction, if not by their resources, the other twenty-five smaller scheduled banks and the non-scheduled Class B banks equal in importance the big scheduled banks. We have noted that they are responsible for banking facilities in as many more places as are covered by the bigger banks and that they claim as many, if not more, bank offices and branches.* In addition to these banks, we have also to bear in mind the 525 and odd banks which have a paid-up capital and reserve of less than Rs. 1 lakh.†

The problem of small banks deserves more accurate analysis, if not sympathy, than has been usually bestowed on it till now. The situation must be appreciated against its proper background of varied territorial, economic and demographic conditions such as few countries in the world can show. The savings which these banks attract are not the savings which the bigger particularly, metropolitan banks will care to run after. The financial needs which they supply are probably such most modern banks will consider as outside their proper purview. The case for investigation becomes more obvious when it is realized that these smaller banks lie midway between the moneylenders and indigenous banker on the one hand and the big modern bank on the other. If the moneylender and indigenous banker cannot be abrogated, then the small bank deserves to be conserved and improved as a possible bridge leading the country from the older credit agencies and practices to modern banking as such. At the very least, when an opinion is offered that small banks should be discouraged or persuaded to amalgamate with the bigger banks, it deserved to be considered whether the void will be covered by the old, antiquated agencies or by the new and better credit institutions or will be allowed to remain a void.

To illustrate these remarks we present as specimens the life history and working of two smaller scheduled banks which for obvious reasons may be allowed to remain incognito.

* Chapter III, pp. 19; 24; 26; 33.

† Pp. 34-35.

Bank X

The Bank X was established in 1932 and in 1934, its paid-up capital and reserves were still under Rs. 5 lakhs and its deposits under Rs. 45 lakhs. It had then created 12 branches which gave it resources amounting on an average to 4.15 lakhs per branch. In 1934, it was able to pay a dividend of $7\frac{1}{2}$ per cent.

The bank was a product of the unusual monetary conditions which ensued in the wake of the Great Depression. Banks, whether old or new, were seized with a contagious enthusiasm for expansion and the Bank X was no exception.

(Figures in 000s.)

	1935	1936	1937	1938	1939
Paid-up Capital & Reserves ...	11.15	12.09	12.54	18.88	19.09
Deposits	51.89	105.36	148.91	85.45	70.60
Total	63.04	117.45	156.45	104.33	89.69
Branches	18	80	88	87	29
Resources per branch ...	3.50	3.91	4.11	2.82	3.09

It will be observed that paid-up capital and reserves were at first doubled and in the year of crisis, 1938, trebled. Deposits grew but only as branches multiplied with the result that resources per branch hardly showed any improvement. In the crisis of 1938, when the Travancore National and Quilon Bank collapsed, deposits flowed out fast and as many as 8 of the less promising branches had to be closed down in the very next year.

The structure of assets by itself gives indeed little cause for criticism.

In percentage of deposits.

	1934	1935	1936	1937	1938	1939
Cash in hand and at Bank ...	11.6	24.8	19.1	14.4	23.4	20.9
Bills Discounted	9.8	9.2	5.4	6.6	12.4
Investments	49.2	45.7	47.9	36.9	36.7	42.8
Loans and Advances	64.1	42.1	38.4	54.9	58.4	54.6
Bad and Doubtful Debts	0.1	0.4
Capital and Reserves	10.9	21.5	11.5	8.7	22.1	27.0

• But one must look behind the balance sheets and take into account the economic environment of such smaller

banks. In a year of low interest rates like 1936, when the price of $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent government security stood at par, this bank was raising 12 months deposits at a rate of $4\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. It was not till 1940 that it reduced this rate to $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. Like other small banks, it accepted deposits for 24 and 36 months also at still higher rates. Its savings account rate was stable at 4 per cent. If the composition of deposits were available, it will no doubt show the preponderance of fixed accounts over current and the average amount per account at appreciably low levels.

These high deposit rates and the effort to maintain a large number of branches with a small volume of resources per branch mean inevitably a search for business which is correspondingly lucrative. It is not surprising that the bank's rate for advances varied between $7\frac{1}{2}$ to 9 per cent. giving a generous margin out of which its high deposit rates, the expenditure of its numerous branches and a steady dividend of 6 per cent have been maintained.

The distribution of its loans and advances according to security gives us an insight into the working of factors we have already commented on above.

					Percentage to Total Loans.		
					1939	1940	Usual Margins.
Bullion and Gold	18.0	9.9	25%
Govt. Obligations	10%
Jt. Stock Co. shares and stocks	5.1	5.8	80 to 40%
Commodities	33.9	46.1	25 to 35 %
Real Estate	13.2	16.2	
Own Deposits	6.5	5.9	
Clean Advances	5.6	4.8	
Clean but under guarantee	22.1	15.9	
Bad and Doubtful	

If the average size of the different classes of advances were available, it will no doubt show itself very small under the first head and at a figure nearer to 5 thousand than 10 thousand against commodities. The sizes of other loans will range themselves between these two extremes.

Bank Y

The Bank Y is one of the few banking enterprises in this country which were launched as private con-

cerns initially and have managed to survive long enough to see great days. Founded in 1899, it was still a small bank with less than Rs. 5 lakhs as owned and borrowed resources in 1913 when it was converted into a joint stock company. The First World War brought prosperity and with prosperity, came ambition to expand. By 1920, the owned and borrowed resources were well above a quarter crore while its branches numbered 10. Till the onset of the Depression, progress was uninterrupted and the landmark of Rs. 1 crore was well within sight by 1928 when its branches numbered 16 with an average volume of resources per branch almost 5 lakhs.

Exploitation of public concerns for the advancement of the family and relatives is not an evil special to India as such but a fundamental bane of all capitalism. Unfortunately, the evil is much aggravated in this country by the fact that beyond the family is the caste and sub-caste; and beyond the caste and sub-caste extends the sect; and beyond the sect, lies the community first of language and then of religion. The outcome is a regular welter of preference and exclusiveness in which merit and qualifications hardly count and public interests are invariably sacrificed.

At an advanced age, the founder of the bank who as manager had received by 1930 a not ungenerous commission 2 1/3 lakhs in the aggregate, seems to have been seized with solicitude for his family and relatives. His son was appointed to the key post of manager at the Metropolitan branch and his relatives found their way to other posts. There ensued then years of wilful neglect and utter carelessness on the part of the then management. The chief executive officer of the bank, the secretary, received a salary of Rs. 100 per month and as usual looked about for other means to supplement his income. The son and the secretary advanced the funds of the bank lavishly to all and sundry and although bad debts to the extent of 12½ lakhs were reported from the metropolis alone, no steps

were taken to check the deterioration. The old father who continued as Manager till 1933 was either unable or unwilling to exercise proper supervision and check abuse. Instead, the balance sheet of 1938 pleaded in picturesque language as follows. "Many of our constituents once considered to be men of means and standing were seen to be hopelessly involved and ready to take the benefit of the Insolvency Act. From many of them, we are still collecting our dues; but they are coming by dribblets. It looks as if the whole country is gone poor."

The decline had begun in a gradual but unmistakable manner. Deposits which had reached the high water-mark of 81 lakhs in 1928 fell to 64 lakhs in 1929 and 21 lakhs by 1934. A new management at this stage made resolute efforts to set the bank on its feet again. The capital of bank was reduced in 1935 from more than 15½ lakhs to less than 8 lakhs, the reserve of 4 lakhs was practically wiped out and thus bad debts of more than 10 lakhs were written off during 1935-39. As a further precaution, bad and doubtful debentures were even over-estimated just to discourage share holders from clamouring for dividends.

In 1940, deposits fell to 10 lakhs which included staff securities and provident fund. Of the 15 branches in existence only 5 reported deposits of over Rs. 50,000 while others showed them at varying figures between 4 to 47 thousands.

The bank had been paying the highest deposit rates among the scheduled banks. In 1934, it paid a rate of 5 per cent for 6 months' deposits and 6 per cent. on 24 months' deposits. In 1939 and 1940, its rate on 12 months, deposits was 4 to 4½ per cent. Similar rates were offered on other deposits. Its savings account rate was 4½ per cent in 1934 and only under pressure was it reduced to 2½ per cent in 1939.

In 1940, when the bank reached its nadir and the bulk of the deposits had disappeared, the surviving deposit structure still revealed the governing conditions of its business.

				Per cent. of Total Deposits.	Average amount per Account. Rs.
Current Accounts	16.1	145
Savings Accounts	15.1	48
Fixed Deposits	68.8	864
				Per cent. of Total Fixed Deposits.	
3 months	0.6	550
6 months	6.5	1,070
12 months	58.5	745
24 months	30.5	1,218
36 months	2.8	823
Special terms	0.9	669

The smallness of individual current and savings accounts calls for no special comments. The average size of 12 months' accounts which furnished much more than half of fixed deposits reveals itself as considerably less than Rs. 800.

The general structure of the assets of the bank has stood at different dates as follows.

	1913	1920	1928	1929	1934	1935	1936	1937	1938	1939
Deposits	380	2,389	8,158	6,463	2,112	1,858	2,290	2,753	2,163	1,431
Capital and Reserve	30.26	22.9	21.9	22.5	73.9	42.2	35.9	30.2	38.4	58.0
Due to Bankers	21.4	4.8	3.4	9.5	14.0	5.9
Cash in hand	12.6	15.8	20.4	10.8	11.5	14.8
Bills Discounted	8.8	7.5	10.6	8.1	4.8
Investments	33.2	18.6	7.0	12.9	18.6	17.0
Loans & Advances	122.8	110.8	96.7	104.3	137.5	97.5	92.0	98.2	103.6	110.5
Immovable Properties	11.9	18.5	6.3	10.1	12.1	19.3
Branches	14	14	18	21	23

With the outflow of deposits, the capital and reserve structure betrays unhealthy inflation while the cash ratio is unable to recover and continues low. Loans and advances could not be liquidated *pari passu* with the outflow of deposits and immovable properties proved, as is to be expected, a disturbing head. Even in these days of decline, creation of branches offered the only means of buttressing the deposits which meant no profits for the shareholders.

It had to seek or encourage business in consonance with its deposits rates and deposit structure.

	Percent. of Loans and Advances.			Average amount per Loan. Rs. 1940	Interest charged. Per cent.	Margins.
	1938	1939	1940			
Bullion and Gold ...	48.4	38.0	18.9	36	7½ to 12	40%
Government Obligations ...	0.4	25%
Shares ...	0.3	0.3	1.3	2,815
Commodities ...	10.9	7.3	11.6	6,245	7 to 9	20 to 26%
Real Estate ...	8.9	15.4	9.9	2,558	6 to 12	50 to 60%
Own Deposits ...	5.8	7.0	3.9	264	5½ to 9	...
Trade Bills	9.8	2.3	200	9	...
Clean Advances	1.3	6.6	2,442	6 to 12	...
Clean but under guarantee	7.0	11.0	500	8½ to 12	...
Bad and doubtful	7.3	17.3
Decreed Debts	7.5	17.8	...	6	...

Advances against gold and bullion are obviously advances against ornaments and trinkets. These account for the bulk of loans and advances and when taken with the average size of the loans clearly indicates a large clientele of small people. Loans against real estate and clean but guaranteed advances convey the same tale. Trade-bills, the second largest item in the list, are for small amounts. Advances against commodities which disclose medium sized borrowers do not aggregate to much, the effect probably, of competition of other and more powerful banks. Bad and doubtful debts and decreed debts reveal by their large proportions the quality of the clientele among whom the bank works and finds its being.

The figures of three consecutive years during which a local crisis aggravated the decline of the bank illustrate the difficulties of such banks in adverse weather. With the fall in deposits, bad, doubtful and decreed debts tend naturally to become a larger and larger proportion of the whole. The advances under Gold and Bullion and guaranteed do not prove easy to liquidate. The only encouraging feature in the situation is the apparent ability of the bank to prevent advances against real estate becoming a larger proportion of the whole.

The following table summarises the broad facts concerning all non-scheduled banks.

Non-Scheduled Reporting Banks. (in Lakh).

	Non-scheduled Banks.	Bank with Capital and Reserve 1 to 5 lakhs.			Banks with Capital and Reserve 50 Thousand to 1 lakh.		Capital and Reserve below 50 thousand.		
	1936	1936	1939	1943	1939	1943	1936	1939	1943
Number	1421*	74	119	152	112	141	1185†	400	188
Capital and Reserve	251	156	232	316	77	98	41	75	22
Capital and Reserve per Bank	61	200	195	208	99	69	15	18	16
Capital and Reserve per cent of deposits	21.8	28.2	25.1	14.1	25.8	15.7	23.1
Deposits	1,197	552	927	2,089	298	625	172	263	74
Deposits per Bank 000s.	286	800	779	1,874	266	443	65	65	53
Cash	156	102	187	669	52	197	21	78	13
Cash percent of deposits	13.1	18.4	14.8	33.0	17.4	31.5	12.3	14.4	17.3
Investments	230	63	214	475	42	108	18	22	6
Investment per cent of Deposits	19.2	11.4	23.0	22.7	14.1	16.8	10.8	8.6	81
Loans and Bills	1,025	485	865	1,253	264	411	167	286	69
Loans and Bills per cent. of Deposits	85.6	87.8	95.4	22.7	88.8	65.8	96.9	108.7	92.1
Branches per Bank	1.7	2.7	1.4

* Of these, only 418 are covered in the analysis.

† Of these, only 265 are covered in the analysis.

Deposit structure of Non-scheduled Banks

(December 31st).

	Number of Banks.	Total Deposits (lakhs).	Average Demand Deposit per bank. (000s).	Average Time Deposit per bank. (000s).	Average Deposits per bank. (000s).	Average Cash per bank. (000s).	Cash per cent. of Deposits.
1938	626	1541	61	185	246	17	6.8
1939	669	1595	73	166	239	17	6.9
1940	604	1674	87	190	277	22	7.9
1941	601	1953	118	208	326	27	8.4
1942	534	2464	207	254	461	49	10.7
1943	557	3302	278	315	593	65	10.9
(April)							

The proportion of capital and reserves of Class B banks to their deposits has always been strikingly high. In recent years, it has continued to be as high as 27 to 28 per cent. It is obvious that this merely indicates their incapacity to attract deposits on the scale of other bigger banks. It will be recalled that their growth has been generally growth in numbers and not in average size.* In 1936, their capital and reserves averaged to about 2 lakhs per bank and their deposits to a little more than 7 lakhs.

With borrowed resources limited in this manner, their employment of funds has to seek avenues which yield generous profits rather than conform to accepted standards of liquidity, etc. As much as 88 per cent. of their deposits is returned as invested in bills and loans which are as a rule the most lucrative assets in the balance sheets of banks. That such a high proportion should hold true of years of such exceptionally low interest rates as the present suggests that either the loans are of the more lucrative and therefore risky kind or they are frozen to a great extent. Investments in government or gilt-edged securities are barely 12 per cent. of deposits and in some individual cases they are almost non-existent. The only bright spot in the picture is the relatively high ratio of cash balances.

Most of the observations suggested by facts relating to Class B banks hold good on an aggravated scale for the 500 to 600 other banks which have a paid-up capital of less than Rs. 1 lakh. Many of them are really more money-lending institutions than banks. It is probable that the average capital and reserves of those banks which have capital and reserves between Rs. 50,000 to 1 lakh is nearer 60 thousand than any higher figure and their deposits average to about 2 lakhs. In the case of banks whose capital and reserves fall short of Rs. 50,000, the average capital and reserve per bank is only about 15 thousand rupees and the average deposits about 65 thousand. These latter banks number 477 as against 94 only of those whose capital and reserve fall between Rs. 50,000 and Rs. 1 lakh. As long-term loans form an appreciable part of their business, they depend very largely on their own funds. Time liabilities predominate in an overwhelming manner in whatever deposits they are able to attract. The proportion of current deposits seems to range much nearer one-quarter than one-third of the whole. It is usual for small banks in the smaller towns to

have their deposit-liabilities composed almost entirely of fixed deposits.*

Their social and economic basis pre-determines the character and principles of their business. Many of them avowedly exist to serve the interests of particular castes and communities or particular classes of persons like government servants, etc. It is a necessary consequence of this as of their small capital and reserves that most of them are unit banks. The bigger ones among them, those with capital between Rs. 50,000 and 1 lakh for example, may have a few branches but their essential limitation to and pre-occupation with local interests and local opinion mean inability to extend or adventure much beyond the outskirts of their home town or home province.

Since many of them exist to meet the needs of certain narrow communities and areas, it is inevitable that the personal element should predominate in everything. The bulk of funds as in the case of Class B banks flows into loans and advances. The need of directors and their friends rather than impartial assessment of the merits of applicants, personal credit and paper security rather than tangible security, these and other factors undermine the quality of assets held. Gilt-edged investments are either insignificant or in many cases do not exist at all. As Section 277L of the Indian Company Law prescribes for every non-scheduled banking company a minimum cash balance equivalent to at least $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. of time-liabilities and 5 per cent. of de-

* Capital-structure of Non-scheduled Banks.

December 1937.

Paid-up Capital and Reserves.	Number.	Banks with capital and reserves of less than 5 lakhs. and more than 1 lakh.
Below 5 thousand	377	1 lakh to 2 lakhs 65
5 to 10 thousand	286	2 lakhs to 3 lakhs 17
10 to 20 thousand	287	3 lakhs to 4 lakhs 10
20 to 30 thousand	126	4 lakhs to 5 lakhs 9
30 to 40 thousand	58	
40 to 50 thousand	50	
50 thousand to 1 lakh	185	
Below 50 thousand but unclassified	101	
Total	1,820	101

mand liabilities, the natural tendency of these banks is to hold as low cash as possible under the Act. In the light of these circumstances, it should not be surprising if most of these banks are found in a chronic state of frozen assets.

We have recorded already what a large part the loan offices of Bengal and Nidhis and Chits of the South India bear in the credit facilities of this country. While it is probable that the ancestry of these institutions could be traced to very early times, their modern history dates largely from post-Mutiny days. We may well conclude our present study with a brief description of the business and character of this undergrowth of our credit structure.

Loan Offices of Bengal

The loan offices of Bengal are among the many offshoots of the permanent settlement which made land more valuable there than elsewhere. Although the paucity or abundance of local money-lenders is a factor in their growth, the main influence determining their number and distribution is the productivity of land and the ensuing demand for loans. Zemindars and superior classes of holders of land compose the chief clientele of these loan offices.

Their number at any time depends on the phase of the agricultural cycle and is maintained very largely by new births which more than counterbalance their continuous mortality. Of 782 loan offices which were in existence in March 1929, as many as 400 were launched after 1925-26. Their present number most probably exceeds 1,000.*

Their paid-up capital is generally small. In 1929, there were only five whose capital exceeded and only three whose capital was equal to Rs. 1 lakh. As they attract deposits, the working fund is perhaps a better index to their financial status and utility. Of 381 loan offices in 1929 for which statistics were available, the working fund of 15 exceeded Rs. 10 lakhs and in the case of as many as 199, it fell below Rs. 50,000. The total working fund of all loan offices was more than Rs. 9 crores.

* Pp. 34-35.

The longest period for which deposits are accepted rarely exceeds 5 years. The bulk of deposits is composed of short deposits which are payable at 1 month's notice and intermediate deposits which are repayable within 2 years. The rates offered vary between 4 to 8 per cent. as a rule. Unfortunately, competition for deposits is sometimes very keen and touting and offer of absurdly high interest rates are a growing practice.

Their description of business in their memoranda of association is apt to be in the widest possible terms and ranges from bus traffic pure and simple to transport by all mechanically constructed carriers "in land, water or air." Actually, the vast majority is chiefly interested in mortgage loans against land and ornaments. The newer ones are developing loans against personal credit. There is a tendency also to give up the older practices of trading, Zemindari, etc., and the bigger ones like Comilla, Luxmi, etc., are increasing their proportion of liquid and purely banking business. The rates charged are apt to range in most cases between 12 to 18 per cent.

The fact that the management is usually vested in practising lawyers throws a great deal of light on their nature and business. On the one hand, the stimulus to the growth of loan offices is perhaps supplied by educated unemployment. While, on the other, the knowledge of lawyers regarding the legal and financial affairs of their clients is the essential basis of their business.

Nidhis and Chits of South India

The Nidhis of South India are distinguishable from other credit institutions registered under the Company Law by three main features. Their share capital is paid by monthly instalments spread over a certain predetermined period. The paid-up capital is withdrawable at the end of the period when the Nidhi automatically ceases to exist. Finally, the members of the Nidhi are entitled to borrow against their share capital, which is tantamount to a reduction of capital.

and therefore the security of the creditors and is clearly contrary to the law and principle of joint stock companies. Some of the Nidhis are now coming into line with the Company Law on all these three major points; out of 114 examined in 1929, eleven were reported to have adopted the practice of other joint stock companies.

They attract deposits. 218 out of a total of 228 in 1929 showed a paid-up capital of 244 lakhs, deposits of 116 lakhs and small reserves aggregating to only 31 lakhs. Many of them have fixed margins for their loans—90 per cent. against share capital, 90 per cent. against deposits, 50 per cent. against jewels, 80 to 90 per cent. against gold and silver, 75 per cent. against goods in godowns and 90 per cent. against government paper. That their main object was to meet the credit needs of the humbler folk is proved by the fact that the orthodox type has the same borrowing and lending rates. The source of profit is the fines and penalty interest levied on defaults and delays, and constitutes usually the perquisite or remuneration of the promoter and manager.

With Chits, Chit Funds or Kuries of South India, we move furtherest away from modern credit institutions as such. The promoter who is more often than not a needy man of straw invites members to make periodic payment to him over a period of time which is predetermined. Out of the payments received on each occasion from members, an advance is available to a member in either of two main ways. In the auction Chit, the promoter himself claims all the collections of the first instalment. On collection of each subsequent instalment, the member who bids for the lowest amount receives that amount and the balance is distributed among the remaining fraternity. It is obvious that on each occasion, the most needy among the bidders will make sure of his loan by bidding for the lowest amount. In the Prize Chit, a fixed sum or prize is drawn by lot and the balance is disposed of in the same manner as above. When a member has once made a successful bid or drawn a prize,

he drops out of all subsequent bids or draws and thus everyone is assured of his turn. It is clear that while the former type of chits may be described as "useful institutions which have arisen under conditions of defective credit arrangements, exorbitant interest rates and faulty communications,"* the latter is nothing of a credit institution but a mere lottery. Two grave defects vitiate all chits. The amounts received ultimately by members must vary in an arbitrary manner. What is more serious, once a member or particularly a promoter has received his amount, he has every inducement to wish and intrigue for a premature demise of the Chit. The Madras Banking Enquiry Committee were perfectly justified in their conclusion that "no attempt should be made to foster their development if they show signs of dying a natural death."

III

BANKS AND INDUSTRIAL FINANCE

An industrial or commercial enterprise has a double financial problem. It has to raise adequate permanent capital to finance its fixed assets and to obtain temporary or seasonal credits, either of a self-liquidating character or to be repaid by a subsequent issue of more permanent capital. In so far as minimum stocks of finished goods or raw materials, etc., have to be maintained to assure smooth, uninterrupted working of an enterprise, these must be included among fixed assets and therefore financed out of permanent capital.

Major Industries

It is the traditional sphere of commercial banks to supply working capital to industries out of their short-term deposits. It is difficult to judge how far the Imperial Bank and the Indian joint stock banks are able to render adequate financial assistance of this kind. The main major industries to be financed in this manner are jute, cotton, iron and steel, sugar, cement, coal, engineering construction, and a few

others of less significance. The enormous but compensatory variations in the cash and advances of the Imperial Bank which follow closely changes in the agricultural seasons seem to indicate that steady but continuously revolving advances such as these industries need do not form a large part of its assets. It concentrates more on seasonal movements of crops and raw-materials and less on the working capital requirements of industry. The advances of Indian joint stock banks which do not show any seasonal trend are presumably used for financing of the self-liquidating but ever-renewed needs of industry.

Complaints made before the Banking Inquiry Committee on this subject may be presumed to throw some light on the existing situation. It was alleged that in making loans, banks are willing to take account only of tangible and easily realisable assets like stock, etc., but not of block capital. They are not inclined to offer loans on personal credit and character although the offer of mere promissory notes enables them to include such loans in their balance sheets under the head "secured loans". Margins as large as 30 per cent. and much more are claimed and enforced against tangible and realisable assets. Many banks do not maintain the expert and technical staff required for a proper evaluation of assets and to that extent industry does not obtain the full advantage of its security. The rates charged are alleged to be more than industry can bear.

Medium-sized and Small Enterprises

It is much more certain that our commercial banks have not proved very useful in meeting the short-term requirements of medium-sized and small enterprises. These industries are scattered far and wide all over the country and in the aggregate are as important, if not indeed more important, a factor in our national economy as the above mentioned major industries. They include rice, flour and oil milling, sugar refining, lac manufacture, mica mining, cigarette and silk manufacture, cotton ginning and cleaning, tea growing and manufacture, glass manufacture, brass and

copper vessel industry, tanning and leather industry, blanket weaving and embroidery industry, etc. Many of these industries are reported as languishing for lack of adequate finance or unreasonably high rates they have to pay for what finance is available from loan offices, money-lenders, mahajans, Marwari bankers, exporting firms, middlemen, karkhandars, etc.

It is an error to suppose that banks as big financial institutions must inevitably limit their interest to big industry alone. It is true that growth in the size of the industrial and business unit has been an important factor impelling, if not forcing, banks to seek similar expansion. But the very bigness of particular industries has made them so sensitive to world factors that wise bankers seek an escape from too great a dependence on them into the better distributed risks of a smaller clientele not so vulnerable to these forces. In certain countries, big industry of its own accord has sought to release itself from the restraints of indebtedness to banks and has even added banking and financial functions to their own legitimate sphere. In the United States, the bulk of direct commercial loans is made to small or medium-sized firms, wholesalers, retailers, service trades, professional persons, etc.

The distribution of advances in England is on similar lines though not on the same scale. We may take as a typical sample the distribution of advances of Barclay's Bank for the year 1935. Of the total advances of 155m£, about 8 per cent. went to farmers and the average size of the loan was in round figures £610. Retailers obtained 8.7 per cent. of the total, with an average per head of £540. "Professional and private" advances i.e. loans largely for purposes of consumption and perhaps dealings in securities took away as much as 35.7 per cent. and the average size of the loans was £450 only. For a country of the wealth, commerce and industry of England, it is certainly remarkable that more than half the advances of a leading bank should consist of small loans of this kind.

These figures appear even more instructive when contrasted with the relative insignificance of the aggregate advances to heavy, big industries and their much larger per head indebtedness. Textile, Heavy, Coal-mining, Shipping industries obtained only 12.7 per cent. of aggregate advances while the average size of loans to all these industries taken together was £8,500. Coal-mining supported the largest burden of per head indebtedness—£61 thousand in round figures. Building which is such an important form of investment in all highly urbanised countries was allotted 7.5 per cent. of all advances—the average advance amounting to £1,800.

The immense range of variation in the sizes of loans may now be judged from the fact that the average size of all loans was £774 only.*

Provision of Long-term Capital

Long-term capital required to finance the fixed assets of industry is derived from three sources. As in the earlier stages of industrial development in other countries, capital subscribed privately on a family basis or from friends has played and still plays a large part in industrial ventures. The managing agency system originated in and is closely associated with this fact. Secondly, it is the practice in certain places to raise a larger or smaller part of the required capital by means of deposits which are generally fixed for one year but in many cases extend to as long periods as 7 years. These deposits are naturally strictly local in

	* Percentage of Advances.		Average Size of Loans.	
	Barclays 1935		Barclays 1935.	Lloyd 1936.
Farmers	7.9		610	881
Textile	1.1		...	15,386
Clothing	1.9	
Heavy	4.9		1,800	...
Building	7.5		1,800	...
Food	1.7	
Coal-mining	5.0		61,000	12,925
Others	2.7	
Merchants (Raw)	2.6	
(Manu.)	2.7	
Retailers	8.7		540	610
Hotels, Laundry	2.5	
Loc. Govt. Pub. Ut.	4.2		...	5,484
Shipping	1.4	
Finance, Insurance	4.9	
Professional, Private	35.7		450	545
Entertainments, etc.	4.6		774	500
Average Advance

character since their chief basis is the reputation for business integrity or social and caste affiliations of the management of mills. Finally, we have the more general and fast growing method of direct appeal to investors through prospectuses, etc.

The method of direct appeal to investors suffers in India as everywhere else from two defects. The supply of capital is forthcoming not at a steady rate but in sudden sporadic waves. These waves occur at times when some events create such unusual states of mass expectation that the usual motives of prudence and caution are weakened and the investor surrenders to the lure of quick and large speculative profits. In the recent history of capital issues in this country, the years 1920-21 and 1935-37 stand out as illustrations of this fact. Enterprises whether new or old have to wait for such golden opportunities or else raise capital in ways which are onerous.*

A much graver defect of this system is the lack of guidance to the general run of investors.† Even in a country like England in which there has always existed a large class of investors with means to invest who are accustomed to exercise independent judgment as to what to invest in, large quantities of capital run to waste. As the MacMillan Committee record,‡ the shares and debentures of 281 companies which raised on them 117 m£. in 1928 had a market value of only 66 m£ in May 1931. As many as 70 of these companies had been wound up by that date and the capital of 36 others had no ascertainable value. If details of what happened to the floatations of

* Capital Issues (in crores)

1918-19	21.2
1919-20	281.7
1920-21	148.0
1921-22	80.8
1922-23	24.9
1923-24	...
1924-25	20.2
1925-26	50.8
1926-27	36.4
1927-28	46.2
1928-29	109.0
1929-30	53.1

† P. 571.

‡ P. 166.

1920-21 and 1935-37 in India were complied, the outcome is not likely to turn out less ghastly.

When conditions in the capital market are temporarily unfavourable or the requirements are only temporary or adequate capital willing to undertake risks is not forthcoming, the issue of suitable debentures is a legitimate method of raising the needed finance. It happens, however, that debentures are not as popular in India as elsewhere. Among the more important causes of this backwardness are the unfamiliarity of the general public with this form of investment, the preference of the more business and money minded classes for speculative scrips, heavy stamp duties on debentures and on transfers of debentures, lack of confidence in all but the largest, best-known and well-established enterprises, the ensuing concentration of debentures in the hands of our potentates, merchant princes and other wealthy clients, and finally the avoidance of such investment by insurance and other cognate concerns with large investible resources.*

The practice of raising capital by means of deposits fixed from one to seven years is an outcome of local ties and confidence and its extent for that reason varies from place to place. The Ahmedabad industry depends on it most, indeed, to such an extent that neither fixed nor liquid assets of the industry can be used as security for loans from banks or from any other quarter without undermining the whole credit structure.† The Banking Enquiry

* Pp. 278-75. Banking Inquiry Committee's Report.

† Percentages of Total Finance.

	Bombay (64 mills)	Ahmedabad (56 mills)
Amount loaned by mortgage-agencies ...	21	24
Amount loaned by banks ...	9	4
Amount by public deposits ...	11	39
Amount of share-capital ...	49	32
Amount of debentures issued	10	1
Interest-rate offered by a mill under a particular management in Ahmedabad.	Yield of 8 p.c. Govt. Security on April 1st of the year	Average bank-rate for the year.
1923 ...	6	5.7
1926 May ...	5½	5.72
1927 Jan. ...	5	5.73
1929 Oct. ...	5½	6.32

Banking Inquiry Committee Report, p. 278.

Committee (1931) found that there had been no reduction in the total of deposits received by Ahmedabad textile mills during the previous 25 years. It is still a point worthy of consideration whether continuance of the system is not fraught with grave dangers, particularly in times of depression. These deposits have been described very appropriately as fair weather friends, attracted by generous offers of interest but likely to fall into a stampede at the slightest approach of danger. Their replacement by share or debenture capital is much to be desired as abrogating a weak link in the industrial structure of the country.

Commercial Banks and Industry

Banking funds reach industry through several channels. There are in the first place, short-term advances made directly. Secondly, a good deal of commercial or instalment paper which banks discount results in financing industry either directly or through intermediaries. Loans given to brokers or customers to enable dealings in or holding of stock exchange securities ultimately find their way in a similar manner to these enterprises as share capital or other kinds of capital.* Finally, direct investments by banks in industrial scrips or temporary loadings which occur in the course of underwriting or issuing activities are apt to reach large or small proportions according to the traditions of each banking system. .

It is not a matter of indifference whether these funds reach commerce and industry through one channel or another. If direct loans give place to indirect methods of finance, industry is released from the healthy check and experienced guidance of bankers who in their turn must also suffer from loss of direct contact. If loans to brokers and customers take the place of direct investments in industrial and other scrips, banks are automatically involved in the severe speculative waves of stock exchange dealings. Not only the technique and methods of bank finance have then to be re-adapted but the quality and

stability of the banking business as such tend to alter in an important manner.

It is a tradition, particularly of British thought on the subject to distinguish broadly between short-term and long-term finance and to argue that deposit banks should limit themselves to the former. The policy is partly justified by the natural rule of prudence that short-term deposits—and British banks are known to hold particularly large proportions of short-term deposits—should be used to finance short-term requirements. Besides, there is also the feeling that short-term finance assures safety in a manner and to a degree which cannot be expected of long-term finance. It is necessary to analyse this belief carefully so as to place industrial financing in its proper perspective.

It is self-evident that the banker does not and cannot seek an ultimate repayment as such. His profits are maintained only by continuous repayments and continuous re-investment in such a quick succession that only a minimum of his resources is idle at any time. From the standpoint of maintenance of a steady social output, a repayment means a successful advancing of goods to a further stage of production and a re-investment means acquisition of materials from an earlier stage for further fabrication. A failure to repay means that goods have been created which are not able to find a market and a failure to re-invest means an accumulation of idle stocks which fails to be absorbed into the productive process. In case of failure at any stage, adjustment has to take place by a fall of prices at all earlier stages and distribution of incidental losses through the production and banking structure. Looked at in this way, the difference between short-term and long-term finance reduces itself to the simple fact that a short loan involves estimation of the possibilities in the very near future only. A long-term loan raises more complicated questions. The banker must estimate in the first place the prospects of a particular industry over a long period and secondly the prospects of the particular firm with refe-

rence to its own special circumstances. Even if mistakes are detected, there is but limited opportunity for periodic revisions of judgment, once the investment is made. Besides, when an enterprise is new, a relatively long period of incubation and immaturity must elapse before the investment will bear fruit. In the case of a tea garden, for example, production does not commence till after the expiry of four years from the laying out of the garden. For some other industries, periods of ten and even twenty years may be necessary. Hence, even when investments are prudently undertaken and properly diversified, sporadic and individual factors may land the banker into serious embarrassment.

It is only in this sense that we can ascribe "liquidity" to the short-term advances of a bank or banking system and claim "a solid residuum of truth in the older doctrine that the banking system is less vulnerable and more stable if the individual banks are in a genuinely liquid condition." There is, however, another sense in which the word "liquidity" is sometimes used. The assets of an individual bank are described as liquid when they can be readily exchanged for cash. Government securities for example are specially prized in this country as assets of this kind. Since government themselves are not expected to repay, it is clear that liquidity means here a high degree of marketability or shiftability. This shiftability has its own well-marked limits. An individual bank can dispose of its assets to the public or to another bank; all banks taken together can shift them within the limits of law and practice to the central bank, if one is in existence. In certain circumstances, the banking system of a country may be able to invoke the aid of foreign banking systems for the same purpose. But except within these limits, there is no such thing as liquidity for the banking system as a whole, whether the phrase is understood in the first sense or the second. If every bank were to decline to renew its loans or to endeavour to place on the market

its assets on any substantial scale, a complete break-down of the economic system could be the only result.

Although loans to finance fixed assets of industries are not liquid in the first sense, the shares and scrips representing them are certainly liquid in the sense of shiftability. This shiftability, however, is apt to hold true in many cases only in the literal and not economic sense. For reasons discussed elsewhere, grave instability of values is almost inseparable from scrips which figure in stock exchange dealings.* Recent experiences illustrate very forcefully the waves of appreciation and depreciation to which these industrial investments are prone. The index-number of maximum prices of ordinary shares stood at about 102 in 1929, fell to 58 in 1932 and flared upto 128 in 1937. Considering minimum prices, the index-number was 93 in 1929, 63 in 1931, 37 in 1936 and 108 in 1937.† Even more instructive for the life history of a bank are the vicissitudes of investments taken over by the Central Bank of India from the Tata Industrial Bank. The following figures speak for themselves.

No. of Shares	Name	Pd.-up value	Mkt. value adopted in Dec. 1924	Market value Dec. 1939
24878	Tata Iron (2nd Pref.)	100	20	184 8 0
1562	Nira Valley Sugar Co.	100	1	...
828	Tata Power Co.	800	95	1876 4 0
				(Pd.-up 1000)
19250	New India Assurance	25	11-12-0	85 2 0
				(Pd.-up 15)

If ultimate solvency were the criterion of sound banking few banks need fall into difficulties on the ground of industrial finance.‡ Unfortunately, banking business is different from all others because it has to be solvent every minute of its existence.

* See Chapter XI.

† P. 25. Review of Trade. 1937-38.

‡ The Deutsche Bank of Germany, ordinarily inclined to confine its interests to pure deposit banking, sponsored the formation of the Mannesmannwerke the well known manufacturers of tubes, subscribed the share capital and gave extensive credit. For years, it was a source of trouble but the Deutsche Bank saw it through and the Mannesmannwerke became one of their finest connections.

These difficulties which are partly economic and partly technical are sometimes held to suggest an inevitable bifurcation of the banking system into separate institutions for short-term and long-term finance. There is, however, hardly an example in economic history where such a separation of banks has existed and has expedited industrial and economic progress. On the contrary examples of countries which adopted mixed banking and hastened their industrialisation are more numerous.* The exceptions are not countries which have a bisected banking system but countries like England in which an independent class of investors with means and judgment to invest ante-dated a commercial banking system which grew on industries already in existence. Prudence, therefore, suggests that we should analyse in detail the exact strong and weak points in mixed or integrated banking before supporting the alternative offered.

There should be no question but that long-term investments should not be financed to any appreciable extent out of short-term deposits. In other words, banks which embark on industrial finance should take care that such investments are well within the fixed and long-term funds at their disposal. Expansion of such activities should be preceded by an adequate proportion of capital and reserves and acquisition of funds on a longer basis than suits the book of ordinary commercial banks. That this proportion need not be excessively high is proved by the Banque D'Affairs of France whose ratio of capital and reserves to deposits has been well within 30 per cent. and is about three times as high as in the case of ordinary commercial banks†

* Mac-Millan Committee's Report, paras, 337, 378, 379, 380, 381.

† Banques D'affaires

	1933 Dec.	1936 Dec.
Capital and Reserve. (Million Fra.)...	1,078	1,113
Deposits, (Million Fra.) ...	4,115	5,513
Cash and at Bank, (Percentage of liabilities) ...	19.6	23.7
Loans and Advances, (Percentage of liabilities) ...	30.9	26.7
Discounts, (Percentage of liabilities) ...	31.6	35.3
Investments and Premises. (Percentage of liabilities) ...	25.1	22.2
Acceptance etc. (Percentage of liabilities) ...	4.5	5.9

Sources for such funds are by no means insignificant even at present. A proper scheme of co-operation between the Government, the Reserve Bank and commercial banks should release large funds for use in industry which are at present either idle or are diverted into less useful channels. Postal cash certificates issued by government for example absorbed about 35 crores between 1920-30 as against a net increment in paid-up capital of joint stock companies of 150 crores. Between 1930 and 1937, joint stock companies showed an increment of about 29 crores only as against 26 crores attracted into cash certificates. It is perhaps undesirable that such large funds should be absorbed by government when certificates and debentures of well-established banks could as well serve the purpose of attracting into productive channel these dormant resources. Precedent for such a departure exists in Switzerland where commercial banks issue debentures of 3 to 5 years, changes in interest rates being regularly notified to and approved by the Swiss National Bank. Power to permit the issue of such debentures and the rates at which they are issued may well lie with the Reserve Bank which should be the best judge of the character and policies of the banks concerned. The extent to which industry raises capital in the form of deposits in certain parts of the country points to another source of funds which has not yet been fully tapped. Another indication in the same direction is the recent rapid growth in savings accounts of many important banks. The creation of this facility has for its object the extension of the banking habit to lower income-classes and its astonishing success in post-war years in many advanced countries like the United States, Sweden, Norway, etc., should leave no doubt about its future in India as well. The average period for which deposits are fixed in India is much longer than in many Western countries, and decidedly longer than in England where shorter deposits, perhaps as short as 14 days, form the great bulk. For these reasons the adaptation of the structure of certain Indian banks so as to fit them for ven-

turing into the field of industrial finance should not be found materially difficult.

Participation of commercial banks in industrial finance and flotations offers certain advantages which should not be under-rated. In the case of established industries, their usual business of short-term finance brings them in contact with their own special problems as well as the problems of allied or dependent industries. Through their large number of branches and through their connections with all markets and lines of business, they are able to judge the prospect of each enterprise against a comprehensive perspective of the economic milieu as a whole. No other agency is better qualified to offer advice and guidance of long-term policies on questions of extension, amalgamations, etc., and no other agency is better situated to bring to bear appropriate pressure for their adoption. While any tendency on the part of banks to manage industry is to be deprecated, their supervision of and informal association with the financial policies of industry should certainly redound to the benefit of the large investing public whose enforced or voluntary abdication of functions and responsibilities is a standing reproach and scandal of the so-called "financial democracy" of joint stock enterprise.

In the case of new enterprises, their active participation may prove even more beneficial. The gravest defect of the present system of investment is the absence of any reliable guidance to the investor. The stock-exchanges are more a barometer of fears and hopes about the future generated by the passing events of the present than a balanced long-term view of the future itself. Unlike individual brokers or firms of stock-brokers, banks are immortal institutions with reputation and traditions to maintain. They are unlikely to give the prestige of their support and recommendation to doubtful issues, still less to underwrite them. Banks can afford to gather information, maintain records, employ specialists such as may not be expected of small firms.

It is sometimes suggested that the check of a single bank which supplies both short-term and long-term finance may not prove as efficient and impartial as the multiple check of one institution which supplies fixed capital, another which supplies short-term finance and so on. The suggestion springs from two assumptions both of which seem groundless. It is assumed that the parties which supply fixed capital or are connected with it exercise some check at present. As a matter of fact, neither investors nor stock-exchange authorities are to any degree effective. In the second place, it is taken for granted that participation in issues and flotations means a permanent connection with individual enterprises. As a matter of fact, the ideal should be as rapid a change in the composition of the investment portfolio as market conditions and circumstances permit. The ultimate holder of securities should be the public and not banks—the latter only correcting the tendencies of investment to occur in sporadic waves and other defects.

There is nevertheless a danger that while a bank holds an interest in the fixed assets of an enterprise, it may be tempted to offer much more short-term accommodation than a strict view of the circumstances and ordinary business caution will justify. Similarly, capital extensions might be undertaken more in the hope of extricating itself from unwise commitments whether on account of short or long loans than placing the concern on sounder footing as such. It will be seen later that complications or suspected complications of this kind lay at the root of the ultimate disaster which overtook the People's Bank of Lahore, the Bank of Burma and the Tata Industrial Bank. But on closer analysis it will be realised that this is a danger not of combination of short-term and long-term finance as such but of the interlocking of authorities. Even today, the men who sit on the boards of our commercial banks are generally men who have deep stakes in the industries of the country. As the aforesaid failures revealed, the men and concerns which were responsible for banking ventures were more often than not the very men and concerns which sought to

pioneer industries in this country. The ultimate cause of this interlocking is partly the paucity of high business talent as such and partly the circumstances which confine access to economic power and experience to a few families or narrow social circles. Until it is possible to enforce a rule that officers of banks may sit on the boards of companies as representatives of banks and in no other capacity, an alleviation of our present difficulty could be sought in associating as a rule more than one bank with any issue. Such consortiums have been common in Germany and they are to be desired not only as supplying a multiple check but as enabling a wider distribution of risks.

Post-war changes in the outlets for banking funds are putting pressure on banks in the same direction. Even in a country like England, industrial and commercial advances of banks are becoming a diminishing proportion of the whole and it is only an expansion of "other" loans which has enabled them to maintain their advances somewhere in the neighbourhood of their accustomed volume.* The causes which have brought about this situation vary from country to country. In some, direct investment has received a severe check on account of the complete break of values in the crisis of 1929-30 and 1936-37 and fixed deposits are much preferred to shares and equities. In others, as we have already said, industry raises its working capital directly, seeks to increase the rapidity of turn-over and maintains smaller stocks—in order to escape dependence on banks. This should explain why in some countries loans to brokers and customers for security dealings grew so rapidly and in others, large funds were driven into real estate loans. It may well be that in these countries, indus-

* British Clearing Banks.
(Percentage of Total Advances).

C		1929-30	1936	1937	
Industrial and Commercial Advances	...	55.5	47.2	46.2	i.e. Textile, Heavy Agriculture, Mining, Food, Drink, Shipping, Bldg, Retail, Misc.
"Other Advances."		44.5	52.8	53.8	Loc. Govt., Pub-General, Uti. Amusements, Clubs, Churches, Charity.

trial finance may assist banks to create new outlets for investment and at the same time restore direct touch between banking and industry. As the MacMillan Committee say very truly, "in any community which wishes to keep in the van of progress, the financial and industrial worlds should be closely integrated through appropriate organisation."*

We have already noted how the problem of outlets for investment of funds which was already acute in pre-war* times has become seriously aggravated in post-war years.† There is some evidence that banks are changing their investment policies in the direction of industrial finance already. Of the Big Five, the Allahabad Bank does not venture into any investments except government securities. But the other four have been gradually increasing their investments in debentures and ordinary and preference shares of joint-stock companies. About 2 per cent. only of their deposits in 1924-25, the proportion has risen steadily to a little above 5 per cent. by 1937-38. This is certainly a very conservative position since the capital and reserves of these banks are apt to be above 9 to 10 per cent. A reference to the balance sheets of Banque D'Affaires will show that their investments and premises are almost identical in amount with their capital and reserves.‡ A little more than two-thirds of their deposits are invested in short-term finance i.e., discounts and advances which shows what a large part ordinary commercial banking plays in their activities even. In absolute figures, the industrial stake of our four big banks is very small, being about 3½ crores in all. But the steady increase from ¼ a crore only is certainly a pointer. Even this small amount, if it is constantly turned over from one scrip into another is not insignificant since, except in years of investment booms, the net increment of paid-up capital of joint stock companies rarely exceeds 5 crores in a single year.

* Para. 285.

† P. 260-1 and Chart I in Chapter VI

‡ Foot-note, † p. 192.

Industrial Banks and Small Industries

In consequence of the foregoing facts, it would be otiose to discuss whether long-term finance for big industrial enterprises could not be better supplied by a special type of banks. Proposals have been made by the Central Banking Enquiry Committee* and by others for provincial or all-India industrial corporations. Although the Committee does not indicate the desirable amount of share capital, and merely suggests that debenture capital should be twice the amount of share capital, it is to be presumed that such corporations are intended to have quite large resources. Now, as we have already seen, even industrial banks depend for their success and profits on quite a large proportion of short-term resources and short-term finance. In the present circumstances of the country, such large, short term resources can be acquired only at the expense of existing commercial banks. Such proposals overlook the basic fact that the question of industrial finance, whether short-term or long-term, has arisen here as elsewhere out of the paucity of mobilisable capital of both kinds. A multiplication of institutions presumes on the other hand a relative abundance of funds. It is the basic justification, central object and outstanding merit of mixed or integrated banking that it enables a country to make an economic and intensive use of its limited capital resources.

Although specialised industrial banks might not be feasible or desirable for large enterprises, it may be that they have important services to perform towards small or cottage industries. These industries labour under certain special difficulties which repel the bigger banks from supplying them either short-term or long-term accommodation. Their shares and stocks, if they exist, have hardly any market and cannot be easily marketed, should need arise. Controlled by relatively obscure managements and existing in scattered and obscure places, the technique of financial aid and supervision has to be on a different scale and altogether different in character. Their problems of marketing are more difficult and urgent than those of production.

The history of such banks as have been floated illustrates well these various difficulties. Of the 8 industrial banks which were traceable early in the post-war year 1923, four have disappeared. The small size of one gave it the much needed obscurity in which alone irresponsible finance and fraud can thrive.* Another started well with a substantial capital but soon resorted to doubtful practices with the object of collecting deposits.† The interlocking of the Tata Industrial Bank with Tata enterprises and the impatience of the shareholders accounted for the disappearance of the third and the greatest Indian enterprise in this line. The birth of a fourth which was a small bank was registered but its demise seems to be lost in the massive banking mortality of the country.

The industrial banks which existed in 1936 and for which some information is available suggest some important inferences.‡ Of these, only three are really noticeable. It

* Industrial and Exchange Bank of India, Bombay : (1920-24) Paid-up capital 12.3 lakhs. It started branches at Karachi, Surat, Fazilka, Kapurthala, and Rawalpindi. When the bank closed its doors, the debtors at Karachi were untraceable. The manager of the Surat branch was found to have used bank's funds for his own purposes. Of the total debt of 1 lakh at the head-office, half the amount had been advanced to persons who became insolvents. One of the directors who had a decree for 87 thousand against him fled to Germany before the first balance-sheet was out and his co-signatories proved paupers. The bank underwrote the shares of the Solar Assurance Co., but they could not be sold.

† Calcutta Industrial Bank, Calcutta: (1919-23) Paid-up capital 79 lakhs. Some calls were not paid as late as 1921 and shares had to be confiscated. In 1922, it floated the Marwari Commercial Bank—itsself paying its entire capital of 75 lakhs : Secretary, directors, etc. were identical. The object was to collect deposits and business for both banks but as none were forthcoming, a petition for winding up was filed in 1922.

‡	1936. (In lakhs)	Capital and Reserves.	Deposits. Fixed. Current	Cash	Loans and Advances	Government and other Securities.	Other Assets
Industrial Bank of Western India ...	20 + 9	00.12 +	2.74	3.22	25.0	6.53	2.26
Karachi Industrial Bank ...	60 + 1	2.6257	35.5	...	36.13
Simla Banking and Industrial Co. ...	5 + 2	26.0 +	3	3.6	21.2	15.8	4.0
Luxmi Industrial Bank ...	1 + 1	10.00	...	0.4	5.5	...	8.6
Railkut Industrial Bank (Jalpaiguri) ...	3 × 0	0.1	1.9	...	1.6
Tezpur Industrial Bank (Tezpur) ...	0.5 + 0.7	2.0 +	1	1.0	3.03

(Karnani Industrial Bank. March 31st 1937: in lakhs)

Capital ...	60
Customer's Accounts ...	2
Other liabilities ...	10
Fixed Assets ...	00.3
Investments ...	28.0
Bills, Loans, etc. ...	38.0
Cash ...	00.5

No dividends were declared between 1928-1936. Current and fixed deposits were as under (in lakhs)

1928 ...	24
1930 ...	32
1931 ...	18
1935 ...	25
1936 ...	2

is not perhaps surprising that their essentially local character should be reflected in their small size. The five important Banque D'Affairs of France had in 1936 merely a quarter of the capital and reserves of 8 big deposit banks of the country and their aggregate resources including deposits were only a little more than 1/11th. Even in Germany, in the earlier and perhaps more important phase of industrial banking, a considerable part of this business was transacted by the smaller provincial banking institutions. But the industrial banks of India could not be compared even with the Banque D'Affairs of France. What is more surprising about Indian ventures in this field is their inability to attract or retain deposits. The Banque D'Affairs in France depend on borrowed resources to the extent of two to three times their capital and reserves. Except in one instance, the deposit resources of Indian industrial banks hardly deserve notice.

It is clear that industrial banks as such are not able to create the necessary confidence in themselves. Indeed, the experience of certain provincial governments about such long-term assistance as they gave under State Aid to Industries Acts seems to give justification for such lack of confidence. In Madras, in Bihar and Orissa and in the Punjab, the tale is one of uniform losses of the major part of the capital supplied.* It is here that a proper integration of the bigger commercial banks and small industrial banks is likely to confer great benefit on the country. On a basis of affiliation with approved industrial banks, the bigger banks, while limiting their direct aid to short-term finance only, could supply through their branches the necessary expert guidance and knowledge in industrial banking. The known co-ordination of relations between them will ensure proper regard for banking practice and will tend to create the necessary basis for public confidence in the smaller institutions.

* Banking Inquiry Committee's Report, pp. 306-09.

IV

FINANCE OF FOREIGN TRADE AND
EXCHANGE BANKS

Foreign trade between two countries is financed largely by means of bills of exchange drawn by the exporter on the importer, with banks as intermediaries to purchase or, in due course, to collect them. The financing of the foreign trade of India is distinguished by certain special features which we now proceed to note.

(1) The bulk of this business is in the hands of non-Indian banks, popularly known as exchange banks, of which there are now nineteen. Two of these are concerned largely with tourist traffic. Of the rest, five have a considerable portion of their business in India while the other twelve are only branches or agencies of big banking corporations doing the major portion of their business outside India.

Indian joint stock banks have little share in this business unless the exchange bank concerned has no branch in the interior of the country and the financing of the export or import business has, for that reason, to be split into two transactions—the financing from or to, the Indian port to or from, the upcountry distributing or collecting centre and the financing from the Indian port to foreign port or vice versa. Indian exporters or importers naturally prefer the financing to be arranged by a single agency as being cheaper, but in the absence of an up-country branch of the exchange bank, the first part of the financing is generally undertaken by the Imperial Bank of India, the Indian joint stock banks and the indigenous bankers in the case of exports and in the case of imports, by commission agents, shroffs and Indian joint stock banks. Demand draft is the instrument usually employed by Indian banks for this purpose.

It is difficult to estimate the actual share which Indians hold in this business. A part of the import trade is arranged

with documents made out in the names of Indians instead of exchange banks as a matter of convenience but the financing is really done by non-Indians. There is also some trade which is handled without the intervention of exchange banks. Allowing for these two factors, the share of Indians has been placed by competent observers at about 15 per cent., and according to the testimony of the exchange banks, it is a share which is slowly growing.

The loss to this country from the predominance of the exchange banks is not confined to the financing of foreign trade as such. Other incidental losses have also to be reckoned in. There is reason to fear that branches of exchange banks, opened though they may be at the request of Indian clients, encroach on the financing of domestic trade as well. The cheap deposits raised by exchange banks place them in a strong competitive position which is further reinforced by the natural tendency on the part of their customers to open and keep accounts with them. Besides, exchange banks have been accused of compelling Indians to insure their goods with foreign companies on the usual grounds which, however plausible on their face, cannot but limit the growth of Indian enterprise in this field. With their practical monopoly of this business, it should not be surprising if non-nationals in the export and import business of this country are encouraged by them with the offer of better facilities, etc.

(2) The Indian exporter draws bills, usually of 3 months usance and rarely longer, against credits opened by the buyer with London banks and advised to India through the medium of an exchange bank. Our export bills are as a rule in sterling although in the case of Japan and China they are in terms of yen and rupees respectively. Since these bills can be and are usually discounted in London with the endorsement of exchange banks, the negotiating exchange bank here gives the foreign importer the benefit of the low interest rates of London. It is very unusual for

an exchange bank to hold these bills till maturity except in times of abundant funds or slack trade in India. It is also unusual for the Indian exporter to entrust his bills to the banks for collection since it means waiting for funds till they fall due.

In the case of our imports, things work out differently. A small part of our import trade is financed by London banks' acceptance of "house" paper. This means that bills of a foreign exporter who has got the requisite standing to draw on a bank in London, are accepted by it and returned to the exporter to be discounted in the London money-market. The importing agency here which is usually a branch of the London exporting firm thus obtains the advantage of lower London rates. Since as we shall see presently, the Indian rival of the importing agency is denied the same advantage, the procedure places him at a disadvantage, in his competition with the British or foreign rival.

The bulk of our import trade from Western countries is financed by 60 days' bills drawn on the Indian importers.* The London banks which buy or advance funds on them in London, send them for collection to India. Although the bills are drawn in sterling and although they are held till maturity, the benefit of lower London rates is not given to the Indian importer. These bills have an interest clause under which the importer here is made to pay interest at a stated rate, usually 6 per cent., from the date of the bills to the approximate or estimated date of arrival of return remittance in London. The local drawee has to find local currency to cover not merely the sterling face amount of the bill but something more to purchase the additional amount of sterling required to cover the interest. The drawer abroad eventually receives the face amount of the

* Cf. "These Indian import bills.....are usually drawn in India at three months' sight; there is also a fair proportion of four months' paper drawn, but not many six months' bills are taken." *Eastern Exchange Currency and Finance* by Spalding (3rd Edition. Pittman and Sons, 1920); also Banking Inquiry Committee Report, p. 315 Para. 429a.

bill plus interest at the agreed rate.* The rate is raised when the Bank of England rate rises above 5 per cent.†

From the standpoint of the banker, the substance of these two operations may be put in this way. The discounting of the Indian exporters' bills in London releases funds immediately for transfer to India. He prefers to hold the British exporters' bills till maturity, i.e. lock up his funds in India because they earn for the whole or the unexpired portion of the maturity a rate of interest higher than what any money market in the West can offer.

This difference in practices relating to export and import bills calls for some explanation. It is logical that bills on India which may have to be discounted in India should bear the higher interest-rates of this country. But as a matter of fact, these bills are held till maturity and sent to India only for collection. If instead of negotiating these bills, the London banks only accept them as in the case of 'house-paper', cheaper London funds could be made available for the benefit of the Indian importer.‡

Since the bills are in sterling, the question arises how the sterling amount is to be converted into the local currency for payment by the local drawee. This is done by an exchange clause which is a special feature of

* Cf. "Interest is usually collected from the Indian acceptor for the estimated period the bank in London is out its money, and, if the bill is paid before maturity, interest is adjusted accordingly.....It is difficult to say why some Indian acceptors willingly acquiesce in the interest charged in the interest bills, while others refuse to pay it. The reason according to some bankers, is to be found in the rules of the different castes.....the drawer on this side is not the loser, and where he pays the interest himself he doubtless recoups himself in the price he charges for the goods, so it comes to the same thing in the end" *Eastern Exchange Currency and Finance*, by Spalding. (Pittman. 1920 3rd edition) P. 84.

† In 1929, it was 7 per cent, for the greater part of the year and 7½ per cent from the middle of October to the end of November. The Bank of England rate was 6½ per cent. —Central Banking Inquiry Report. 1931. P. 317.

‡ The difference between an "interest bill" and a banker's acceptance depends on the level of interest rates in London. Take for example a bill on India at 3 months sight—the mailing time being 15 days each way.

(i) Cost of interest bill.

		£	s.	d.
Interest for 120 days at 6% on 500	...	9	17	3
Indian Stamp duty	...	0	5	0
Total	...	10	2	3

(ii) Cost under acceptance credit

Acceptance commission at 1½% per annum	...	2	10	0
Discount for 120 days at say, 2%	...	3	6	8
Stamp duty	...	0	5	0
Total	...	6	1	8

If the discount rate in London is 5 per cent. the latter cost would be £ 11-1-8, i.e. acceptance credit would be more costly.

import trade with South America, India, etc. The exchange clause is a phrase included in the bill to direct the method by which return remittance is secured in sterling. Its object is to throw the risk of any loss of exchange from the drawer to the drawee. Some bills are drawn with the clause: "payable at the particular Exchange Bank's drawing rate for demand bills on London." Others contain the clause: "payable at the particular Exchange Bank's rate for demand bills or telegraphic transfers on London." If no rate has been arranged with the bank, the rate is that fixed by the exchange banks in India from day to day. Of course, the Indian importer can take steps to protect himself against exchange fluctuations by buying pounds forward to the required extent. This means an additional operation for which he will have to bear the costs.

(3) Bills drawn on foreigners by Indian exporters are usually D/A bills. In other words, when a bill is accepted by the foreign importer, of course through the medium of banking houses as explained above, the documents accompanying the bill are handed over to him and he is able¹ to obtain immediate possession of the goods. The payment may be postponed till the bill matures.

Bills drawn by foreigners on the Indian purchasers are usually on D/P terms without letters of credit. Technically, the Indian importer is not entitled to obtain possession of goods except on payment. The difficulty has been met by requiring the importer to execute a Trust Receipt by which he agrees to hold goods or their sale proceeds in trust for the banker until payment is completed.* The advantage from the banker's standpoint is that in case of failure to pay, a criminal liability is added

* Cf. ".....from the standpoint of British Banking we have no hesitation in saying that trust receipt facilities are open to grave objection.....The trust receipt system.....is said to have been evolved in the United States ('where the law recognised to a far greater extent than elsewhere the bank's property in the goods after they are given up to the acceptor of a bill') but something akin to it is seen in the cotton and woollen manufacturing districts of England, where it is no uncommon thing for the raw material to be delivered on the signature of a trust document before the bills of exchange are paid." *Foreign Exchange and Foreign Bills*, by Spalding, (8rd edition. Pittman). Pp. 181; 183; 185. Also, para. 565, *Banking Enquiry Committee's Report*.

to the civil liability enforceable on the bill. A recent decision of the Madras High Court seems, however, to throw doubt on this remedy since a bank is apparently required to prove actual loss to the bank or likelihood of loss arising from the fraud of the executants.*

That the foreigner should receive entitling documents on mere acceptance while the Indian should be required to execute an additional safeguard like a trust receipt and incur the additional cost of it is certainly an invidious discrimination. The exchange banks defend the practice on the ground that the Indian importer is usually a man of limited means whose credit would not justify the other practice.† Exchange Banks themselves, it must be recorded are not uninterested in the continuance of the discrimination since loans against trust-receipts fetch a higher rate of interest than loans against bills.‡

It is more than probable that the issue turns mainly on the kind of bank references given about Indian businessmen. There has always been a feeling that these are not as satisfactory as they should be. Otherwise, D/A bills should find no difficulty in passing current in our import trade just as in our export trade. Lack of personal and social contact between the European officers of exchange banks and Indian businessmen is only a part of the difficulty. The belief of Indian businessmen in the virtue of secrecy in business, their unwillingness to have their balance-sheets prepared and audited by qualified accountants are further aggravating factors. Even so, the fact that in opening a confirmed credit with an exchange bank, the best Indian importing firms in Calcutta are required to deposit 10 to 15 per cent of the value of goods ordered while the rival European Houses are exempted from such requirements is a clear proof that mere racial prejudice plays not a little part in the situation. It is no satisfactory

* The case showed that in practice banks did not insist on scrupulous fulfilment of all clauses of Trust Receipts and this tends to throw doubts on the validity of those instruments. Pp. 88-90. *Banking Frauds in India*, by V. R. Sonalker.

† Banking Inquiry Committee Report p. 323.

‡ Ibid, p. 323.

reply to say that 9/10ths of the imports business is done without such credit and that the complaint relates to a only a small part of the business. The principle involved remains unaffected.*

Foreign Banks Generally†

The existence of foreign banks in this country may be approached from three different angles. Firstly, it is necessary to consider whether foreign banks should enjoy a footing of equality or whether Indian interests require any special conditions or restrictions to be imposed on them. Secondly, it should be ascertained whether there exists, as claimed by foreign banks, a division of functions between them and the Indian banks and how far any such separation of sphere can be enforced by legal or administrative means. Finally, we must explore how far Indian participation in the financing of foreign trade is hampered by the existence of these banks and what means could be adopted to give them a reasonable chance of holding their own against the competition of these foreign rivals.

Status of Foreign Banks

As banks not incorporated in this country, exchange banks are not subject to the requirements of the Indian Company Law. Any grievance on this ground, however, cannot be more than formal since the conditions prescribed

* Several other small complaints were placed before the Banking Inquiry Committee. It was said that alterations were made in the rules of business and were not promptly communicated to the clients. (P. 324) Till recently, penalties for the late completion of exchange contract were different in Bombay and Calcutta. (P. 325) Foreigners' drafts on Indian importers held by exchange banks are not allowed to be paid by means of (a) importers' cheques on their London agents although funds are known to be available there, or by (b) T. T. of other exchange banks on London, or by (c) demand drafts of other exchange banks. The ground for declining is of course that it will give no profit to the collecting bank. (P. 325) Unlike British importing firms, Indian importers are notified the arrival of documents and asked to go in person to the exchange banks to complete the formalities on the ground that no special clerks attend to this business in an Indian firm and that the clients are not available after even five or six visits by the representatives of the exchange banks. (P. 327).

† Exchange Banks (Indian Resources, in lakhs).

	Deposits.	Demand Deposits.	• Demand Deposits per cent. of total Deposits.	Cash per cent. of deposits.
1939	7,418	4,824	65	9.2
1940	8,328	6,161	72	20.1
1941	10,550	8,048	76	12.6
1942	11,685	9,748	84	10.2
1943	13,627	11,586	87	8.9

by our Law are not in any way onerous. Exchange banks should not find it difficult to submit like other banks annual statements of their assets and liabilities. The only special feature which should be insisted on in their case is that they should make separate returns in regard to their Indian and non-Indian business. This separation is necessary to enable an easy ascertainment of the position of Indian interests in the aggregate interests of the banks.

It has been sometimes suggested that in case of failure of any exchange bank, the interests of Indian depositors and creditors stand in need of some protection. Recent difficulties in the location and distribution of assets between creditors in British India and in Native States give some relevance to the argument. It has to be observed, however, that the contingency contemplated is a very remote and almost theoretical one. Short of a great war or political upheaval, no ordinary causes are likely to overthrow these institutions.

It is difficult to suggest other restrictions which may not in the end recoil on Indian banks themselves. Indian banking must sooner or later extend its activity into foreign countries. Anything which should provoke retaliation against them must make such progress difficult. If any restrictive measures are adopted here, the only objective should be to secure reciprocity for our banks abroad. An example justifying such reciprocal restrictions is the insistence of the Bank of England on two British signatures, one of which must be that of the acceptor, as a condition precedent for re-discount.

At present, foreign banks have for their avowed object the financing of foreign trade. They claim that their branches in the interior of the country have been created only at the request of their Indian clients and only in so far as they subserve their main business. This argument regarding the demarcation of their special sphere has been somewhat weakened as one Indian bank at least has been now acquired by an exchange bank.

Indian banks have serious complaints to make of their competition in the sphere of domestic trade. They have cause to fear such competition. Their enormous goodwill and prestige, their great hold on those customers who have large interests in the foreign trade of the country, their vast capital and reserves enable exchange banks to raise deposits here at very cheap rates. It is felt as a grievance by Indian banks that Indian funds should be used against themselves for the encouragement of foreign firms and traders.* It may be noted, however, that the deposits of exchange banks have shown in recent years no improvement relatively to other banks in the country. Perhaps, a system of licensing of new branches under the auspices of the Reserve Bank of India should be a sufficient assurance against further encroachment by exchange banks on domestic business. The value of any protection of this kind must not however be exaggerated. Under the present constitutional arrangements no prohibition is possible against the acquisition of interest in or even purchase, lock, stock and barrel, of Indian banks themselves.

In lieu of or in addition to licensing of branches, it has been sometimes suggested that restrictions should be placed on the aggregate volume of Indian deposits which exchange banks may raise and use. These suggestions are sometimes inspired by the belief that Indian funds are used to supplement the resources of these banks for their business abroad. There is little evidence to substantiate this belief. On the contrary, it is probable that funds from abroad flow into this country, although not in sufficient volume to prevent high seasonal fluctuations in the rates. If restrictions are placed on the deposits of exchange banks, they must inevitably ensue in raising still further the cost of finance which must ultimately fall on the Indian trader. The deposits which are declined by exchange banks will no doubt flow in the first instance into Indian banks but when the exchange banks suffer from shortage of funds, the same

* Banking Inquiry Committee Report, pp. 225-6.

deposits will find their way back to them—at higher rates and to the inconvenience of Indian trade.*

It is the natural aspiration of Indian enterprise to increase its share in the financing of foreign trade. With this object in view, several proposals have been put forward.

The most direct way to increase our participation in this business would be to acquire a share in the control and profits of the exchange banks themselves. It is thought that if exchange banks were compelled to register themselves with rupee capital, such acquisition of shares by Indians and their representation on Boards of Directors would be facilitated and quickened. Such changes may have, it is to be feared, undesirable consequences in other directions. The separation of Indian interests from their foreign interests might seriously curtail the size and prestige of exchange banks and destroy their access to the London market which is as we have seen an essential condition of efficiency and success in this field. Informal association of Indians in the Indian side of their business may be a preferable first step which in the course of time should pave the way for a more organic co-operation between Europeans and Indians.

There is another line along which progress could be hastened with benefit to both the parties. It is well known that exchange banks have as a rule refrained from employment of Indians in the higher and responsible posts. Cashiership is the highest position to which Indians may aspire in the present circumstances. The fact that their employees in the higher grades are recruited with a view to employment all over the world need not work as a bar to the employment of Indians in something better than clerical posts.

It should cause little surprise if Indian banks have failed or encountered difficulties in developing this side of business. It has sometimes been argued that funds of Indian banks already find ample employment in internal finance

* Banking Inquiry Committee Report, pp. 335-6; 338; 340.

and at rates much more lucrative than what the business of foreign exchange carried on in the most highly developed money markets of the world can offer. As a matter of fact, the only bank of whom this statement may be said to hold true is the Imperial Bank of India particularly as it existed before 1935. But as applied to other banks, this argument seems rather overdone. They have a steady business but in the absence of sufficient liquid assets, they have to hold too large a proportion of their funds in government securities. Since financing of foreign trade involves dealings in drafts or bills of three months' maturity at the most, a movement of some of the Indian banks into this business should mark an improvement on existing conditions. There are, however, other difficulties to be taken into account. Sale and purchase of foreign trade bills imply constant accumulation and transfer of funds between domestic and foreign centres—in both of which, therefore, banks must have branches to manage and employ them. But foreign branches mean political and currency difficulties which are surmounted partly by inviting and making use of foreign deposits at the other end. The acquisition of foreign deposits is a task made difficult by the prestige, power and size of the established rivals. German banks confronted with the same difficulties late in the 19th century devised various friendly arrangements with local indigenous banks and, to obtain full employment and adequate profits for their funds, added advances, issue and promotion business to their purely exchange work. They attracted custom by offering longer term credit and being in other ways more accommodating. Even then the cheap funds of the London money market more than offset British stamp duties, British brokerage and profits and the cost of an extra exchange operation! The task before Indian banks is today much more formidable. Its successful performance could be undertaken only with the co-operation of all Indian banks under the leadership of some one bank like the Imperial Bank of India or the Bank of India. Both these banks have large resources and satisfy the condition

of a high degree of liquidity. If a scheme of co-operation were worked out, either of them could act abroad as the agent for all Indian banks undertaking this exchange business.

Lack of trained abilities to deal with the difficult and highly technical work of foreign exchange need not be an insuperable difficulty. As the Governor of the Imperial Bank of India testified before the Banking Enquiry Committee, the creation of the requisite staff should not involve much delay. The risks of foreign exchange business have been felt as another obstacle in the way of this development. But except in times of upheaval, the ordinary precaution of obtaining cover both ways should be sufficient to protect banks from exchange losses.

On account of its large capital and deposit resources and its established status in London, the Imperial Bank of India has been thought of as the best agency available for the purpose. It is a moot point whether its exclusion from foreign exchange business till 1935 was devised in the interests of public funds which it held or in the interests of exchange banks whose balances it used to hold. It has been suggested as a counterblast to Indian suspicions on this point that their anxiety to saddle the Imperial Bank with exchange business is inspired by a desire to relieve themselves of its competition with them in the finance of domestic trade. Whatever the truth in these charges and countercharges, its conversion into an exchange bank must be considered in relation to all the banking needs of this country.

When the decision is taken to convert it into an exchange bank, its Indian character and personnel will have to be assured first. The Banking Enquiry Committee suggest a statutory provision of 3/4ths Indians on Local Boards, a majority of Indians on Central Board and complete stoppage of recruitment of non-Indian staff. As an inducement to the Imperial Bank to agree to these conditions, the Bank might be made the offer of appointing it as agent for the

Reserve Bank in all places where the latter has not got its branches. The privilege confers a substantial advantage in that it means great prestige and enables the bank to attract deposits on relatively cheap terms.

As an alternative to this scheme, the Indian Banking Enquiry Committee proposes the creation of a bank on the initiative of Government. A large capital of 3 crores is suggested, to be offered in the first instance to Indian banks for subscription. The participation of Indian banks in the scheme is an assurance that the branches of the new bank in the interior of the country will not encroach on their present business and deprive them of their profits. To give prestige to the new institution in the public eyes, it may be entrusted with the management of the remittance business of the Government under the supervision of the Reserve Bank of India. The guidance and direction of the Reserve Bank are necessary since the remittance business involves considerations of currency policies from time to time. The Bank should not be allowed to make profit on this business since any competition with the existing exchange banks with the aid of government funds might provoke them to combined retaliation. The Government might exercise the right to appoint a majority of directors if it has to subscribe more than 50 per cent of its capital.*

In the meanwhile, Indian banks which are in a position to do so should establish their agencies in great financial centres like London. As suggested above, co-operation among them promises the best chance of meeting successfully all difficulties which lie in their way.

V

FINANCE OF AGRICULTURE†

In spite of remarkable industrial progress in recent years, agriculture still continues to contribute almost the whole of our annual wealth.‡ The requirements of agriculture,

* Banking Enquiry Committee Report, pp. 349; 361; 509.

† See also Chapter XI.

‡ Percentage of Population in Non-agricultural Industries:

1901	...	28	1931	...	18
1911	...	19	1931	...	17

therefore, overshadow all other requirements and determine directly or indirectly credit conditions in the country as a whole. It is not possible to estimate within reasonable margin of error the total volume of requirements from season to season. Some clue is afforded by the fact that the Banking Enquiry Committee placed total agricultural indebtedness before the crisis of 1929 at 900 crores—a colossal figure which must have increased still further in post-crisis years. If the indebtedness before World War II is placed at 1,200 crores, it should mean a burden of more than Rs. 50 per cultivated acre in the country. It is doubtful whether the gross value of the produce raised per acre per annum was more than $\frac{3}{4}$ ths of this burden.

The extent of credit facilities available to any class and the price to be paid for them are largely determined by the following factors—the size and probable duration of the loans, their avowed purpose and actual use, the security offered or presumed, the degree of continuous access to information about the progress of each enterprise, etc.¶ For reasons which need no elaboration here the Indian agriculturist falls grievously short of ideal conditions on every one of these several heads. In these circumstances, the modern bank, competent to deal with clients who reach some standard of literacy and business habits, has necessarily to retreat before the money-lender and indigenous banker.

An effort was made to remedy these defects by substituting through co-operative credit societies group responsibility born of residential and social ties for individual responsibility. Apart from lowering somewhat rural interest rates, the effort has not achieved much. At the very best, co-operative credit societies have not attracted more

	¶ Population supported by Agriculture.	Net Acreage cultivated.	Acreage per head of population supported by Agriculture.	Acreage per tenants or Owner Cultivator.
	sq. m.	sq. acres.		
1901	155	199	1.28	...
1911	173	215	1.24	...
1921	183	212	1.15	...
1931	190	228	1.20	†

than 10 per cent. of the agriculture families in any province while in some, the proportion is as low as 2 to 3 per cent.* Their relative lack of success is to be ascribed to two main omissions. Co-operation attacked the problem at one point only, namely, the cost of short term finance or working capital, ignoring the equally important aspects of technique and efficiency of production and the technique and efficiency of marketing. Moreover, there was a tendency to overlook the simple fact that the knowledge and character of a small social unit are rarely much above the knowledge and character of the individuals composing it, while the needs of mass action particularly in the peculiar social structure of India are apt to bring to the surface evil proclivities from which the individual acting for himself is happily free. In the result, co-operation has been moving along a trail of bad management, factitious or communal policies, collusion, accumulated overdues, etc.†

It is clear that in the present state of our agriculture, there cannot be a direct contact between commercial banks and the individual cultivator. Some intermediaries like central co-operative banks and co-operative societies are quite unavoidable. Efforts must be largely concentrated on the one hand on reducing the number and cost of such mediate links and on the other hand on making the primary society an effective instrument for converting agriculture into a solvent and profitable business and the agriculturist into a keen and dependable businessman. It is obvious that the latter task is both more vital and difficult.

Most of the proposals made with the latter objective in view suffer from one grave drawback. They tend to look on the ryot as a perpetual infant who has to be put under the guardianship of a multi-purpose society which leaves him no discretion on any side of his economic life. Some of these proposals seek to save him from the temptation of

		1937-37
* No. of Societies in India	...	76,000
No. of Members	...	22,00,000
Members as percentage of agricultural population	...	5%
Working Capital	... Crores	27
Share Capital and Reserve (British India)	...	5

† See Table 4 Bulletin No. 3, Reserve Bank of India (Agricultural Credit Department).

cash which is not to be allowed to fall into his hands. Others aim at taking into custody his annual produce and allowing only net profits to reach his hands. Others go so far as to eliminate cash altogether and arrange all settlements to take place in kind. While many of their incidental proposals deserve adoption as interim or transitional palliatives, it would be a grave error to permit education and mental upliftment of the ryot to fall into the background in preference for such illusory shortcuts.*

Despite the keen competition of co-operative societies and despite the heavy losses and difficulties of the last depression, the money-lender and the indigenous banker still continue to be the backbone of our rural finance.† Recent country-wide legislation on money-lending and old indebtedness may lead, more by the implied threat than any actual enforcement, to an improvement in their practices and methods of business. But it is hardly conceivable that their partnership in agriculture itself will diminish in importance. It deserves to be considered very carefully how far these ancient agencies could be made into serviceable links between agriculture and commercial banks.

Not all money lenders and indigenous bankers will be found useful for this purpose. For obvious reasons, those who are principally agriculturists, merchants or traders will have to be excluded from such schemes. Of the others, only such could be deemed eligible as agree to follow strict banking practices, to maintain their accounts in certain prescribed forms and exhibit their financial position from time to time as required. It would be also necessary to devise a special form of bill or pro-note against which commercial banks and, in case of need, the Reserve Bank might advance funds. Perhaps, the village as a whole or those of the village community who are agreeable to main-

* Bulletins Nos. 1, 2, 3 and 3, Reserve Bank of India (Agriculture Credit Department).

† 1931. Number of Money-Lenders:

Bengal...	...	25,000	
Bombay	...	20,000	
C. P.	...	45,000	Bank-managers, Money-
B. and O.	...	100,000	lenders and their employees.
Delhi	...	100	All-India—329, 500.
Ajmer-Merwara	...	17	
N. W. F. P.	...	657	Paying Income-tax in 1928-9

tain exclusive relations with these authorised agencies might be made joint and several parties to the document. Since commercial banks are expected to advance funds in the first instance, they must be invited to share in the selection of the agencies, along with the Reserve Bank. If the scheme succeeds, money lending could be made at a later stage a profession on licensed basis.

Since close contact with rural financial agency and rural borrowers is the only safe basis for rural credit, it is desirable that only those banks which are highly localised in their operations should engage in this field. Most of the smaller banks answer well to this description. Among the bigger banks, the Punjab National Bank, the Allahabad Bank, the Bank of Baroda, the Indian Bank of Madras and the Bank of Mysore are well situated to take the lead in this matter in their respective areas of operation. Co-operation between these banks and the provincial co-operative banks which have the undoubted leadership of co-operative credit societies should solve many of the problems which have proved intractable till now. The foundations for such co-operation have been well laid by the creation of the Reserve Bank of India which has been invested with special responsibilities for agricultural credit and its improvement. These special responsibilities which are analysed and discussed in another place* indicate the great part which the Reserve Bank is expected to play in the reconstruction of our rural financial economy.

* See Chapter VIII.

TABLE XIII. The Big Five, Aggregated Balance-sheets. (See note to table XIV.)
(In lakhs)

	Capital and Reserve.	Fixed Deposits.	Savings Deposits.	Current Deposits.	Total Deposits.	Loans, Advances, Bills.	Investments.	Cash.	Treasury Bills.
1910	118
1911	128	850 x	...	135	485 (1217)	548 (Ex-Allahabad)	...	82 (Ex-Allahabad).	...
1912	154	1000 (928)	878 (Ex-Baroda)	...	225 (Ex-Baroda).	...
1913	164	929†	...	140	...	812	...	232	...
1914	181	992‡	...	198	880 (921)	811	...	207	...
1915	186	950‡	...	196	711 (863)	993	...	325	...
1916	190	765‡	...	375	1140 (1283)	1254	...	547	...
1917	204	839‡	...	418	735 (1711)	1835 (Ex-Punjab).	...	488 (Ex-Punjab).	...
1918	267	871‡	...	478	1849 ...	2236	...	683	...
1919	350	1446‡	...	831	2327 (3248)	2488 (Ex-Punjab)	424 (Ex-Punjab)	745 (Ex-Punjab)	...
1920	...	1876*	...	841	2417	2051 (Ex-Punjab)	447 (..)	483 (..)	...
1921	...	1829†	...	1832	2861	Punjab and Allahabad)
1922	...	2368†	...	1468	3851	2590 (Ex-Punjab)	701 (..)	680 (..)	...
1923	...	2402‡	...	1696	4148	2859 (..)	1191 (..)	849 (..)	...
1924	616	2704*	...	1520	4380 (Total)	2924	1893	935	...
1925	629	2902	...	1685	4587 ..	2928	1643	934	...
1926	642	3078	...	1681	4759 ..	3928	2051	715	...
1927	638	3119	...	1748	1907 ..	3060	2207	638	...
1928	638	3191	...	1671	4863 ..	3190	1969	677	...
1929	628	2997	...	1612	4809 ..	2350	1780	620	...
1930	628	3096	...	1642	5083 ..	2520	1794	672	...
1931	611	3207	...	1781	4988 ..	2279	1840	607	...
1932	632	3204	...	2469	5678 ..	2070	2081	697	...
1933	620	3256	...	2458	5744 ..	2188	2656	897	...
1934	631	3876	...	2760	6036 ..	2676	2644	658	...
1935	624	3820	...	3200	6590 ..	2790	2407	706	...
1936	626	3504	...	3519	7023 ..	3090	2812	1117	...
1937	637	3466	...	3536	7092 ..	3539	2850	1157	...
1938	647	3764	...	3529	7293 ..	3629	2764	1035	...
1939	685	3856	...	3785	7841 ..	3891	2943	1147	...
1940	725	3560	...	4640	8220 ..	3474	2744	1562	...
1941	735	3649	...	6128	9877 ..	4424	4192	1775	...
1942	738	3615	...	10283	13798 ..	3840	6278	2679	...
1943	892	4839	...	14920	19789 ..	9089	9280	3790	...
1944	1169	6337	...	17874	24001 ..	7807	18454	3644	...
1945	1287	8913	...	18456	27869 ..	10174	15781	3783	...

Figures of fixed Current are subject to the foot-note following.

x India, Punjab and Central only.
† India Punjab, Central and Allahabad.
‡ 14-16 India, Allahabad, Punjab, Baroda & India, Central, Baroda.

* All five subsequent years.

TABLE XIV. Big Five. (Percentage to Deposits)

(In this table the percentages calculated on the basis of figures of Big Five have been so indicated. In the case of other percentages, the Big Five and the Indian and Mysore Banks figures have been used wherever the required returns are made. The agreement between the totals of the subhead percentages and the percentages for main heads of the Big Five directly derived indicate that the subhead figures represent fairly well the figures for the Big Five).

Year	Capital and Reserve	Fixed and Sav- ings Deposits to Total Deposits	Cash credits and Demand- Advances	Loans	Bills Discounted	3+4+5	Loans, Advan- ces, Bills	Cash	Government Securities	Improvement Port Trust and Mun. Bonds	Debentures, Ordinary pref- erence Shares	Total Investments 9+10+11	Total Investmental	Capital and Reserves to Deposits			
														Indian Jt. Stock Banks			
														Class A. 1900-1908 1909-1917	Class B 1900-1908 1909-1917	Class C 1900-1908 1909-1917	Class D 1900-1908 1909-1917
1913	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1912	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1911	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1910	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1909	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1908	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1907	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1906	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1905	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1904	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1903	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1902	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1901	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1900	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1899	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1898	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1897	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1896	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1895	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1894	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1893	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1892	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1891	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1890	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1889	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1888	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1887	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1886	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1885	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1884	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1883	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1882	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1881	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1880	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1879	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1878	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1877	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1876	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1875	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1874	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1873	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1872	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1871	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1870	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1869	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1868	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1867	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1866	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1865	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1864	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1863	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1862	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1861	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1860	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1859	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1858	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1857	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1856	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1855	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1854	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1853	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1852	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1851	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1850	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1849	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1848	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1847	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1846	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9
1845	12.6	72.1	27.0	49.1	18.0	95.4	93.1	14.4	10.7	10.7	13.9	15.9

Column 2. † India, Punjab, Central. ‡ Previous 2. § Allahabad. * 1914-16—India, Punjab, Baroda, Allahabad. * India, Allahabad, Baroda.

Column 3. † India, Punjab, Central. ‡ Previous 2. § Allahabad. * 1914-16—India, Punjab, Baroda, Allahabad. * India, Allahabad, Baroda.

Column 4. † India, Punjab, Central. ‡ Previous 2. § Allahabad. * 1914-16—India, Punjab, Baroda, Allahabad. * India, Allahabad, Baroda.

Column 5. † India, Punjab, Central. ‡ Previous 2. § Allahabad. * 1914-16—India, Punjab, Baroda, Allahabad. * India, Allahabad, Baroda.

Column 6. † India, Punjab, Central. ‡ Previous 2. § Allahabad. * 1914-16—India, Punjab, Baroda, Allahabad. * India, Allahabad, Baroda.

Column 7. † India, Punjab, Central. ‡ Previous 2. § Allahabad. * 1914-16—India, Punjab, Baroda, Allahabad. * India, Allahabad, Baroda.

TABLE XV—Scheduled Banks
(Lakhs of Rupees)

Average of Friday figures.	No. of Scheduled Banks	LIABILITIES IN INDIA			Cash in India (Notes and Coins)	Balances with Reserve Bank	(5+6) as percentage of 4	Advances in India	Bills Discounted in India	(8+9) as percentage of 4
		Demand	Time†	Total 2+3						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1935-36 (9 months July-March)	...	119.02	96.70	215.72	5.93	31.86	17.56	97.85	3.50	42.00
1936-37	...	123.63	101.35	224.97	6.02	26.25	14.03	95.27	4.73	43.45
1937-38	...	132.71 (5.91)	109.10 (5.05)	241.81	6.61 (24)	24.46	12.85	113.41 (5.36)	6.26 (28)	46.49
1938-39	55	129.98 (6.17)	107.85 (4.55)	237.83 (10.72)	6.64 (26)	15.94	9.50	113.85 (4.51)	4.86 (26)	50.75
1939-40	59	139.65 (7.01)	105.03 (4.08)	244.68 (11.09)	7.03 (28)	17.43	9.96	125.89 (4.43)	5.25 (28)	53.86
1940-41	63	163.30 (8.11)	104.94 (3.75)	268.24 (11.86)	8.27 (32)	26.42	10.66	122.13 (4.46)	3.24 (15)	49.96
1941-42	69	211.35 (11.23)	107.61 (4.24)	318.96 (15.46)	9.26 (70)	26.65	14.26	120.20 (4.88)	4.93 (9)	50.23
1942-43	61	206.28	104.21	310.49	12.97	55.73	16.72	95.63	2.18	22.33
1943-44	75	450.63	142.78	593.41	20.87	63.63	14.65	156.14	5.59	26.96
1944-45	84	534.80	194.12	728.92	27.31	89.25	14.96	224.53	11.16	20.38
1945-46	91	654.53	259.52	914.05	34.80	89.91	13.44	265.07	16.05	22.54

Note.—Figures for Burma are shown within brackets below totals for India and Burma up to 1941-42 (January 1942).

† Include Savings Deposits.

CHAPTER VI

THE LEADING INDIAN JOINT-STOCK BANKS

The Bank of India

THE Bank of India was registered in 1906 in the memorable days of the Swadeshi movement and started operations in the same year. With a capital of 50 lakhs and total liabilities in December 1906, of 66 lakh only, it made quick strides till in 1912, the eve of the banking crisis, its capital, reserve and liabilities exceeded 3 crores. In 1920, the liabilities amounted to well above 11 crores and in 1938, they exceeded 19 crores, composed of 1 crore capital, a reserve of more than 1 crore and deposits of 17 crores and odd. In point of resources, the bank held in 1938 the second place among the Big Five.*

Among Indian joint-stock banks, the Bank of India has enjoyed a remarkable immunity from runs. In 1910, "most alarming rumours" were in circulation about the losses of the Bank in the failure of Dwarkadas Dharamsey. In 1913, the directors were able to report that not a rupee was lost on that account. It was stated at the general Meeting of February 1914 that even in the great banking crisis which preceded, the Bank was "no more than slightly affected."

One effect of 1913-14 crisis was that the Bank created "with regret" a reserve fund for bad and doubtful debts.

The Bank has pursued a very cautious policy of expansion. Till 1927 when its capital, reserve and deposit liabilities exceeded 5 crores, it had no branch. By 1938, its branches numbered only 16 of which as many as 6 were in Bombay and 8 in the big urban and industrial centres of Ahmedabad, Poona, Nagpur and Calcutta. The bank is thus entirely a bank for cities with average resources per branch of 120 lakhs and more.

* For all figures, refer to Tables XV and XVI and in case of "Working—Profit and Loss" to Tables XXXIII and XXXIV.

It showed some venture in opening a branch outside India at Mombasa in 1921. Unfortunately, a collapse of credit was reported from Africa by December 1922 the effects of which were aggravated by the behaviour of the Bank's agent. It was found that he had concealed facts and made advances against express instructions of the head office. After an inquiry by the Bank's auditors deputed from India, the branch came to an end by 1923.

The Bank has sought to maintain an impressively large ratio of capital and reserve to its deposit liabilities. Till inflation became serious during the first World War, the ratio was well above 20 per cent. When in the course of war-inflation, deposits expanded rapidly and the ratio fell seriously, steps were taken in 1919 to double the capital and to add materially to the reserve. Till the onset of the Depression, the ratio continued well above 15 per cent. The Depression caused no slackening in the growth of deposits and the ratio fell to about 12 per cent. With abundance of funds and slack demand, this fall does not mean any weakening of the creditors' guarantee.

Among assets, loans and advances amounted to 65 per cent. and more of deposits till the Depression set in. The Bank also held a strong portfolio of bills which tended to be more than 10 per cent of deposits. The Depression caused a shrinkage in loans and advances which reached as low a level as 40 per cent. There was a subsequent recovery to 50 per cent. The quantity of bills suffered much more amounting to less than 1 per cent. of deposits in post-1929 years.

It is noteworthy that for a few years after the Bank was started, its constitution prohibited advances against shares and on mortgage. Experience showed that this prohibition was a great hindrance to the full employment of the Bank's funds. In 1911, the rules were altered so as to permit such advances. As the war broke out only three years later, the relaxation proved very timely and the Bank was able to raise its loans and advances progressively to as high levels as 80 and 85 per cent. in 1914 and 1915.

The bulk of the Bank's investments is in government securities. Debentures, preference and ordinary shares of joint stock companies have never been above 2 per cent. of deposits and even Improvement Trust, Port Trust and Municipal Bonds are not allowed to rise above 6 or 7 per cent. Investments are, as we have already noted, the means par excellence of adaptation to cyclical and secular changes. About 10 per cent. of deposits before the Great War, they fell very low during the War and then increased after 1922 till during the Depression a level above 30 per cent. was reached.

Except during the crisis of 1913-14 and the War years, when it reached levels above 25 and 30 per cent., the cash ratio of the Bank has been maintained between 15 and 20 per cent.

The Bank of India is one of the few fortunate Indian banks which have escaped the activities of professional "credit wreckers" in the country. The only serious incident* in its steady and undisturbed career was a temporary three days' spell of unpopularity in 1930 which took shape more as a political demonstration than a run and was speedily proved to be the outcome of sheer misapprehension. The rumour originated in the Bombay Stock Exchange and as the bank acts as the clearing house for the Exchange, the members and hangers-on of that institution took a prominent part in the incident.

As pointed out above, the bank is remarkable for three features, the fewness of its branches, its concentration in a few, big urban and industrial centres of the country and its high degree of liquidity which perhaps is largely a product of the first two features. These facts are well reflected in its rate of gross profits, which is lower than that of any other bank with the exception of the Bank of Baroda. Since its deposits are certainly not raised at higher rates

* 21st May 1930. An allegation was made at the General Meeting of the Bombay Stock Exchange that the British Manager of the Bank had taken part in the suppression of the Civil Disobedience movement in the country. In spite of an emphatic denial by the Manager communicated immediately through a responsible person, the members persisted in the allegation and helped to spread it. The outcome was an angry crowd at the Bank.

than those of other banks, this low rate of gross profits is only indicative of the very liquid and safe business it engages in and the low rates which are realisable on such business. Unlike many other banks, the rate of gross profits of the Bank of India seems to have stabilised itself round about 2 per cent. It has rarely fallen below 1.90 per cent.—its lowest level being 1.47 per cent. during the last Depression.

Its expense ratio is easily the best among all Indian banks, which bespeaks the large volume of business available in a few big cities in the country. Till 1925, it paid less than 20 per cent. of its gross profits in salaries but the fraction has since then mounted to round about 25 per cent. With a volume of resources per branch which is about four times what the bank next in rank viz., the Central Bank of India can claim, it is not surprising that its expense per unit of resources handled should be the lowest on record.

Its rate of net profits is as a rule second only to that of the Imperial Bank of India. It started with a dividend of 5 per cent. in 1907, raised it to 8 per cent. about the time of the Great War and in the twenties and thereafter has maintained it at 10 to 12 per cent. Even in 1907, its half-paid shares of Rs. 100 nominal value stood between Rs. 53 to 66½ and have continually appreciated since. In 1916, India Banks were quoted at 62-68 and by 1929, at Rs. 97 to 105. The lowest level of the depression period was Rs. 72½ to 90 in 1931 but by 1936, they mounted once more to Rs. 125½ to 142. The history of its reserves proves that this value has not been inflated or maintained by imprudent or fictitious dividends. It had paid usually 2/3rds of its net profits in dividends—which is certainly a conservative procedure.

LEADING INDIAN JOINT-STOCK BANKS

224

TABLE XVI
The Bank of India. (Dec. 31st. Figures in lakhs.)

Year	Fixed and Savings Deposits.	Current Deposits.	Total Deposits 4+5.	Total Liabilities 3+6.	Cash Credits & Demand Advances.	Loans.	Bills Discounted & Purchased.	Landd Pro-erty at or below cost.	Government Security.	I. T. P. T. & Municipal Bonds.	Jt. Stock Co. Debentures.	Preference & ordinary shares.	Total Inves-ment at or below mkt. value 12+13+14	Treasury Bills at Par.	Cash Paid or at Bank.	Gross Pro-fits 000s.	Net Profits 000s.	Salaries 000s.	Res. 000s.
1906	46	14	60	18	66	4	48	7	28	25	6	27
1907	50	19	69	118	203	36	70	15	22	443	438	68	37
1908	50	24	74	153	203	38	53	23	39	451	451	79	36
1909	50	30	80	215	266	40	54	24	39	571	583	87	33
1910	50	34	84	262	312	44	78	43	34	535	588	96	30
1911	50	36	86	271	324	38	64	28	46	486	517	100	27
1912	50	36	86	252	305	53	74	5 (incl. invest-ment)	18	23	34	600	452	109	34
1913	50	36	86	223	277	69	24	22	23	63	666	475	114	36
1914	50	36	86	200	253	61	18	7	71	561	361	136	48
1915	50	36	86	210	263	78	27	20	87	630	447	115	40
1916	50	36	86	345	400	137	100	24	95	943	731	127	74
1917	50	36	86	479	539	130	158	37	145	1068	731	199	132
1918	50	36	86	481	543	189	145	62	119	1802	784	178	290
1919	50	36	86	738	901	280	88	161	181	1800	1028	239	183
1920	100	512	612	966	1182	327	318	134	50	3811	2758	328	108
1921	100	512	612	1081	1221	388	404	135	40	3782	2058	438	148
1922	100	512	612	1061	1173	331	396	207	82	3057	2178	528	146
1923	100	512	612	967	1139	279	426	166	8	2817	1923	453	114
1924	100	512	612	950	1124	206	395	195	379	2741	2000	453	121
1925	100	512	612	1019	1195	214	439	248	242	2380	1841	492	146
1926	100	512	612	868	1166	237	403	309	146	2052	1566	512	144
1927	100	512	612	1030	1209	352	478	200	150	2867	1654	572	172
1928	100	512	612	1108	1288	384	377	314	175	2917	1906	565	196
1929	100	512	612	1117	1308	384	362	329	192	2840	1873	637	200
1930	100	512	612	1289	1479	364	383	288	237	2742	1868	698	208
1931	100	512	612	1294	1486	311	381	278	341	3210	1977	738	220
1932	100	512	612	1507	1707	308	319	308	454	2821	1938	787	264
1933	100	512	612	1449	1649	312	363	500	365	2724	1832	823	289
1934	100	512	612	1463	1649	404	357	484	248	3212	1704	843	284
1935	100	512	612	1616	1818	453	358	484	247	3627	1862	904	293
1936	100	512	612	1699	1902	458	407	545	216	3624	2063	951	297
1937	100	512	612	1713	1916	500	357	537	243	3766	2162	994	296
1938	100	512	612	1724	1932	467	328	518	243	3992	2181	1051	320
1939	100	512	612	1839	2070	573	380	491	292	3900	2170	1169	...
1940	100	512	612	2237	2440	573	380	537	314	4123	2446	1368	...
1941	100	512	612	2363	2600	654	384	537	314	4435	2412	1368	...
1942	100	512	612	2663	2900	541	363	627	278	4435	2673	1489	...
1943	100	512	612	4313	5732	980	630	1206	967	7023	4801	1894	...
1944	100	512	612	6062	6711	1790	646	1845	1206	11564	7623	4801	...
1945	100	512	612	290	3228	1028	878	3510	618	12625	7164	2310	...

TABLE XVI The Bank of India, Percentage to Deposits (Dec. 31st)

	Fixed and Savings Deposits to total Deposits.	Current Deposits to total Deposits.	Cash Credits and Demand Advances.	Loans	Bills Discounted and Purchased.	Landed Property at or below cost.	Government Security.	Improvement Trust, Port Municipal Bonds.	Joint-Stock to Liabilities and Ordinary Shares.	Total Investment at or below Market Value 8+9+10.	Treasury Bills at Par.	Cash in hand at Bank.
1906	206	77.7	33.3	22.2	266		33.3
1907	43	86.9	21.1	30.5	59.3		12.7	16.6
1908	35	84.9	21.5	51.6	34.6		15.0	16.9
1909	24	62.7	21.3	49.3	25.1		6.5	11.1	16.1
1910	19	64.1	16.7	46.1	28.6		16.4	16.4	13.9
1911	19	53.7	14.0	55.3	23.6		9.5	10.3	16.9
1912	21	64.2	21.0	49.2	29.3		7.1	9.1	12.4
1913	24	70.7	31.0	42.3	10.8		9.9	9.9	35.3
1914	27	57.5	30.5	50.5	6.5		3.5	35.3
1915	26	50.4	37.1	48.5	12.8		9.5	17.6
1916	16	52.4	39.9	49.1	11.6		6.9	37.6
1917	12	31.7	27.1	32.9	12.9		7.7	30.3
1918	12.6	41.9	39.2	30.1	7.2		12.3	24.7
1919	23.0	38.0	37.9	26.9	11.9		21.3 (incl T. Ba.)	24.5
1920	17.1	53.0	33.6	32.9	11.5		8.6	5.1	36.0
1921	16.1	49.0	36.9	38.4	13.5		9.0	8.8	15.2
1922	17.1	53.7	33.0	39.5	6.0		12.4	8.1	18.4
1923	17.7	52.2	28.8	44.0	8.5		16.8	0.3	20.3
1924	18.3	55.0	21.6	41.5	11.4		19.1	20.4	24.1
1925	17.3	51.3	21.0	43.0	5.8		21.7	1.2	1.1	24.0	23.7
1926	18.0	53.1	26.0	40.7	4.6		24.5	5.4	1.2	31.1	14.7
1927	17.3	64.6	34.1	39.5	4.1		22.1	5.8	1.1	29.6	14.5
1928	16.4	66.4	35.6	34.0	3.8		20.7	6.5	1.0	28.0	15.8
1929	16.6	60.4	34.3	31.5	3.7		22.5	3.8	1.0	29.3	17.1
1930	14.7	58.2	28.2	25.9	0.5		16.6	4.8	0.8	22.2	22.7	14.4
1931	14.3	68.0	24.0	25.5	0.4		15.5	4.4	0.5	20.7	26.3	17.5
1932	13.3	54.3	20.4	21.1	0.2		21.0	4.7	0.5	26.2	30.1	15.0
1933	13.6	57.8	21.5	18.1	0.3		21.0	6.8	0.6	33.4	16.3	16.8
1934	13.6	56.9	27.5	24.3	1.5		23.0	6.9	0.6	32.4	16.9	11.3
1935	13.5	47.7	27.9	23.1	0.6		23.1	6.1	0.6	29.3	16.5	13.5
1936	12.0	47.3	28.4	23.9	1.0		25.5	5.6	0.7	31.8	12.7	13.1
1937	11.9	45.4	29.1	20.8	1.9		25.1	5.3	0.7	31.1	9.1	20.0
1938	12.0	48.0	27.0	10.0	1.4		25.1	4.3	0.8	30.6	16.9	17.4
1939	11.3	43.7	30.8	20.4	2.3		21.4	4.2	0.7	26.3	11.6	20.0
1940	9.5	36.0	19.7	13.4	0.4	0.8	19.9	3.4	0.7	24.0	24.0	27.5
1941	8.4	38.4	25.3	14.8	2.5	0.6	41.7	2.6	0.4	44.7	14.4	20.9
1942	6.1	18.2	14.6	9.8	0.6		52.9	1.8	0.4	55.1	13.7	23.9
1943	3.9	16.6	12.5	11.4	0.5		33.0	1.0	0.3	33.3	23.5	23.0
1944	5.4	18.1	14.6	10.6	1.2		50.5	0.9	0.3	51.7	16.4	12.5
1945	5.13	20.6	17.3	14.3	3.2		54.8	0.9	0.3	56.1	16.4	13.8

The Central Bank of India*

The Central Bank of India was launched into existence in December 1911 mainly by the untiring efforts and banking genius of Sorabji Pochkhanawalla whose life history belongs to the regions of romance in banking and finance. In Sir Pherozeshah Mehta, the Bank secured a chairman who brought with him great public prestige and patriotic appeal. In spite of difficult situations, the bank made astonishingly rapid progress and passed all other joint stock banks in the size of their aggregate resources. Its capital, reserve and deposits, amounting to a mere 77 lakhs in December 1912, reached more than 10 crores in December 1920, about 15 crores in 1930 and 31 crores in 1938.

The year 1923 which saw the amalgamation of the Tata Industrial Bank with it was a turning point in the bank's career.† Two shares of the Tata Industrial Bank, each of the nominal value of Rs. 75 and paid-up value of Rs. 22-8 were exchanged for one Central Bank share of nominal value Rs. 50 and paid-up value Rs. 25. The shareholders of the Tata Bank lost by the exchange Rs. 5 only since the Central Bank shares were being then quoted at Rs. 40. They even gained something since the uncalled liability of Rs. 105 on two Tata shares was now converted into an uncalled liability of Rs. 25 only on one Central Bank share. The aforesaid difference of Rs. 5 was taken as writing off the losses of the Tata Bank.

The effect of the amalgamation on the balance-sheet of the new Central Bank was profound. The capital and reserve liability which stood at Rs. 80 lakhs in December 1922 rose to Rs. 268 lakhs in December 1923. The deposits rose from Rs. 14 crores to Rs. 18 crores. The ratio of capital and reserve to deposits which had sunk during the first World War and post-war years to as low as 5 to 7 per cent. now improved to 17 to 18 per cent.

More far-reaching was the effect on the quality and distribution of the assets. The ratio of loans and advances

* Tables XVII and XVIII & Tables XXXIII & XXXIV.

† Chapter VI.

to deposits did not alter much—it increased for a short time only from 55 per cent. to about 60 per cent. But investments which were rarely above 20 per cent. in previous years shot up to 44 and 53 per cent. in the next two years. The change in their quality was equally remarkable.* The cash ratio remained very much the same except for the panic year 1924. The balance-sheet has never lost its mark of the great amalgamation. Unlike the Bank of India, the Central Bank has pursued a very vigorous policy of branch expansion. It opened its first branch at Karachi in the early phase of the Great War but at close of the war the number of branches was still 5 only. By 1934, it had created 68 branches and pay-offices which mounted up to 89 in 1937 and 101 in 1938. Comparing the branches and pay-offices to its aggregate resources, the bank has about 33 lakhs per office.

Few Indian joint-stock banks have faced more difficulties. It has been recorded that there were as many as 9 runs on the bank during the first 25 years of its existence.

Almost at its very birth, it became involved in the banking crisis of 1913-14. Grossly exaggerated reports about its holdings of or loans against the shares of the Indian Specie Bank found eager circulation. In the last few months of 1913, the young bank paid out as much as 75 lakhs before the run abated.

In 1918 again, the bank suffered from two successive panics caused by the collapse of certain markets in the Bombay City.

The absorption of the Tata Bank gave another opportunity to the enemies of the bank. Certain shareholders started frivolous legal proceedings against it. The consequence was an "organised run" on the Calcutta branch during which the bank paid out Rs. 50 lakhs. During the silver jubilee proceedings of the next year, the Managing Director was constrained to complain of "the despicable habit of irresponsible persons of making reckless and

unfounded charges and instituting futile legal proceedings." The matter had by now assumed grave proportions and all responsible sections of the community and the Press in the country joined the Managing Director in deprecating and reprimanding these activities.

Hardly had the voice of admonition died down when another "organised attempt to impair its credit" became visible. In the course of two brief days in August 1925, the bank stood a run of 2 crores and more.*

After surviving the crisis of 1913-14, the bank found itself confronted with conditions of war. In spite of doubling the paid-up capital and making some addition to the reserve, the ratio to deposits fell to less than 7 per cent. The real recovery in the ratio of capital and reserve to deposits occurred, as recorded above, with the amalgamation. From that year till the onset of the Depression the ratio was well above 15 per cent. The Depression coincided among other forces with a great expansion of branches. Between 1930 and 1938, deposits practically doubled and the ratio fell continuously to 8 per cent. The bank may well be awaiting a favourable opportunity in the capital market to strengthen the fixed capital structure of the bank.

Till the Depression, the bank aimed at a level of loans and advances somewhere in the neighbourhood of 55 per cent. of deposits. Its bill portfolio fluctuated a good deal, tending to be about 10 per cent. of deposits.

With the amalgamation of 1923, the proportion of investments rose very sharply from about 20 to 25 per cent. of deposits in pre-1923 years to more than 50 to 60 per cent. The steep fall in loans and advances after 1930—from a level of 55 per cent. to 35 per cent. and less, confirmed the

* This run had a curious origin. Some merchants lost heavily in sugar and wool. Among them, one bore the name of Ajam which was also the name of one of the directors of the Central Bank. On this flimsy basis, the usual detractors of the bank are said to have created a scare in the Zaveri bazaar in Bombay. Despite categorical denials, the panic was reproduced at Ahmedabad.

—Commerce—September 5th, 1925.

The last run, which however was on a small scale, occurred on 15th July 1930 when baseless rumours were circulated that the bank had incurred losses on account of the fall of silver prices.

importance of investments still further although there was a little fall subsequently. Government and "other" gilt-edged securities form the backbone of Investments, being more than $1/2$ and even $3/4$ ths sometimes of the total. In post-Depression years, ordinary and preference shares of joint-stock companies recorded a marked improvement from less than 2 per cent. to more than 5 to 6 per cent. of deposits.

Lands and Buildings and investment in Lands and Buildings have always claimed a sizable share of the bank's funds. The amalgamation brought with it the splendid structure of the Tata Industrial Bank and raised the bank's investments in property to more than 5 per cent. of deposits. Despite the doubling of deposits between 1930 and 1938, the proportion of funds invested in property is still returned at about 4 per cent. This 4 per cent. in property and 6 per cent. locked up in ordinary shares of companies, represent the bank's most fluctuating asset. In value, they amount to 3 crores and more.

In the lean years after the crisis, treasury bills were for sometime an excellent outlet for accumulating funds. For the rest, the bank's cash ratio has been of a very unstable character. Between 15 to 20 per cent. generally before the amalgamation, it showed a tendency to fall subsequently to less than 12 per cent. But the stagnation of 1932 and 1933 raised it immediately to more than 15 per cent. and in 1935, it actually shot up to 32 per cent and more.

The Central Bank of India shares the honour with the Imperial Bank of being represented in all the provinces and advanced parts of India. The average rate of interest offered by it on time and demand deposits taken together is lower than what is paid by the Allahabad and Punjab National banks which have their areas of operation concentrated in the Punjab and the U.P. It is of course much lower than the rate of the Indian Bank of South India. Till 1920, the average fell generally between 2.0 and 3.5 per cent. and in the succeeding twenties of high interest

LEADING INDIAN JOINT-STOCK BANKS

rates all over the world, it moved between 3.6 and 4.3 per cent. After the Depression, the rate fell steeply from 3.25 in 1930 to a mere 1.3 per cent. in 1938.

The rates for the Central Bank on the one hand and of Allahabad, Punjab National and Indian banks on the other show differences ranging between 0.6 per cent to 1.5 per cent. The margins are even wider for certain exceptional years.

From 1921, the Central Bank instituted the most instructive and valuable practice of giving under separate heads the aggregate interest paid on fixed deposits and current deposits. The average rate on current deposits in the twenties ranged between 2.1 to 2.5 per cent. After 1931, it began to fall till the unexampled low levels of 0.81 and 0.77 were recorded for 1937 and 1938.

More important still is the margin which the bank has found necessary to maintain between fixed and current deposit rates. In the twenties, fixed rates were above current rates by more than 2 to 3 per cent. The margin narrowed only after 1934 since when it has been between 1.3 to 2 per cent.

To appreciate the significance of these rates for the working of the bank and its profits in particular, we must recall here that the Central Bank's current and savings accounts have been generally slightly less than 50 per cent of total deposits in the twenties and have grown to 55 to 60 per cent in recent years. This ratio is no doubt more favourable to the Central Bank than to the other banks we have just now mentioned. Even then, the rate paid on current and savings account is sufficiently high to make it a very important factor in the bank's investment policies.

In industrial and urban India, competition is very keen. The average rate of earning per cent which represents the minimum above which the rates charged to customers must range prove these disparities of conditions. While earnings above 6 and 7 per cent are most common for the Punjab

National and Allahabad banks and 8 to 9 per cent is the earning rate of the Indian bank in the South, the rate of the Central Bank falls usually between 6 to 7 per cent. Of course, some allowance may have to be made for differences in the quality of business sought.

The Central Bank has paid 20 to 25 per cent of its gross profits in salaries in the earlier years and the fraction has been generally 35 to 41 per cent since 1925. The Imperial Bank, in spite of its higher salaries has been spending only a little more which indicates that the Central Bank suffers some drawback in the quality of its personnel or organisation. The Bank of India reflects purely city conditions and it is not surprising that its rate of gross profits should be lower and the expense ratio lower still. But the Bank of Baroda which represents largely rural conditions shows identical tendencies. Even more than salaries, overhead expenses of the Central Bank appear to cut rather severely into the gross profits of the Central Bank.

This analysis seems to find support in another very useful kind of information which the Central Bank alone among Indian joint stock banks supplies in its balance-sheets. Since 1924, the salaries paid at the headquarters have been separated from those of the upcountry branches. Between 1924 and 1938, the aggregate resources of the Bank have risen from 16½ crores to 33½ crores, and the branches have grown from 20 to 101. Yet, except for the last 3 years, which saw an addition of 33 branches, the proportion of salaries paid at the headquarters and those paid at the branches remained roughly half and half. It is permissible to surmise that outward expansion brings no economy in the utilisation of the headquarters organisation.

Table XVIII The Central Bank, (Dec. 31st).

Paid-up Capital.	Reserve and Contingency fund.	Standing fund, Land and Buildings.	Total 1 + 2.	Current, cash, Savings, Contingency & other A/c.	Fixed Deposits and Cash Certificates.	Total Deposits, 5 + 6	Total Liabilities 4 + 7	Cash in hand and at Bankers.	Money at call and short Notice and Bullion.	Deposits with other Bankers.	Treasury Bills.	Terminals, Sterling and India Govt. Loans.	Non-terminals Indian Sterling & Rupee Loans.	Govt. and other Gilt-Edged Securities.	Rupee & Sterling Joint-Stock Debentures.	Mostly, fully paid Preference & Ordinary shares of Joint-Stock Companies.	Cash, Credits & Demand Advances.	Loans.	Bill Discounted and Purchased.	Lands and Bldgs.	Investments in Land and Building.	Total earnings, 000s.	Interest Paid to Depositors 000s.	Interest on Fixed Deposits, 000s.	Interest on other Deposits, 000s.	Gross Profits 000s.	Net Profit.	Head, Quarters.	Branches.		
918	918	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
915	915	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
912	912	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
910	910	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
908	908	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
905	905	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
902	902	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
899	899	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
896	896	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
893	893	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
890	890	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
887	887	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
884	884	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
881	881	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
878	878	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
875	875	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
872	872	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
869	869	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
866	866	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
863	863	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
860	860	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
857	857	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
854	854	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
851	851	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
848	848	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
845	845	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
842	842	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
839	839	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
836	836	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
833	833	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
830	830	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
827	827	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
824	824	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
821	821	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
818	818	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
815	815	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
812	812	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
809	809	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
806	806	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
803	803	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
800	800	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
797	797	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
794	794	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
791	791	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
788	788	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
785	785	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
782	782	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
779	779	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
776	776	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
773	773	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
770	770	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
767	767	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
764	764	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
761	761	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26
758	758	10	10	35	63	67	98	98	10	18	18	6	38	25	2	51	197	183	65	123	82	40	26

TABLE XIX The Central Bank of India. (Percentage to Deposits)

Paid-up capital and Cash Reserve	Fixed deposits & Cash Certificates	Current Call	Savings and other Accounts	Cash Credits and Demand Advances	Loans	Bills discounted and Purchased	Land and Bldgs.	Investments in Land and Bldgs.	Terminable Loans and Indian Govt. Securities	Non-terminable Indian Sterling and Rupee Loans	Govt. and other securities	Rupee and Sterling Stock Debentures	Mostly fully paid up Ordinary shares of Jt-Stock Cos.	Investments 9+10+11+12+13	Treasury Bills	Money at call or short notice and bullion	Deposits with other Banks	Cash in hand and at Bank
1912	20.1	24.3		49,309.9	2.6					25.3			...	23.3	12.3
1913	40.0	23.0		55,062.5	1.6					25.0			...	40.0	12.3
1914	23.0	...		64,086.0	4.6					48.3			...	61.3	12.3
1915	10.9	...		38,523.3	5.6					32.0			...	18.0	12.3
1916	7.9	...		13,429.9	21.8					17.0			...	16.1	12.3
1917	6.2	...		23,627.9	4.6					18.9			...	31.5	12.3
1918	6.0	...		30,121.5	4.9					38.8			...	33.6	12.3
1919	6.4	...		26,927.9	9.0					31.3			12.3
1920	5.6	...		26,723.3	13.7					16.8			12.3
1921	6.8	...		26,228.6	7.7					31.5			12.3
1922	17.0	56.3		61.4	10.9					40.7			...	43.9	12.3
1923	19.3	56.1		61.4	10.3					49.8			...	53.1	12.3
1924	18.0	53.4		55.3	9.8					47.5			...	50.3	12.3
1925	12.8	53.2		48.6	10.0					56.0			...	61.0	12.3
1926	17.3	51.1		51.9	10.5					66.1			...	71.9	12.3
1927	16.5	53.5		60.0	8.3					56.9			...	61.7	12.3
1928	30.6	51.4		54.7	7.2					46.3			...	52.9	12.3
1929	17.1	46.4		43.2	3.7					44.0	12.3
1930	15.6	51.4		40.6	2.8					44.7	12.3
1931	11.8	43.5		28.6	1.5					56.5	12.3
1932	10.7	44.9		23.6	1.7					47.3	12.3
1933	9.7	44.5		32.1	2.0					49.6	12.3
1934	8.8	44.4		32.9	3.0					39.5	12.3
1935	7.5	40.5		33.9	5.0					40.7	12.3
1936	7.9	41.9		38.3	5.6					40.7	12.3
1937	7.4	43.2		40.7	4.3					43.0	12.3
1938	8.5	36.9		37.0	5.9					41.6	12.3
1939	8.1	33.4		36.7	4.8					37.6	12.3
1940	6.5	29.1		39.0	6.5					12.3
1941	4.8	19.2		23.1	3.3					50.4	12.3
1942	4.8	19.2		27.9	4.6					54.0	12.3
1943	4.7	19.6		29.0	5.0					53.2	12.3
1944	4.4	21.3		61.8	5.0					59.2	12.3
1945										63.3	12.3

The Punjab National Bank*

The Punjab National Bank is one of the few purely Indian joint-stock banks which have survived over from the last century. It was started early in 1895 and at the year end, its paid-up capital stood at the modest figure of Rs. 41 thousand and its deposits at about 1½ lakhs. It made very slow progress till 1905 but with the rise of the Swadeshi movement its deposits increased, exceeding Rs. 1 crore in 1910. The authorities had the prudence to raise its paid-up capital and reserve year after year till in 1910 they aggregated to about Rs. 15 lakhs.

The crisis of 1913-14 was a severe ordeal. On the outbreak of the crisis, the bank was able to call in loans very quickly and raise its holdings of cash and G.P. notes to 46 per cent of deposits. Between September 20th of 1913 i.e., the date of the collapse of the People's Bank of Lahore and 31st December, investments to the extent of 44 lakhs were realised. Its deposits fell from Rs. 147 lakhs in 1912 to Rs. 77 lakhs in 1914.

The bank set about with determination to retrieve its position. Early in 1914, 5,000 more shares, each of the nominal value of Rs. 100, were issued and a further call of Rs. 20 was made on existing shares. Early in 1915 again, 1,000 more shares were added to the existing mass. The paid-up capital of the bank which was about Rs. 9 lakhs in 1912 rose to Rs. 16 lakhs by 1916 and the reserve funds increased from about Rs. 8 lakhs to Rs. 11 lakhs. By 1916 end, the deposits once more exceeded 1 crore rupees.

In the early twenties of the present century, the paid-up capital was raised above Rs. 30 lakhs and the reserves above Rs. 20 lakhs. But for an appreciable fall in the 1929-30 crisis and a few years thereafter, deposits tended to maintain themselves at a level above Rs. 7 crores. In January 1940, this bank absorbed the Bhagwandas Bank Ltd., a scheduled bank in the Delhi circle.

As compared with other banks the ratio of capital and reserves to deposits has been rather modest. In exceptional

years, when deposits were running low as in the early years of the bank's existence, the years following the banking crisis of 1913 and the years after the world crisis of 1929, the ratio naturally improved. But otherwise, it used to be about 12 per cent before the first World War and in the post-war years, it has been in the neighbourhood of about 7 to 8 per cent.

Before the war, the bank's investments rarely exceeded 25 per cent of its deposits and after 1920, they have already exceeded 35 per cent. While formerly, Government securities on the one hand and Government guaranteed debentures and municipal loans on the other shared about equally in the composition of investments, the post-war tendency has been to hold the bulk in the former. In recent years, a good portion of the securities has been lodged again and again with bankers against amounts due to them.* It is usual with smaller banks to lodge their securities with other banks as a remittance arrangement. When a branch is in need of cash, it is enabled to acquire cash at that place from the branch of some bigger bank with whom the securities are lodged. Such overdrafts are frequently only a small fraction of the securities actually lodged. The Imperial Bank in particular with its branches all over the country is much availed of by smaller banks for the purpose.

Before the first World War, investments in "Lands, Buildings, and Machinery" rarely exceeded 2 per cent of deposits. In post-war years, this head discloses a continuous increase, approaching the substantial fraction of 15 per cent of deposits. It is to be presumed that most of these acquisitions have been involuntary—the property falling

*(In Lakhs.)

		Due to Bankers.	Bad or Doubtful Debts.
1926	6
1929	...	41	11
1931	...	113	...
1933	...	35	...
1934	...	66	14
1935	...	23	13
1936	...	50	11
1937	...	11	8

into the hands of the bank as security surrendered for unrealizable loans.

It is in no way strange that the last agricultural collapse should leave some trace on the position of the bank, located as it is in the great wheat granary of India. The balance sheet of December 1928 had returned Rs. 6 lakhs under the head "Bad and Doubtful Debts". The new auditors insisted on putting the figure in the next balance-sheet at Rs. 11.9 lakhs, "to which the directors, to be on the safe side agreed, although holding somewhat different views." This figure has remained stable,* new bad debts perhaps taking the place of old ones which are either realised or written off. This item and the previous item of fixed assets are obviously difficult accounting points in the balance sheets.

In the disturbed years 1912-20, when its investments were low and its lock-up in land and property was negligible, the ratio of cash to deposits was rarely below 15 per cent and generally much above it. The situation has changed much after the World War I. The cash ratio has declined, fluctuating within a wide margin of 4 to 8 per cent but approaching the higher limit in recent years.

It would be an unwarranted misreading of the history and position of the bank to take the foregoing facts by themselves. An important clue to the position and policies of any bank lies in the composition of its deposit-liabilities. It should be noted that in the case of the Punjab National Bank, fixed and savings deposits are apt to be as high as 75 and at times even 80 per cent of its total deposits. Its limited obligations on account of current accounts permits it a degree of freedom which would be inappropriate and even imprudent in the case of other banks. In this connection, it is well to point out that the bank made an unaccountable change in its presentation of figures in 1937. Till that year, savings deposits were included under the head "fixed deposits." From that year, savings deposits are coupled with current deposits. Since current deposits

* See the foot note on the previous page.

have been running low in recent years, the change is to be regretted as raising suspicion of a desire on the part of the authorities to conceal the fact.

The bank had in 1938, 48 branches the bulk of which was concentrated in the Punjab. Its resources per branch averaged to Rs. 15 lakhs only. Among the Big Five, it has the smallest volume of resources per branch.

Like some other Indian joint-stock banks, the Punjab National Bank has had its difficulties and its critics. The report of December 1916 notes "with regret that the *Arya Patrika*, which recently started, made a mountain out of a molehill by its dark references to the loss the bank may suffer by the conduct of the local director of the Ludhiana branch." Actually, the loss could not have exceeded Rs. 45,000. The bank suffered another small mishap in June 1917 when its accountant and cashier of the Bombay branch absconded with Rs. 45,000. The selection of bank personnel and the control and inspection of branches are, it is to be very much feared, very weak points with many Indian banks.

The average rate paid by the bank on its deposits tends to be a little lower than what is paid by the Allahabad Bank whose rates tend to the highest among the Big Five. More than 4 per cent during the war, the average was a little higher during the twenties and declined faster than the rate of the Allahabad Bank during and after the Depression. But its rate of earning has been always inferior to that of the Allahabad Bank while its ratio of expenses is the highest among the Big Five.

Before the Depression, the bank had paid dividends as high as 7 (1903), 10 (1912), and 15 per cent (1927). In recent years, it has maintained it at much more modest levels, 5 to 6 per cent in fact. The value of its shares which once were quoted as high as Rs. 161-171 (1927) declined fast to 51-80 in the dark depression year 1933 and are now quoted at about par.

LEADING INDIAN JOINT-STOCK BANKS

TABLE XIX The Punjab National Bank (Dec. 31st)

TABLE XIX The Punjab National Bank (continued)																				
	Paid-up Capital	Reserves	Total	Saving Banks & Fixed Deposits	Current accounts (credit balances)	Total Deposits	Loans, Cash Cr. & Demand Adv.	Govt. Security	Govt. Guarantees & M'pal Loans	Fully or partly paid Pref. and Ordry shares of Jt.-Stock Cos.	Total Investment 8+9+10	Land, Bldgs., Machinery	Cash	Total Earnings	Interest paid to Depositors	Gross Profits	Net Profits	Salary	Total expenses	
1894	0.77	0.3	0.79	128	23	137	4.74
1895	1.59	0.25	1.84	13.06
1896	4.17	2.00	6.17	47.13
1897	8.32	6.00	14.32	116.8
1898	8.60	7.80	16.40	138
1899	9.7	8.6	18.3	137
1900	10.0	10.4	20.4	132
1901	13.1	10.9	24	77
1902	16.1	10.1	26.2	83
1903	16.3	11.3	27.6	110
1904	16.4	11.6	28	109
1905	16.5	13.4	30.9	232
1906
1907
1908
1909
1910
1911
1912
1913
1914
1915
1916
1917
1918
1919
1920
1921
1922
1923
1924
1925
1926
1927
1928
1929
1930
1931
1932
1933
1934
1935
1936
1937
1938
1939
1940
1941
1942
1943
1944
1945

1920-41, 1931-113, 1933-35, 1934-56, 1935-26, 1936-60, 1937-11, 1938-34, 1939-34, 1940-34, 1941-34, 1942-34, 1943-34, 1944-34, 1945-34

TABLE XX The Punjab National Bank (Percentage to Deposits)

	Paid-up Capital and Reserve.	Fixed deposits Savings Bank and Cash certificates.	Loans, Credits, Promotes, Bills, Demand Advances	Govt. Securities.	Govt. guaranteed Debentures & Municipal Loans.	Fully or Partly Paid Pre- and Ordinary Shares.	Investments 4+5+6.	Land, Buildings, Machinery.	Cash.
1906	16.6
1900	14.0
1906	18.0
1910	12.2
1911	12.0
1912	12.3	85.6	93.8	10.6	5.3	...	15.9	1.0	7.2
1913	15.4	90.9	68.9	11.8	9.6	...	21.4	1.4	25.1
1914	31.1	83.1	87.1	19.6	16.6	...	36.2	2.5	14.2
1915	31.3	77.1	89.1	18.8	15.1	...	28.4	2.3	15.3
1916	14.5	77.2	83.6	14.7	11.4	...	26.1	1.8	17.7
1917	25.6	72.4	89.9	18.9	11.5	...	30.4	1.8	14.3
1918
1919	11.5	74.6	84.0	9.6	5.0	...	14.6	0.9	15.4
1920
1921
1922
1923
1924	8.4	80.9	73.9	20.2		...	20.1	2.2	12.4
1925	7.6	82.3	72.8	26.0	2.5	12.7
1926	6.8	79.2	64.8	28.9	2.2	0.8	31.9	3.8	7.3
1927	7.2	80.1	68.4	29.0	2.8	1.0	32.8	5.5	7.3
1928	6.1	74.7	64.9	27.3	2.6	0.9	30.8	7.6	11.6
1929	8.9	75.8	65.7	34.2	3.5	1.1	38.8	10.5	6.1
1930	9.3	70.7	61.0	34.0	3.5	0.6	38.1	10.9	6.8
1931	12.9	75.4	67.4	45.4	4.6	0.6	50.6	18.3	4.7
1932	12.0	67.2	60.7	32.9	4.8	0.6	37.8	18.0	4.8
1933	11.4	66.5	63.9	38.4	4.0	0.5	37.9	15.1	5.9
1934	11.0	64.1	69.0	27.5	2.2	2.0	31.7	17.3	5.7
1935	9.5	63.4	62.1	31.4	1.9	1.8	35.1	16.2	9.6
1936	8.3	65.0	60.0	23.8	4.1	8.0	30.9	15.1	8.7
1937	7.4	81.1	56.7	21.8	4.5	1.8	28.1	13.9	8.3
1938	7.6	79.7	62.8	18.7	5.7	2.0	26.6	14.1	7.6
1939	6.4	77.5	61.2	22.0	6.0	2.0	32.1	12.9	9.0
1940	6.0	70.9	48.7	35.6	4.7	1.6	41.9	8.5	9.2
1941	4.9	62.7	40.4	44.2	4.9	1.6	50.7	7.4	11.5
1942	3.4	48.7	31.9	57.2	3.1	1.2	61.5	2.9	10.8
1943	2.4	53.5	31.1	49.5	0.6	1.5	51.6	1.1	7.6
1944	3.2	34.8	27.3	59.2	0.6	0.6	60.1	0.5	15.5
1945	3.1	59.4	28.1	64.7	0.2	0.8	65.7	0.8	10.8

The Allahabad Bank*

The Allahabad Bank of India is the oldest among "Indian" joint-stock banks. Registered in 1865 at Allahabad, it started operations in the same year with a paid-up capital of Rs. 1.9 lakhs and hardly any deposits. It raised its capital to Rs. 3 lakhs by 1870, Rs. 4 lakhs by 1890 and Rs. 5 lakhs by 1900. A small reserve fund of Rs. 3,000 only was launched in 1867 and with small additions was raised to about Rs. 1 lakh in 1880, a little above Rs. 3 lakhs in 1890 and almost Rs. 10 lakhs in 1900.

The progress of deposits was very slow; 80 per cent of deposits in 1870, its capital and reserve still amounted to as much as 22.8 per cent as late as 1880. After 1880, the progress was quickened but it was during the remarkable closing decade of the last century* that the bank grew to impressive size. Its deposits which amounted to Rs. 59 lakhs only in 1890 passed Rs. 2 crores by 1900. The ratio of capital and reserve to deposits sank from 12.3 per cent in 1890 to as low as 6.6 per cent in 1900.

It adopted a cautious policy of expansion. It opened its first branch at Cawnpore as late as 1888 when its aggregate resources were well over Rs. 40 lakhs. Even after the outbreak of the first World War, its branches numbered only 12 in 1917, when its aggregate resources amounted to more than Rs. 6 crores. The subsequent growth was a little more rapid. In 1938, its branches, including two pay-offices, numbered 56 and were largely concentrated in the Punjab and the U.P. Its resources per branch thus aggregate to Rs. 20 lakhs on the average.

In 1922, the P. & O. Banking Corporation made an offer of affiliation which was accepted with great eagerness, 90 per cent of the shareholders voting in favour. The P. & O. Banking Corporation offered a price of Rs. 436 per fully paid share of Rs. 100 when the highest pre-war quotation

* Tables XXI and XXII.

for it was Rs. 365 and the quotation during the hectic days of 1920 was never above Rs. 300. From the earliest days, the Allahabad Bank had never been free of European influence and management but now its subjugation was complete and official.

In 1927, a further change in the same direction occurred. The Chartered Bank of India, Australia and China purchased 196,059 out of 259,416 shares of the P. & O. Banking Corporation. A great exchange bank thus acquired an overwhelming foothold in the interior of the country.

The progress of deposits during the first decade of the present century and the first World War was so rapid that in spite of the quadrupling of the paid-up capital and reserve of the bank in the first twenty years, their ratio to deposits remained low at about 7 to 8 per cent. The subsequent history reveals a stabilisation of resources and the ratio.

During the war years, it kept its investments prudently low and maintained its advances and loans at about 60 per cent and more. The policy was reversed after the first World War, loans and advances falling to about 50 per cent and less and investments increasing from less than 5 per cent to 20 and later 30 per cent. The stagnation of post-1929 years confirmed the trend, loans and advances falling below 40 per cent and investments improving to 40 per cent and more.

Almost the whole of its investment portfolio consists of government securities. Since 1922, the Bank developed for some time the practice of holding 2 to 4 per cent of its deposits as Short Deposits with other banks. Its portfolio of bills has been always substantial, generally exceeding 8 per cent but in recent years as in the case of all other banks, it has fallen very low—as low as 2 per cent.

At no time during the last 30 years has the cash ratio fallen below 10 per cent and more often than not, it has

been above 15 per cent. When treasury bills were available at the fall of the year, it has tried to lay by a stock of them—sometimes as high as 10 per cent and more of its deposits. These facts taken together indicate how the bank has been strong in its desire to maintain a liquid position. When it is recalled that its fixed deposits tend to be about 70 per cent of the total, i.e., lower than in the case of the Punjab National Bank only, its position appears almost impregnable. Besides, we must note that recent years have seen a remarkable growth of Saving Deposits, which as a point of strength stand midway between fixed and current deposits. Till the year of affiliation, saving deposits never exceeded 2.5 per cent of the total. Since then, they have grown fast till by 1936-37, they were higher than 11 per cent.

The Bank of Allahabad operates chiefly in the U.P. and the Punjab. Before and during the first World War, its rate of earnings used to be generally between 5 and 6 per cent while the average rate offered on deposits varied between 2.5 and 5 per cent. The margin of gross profits was therefore quite a generous one—the rate working out at 2.0 and 2.5 per cent. Its ratio of expenses in those years was, however, inferior only to those of the Imperial Bank of India, Bank of Baroda and the Bank of India and its net profits rate was quite respectable—varying between 0.75 and 1.0 per cent.

In the period of high interest-rates and more acute competition which succeeded the first World War, the Allahabad Bank has been paying rates on its deposits which are generally higher than those of any other bank barring the Indian Bank of Madras which, however, is in a class by itself. Before the Depression, the average rate on deposits was generally between 4.5 to 5.5 per cent and even 6 per cent and since then, it has fallen to somewhere below 4 per cent. The rate of earning had increased to somewhere between 6.5 and 7.5 per cent in pre-Depression years and

fell to 5 per cent thereafter, leaving practically the same profit margin as before.

The expense ratio of the bank has, however, gradually moved up and shows no fall even after the Depression. The creation of branches explains it very largely—the average resources being only 20 lakhs per branch which is more than twice the figure for the Indian Bank but less than the figure for any other of the Big Five. The Allahabad Bank deserves commendation on the ground that among the Big Five, it has always devoted a good fraction of its gross profits to salaries of employees. Even before 1920, this fraction was well maintained at 40 per cent and above. But since then, more branches have come into existence and the fraction of salaries has mounted up to 55 per cent.

The rate of net profits, always the lowest among the Big Five has fallen still further after 1920. It has maintained itself with difficulty at 0.50 per cent.

Its rate of dividend was 15 per cent till 1905, rose to 17 per cent in 1906 and 16 per cent in 1908—the last rate being maintained consistently till now. Its reserve fund has stood at about the same figure as in the last War, which means that net profits have been entirely used to bolster up the dividend rate. During the War, much less than half the net profits used to be paid in dividends—which was certainly a very conservative policy. The price of its ordinary shares which stood between Rs. 254 to 270 as early as 1907 rose to Rs. 355 to 365 in 1913 and declined to less than Rs. 300 by 1920.

TABLE XXI

The Allahabad Bank of India

(Figures in 000s till 1890 inclusive and in lakhs thereafter)

	Paid-up Capital.	Reserve Fund.	Capital and Reserve Fund.	Fixed Deposits	Savings Deposits.	Current Deposits	Total Deposits	Total Liabilities	Loans.	Cash Credit and Other Drafts.	Bills Discounted	House Property	Government Securities.	Other Trust Securities	Cash
1865	190	...	190	37	...	35	72	262	59	38	33	33
1866	282	...	282	14	...	34	48	330	98	112	29	13
1867	285	3	288	39	...	39	78	368	140	95	38	25
1868	285	6	291	111	...	96	207	498	202	91	118	10	74
1869	286	10	296	233	...	96	299	595	349	129	66	11	89
1870	300	18	318	378	...	104	477	790	402	141	72	11	13
1871	300	17	317	453	...	173	626	943	423	90	68	11	8	...	89
1872	300	22	322	534	...	160	694	1016	570	103	93	11	13	...	106
1873	300	28	328	573	...	174	737	1065	550	106	46	11	25	...	153
1874	300	35	335	617	...	186	803	1138	502	201	31	11	35	...	246
1875	300	40	340	675	...	272	947	1287	507	321	80	11	40	...	343
1876	300	46	346	880	...	244	1124	1470	664	462	72	22	47	...	188
1877	300	58	358	1087	...	303	1390	1748	687	429	81	47	58	...	221
1878	300	67	376	1159	...	333	1492	1859	724	296	84	37	69	...	233
1879	300	78	378	1332	...	293	1675	2053	1046	318	119	37	79	...	370
1880	300	91	391	1631	...	328	1959	2350	1196	254	189	32	91	...	441
1881	300	104	404	1915	...	404	2319	2723	1310	286	309	32	104	...	374
1882	300	116	416	2115	...	483	2608	3024	1296	359	247	32	117	...	935
1883	300	129	429	2232	...	475	2727	3156	1599	550	164	33	128	...	836
1884	300	145	445	2332	...	358	2870	3315	1691	634	135	33	144	...	533
1885	300	163	463	2824	...	424	3248	3711	1836	513	178	33	163	...	563
1886	300	183	483	3109	...	542	3651	4134	2058	533	191	33	179	...	949
1887	300	205	505	3275	...	510	3765	4270	1951	462	149	33	200	...	1164
1888	300	229	529	3803	...	749	4552	5081	2430	645	590	56	229	...	788
1889	300	255	555	4676	...	818	5494	5940	2979	838	813	67	254	...	731
1890	400	336	736	5909	...	1094	7003	7739	3517	941	801	91	324	...	1544
1891	4	3.6	7.6	73.4	...	13.22	86.6	98.2	37.4	10.2	19.0	0.9	3.7	...	12.1
1892	4	4.0	8.0	87.7	...	14.3	102.0	110.0	51.9	11.4	18.5	1.6	4.0	...	13.2
1893	4	4.4	8.4	98.1	...	16.3	114.4	122.8	52.4	11.6	26.2	1.7	4.8	...	16.6
1894	4	4.8	8.8	112.7	...	15.9	128.6	137.4	57.1	17.8	28.3	1.6	4.7	...	15.1
1895	4	5.1	9.1	141	...	18.3	159.3	168.5	61.5	26.1	39.8	1.6	5.1	...	20.3
1896	5	5.6	10.6	158	...	20.9	178.9	189.5	71.3	34.1	44	1.7	5.6	...	23.3
1897	5	6.7	11.7	163	...	22.4	185.4	197.1	64.7	40.9	51.6	1.8	6.5	...	23
1898	5	7.8	12.8	169	...	21.4	190.4	208.2	70.2	42.8	59.2	2.1	7.7	...	32.3
1899	5	8.6	13.6	191	...	25.8	216.8	230.4	82.3	69.6	85.5	2.3	8.7	...	19.9
1900	5	9.5	14.5	216	...	32.4	248.4	262.9	88.7	76.3	42.4	2.2	9.6	...	30.6
1901	10	10.5	20.5	241	...	31.6	272.5	293.1	88.4	89.9	65.2	2.7	11.7	...	55.7
1902	10	11.5	21.5	259	...	37.8	296.8	318.3	104	75.3	62.3	2.7	12.6	...	40.9
1903	10	12.3	22.3	294	...	39.4	333.4	355.7	115	68	81	2.7	13.7	...	37.0
1904	15	18	28	312	...	39.1	351.1	379.1	135	55	67	2.6	14.6	...	33.1
1905	15	14	29	332	...	43.5	375.5	404.5	145	115	61	2.8	15.9	...	36.3
1906	15	16	31	361	...	43	404	435	138	140	59	2.5	18.1	...	491.
1907	20	23	43	380	...	49	429	472	141	156	84	2.3	25	...	47.1
1908	20	25	45	387	2.1	44.8	433.9	478	147	153	67	3.2	27.6	...	50.9
1909	20	29	49	424	4.6	49.2	477.8	526	157	136	94	4.3	31.8	...	61.7
1910	20	30	50	490	7.6	54.9	552.5	602	154	169	141	9.1	32.3	...	63.3

The Allahabad Bank of India—continued

	Paid-up Capital.	Reserve Fund.	Total.	Fixed Deposits.	Savings Deposits.	Total Fixed Deposits.	Total Fixed Deposits 4 + 5.	Current Deposits and Contingencies.	Total Deposits 6 + 7.	Total Liabilities 8 + 9.	Loans.	Cash Credits & Overdues.	Bills Discounted and Pro-Notes.	House Property.	Govt. Securities.	Other Securities.	Total Investments 14 + 15.	Cash and Call money.	Total earnings 000s.	Total Interest paid to Depositors 000s.	Gross Profits 000s.	Net Profits 000s.	Salaries and contribution to Provident fund 000s.	Other expenses 000s.	
1911.	20	34	54	572	9	581	676	657	657	676	162	191	180	10	36	99	
1912	20	36	56	603	12	615	705	69	694	705	177	345	128	12	42	103	124	4194	2837	1257	572	537	107
1913	20	38	58	611	9	618	737	67	676	737	168	248	136	17	14	134	82	3672	2843	1329	535	548	113
1914	30	46	73	447	7	454	594	66	521	594	170	181	88	16	12	82	129	3160	1852	1308	538	538	114
1915	30	45	75	408	11	419	592	97	517	592	136	165	87	20	11	129	152	3252	1867	1415	601	532	126
1916	30	45	75	394	14	408	621	137	546	621	127	188	79	20	12	169
1917	30	44	74	427	14	441	663	147	589	663	104	223	84	22	24	188	188	4002	2566	1636	604	639	166
1918	30	34	64	536	16	554	798	109	724	798	96	323	100	20	30	214	214	5227	3410	1817	611	773	183
1919	30	30	60	706	20	726	996	210	936	1004	89	516	102	19	27
1920	35	35	70	685	21	706	1008	228	934	1008	82	478	97	21	18	240	240	7092	4644	2443	1001	956	193
1921 Sept.	35	35	70	776	26	...	1112	310	...	1112	87	475	98	22	189	215	215	3640	2431	1309	235	629	120
1922 31st. March	35	35	70	776	27	803	1123	249	1053	1123	84	492	93	28	83	206	206	3640	2431	1309	235	629	120
1923 "	35	35	70	717	26	745	1163	247	1093	1163	87	442	127	33	107	271	271	7679	5175	2495	492	1386	260
1924 "	35	35	71	615	24	639	920	209	849	920	80	371	78	35	120	183	183	7724	5025	2750	780	1344	263
1925	35	44	80	667	32	699	996	217	916	996	76	385	77	36	154	219	219	6359	4049	2490	502	1301	246
1926	35	44	80	666	43	709	1015	225	985	1015	68	397	80	38	202	148	148	7087	4637	2450	531	1294	247
1927	35	44	80	698	51	749	1086	236	1006	1086	66	424	67	39	242	161	161	7969	5449	2520	567	1345	251
1928	35	44	80	740	58	798	1107	228	1027	1107	58	413	73	40	270	190	190	7945	5378	2508	578	1437	...
1929	35	44	80	835	67	902	1199	217	1119	1199	60	469	69	44	292	158	158	8210	5702	2508	578	1437	...
1930	35	44	80	804	75	879	1216	256	1136	1216	61	389	69	43	326	183	183	8666	6021	2665	600	1494	...
1931	35	44	80	783	82	865	1182	236	1102	1182	47	347	74	45	854	191	191	8741	6464	2650	551	1514	...
1932	35	44	80	721	96	817	1074	195	994	1074	79	264	40	45	379	127	127	8741	6240	2501	554	1513	...
1933	35	44	80	755	96	851	1161	229	1081	1161	71	325	27	48	504	123	123	8309	5849	2460	574	1876	...
1934	35	44	80	673	106	779	1105	245	1025	1105	67	365	19	47	458	138	138	7617	5020	2697	590	1381	...
1935	35	44	80	665	107	772	1091	237	1011	1091	62	466	19	43	329	165	165	6576	3847	2729	615	1411	...
1936	35	44	80	614	109	723	1056	251	976	1056	56	300	15	41	385	255	255	5562	3247	2615	564	1440	...
1937	35	46	82	628	124	752	1129	294	1047	1129	55	534	15	41	333	187	187	2911	...	1400	...
1938	35	48	83	632	146	778	1160	299	1077	1160	56	497	14	40	370	159	159	4960	1649	...	867	1431	211
1939	35	50	85	606	159	767	1180	327	1095	1180	60	462	14	46	403	158	158
1940	35	52	87	662	156	818	1273	363	1166	1273	48	615	28	56	285	200	200	4815	1360	3255
1941	35	54	89	633	141	774	1324	431	1235	1324	45	486	27	58	439	229	229	4805	1408
1942	35	56	91	624	137	761	1386	461	1295	1386	38	483	27	56	546	251	251	4835	1348	3498	1054	1596	...
1943	35	56	91	536	162	698	1772	983	1699	1772	36	515	52	56	737	300	300	6759	1386	5378	1506	1950	...
1944	35	58	93	731	191	978	2177	1106	2084	2177	34	680	78	46	991	359	359	7795	1606	5378	1506	2198	...
1945	35	62	97	913	223	1136	2387	1154	2290	2387	32	812	127	45	1021	380	380	8739	1996	...	3119	2243	...
1946	45	96	141	1836	280	1616	3012	1255	2371	3012	32	1335	72	46	1171	389	389	8739	1996	...	3119	2243	...

TABLE XXII

The Allahabad Bank of India

(Percentage to Deposits)

	Capital and Reserve.	Fixed Deposits.	Savings Deposits.	Loans	Cash Credits and Over drafts.	Bills Discounted.	House Property.	Government Securities.	Other Trust Securities.	Cash.
Dec. 31.										
1865	268.8	51.3	...	81.9	52.7	45.8	45.8
1866	567.5	29.1	...	204.1	288.8	60.4	28.8
1867	365.3	50.0	...	179.4	121.7	48.7	32.0
1868	187.6	53.6	...	97.5	43.9	57.0	4.8	55.7
1869	98.9	67.8	...	85.6	48.1	22.0	3.6	18.0
1870	65.6	78.1	...	84.2	29.5	15.1	2.8	2.5	...	30.5
1871	50.6	72.3	...	67.5	14.8	10.8	1.7	1.2	...	14.8
1872	46.3	76.9	...	82.1	14.8	13.4	1.5	1.8	...	15.2
1873	44.5	77.7	...	74.6	26.5	5.8	1.4	3.3	...	30.7
1874	41.7	76.3	...	62.5	25.0	3.8	1.3	4.3	...	30.6
1875	35.9	71.2	...	53.5	33.8	8.4	1.1	4.2	...	28.1
1876	30.7	78.2	...	59.0	41.2	6.4	1.9	4.1	...	12.2
1877	25.7	78.2	...	49.4	30.8	5.8	3.3	4.1	...	15.0
1878	24.5	77.6	...	48.5	19.8	5.0	2.4	4.6	...	23.6
1879	22.5	82.5	...	62.4	18.9	7.1	2.2	4.7	...	22.0
1880	19.9	83.2	...	61.0	12.9	9.6	1.6	4.6	...	22.5
1881	17.4	82.5	...	56.4	12.3	17.2	1.3	4.4	...	16.1
1882	15.9	81.0	...	49.6	13.7	9.4	1.2	4.4	...	37.7
1883	15.7	82.5	...	58.6	20.1	6.0	1.2	4.6	...	19.7
1884	15.5	86.2	...	58.9	22.0	4.7	1.1	5.0	...	19.6
1885	14.2	86.9	...	56.5	15.8	5.4	1.0	4.9	...	26.7
1886	13.2	85.1	...	56.3	14.5	5.1	1.0	4.9	...	25.9
1887	13.4	86.9	...	51.8	12.3	3.9	0.9	5.8	...	30.9
1888	11.6	83.5	...	53.3	14.2	12.9	0.8	5.0	...	17.3
1889	10.1	85.1	...	54.2	15.2	14.8	1.2	4.0	...	18.3
1890	10.5	84.3	...	50.2	13.4	11.4	1.2	4.6	...	22.0
1891	8.7	84.7	...	43.1	11.7	21.9	1.0	4.3	...	14.0
1892	7.8	85.9	...	50.8	11.1	18.0	1.5	3.9	...	12.9
1893	7.3	85.7	...	45.8	10.1	22.9	1.4	3.7	...	14.5
1894	6.8	87.6	...	44.4	13.8	22.0	1.2	3.6	...	11.7
1895	5.7	88.5	...	38.6	16.3	24.6	1.0	3.2	...	12.7
1896	5.9	88.3	...	39.8	19.0	24.9	0.9	3.1	...	13.8
1897	6.3	87.9	...	34.8	22.0	27.8	0.9	3.5	...	12.4
1898	6.7	88.7	...	36.8	22.4	20.3	1.1	4.0	...	17.2
1899	6.2	88.1	...	37.9	32.1	16.3	1.0	4.0	...	9.3
1900	5.8	86.9	...	35.7	30.7	17.0	0.8	3.8	...	12.3
1901	7.5	88.4	...	32.4	25.6	23.9	0.9	4.3	...	21.5
1902	7.2	87.2	...	35.1	25.3	20.9	0.9	4.2	...	13.8
1903	6.6	88.1	...	34.5	20.4	24.2	0.8	4.1	...	11.1
1904	7.8	88.8	...	37.8	24.2	19.0	0.7	4.1	...	10.8
1905	7.7	88.4	...	38.6	30.6	16.2	0.7	4.2	...	9.6
1906	7.6	89.3	...	34.1	34.6	14.6	0.6	4.4	...	12.1
1907	10.0	88.5	...	32.8	36.3	19.5	0.6	5.8	...	11.1
1908	10.3	89.1	0.4	33.8	36.4	15.4	0.7	6.8	...	11.4
1909	10.2	88.7	0.9	32.9	28.5	19.6	0.9	6.0	...	12.9
1910	9.0	88.6	8.8	27.8	30.6	25.5	1.6	5.9	...	12.3

The Allahabad Bank of India—Contd.
(Percentage to Deposits)

	Capital & Reserve.	Fixed Deposits.	Savings Deposits.	Fixed & Savings Deposits.	Loans.	Cash Credits and Over drafts.	Bills Discounted & Promotes.	House Property.	Govt. Securities.	Other Trust Securities.	Total Investments 9+10.	Treasury Bills.	Cash & Call money.
1911	8.8
1912	8.8
1913	9.0	90	1.3	91.3	24.8	36.3	20.1	2.5	2.0	...	2.0	...	18.3
1914	14.0	85.7	1.3	87	32.6	34.7	16.8	3.4	2.3	...	3.0	...	15.7
1915	14.5	78.9	2.1	81	26.3	31.9	16.8	3.8	2.1	...	2.9	...	24.9
1916	13.7	72.1	2.5	74.6	23.2	34.4	14.4	3.6	2.1	...	2.9	...	27.8
1917
1918	8.8	74.3	2.2	76.5	13.2	44.6	18.8	2.7	4.1	...	4.6	...	25.9
1919	6.4	75.4	2.1	77.5	9.5	55.1	10.8	2.0	2.8	...	3.3	...	22.8
1920	7.4	73.3	2.2	75.5	8.7	51.1	10.3	2.2	1.1	...	1.8	0.2	25.6
1921 March 31st
1922	6.6	73.6	2.5	76.1	7.9	46.7	8.8	2.6	7.8	...	18.0 (8.8)	6.3	19.5
1923	6.4	66.2	2.5	68.7	7.9	40.4	11.6	3.0	9.7	...	16.7 (2.8)	...	24.6
1924	8.3	72.4	2.8	75.2	9.4	43.6	9.1	4.1	14.1	...	17.0 (2.3)	...	22.7
1925	8.7	72.3	3.4	75.7	8.2	42.0	8.4	3.9	16.	...	17.2 (0.2)	...	23.9
1926	8.5	71.2	4.5	75.7	7.2	42.4	8.5	4.0	21.6	...	26.4 (4.2)	...	15.8
1927	7.9	69.3	5.0	74.3	6.5	42.1	6.6	3.8	24.0	...	28.9 (2.2)	...	16.0
1928	7.7	72.0	5.6	77.6	5.6	40.2	7.1	3.8	26.2	...	29.2	4.6	18.5
1929	7.1	74.6	5.9	80.5	5.3	41.9	7.9	3.9	26.0	...	28.7	2.1	13.0
1930	7.0	70.6	6.6	77.2	5.3	34.2	6.0	3.7	28.7	...	30.6	11.6	16.1
1931	7.2	71.0	7.4	78.4	4.2	31.4	6.7	4.0	32.1	...	30.8	16.6	10.8
1932	8.0	72.5	7.7	80.2	7.9	26.5	4.0	4.5	38.1	...	40.5	12.9	12.7
1933	7.4	69.8	8.8	78.6	6.5	30.0	2.4	4.4	46.6	...	48.8	5.0	11.3
1934	7.8	65.6	10.3	75.4	6.5	35.6	1.8	4.5	44.6	...	47.4	...	13.4
1935	7.9	65.7	10.5	76.2	6.1	46.0	1.8	4.2	32.5	...	35.1	...	16.3
1936	8.1	62.9	11.1	74.0	5.7	30.7	1.5	4.2	39.4	...	41.7	...	26.1
1937	7.8	59.9	11.8	71.7	5.2	51.0	1.4	3.9	31.8	...	32.6	...	15.9
1938	7.7	58.6	13.5	72.2	5.2	46.1	1.3	3.7	34.3	4.1	38.3	...	14.7
1939	7.7	55.5	14.5	70.0	5.4	42.1	1.2	4.2	36.8	4.0	40.8	0.1	14.7
1940	7.9	55.8	13.1	68.9	4.0	51.8	2.3	4.7	24.0	4.3	38.3	...	16.8
1941	7.1	51.2	11.4	62.6	3.6	39.3	2.1	4.5	35.5	3.7	39.2	...	18.5
1942	5.9	48.1	9.8	57.9	2.9	33.4	2.0	4.3	42.1	2.8	44.9	...	19.3
1943	5.0	31.9	9.5	41.4	2.1	30.4	3.0	3.3	43.8	1.9	45.5	...	15.1
1944	4.4	37.7	9.1	46.8	1.6	32.6	3.7	2.2	48.0	1.1	49.1	...	14.1
1945	4.2	39.8	9.7	49.5	1.3	35.4	5.5	2.9	44.5	0.9	45.4	...	13.6
1946	4.9	46.5	9.7	56.2	1.1	46.4	2.5	1.6	40.7	0.6	41.3	...	13.2

The Bank of Baroda*

The Bank of Baroda was established in 1909 under the patronage of the enlightened Ruler of that State and with an assured volume of working resources as incidental to the management of State revenues and expenditure.

As early as 1912, its deposits reached the neighbourhood of 1 crore and the ratio of capital and reserve to deposits stood well above 10 per cent. When the 1st World War inflated its deposits from about 1 crore in 1914 to more than 5 crores in 1920, the authorities took the precautionary step of raising its capital and reserve to 20 and 12 lakhs respectively in 1918 in the first instance and again in 1921 to 30 and 17 lakhs respectively. Its reserve has been steadily augmented till in 1938 it reached 25 lakhs. The progress of deposits has been, however, so steady that the capital and reserve ratio has not been much above 8 or 9 per cent and sometimes indeed has fallen below these levels.

The authorities took steps in 1939 to remedy this falling ratio of owned to borrowed resources. Shares were issued at a premium of Rs. 45 per share and paid capital was augmented from Rs. 30 to 37½ lakhs. The premium money was used to raise the reserve from 26½ to 55 lakhs.

The bank opened its first branch at Bombay in 1919. By 1938, it had established 23 branches most of which were concentrated in Gujarat and Kathiawar. Its average resources per branch stood in that year at a respectable figure of more than 30 lakhs.

Except for the years of the First World War, when the percentage to deposits exceeded 80 and even 90, the aggregate of loans and advances has been generally less than 55 per cent and in the recent post-Depression years, it has been as low as 30 per cent. Its bill-portfolio has occasionally reached arresting size but as a post-war

* Tables XXIII and XXIV.

practice, the bank has been inclined to find a larger and larger outlet for its funds in investments. Government securities account for as high a percentage of deposits as 30, 35 and 40 per cent but the years after 1st World War have witnessed a remarkable rise in Railway and other Debentures and Ordinary Shares of public companies. During the present decade, these latter investments have been well above 15 per cent.

The bank has maintained a high cash ratio. Generally above 15 per cent, it has never fallen below 12 per cent. Till the Depression produced its effects in recent years, the proportion of fixed deposits was rarely below 60 per cent.

Most banks in India suffer from time to time from some chronic malady which public imagination attaches to it as its special weakness. The malady to which the Bank of Baroda is prone is periodic rumours of withdrawal of State funds and support. In 1925 and again in November 1933, these rumours, even though utterly unfounded, succeeded in causing temporary runs on the bank.

Its rate of gross profits is the lowest among important Indian banks—and till recently showed a tendency to decline. Well over 2 per cent during and before the 1st World War, it has fluctuated very much thereafter, being generally between 1.40 and 1.60 per cent. This modest rate may be partly due to the high liquidity of the bank's assets in general. We have noted the high proportion of its cash and its investments. Partly, this should be ascribed to the fact that its chief area of operation is Gujarat and Kathiawar where funds are relatively more abundant and competition among banks and private lenders decidedly keen.

Its expense ratio is also low, being inferior only to the Bank of India's. The Bank of Baroda has only a few branches and its average resources per branch are high, being somewhat smaller than the Central Bank's. Till 1933,

its expenditure on salaries also was less than that of any other bank—being less than 30 per cent of its gross profits. A large volume of resources relatively to the size of the organisation is probably a part of the secret of its low expenses ratio.

Although the rates of gross profits and the expenses ratio are both low, the former is relatively so modest that the difference between them i.e. the rate of net profits compares unfavourably with those of the Imperial Bank, the Central Bank of India and the Bank of India. The rate also shows a progressive decline. Well over 1 per cent before 1924, it has never reached those levels again, 0.60 to 0.80 per cent being the usual range.

Altogether, the bank seems to have reached the maximum point of exploitation of the area over which it operates. For further growth and conquest, it must look in the future more and more to other parts of the country and to other lines of business.

TABLE XXIV. The Bank of Baroda
(Percentage to Deposits)

	Capital & Reserve	Fixed Deposits.	Cash Credit and Over-drafts.	Loans.	Bill discounted	Immovable Property.	Govt. securities at or below cash.	Rly. and other Debentures and Shares in Public Coa.	Treasury Bills.	Money at Call.	Cash.
1910
1911	13.0
1912	11.9	...	82.6	...	0.6
1918	21.5
1914	13.9	63.4	92.4	2.3	18.9
1915	13.7	59.8	91.1	4.2	12.8
1916	10.6	65.2	95.7	3.3	24.8
1917	9.0	61.0	81.3	3.1	29.7
1918	15.6	56.0	5.3	74.1	0.2	...	7.0	25.0
1919	8.2	62.5	5.7	48.5	10.1	...	19.1	28.9
1920	7.5	69.2	7.6	50.6	9.2	0.1	15.6	1.5	21.3
1921	9.8	64.1	6.3	47.2	9.3	0.1	24.5	1.4	16.
1922	10.3	67.0	8.4	46.8	0.9	2.8	24.5	3.5	16.7
1923	9.6	63.0	6.5	43.8	1.1	2.0	32.1	3.4	...	7.3	17.6
1924	10.4	66.1	4.1	42.4	0.8	2.8	39.5	3.9	...	4.0	17.4
1925	9.5	67.3	2.8	41.4	0.2	2.6	39.2	6.3	17.8
1926	9.0	59.1	6.1	37.1	1.3	2.6	31.2	12.0	16.7
1927	9.4	58.0	9.0	30.1	8.3	3.1	36.7	14.1	15.5
1928	9.4	63.1	10.5	33.2	11.6	3.0	31.4	15.9	14.1
1929	9.2	68.2	12.5	34.2	4.8	3.0	26.5	19.4	11.4
1930	9.0	61.7	10.0	35.4	...	2.8	20.8	14.7	12.5
1931	7.3	65.6	10.5	24.9	...	2.6	20.7	13.7	1.7	...	12.5
1932	6.8	57.7	7.7	19.3	...	2.4	41.6	11.2	1.2	...	12.3
1933	9.2	54.7	11.1	19.4	...	3.8	47.3	14.6	15.6
1934	8.1	49.6	12.1	25.4	...	3.3	41.8	10.8	22.5
1935	9.1	39.6	16.2	15.4	0.5	3.6	35.1	16.9	17.6
1936	7.6	44.0	15.1	20.3	0.2	3.2	35.3	16.4	15.7
1937	8.1	41.8	27.5	14.5	1.7	3.1	30.7	15.1	16.7
1938	7.8	42.9	25.9	14.0	1.9	2.7	28.3	15.0	3.5	...	17.7
1939	14.1	37.6	33.3	15.5	1.8	2.8	27.1	16.7	1.8	...	19.8
1940	14.9	33.8	25.6	20.6	0.6	2.8	29.2	15.9	4.1	...	17.0
1941	12.7	35.2	33.0	15.2	2.3	1.9	3.4	10.8	4.4	...	23.1
1942	9.2	21.4	21.1	6.4	0.2	1.4	49.2	8.3	15.6	...	12.4
1943	8.3	24.5	24.6	5.7	0.3	0.8	36.2	5.9	15.8	...	18.3
1944	7.5	23.3	20.4	5.9	1.4	0.6	50.2	4.6	6.8	...	15.8
1945	6.8	26.5	26.0	9.7	3.4	5.8	48.6	3.3

The Bank of Mysore*

The Bank of Mysore was established in 1912 with the active patronage and support of the State. Its paid-up capital was Rs. 10 lakhs, the State holding 1/3rd of its shares. The State retained in its hands the right of general supervision and, what was much more important in those days, the right of audit as well. A proportion of the directorate was to consist of State officials. The bank was entrusted with the management of state funds which, in the earlier years, equalled the paid-up capital of the bank.

In 1915, the aggregate liabilities amounted to about 50 lakhs of which the paid-up capital and State funds accounted for a little more than one-half. By 1919 and 1920, the deposits of the bank reached 1 crore and on the eve of the 1929-30 crisis, nearly 2 crores.

During the Depression, the bank fairly maintained its ground and after the recovery of 1934-35 recorded further progress till its deposits reached 2½ crores in 1938.

A remarkable feature of its recent history is the impressive growth of savings deposits or as the balance-sheets describe it more appositely, "thrift deposits." Between 1914-1926, these deposits rarely amounted to 2 per cent of total deposit liabilities. After 1926, they increased progressively till in 1938, the percentage was well above 14.

Before the Depression, loans and advances of the bank were apt to be more than 75 per cent of deposits and it is significant that even after the Depression, the percentage has rarely fallen below 65 and even then, not in any appreciable degree. Its bill portfolio has rarely exceeded 5 per cent of deposits.

As in the case of other banks, the conclusion of Peace and the expected fall in interest-rates proved a strong inducement to increase investments. Less than 5 per cent of deposits before 1920, investments increased steadily till in more recent years, they have tended to be in the neighbourhood of 30 per cent and even more. Till the onset of the Depression, these investments used to be held almost wholly in Government of India Securities. There-

* Tables XXV and XXVI.

after, the shares of Mysore Government loans began to increase till they were a close second to Government of India Securities. In the last three or four years, government-guaranteed and miscellaneous securities have also reached an appreciable size—being above 8 per cent in 1938.

On account of the predominance of loans and advances, however, the investment portfolio of this bank is smaller in size as compared with those of other banks.

Changes in the size of loans, advances and investments are explained to a certain extent when the cash-ratio of the bank is examined. Till 1922 the cash ratio used to be very high as a rule, generally above 20 per cent. of deposits, in fact. It shows a sensible decline thereafter to as low levels as 11 and 13 per cent. In the year 1938, it has sunk as low as 7 per cent but in the earlier two or three years the fall was partly compensated by 3 to 5 per cent of deposits being held with other banks. The bulk of the increase in investments has thus taken place at the expense of cash, a procedure justified somewhat by the rapid growth of saving deposits.

The bank, although well-managed, has had its phases of distrust and the difficulties springing from it. Perhaps, it experienced its most difficult time when the failure of the Alliance Bank of Simla created nervousness and doleful speculations about Indian joint stock banks. Critics claimed to have discovered that a large amount of about 25 lakhs had been lent without adequate security to directors or ex-directors, either personally or through the medium of concerns in which they were directly interested. The shareholders appointed a committee of investigation on 25th July, 1925. The inquiry disclosed that the security behind the loans was more than adequate, that no preferential treatment had been accorded in the matter of rates charged and the loans were being liquidated on due dates.

Including its head office, the bank has at present 18 branches. Almost all of them are in the territories of the Mysore State. The deposits per branch work out at about 14 lakhs on the average.

TABLE XXV. The Bank of Mysore. (Dec. 31st Balance Sheets.)
(Figures in Lakhs)

Paid-up Capital.	Reserve.	Total 1 + 2.	Fixed Deposits.	Sav's Thrift Deposits.	Current and other Deposits + M. Govt. Balances.	Total Deposits 4 + 5 + 6.	Total Liabilities. 3 + 7.	Cash Credits and Demand Loans.	Loans.	Bills discounted and purchased.	Land and Bldgs.	Cash in hand and at Bank in Mysore Treasuries.	Mysore State Securities.	Govt. of India Securities.	Other Govt. Guaranteed and Miscellaneous & Securities.	Total Investment 14 + 15 + 16.	Fixed Deposits with other Banks + T.B.s.	Gross profits. 000s.	Net profits. 000s.	Salaries. 000s.	
1913	9.9	9.	53	14	7.6 + 2.95	4.38	10.44	3.89	...	1.2	...	10.0	1.2	9	8	4
1914	10	10	10	50	3.0 + 8.7	22.6	82.6	17.5	...	2.9	...	16	2.5	69	45	11
1915	10	5	19	61	3.2 + 10	38	48	27	...	3.9	...	16	98	98	71	14
1916	10	1.2	11	28	1.0 11 + 10	50	60	43	...	4.8	...	13	135	91	18
1917	10	2.2	22	38	0.9 17 + 10	61	73	47	...	4.6	...	15	124	87	21
1918	10	3.5	13	45	1.1 25 + 11	83	96	58	...	2.6	...	24	166	115	27
1919	10	5	15	59	1 35 + 11	108	121	70	...	2.5	...	26	183	120	34
1920
1921
1922	...	9	...	87	1 62 + 10	161	3.6	...	39
1923	...	10.5	...	100	1 40	142	...	109	...	4	...	18
1924	...	11	...	94	1.8 36 + 5	139	...	105	...	6.2	...	17
1925	...	11	...	87	2.3 44 + 5	189	...	117	...	6.3	...	26
1926	...	11	...	95	2.9 42 + 5	145	...	129	...	6.9	...	18
1927	...	12	...	124	5 45 + 5	180	9.1	...	17
1928	...	14	...	140	6 52 + 5	204	...	49 +	95	5.5	...	23
1929	...	15	...	129	8 51 + 5	194	...	50 +	106	9.4	...	3.9
1930	...	16	...	126	8 92 + 5	231	...	52 +	103	5.9	...	24
1931	...	16	...	111	10 77	199	...	45 +	91	1.5	...	18
1932	...	17	...	129	12 76	218	...	50 +	77	5.5	...	22
1933	...	19	...	108	14 75	193	...	48 +	15
1934	...	22	...	102	16 76	233	...	48 +	28
1935	...	23	...	111	20 82	213	...	52 +	26
1936	...	24	...	122	28 87	233	...	53 +	24
1937	...	25	...	122	28 105	256	...	62 +	34
1938	...	26	...	107	35 105	247	17
1939	20	26	46	124	40 98	272	318	64	120	4.3	7.3	25	27	33	32	110
1940	20	27	47	121	43 133	317	364	84	104	0.2	4.8	28	34	35	30	130
1941	20	27	47	144	47 156	317	364	50	112	11.0	7.8	39	39	62	36	135
1942	20	27	47	131	53 251	435	482	57	116	11.6	5.5	80	83	45	56	185
1943	20	28	48	226	74 372	672	720	85	120	17.9	3.8	183	35	77	62	174
1944	40	61	101	289	89 436	814	915	137	170	46.9	3.3	250	156	70	62	286
1945	40	63	108	279	115 569	963	1066	249	170	148.3	3.2	278	108	70	53	230

TABLE XXVI
The Bank of Mysore
(Percentage of Deposits)

	Capital and Reserve.	Fixed Deposits.	Thrift Deposits.	Cash Credit and Demand Loans.	Loans.	Bills discounted & Purchased.	Building.	Mysore State Loan.	Govt. of India Securities.	Other Govt. Securities.	Unsecured Securities.	Total Investment.	Cash in hand at Bank & at Treasury.	Deposits with other Banks.	Treasury Bills.
1913	136.9	...	3.2	97.2	...	27.9	90.6	27.8	...
1914	44.2	44.2	2.2	77.4	...	12.8	1.0	1.0	45.4	11.4	...
1915	30.0	50.0	1.6	71.0	...	10.2	...	0.9	1.7	2.4	42.1
1916	32.0	56.0	2.0	86.0	...	9.6	...	0.7	0.7	1.4	26.0
1917	19.6	54.1	1.4	77.0	...	7.5	...	0.8	3.2	4.0	25.4	1.6	6.3
1918	18.6	54.3	1.3	69.8	...	8.5	...	0.9	5.0	5.9	24.9	7.9	...
1919	14.1	55.6	0.9	66.0	...	2.3	...	0.8	6.4	7.2	24.5	13.8	...
1920
1921	...	54.0	0.6	52.1	...	2.2	...	4.2	19.2	23.4	24.2	4.8	...
1922	...	70.4	0.7	76.9	...	2.8	...	3.2	25.3	28.5	12.6
1923	...	67.6	1.2	78.4	...	4.4	...	3.1	23.0	0.1	...	26.2	12.2
1924	...	63.5	1.6	75.5	...	4.5	3.5	2.1	12.9	0.1	...	15.1	18.7	7.2	...
1925	...	68.5	2.0	80.6	...	4.7	3.3	0.7	20.6	0.7	...	2.4	12.4
1926	...	68.6	2.7	71.6	...	5.0	2.3	1.6	23.8	1.1	...	26.5	9.4	5.0	...
1927	...	65.6	2.9	2.7	6.9	1.9	27.9	0.8	...	30.6	11.2
1928	...	68.4	4.1	4.8	2.0	2.0	16.5	0.8	...	19.8	12.3
1929	...	64.1	3.4	25.7	54.5	4.8	1.5	6.9	18.1	0.8	...	25.8	7.8
1930	...	64.1	3.4	22.5	44.5	2.5	2.0	7.5	26.1	26.1	...	34.4	11.0
1931	...	69.1	5.0	24.1	45.7	0.7	1.7	6.8	35.3	0.7	...	42.8	12.3	0.9	...
1932	...	69.1	5.7	22.9	35.3	2.5	1.1	7.7	23.8	0.6	...	33.1	14.5
1933	...	58.3	7.2	24.8	46.1	2.4	1.1	12.4	21.7	0.6	...	34.7	13.4
1934	...	52.8	8.2	2.7	1.6	...	16.4	0.8
1935	...	52.1	9.3	24.4	42.7	4.9	1.4	8.4	16.4	0.4	...	23.2	20.6	3.2	...
1936	...	52.3	9.8	23.7	43.3	3.0	1.8	11.5	15.0	0.4	...	26.9	12.4	9.8	...
1937	...	47.6	10.9	24.2	39.8	4.7	1.6	9.7	14.8	6.2	...	30.7	13.2	4.3	...
1938	...	43.3	13.1	25.1	43.7	4.0	1.8	12.1	15.4	8.9	...	36.4	6.9
1939	16.9	49.2	12.5	23.5	44.1	4.1	2.6	9.9	16.1	9.5	...	35.5	9.1
1940	14.8	38.1	13.5	17.0	33.4	2.9	2.4	10.7	16.0	9.4	...	36.1
1941	15.1	35.9	12.9	18.6	35.3	4.1	2.3	10.0	19.5	11.6	...	41.1	12.3
1942	9.7	30.1	10.9	13.1	26.6	4.1	2.1	7.5	11.0	10.5	...	39.0	18.3
1943	8.7	33.5	11.0	12.6	17.8	2.6	1.1	5.2	11.4	9.2	...	25.8	37.2
1944	12.4	35.5	10.9	16.8	20.8	5.8	0.4	19.1	6.5	7.6	...	26.2	20.7
1945	10.6	28.3	11.3	25.8	17.6	15.3	0.3	11.2	7.2	5.3	...	23.7	26.3

The Indian Bank*

The Indian Bank established in 1907 is at present the largest of South Indian banks.

Its progress in the earlier years was slow—a fact indicative largely of smaller banking potentialities of South India. In 1908, its paid-up capital was about 10 lakhs and its deposits a little more than 8 lakhs. By the crisis of 1913-14, it had succeeded in building up a reserve of $1\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs and accumulated deposits of more than 30 lakhs. The sponsors of the bank were singularly fortunate in that the 1913-14 crisis did not penetrate into South India and they could say with justification that bank failures “have not affected us.”

It was not till 1925 that the deposits of the bank passed 1 crore. By 1932, they were in the neighbourhood of 2 crores and by 1938, they approached $3\frac{1}{2}$ crores.

Much of this recent increase in resources has to be ascribed to vigorous extension of branches. Till 1935, branches numbered only 6 which gave an average volume of resources per branch of about 20 lakhs of rupees. The number increased to 15 in 1930, 26 in 1936, 33 in 1937 and 40 in 1938. The average resources per office fell to about 9 lakhs in 1938. This extraordinary extension of branches was the outcome of unusual banking conditions in the South which ultimately ended in the banking crisis of South India centering round the collapse of the Travancore National and Quilon Bank†

With increase in resources, the bank adopted a prudent policy of adding to its reserve. Its capital was increased slightly to about $12\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in 1930 and about 13 lakhs in 1938 but its reserve was augmented much faster and caught it up by 1935. Only 4 lakhs in 1920, it was raised to 10

* Tables XXVII and XXVIII.

† Chapter IX

	1 branch	1926	4 branches
1908	1	1927	2
1914	1	1929	2
1916	1	1931	1
1919	1	1932	1
1920	1		
			Total 15

lakhs in 1930 and is still maintained at that figure. The ratio of capital and reserve to deposits has nevertheless steadily fallen from well above 20 to 25 per cent prior to 1920 to about 10 per cent by 1935 and less than 8 per cent in subsequent years.

Its loans, advances and bills which are not separately stated amounted usually to more than 100 per cent of deposits till 1925 and before the crisis of 1929-30 ranged above 80 per cent. After a great shrinkage to less than 40 per cent, they have recovered recently to about 65 to 70 per cent. The figures for the last few years show that the proportion of bills in the aggregate of loans, advances and bills has been quite high, about 1/7th to 1/4th of the total.

Its investments which are composed almost entirely of government securities were below 5 per cent till 1920 and have only risen after 1925 to 15, 20 and 25 per cent of deposits. In the last few years, the bank has shown some inclination to pay attention to Native State loans and shares of joint stock companies.

The cash ratio of the bank has been always impressive, standing always above 15 per cent and sometimes in the neighbourhood of 20 per cent.

It would be strange if banking institutions in the South stood above other institutions in being free from communal influences. As a matter of fact, the infection is too all-pervasive for a bank to escape it. The following extract from the speech of the bank Chairman proves how great is the need of vigilance on this point. "There seems to be an impression in some quarters that the Nattukottai Chetties are unduly favoured by this Bank in the matter of loans. In the sense that a much larger amount is lent to them than to non-Nattukottai Chetties, it may be true to some extent. . . . The bank has sustained no loss by reasons of loans to the Nattukottai Chetties, but on the other hand, all the comparatively little loss it has sustained (is) by reason of loans made to non-Nattukottai Chetties . . . if Nattukottai Chetties are not to be encour-

aged to the extent they are, our money is likely to lie locked up."

The particular impression dealt with by the Chairman was most probably without any substance. But recent events connected with the failure of the Travancore National & Quilon Bank prove that there cannot be over-cautiousness on this head. The facts so far as they can be ascertained seem to be these. The shares of the Indian Bank are held largely by the Nattukottai Chetties who are consequently in a strong position to mould the policy of the bank. They may have made use of their power, as alleged to secure advances to their own community members on the basis of mere two name paper. In certain years, these advances on personal credit have been almost as large as the deposits of the bank while advances against goods and for trade purposes have been only a fraction, from about 1/13th to 1/10th of advances. The Chetty firms themselves engage almost exclusively in banking and not in trade. In other words, the funds of the bank are not available directly for trade of the country but only indirectly through the lending operations of the Chetties. What gives a tinge of bitterness to the complaints is the fact that the deposits of the bank are not drawn in any appreciable measure from the Chetties who have other outlets for their money but from other classes and communities, salary-earners and wage-earners. The bank prefers the security of the Chetty intermediary to that of goods or other assets and earns perhaps a higher rate of interest. This should explain why the Indian Bank avoids investments and holds such a large proportion of funds in loans, advances and bills.*

The Indian Bank carries on its business in the special circumstances of South India. These might be described, in one phrase as paucity of bankable resources. In spite of this paucity, moderation has been the keynote of rates which the Indian Bank offers on its deposits. Till the onset of the Great Depression, the average rate paid by

* Commerce, July 25th, 1925; and July 18th, 1925.

it on time and demand deposits tended to be next to those paid by respectable banks in the Punjab and the U.P. In the twenties and till 1931, rates from $3\frac{1}{2}$ to 4 per cent were the general rule. Since the Depression, the rates of the Indian Bank are exceeded by the Allahabad Bank of India and the Punjab National Bank only.

Moderate as its deposit rates are, the requirements of capital in the South exceed supplies by such a margin that the returns on funds invested are the highest among those of which we have record. The earnings of the Indian Bank per cent of resources employed represent, as pointed out above, only the minimum average charges paid by its clientele. When we take account of the intermediary services of the Chetties, the cost of finance to the public must turn out very much higher than this minimum. Yet, the rate of earning of the Indian Bank was perhaps the highest among the Big Seven till 1925 and now after the Punjab National Bank, it is the next best rate earned among the Big Seven. Till 1931, the earning rate was commonly above $6\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. After 1931, the rate has been rarely below 4 per cent. Perhaps, the predominance of coffee, rubber and other agricultural interests in the clientele of the bank partly explains the high level of these rates.

The margin between the average rate paid on deposits and the rate earned on assets is apt to be as wide as $2\frac{1}{2}$ to 3 per cent and even more and the rate of gross profits has been always the highest among big banks and only recently after 1925, has become inferior to that of the Imperial Bank. The latter has rarely fallen below 3 per cent.

The advantage of the highest rate of gross profits was much reduced by the fact that till 1925 the expense ratio was also the highest among those banks of which we have any record. This could not be due to any special expensiveness of carrying on banking business in South India. Clerical labour is notoriously cheap in those parts of the country. Yet, the fraction of gross profits paid as salaries

which was about 20 to 25 per cent before the close of the first Great War has mounted to 30 per cent since. A fraction of 25 to 30 per cent. holds good for some of the most highly staffed and expensively managed banks in this country like the Bank of Baroda, Bank of India, etc. After 1925, its expense ratio is showing itself to advantage as compared with those of the Imperial Bank and the Allahabad Bank of India.

The explanation of this high expense ratio and particularly the recent increase in the proportion of expenses to gross profits lies in the extension of branches and the small volume of resources per branch. With 26 branches in 1936, the resources per branch were Rs. 12 lakhs only. With 40 branches in 1938, the resources per office dwindled to 9 lakhs. The Allahabad Bank which stands immediately above it in point of resources per office or branch has an average of 20 lakhs. It is hardly surprising in these circumstances that the Indian Bank is one of the most expensive to run among the big Indian banks. That its fraction of expenses to gross profits is not higher than those of some other banks in the country is understandable only on the assumption of poor salaries paid to employees.

In spite of its high expense ratio, however, its rate of net profit was the highest among leading banks till the Depression years 1935-38. It redounds to the credit of the management that ever since the first Great War, it has used a large part of the net profits to add to the reserves. Only 3 lakhs in 1918, the reserve improved to 6 lakhs in 1923, 9 lakhs in 1929 and 13 lakhs in 1935. Today the paid-up capital and reserve are equal, although their ratio to deposits has fallen rather low.

The dividend declared in 1908 was only 4 per cent and was raised gradually to $7\frac{1}{2}$ per cent till 1920. By 1927, it had reached 12 per cent, and with an occasional increase in a year or two, it has been maintained at that figure. The sum diverted to dividends has been about a half of net profits, which is not excessive according to the usual policies of banks in India.

TABLE XXVII. The Indian Bank (Dec. 31st)
(Figures in Lakhs.)

	Paid-up Capital.	Reserve Invest-ment and Contingency.	Total 1 + 2.	Fixed, Current, Savings cash certificates etc.	Total Liabilities.	Loans Over-drafts Bills Pro. note.	Bills	Bids.	Govt. Securities at cost price.	Loans of Native State.	State Savings Bank account.	Fully paid shares of Reserve Bank & Immoveable Properties.	Total Invest-ments 9 + 10 + 11 + 12 + 13.	Treasury Bills	Short Notice Deposits at Banks.	Cash on hand and at Bank.	Total Earnings 000s.	Interest to Depositors. 000s.	Gross profits. 000s.	Net Profits. 000s.	Salaries 000s.	Total expenses 000s.	
1908	9.8	0.12	10	8	61	1.3	0.9	100	64
1909	10.0	0.27	10	...	24	1.5	1.1	140	64
1910	20	0.37	27	21	33	28	1.3	0.9	188	70	118	74	21	35
1911	10	0.50	10.5	28	34	27	1.5	1.1	228	91	137	85	26	45
1912	10	0.75	10.7	28	38	29	1.5	0.9	245	96	147	92	31	51
1913	10	1	11	24	38	30	1.4	0.9	304	114	190	96	32	51
1914	10	1.5	11.5	19	31	25	1.4	0.9	246	91	145	139	34	48
1915	10	1.7	11.7	24.8	36	27.8	1.3	0.9	218	88	130	99	34	55
1916	10	2.0	12.0	32.3	44	39.6	1.3	0.9	292	100	192	75	37	61
1917	10	2.5	12.5	41.1	53	40.9	1.3	2.0	341	186	205	131	39	69
1918	10	3	13	42	55	35	1.2	2.0	420	164	212	136	49	84
1919	10	3.5	13.5	56.2	71.7	56.7	1.2	2.0	545	227	318	189	74	120
1920	10	4.0	14.0	56.2	72.2	56.3	1.2	2.5	615	287	328	198	99	168
1921	12.5	5.0	17.5	76.4	93.9	80.0	1.2	5.0	643	261	382	160	106	178
1922	12.7	5.5	18.2	63.8	81.5	68.6	1.2	5.0	754	316	437	204	118	196
1923	12.7	6.2	18.9	68	86	73	1.1	5.0	754	316	437	204	118	196
1924	12.7	6.2	18.9	75.5	94.4	85	1.0	16.0	780	398	382	342	123	107
1925	12.7	7	20	113	135	127	1.0	16.0	773	447	326	175	120	200
1926	12.7	7	20	144	164	136	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1927	12.7	7	20	156	176	126	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1928	12.7	7	20	186	208	125	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1929	12.7	9	29	192	214	114	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1930	12.7	10	32	192	214	103	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1931	12.7	11	33	192	214	103	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1932	12.8	12	35	192	214	103	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1933	12.8	12	35	192	214	103	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1934	12.8	12	35	192	214	103	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1935	12.8	12	35	192	214	103	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1936	12.8	12	35	192	214	103	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1937	12.8	12	35	192	214	103	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1938	12.7	13	36	298	344	167	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1939	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1940	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1941	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1942	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1943	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1944	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1945	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1946	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1947	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1948	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1949	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1950	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1951	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1952	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1953	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1954	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1955	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1956	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1957	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1958	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1959	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1960	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1961	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1962	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1963	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1964	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1965	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1966	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1967	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1968	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1969	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1970	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1971	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1972	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1973	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1974	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1975	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1976	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253
1977	12.7	13	36	330	356	169	1.0	16.0	475	475	466	126	140	253

TABLE XXVIII. The Indian Bank

(Percentage to Deposits, Dec. 31st)

Year	Capital and Reserve.	Loans Overdrafts Bills, Promotes.	Buildings.	Govt. of India Securities.	Loans of Native States	State Savings Bank Accounts	Shares of Reserve Bank and Jt. Stock Cos.	Total Investments.	Short Notice Deposits at Bank.	Cash on hand and at Bank.
1908	125.0
1909
1910	48.0	188.8	8.2	4.8	4.8	...	6.6
1911	45.6	117.8	6.5	4.4	4.4	...	19.1
1912	38.2	108.5	5.3	3.2	3.2	...	25.7
1913	45.8	185.0	5.8	3.7	3.7	...	16.6
1914	60.5	121.0	7.3	4.7	4.7	...	31.6
1915	42.0	114.4	5.8	3.7	3.7	...	27.9
1916	37.1	104.9	4.0	6.5	6.1	...	25.0
1917	30.4	99.5	3.1	4.8	4.8	...	25.5
1918	25.0	85.7	2.8	4.7	4.7	...	40.4
1919	28.1	97.4	2.0	4.1	4.1	...	21.3
1920	24.0	96.7	2.0	4.2	4.2	...	23.3
1921	22.9	104.7	1.5	6.6	6.6	...	10.8
1922	28.7	108.3	1.8	8.3	8.3	...	12.4
1923	27.5	126.8	1.6	7.3	7.3	...	10.3
1924	25.0	127.1	1.4	6.6	6.6	...	8.2
1925	19.8	85.8	1.0	16.1	16.1	...	16.1
1926	17.5	73.4	0.7	28.0	23.0	...	19.4
1927	13.6	88.1	0.7	15.2	15.2	...	8.3
1928	12.4	86.0	0.6	10.1	10.1	...	16.4
1929	11.6	67.2	0.5	16.6	16.6	7.5	20.4
1930	10.2	59.3	0.1	23.9	23.9	10.9	17.1
1931	13.7	59.8	...	26.7	26.7	4.6	12.7
1932	12.4	35.8	...	39.5	39.5	5.0	23.6
1933	10.9	44.6	...	41.0	41.0	...	19.4
1934	11.7	62.7	0.3	32.3	...	32.7
1935	9.8	50.7	0.4	1.7	17.7	...	22.1
1936	9.4	69.4	23.4	...	21.4
1937	7.7	66.9	0.5	18.7	2.7	0.9	0.9	23.2	...	19.0
1938	7.6	63.3	0.5	20.2	1.7	...	1.4	23.3	...	16.0
1939	6.9	66.5	0.4	18.7	1.3	...	0.7	23.6	...	19.2
1940	5.7	58.1	1.0	18.0	1.1	0.7	0.9	30.0	...	21.1
1941	4.7	70.8	1.5	30.3	1.5	...	0.8	33.6	...	17.3
1942	7.9	40.5	0.7	29.6	0.7	1.0	...	30.7	...	29.6
1943	6.6	39.5	0.8	50.3	0.8	0.1	0.1	51.3	...	18.4
1944	6.2	50.0	0.1	50.8	3.2	...	0.1	54.1	...	22.0
1945	6.7	47.5	0.13	53.5	1.3	54.8	...	21.3

The Union Bank of India*

The Union Bank of India was started very recently, in 1919. Its paid-up capital was impressively large—being 40 lakhs, and recently the bank has built up a reserve of 7 lakhs. In spite of its own large funds, its acquisition of deposits has been rather slow—indicating either that the banking potentialities of Bombay City are already well exploited or the Union Bank has confined its activities to those classes which are already well served by pre-existing banks. By 1929, the deposits of the bank passed half a crore. The Depression caused the bank a sensible setback but it recovered lost ground by 1934 and by 1937, the deposits were well above 1 crore.

In consequence of foregoing facts, the ratio of capital and reserve stood as high as 150 per cent and more for the greater part of the bank's existence and even now it exceeds 40 per cent.

Its loan and advances have been 40 to 50 per cent. of deposits in recent years and its investments have been 70 per cent and more. It is remarkable that ordinary shares of joint stock companies account for about $\frac{1}{4}$ th of the investment portfolio. The cash ratio is more often than not above 20 per cent.

It is clear that the bank is still in its initial stages and the balance sheet still bears the mark of immature conditions.

* Tables XXIX and XXX.

TABLE XXIX. The Union Bank of India. (March 31st)

Figures in Lakhs

	Paid-up Capital.	Reserve Funds.	Total 1 + 2.	Fixed and Savings.	Current Deposits.	Total Deposits.	Total Liabilities.	Govt. Gilt-edged Securities.	Pref. & Debenture of Joint-Stock Cos.	Ord. Shares of Joint-Stock Cos.	Total Investments.	Cash Credits & Advances.	Loans.	Bills dis. & Purchased.	Bank Premise.	Cash money at call and short notice.	Total earnings.	Interest Pd. to Depos.	Gross Profits.	Net Profits.	Salaries.	
1925
1926
1927
1928	40	6	46	51	97	40	8	30	18	9	...	458	291	93	...
1929	40	6	46	32	78	28	9	24	10	4.3	...	8	...	895	270	83	...
1930	40	6	46	27	73	21	8	34	8	4.1	...	7	...	833	284	80	...
1931	40	7	47	27	74	40	8	19	0.6	4.0	...	6	...	841	284	73	...
1932	40	7	47	28	75	40	10	18	0.5	3.9	...	5	...	834	216	75	...
1933	40	7	47
1934	40	7	47	51	98	55	9	16	5.0	3.7	...	10	327	99	...
1935	40	7	47	66	113	56	23	17	...	3.7	...	15	...	408	260	107	...
1936	40	7	47	99	146	19	58	23	17	12	3.5	...	29	...	421	262	116	...
1937	40	7	47	104	151	34	21	18	73	44	44	11	3.4	22	700	234	466	265	94	...
1938	40	7	47	106	153	28	25	19	73	49	49	14	3.3	17	673	171	502	303	91	...
Dec.
1939	40	8.2	48	144	142	47	10	22	80	89	6	6	3.1	21
1940	39.5	10.0	49.5	196	245	79.3	19.9	32.8	131.6	62.8	62.8	4.2	3.0	49.1	715	232	463	410	137	...
1941	39.9	11.5	51.4	226	277	93.4	18.1	36.2	147.8	94.7	110.9	14.7	3.0	84.0	856	234	632	529	167	...
1942	39.9	12.2	52.1	352	404	166.7	28.1	40.3	231.2	5.2	3.0	60.3	917	215	702	533	205	...
1943	39.9	12.2	53.1	458	510	234.2	25.8	40.4	300.0	96.6	135.6	7.9	2.7	69.2	1236	267	971	703	267	...
1944	39.9	14.2	54.3	441	424	272	24.0	44.2	340	96.6	135.6	76.9	2.7	54.2	1279	266	1013	813	277	...
1945	40	15.2	55	505	560	312	24	41	377	117	117	28	2.5	66	1703	334	1369	787	321	...
1937	10	0.40	10	47	57	4.5	43	43	9.6	299	106	...	37
1938	10	0.50	10	22	37	4.5	23	23	5.3	293	103	...	32
1939	10	0.44	10.4	12	...	4.5	14.7	14.7	2.5	173	40	138
1940	10	0.43	10.4	9	...	4.5	11.8	11.8	4.1	96	15	83
1941	10	0.12	10.1	9.9	...	4.5	15.5	15.5	5.6	94	25	69
1942	10	0.12	10.1	13	...	4.5	16	16	3	87	23	64
1943	8	0.57	10.5	19	...	8.4	13.6	13.6	5.8	67	23	64
1944	8	0.57	10.5	21.7	...	5.5	17	17	8.3	130	33	98
1945	8	0.80	10.8	24.9	...	5.4	29.3	29.3	8.3	131	33	93
1945	8	0.80	10.8	24.9	...	5.4	29.3	29.3	8.3	131	33	93

Bank of Hindustan. (Dec. 31st.)

TABLE XXX. The Union Bank of India

(Percentage to Deposits)

	Paid-up Capital and Reserve	Fixed Deposits	Cash Credits and Demand Advances	Loans	Bills.	Govt. Securities.	Preference Shares and Debentures of Jt. Stock Co.	Ordinary Shares of Jt. Stock Co.	Total Invest- ment	Cash call and Short notice money.
1925
1926
1927	86.2	48.0
1928	110.8	...	15.6	65.2	87.5	25.0
1929	143.7	...	29.9	75.0	31.2	77.7	25.9
1930	170.0	...	29.6	125.9	125.9	148.0	22.2
1931	170.0	...	29.6	70.8	2.2	142.8	17.8
1932	167.8	...	35.7	64.2	1.7
1933	107.8	19.6
1934	92.1	...	17.6	31.3	9.8	84.8	22.7
1935	71.2	...	34.8	25.7	58.5	29.2
1936	47.4	...	28.2	17.1	12.1	39.8	...	19.1	70.0	22.6
1937	45.1	...	42.3	...	10.5	32.6	20.1	17.8	67.8	16.0
1938	40.5	...	46.2	...	13.2	26.4	23.5	17.9	48.4	14.5
1939	33.4	...	61.8	...	4.1	32.6	0.6	15.2	66.9	24.5
1940	25.2	...	32.0	...	2.1	40.4	10.1	16.4	67.8	15.0
1941	22.7	...	41.9	...	6.5	41.3	8.0	16.0	60.6	15.9
1942	14.0	...	31.5	...	1.4	47.3	7.9	11.4	65.5	15.1
1943	11.5	...	29.6	...	1.7	51.1	5.6	8.8	77.2	12.7
1944	12.2	...	21.9	...	3.8	61.8	5.4	10.0	74.5	18.0
1945	10.8	...	23.0	...	5.5	61.7	4.7	8.1

The Bank of Hindusthan

1937	221.0	...	91.4	9.5	20.4
1938	47.0	...	109.0	20.4	24.0
1945	25.4	...	83.9	15.4	23.7

The Bank of Behar*

Judged by its long life, the Bank of Behar is one of the senior banks in the country, its year of establishment being 1911.

It had a very modest, almost obscure beginning. In 1911, its paid-up capital was only a meagre Rs. 7000, as against authorised and subscribed capital of 10 lakhs and 2½ lakhs respectively. By 1913, the bank created a reserve of Rs. 3,000. The deposit liabilities against these slender owned resources amounted to Rs. 6,000 only.

In its earlier stages at least, the bank engaged in fire and life insurance business as well.

Its paid-up capital was raised very slowly, to Rs. 36,000 in 1913, a little over a lakh in 1917 and 1½ lakh in 1920. The reserve in these years amounted to 3, 32 and 85 thousands only. It attracted a respectable volume of deposits, 5 lakhs and over in 1913, 8 lakhs in 1917 and more than 13 lakhs in 1920.

Thereafter, steps were taken to expand the capital structure of the bank. In 1938, the capital exceeded 13 lakhs and deposits stood at 120 lakhs.

The ratio of capital and reserve to deposits has been in the neighbourhood of 10 per cent in recent years. Its investments are rather slender, about 5 to 10 per cent of deposits only. The bulk of its deposits from 70 to 80 per cent. in fact is placed in loans and advances. The bank maintains a high cash ratio—20 per cent and more.

* Tables XXXI and XXXII.

TABLE XXXI. The Bank of Behar (Dec. 31st)
(Figures in thousands)

	Paid-up Capital	Reserve	Total	Fixed Deposit.	Current Deposit.	Total Deposits	Total Liabilities.	Cash	Bills.	Hills, Cash Credit demand Adv. & Loans.	Loans.	Govt. Securities.	Debentures of Jt. Cos.	Preference and ord. shares.	Total Investment.
1911	14	...	14	146	160	5
1912	20	...	20	377	397	37	...	346
1913	36	...	36	549	588	107	...	405	...	22	24
1914	43	...	39	695	748	185	...	530	...	13	15
1915	65	...	53	781	867	188	...	631	...	16	18
1916	93	...	86	811	867	289	...	766	...	14	39
1917	107	...	119	973	1092	147	...	758	...	7	...	25	23
1918	113	...	130	956	930	195	...	876	...	13	...	18	31
1919	130	...	163	1221	1119	416	...	864	...	51	...	36	87
1920	175	...	200	1348	1421	398	...	1097	...	48	...	37	85
1921	220	...	283	1927	1611	415	...	1744	...	47	...	33	80
1922	247	...	341	2169	2268	616	...	1759	...	40	...	30	70
1923	279	...	383	2046	2552	254	...	2135	...	40	...	26	66
1924	301	...	437	1749	2483	251	...	1874	...	42	...	27	69
1925	306	...	471	2082	2220	246	...	1934	...	55	...	23	78
1926	308	...	476	2379	2558	364	...	2297	...	62	...	30	92
1927	309	...	478	2045	2857	644	...	2378	...	144	...	28	172
1928	312	...	435	3178	2480	678	...	2451	...	201	...	36	237
1929	319	...	438	3418	3616	464	...	2744	...	180	...	59	249
1930	332	...	445	3954	3863	541	...	3060	...	357	...	60	435
1931	3540	...	458	3891	4412	451	...	3377	...	147	...	59	206
1932	346	...	467	4610	4358	891	...	3392	...	289	...	77	368
1933	362	...	501	5666	5111	886	...	4543	...	477	...	46	554
1934	374	...	535	6093	6201	891	...	5309	...	483	...	46	529
1935	510	...	609	8133	7302	1456	...	6393	...	678	...	145	823
1936	571	...	790	8925	8925	2871	...	6905	...	820	...	118	933
1937	571	...	846	9881	10412	2584	...	7936	...	1054	...	305	1359
1938	909	...	1246	10799	12045	2635	...	9223	...	1050	...	310	1360
1939	1002	...	1368	11989	13358	2109	...	9223	...	1046	...	362	1408
1940†	12	...	1535	11986	12521	24	...	9452	...	10	...	1	13
1941†	12	...	18	112	130	24	...	88	...	15	...	2	20
1942†	14	...	20	127	147	76	...	84	...	31	...	2	39
1943†	15	...	22	155	177	76	...	60	...	41	...	2	49
1944†	16	...	25	242	267	123	...	93	...	44	...	2	55
1945†	21	...	32	297	329	181	...	147	...	100	...	2	116
1945†	26	...	38	407	445	173	...	163	...	100	...	2	116

† Figures in lakhs.

TABLE XXXII. The Bank of Behar
(Percentage to Deposits)

			Paid-up Capital and Reserve.	Demand Advances Cash Credits, Loans and Bills.	Government Securities	Debentures of Jt.-Stock Cos.	Preference and ord. Shares.	Total Investments.	Cash
1911	9.5	8.4
1912	5.3	91.7	...	0.5	...	0.5	9.8
1913	7.1	73.7	4.0	0.3	...	4.3	19.4
1914	7.6	76.2	1.8	0.3	...	2.1	19.4
1915	11.1	80.7	2.0	0.2	...	2.2	24.0
1916	12.2	78.7	1.4	...	2.5	3.9	29.0
1917	17.1	93.4	0.7	...	1.9	2.6	18.1
1918	17.0	91.0	1.3	...	1.8	3.1	20.0
1919	16.3	70.7	4.1	...	2.9	7.0	34.0
1920	19.5	81.3	3.5	...	2.7	6.2	29.5
1921	17.6	90.5	2.4	...	1.7	4.1	21.5
1922	17.5	81.1	1.8	...	1.3	3.1	28.4
1923	21.8	64.3	1.9	...	1.2	3.1	12.4
1924	26.9	107.1	2.4	...	1.5	3.9	14.3
1925	22.8	105.7	2.6	...	1.1	3.7	16.6
1926	20.0	96.5	2.6	...	1.2	3.8	15.3
1927	21.2	121.1	7.0	...	1.3	8.3	31.4
1928	13.7	77.1	6.3	...	1.1	7.4	21.3
1929	13.0	80.3	5.5	...	1.7	7.2	13.5
1930	11.5	77.3	9.4	...	1.5	10.9	13.6
1931	12.0	86.7	3.7	...	1.5	5.2	11.6
1932	10.8	73.5	6.2	...	1.7	7.9	19.3
1933	9.4	80.1	8.4	...	1.3	9.7	15.6
1934	9.1	79.3	7.2	...	0.6	7.8	13.3
1935	9.7	78.5	8.3	...	1.7	10.0	17.9
1936	9.4	69.8	8.8	...	1.1	9.4	29.0
1937	11.5	73.4	9.7	...	2.8	12.5	23.9
1938	11.4	76.9	8.7	...	2.5	11.2	21.9
1939	12.8	80.5	8.7	...	3.0	11.7	17.6
1940	16.8	78.5	8.9	...	0.7	11.3	21.4
1941	17.2	66.1	11.8	...	1.5	15.6	33.0
1942	14.2	38.7	20.0	...	1.3	25.1	49.0
1943	10.3	38.4	16.9	...	0.8	20.1	50.8
1944	10.7	49.4	14.8	...	0.6	18.4	44.1
1945	9.8	38.0	24.5	...	0.4	23.3	43.5

CHAPTER VII

ECONOMY AND EFFICIENCY OF INDIAN BANKS*

THE gross profits of a bank are the difference between its total earnings† and the interest it pays its depositors. In other words, gross profits represent the cost which the public has to bear for the services of banks as intermediaries. Changes in gross profits may occur either because earning and deposit rates are not accurately adjusted to each other or because the costs of banking business* have altered. Maladjustments between earning and deposit rates can be only temporary and therefore are not relevant factors in long period changes. Under competitive conditions, long period movements in gross profits are as a rule the expression of changes in costs of banking business.

Gross profits are best expressed as a percentage of aggregate resources, capital, reserve and deposits which are employed in earning them‡.

Among big Indian joint stock banks, the highest rate of gross profits is earned by the Imperial Bank of India. Actually, the rate is much higher since the Imperial Bank, following the practice of British Banks, excludes taxes payable by it from the figures of gross profits. Till the Depression cut into its profits appreciably about 1931 and the statutory changes of 1935 deprived it of a portion of interest-free funds, the rate rarely fell below 3.20 and was usually very much higher. Self-evidently, new areas and classes with which the bank was brought into contact through its new statutory branches created very largely between 1921-1926 have not caused any adverse changes in the rates paid on deposits or the lucrativeness of its business. Even after the Depression, the rate continues pretty high, never falling below 2.50. These rates may be compared with that of a typical big British bank like the

* References throughout are to Tables XXXIII and XXXIV.

† Table VII and pp. 96-7.

‡ In all cases, interest earnings, profits, expenses, etc., are aggregates for the whole year, but to arrive at percentages, have been divided not by the average resources for the year but resources as they stood on 31st December (31st March for Allahabad Bank). In so far as December represents average conditions the inaccuracy is mitigated.

Barclays which earned 2.4 per cent in a fairly normal year like 1926.

The lowest rate of gross profits is earned usually by the Bank of Baroda. Except for a sporadic fluctuation in deposits or in gross profits here and there, the Depression seems to have affected this bank but little. Its rate of gross profits has rarely fallen below 1.50 and levels above 1.70 and 1.80 are pretty common, particularly in pre-Depression years.

A close examination of the figures for the leading six banks of India makes it clear that there is no evidence of any tendency to a secular fall in the rate of gross profits. In the case of some of these banks, the onset of the Depression caused some recession in the rate of gross profits but there is more than ample evidence, in recent years, of recovery to accustomed levels.

This evidence of recovery is again very remarkable since in the last few years, Indian joint stock banks have added to their branches in a most striking manner. It will be recalled that in the case of many of them the volume of resources per branch has shown substantial declines. Yet, the tendency of gross profits rate to maintain itself proves that we are far from reaching positively unprofitable classes or areas in the country.

The net profit of a bank is the balance of gross profits over and above the expenses incurred in the management of its business. Changes in net profits over a period of time indicate whether changes in the size of a bank have brought with them economies or diseconomies of management. Net profits of banks relatively to each other throw light on their relative efficiencies of management or organization as well as their special problems and difficulties. Net profits are thus a matter of deep concern both to shareholders and the community at large.

Net profits are again best expressed as a percentage of the aggregate resources, capital, reserve and deposits which are employed to earn them. The difference between the

rates of gross profits and net profits gives us the expense ratio of banks per unit of resources.*

The Imperial Bank of India maintains again the highest rate of net profits. Till the Depression produced its effects by 1932, the rate moved well above 1.50 reaching as high as 2.0 in the boom year 1921 and 1.85 in the normal year 1927.

The Bank of Allahabad or the Bank of Baroda tends generally to show the lowest rate of net profits. The Bank of Baroda, it will be recalled, has the lowest rate of gross profits and its expense ratio shows itself to advantage as compared with many banks in this country. Its low rate of net profits is therefore due to causes which operate on its gross rate and which we have analysed elsewhere.†

The Allahabad Bank reveals a different situation. Its rate of net profits, although low, has been remarkably stable. While it reached levels much above 0.80 during the war, it has been generally in the neighbourhood of 0.50 and sometimes above it in post-war times.

The Depression makes it difficult to speak about any secular tendencies of net profits. While gross profits fell and recovered, different banks were able to reduce their expenses in different degrees. Most of the banks found their expense ratio actually mounting up while one or two like the Bank of Baroda and the Central Bank of India succeeded in checking the rise. The Imperial Bank seems to have succeeded most, due probably to its growing Indianisation.‡

* Net Profits (Percentage of Resources.)

Dec. 31st.	Lloyds.	Midland.	National Provincial.	Westminster	Barclays.
1920	0.59	0.60	0.72	0.65	0.65
1920	0.49	0.51	0.60	0.66	0.47
1921	0.49	0.51	0.60	0.52	0.49
1922	0.35	0.44	0.50	0.44	0.38
1923	0.33	0.50	0.51	0.43	0.39
1924	0.37	0.50	0.51	0.42	0.41
1925	0.36	0.48	0.51	0.38	0.40
1926	0.37	0.46	0.51	0.42	0.41
1927	0.39	0.46	0.54	0.43	0.45
1928	0.37	0.46	0.53	0.39	0.40
1929	0.32	0.40	0.52	0.36	0.35

† Pp. 250-51.

‡ Footnote P. 245.

Salaries paid to the staff are at once the most important and unfortunately the most elastic head of a bank's expenditure. They are best expressed as a percentage of the gross profits of a bank, gross profits being the direct source out of which they must be supported. The Barclays Bank showed this fraction for a normal year like 1926 at 49.75, a figure which holds good probably for all the Big Five of England.

In India, this fraction varies from about 25 per cent in the case of the Bank of India to about 52-55 per cent in the case of the Allahabad Bank. Comparing post-Depression years with those immediately preceding, we do not find an appreciable rise in the fraction. Over the last 25 years or so, however, the fraction shows a secular rise. It is partly due no doubt to the extension of branches but partly it may be due to qualitative improvement in the bank personnels.

The stability of gross profits rates and the secular rise in the expense ratios of banks raise the question whether our banks have expanded beyond the point of maximum profitability. It is not easy to draw definite inferences since the Depression has hit different banks in different degrees. Their ability to reduce their overhead expenses naturally varies. The Punjab National Bank is perhaps the worst sufferer, if only the level of net profits is taken into account. The Allahabad Bank more than maintained its position while the Bank of India, the Central Bank of India and the Bank of Baroda prevented fall to very low levels. The net profits rate of the Imperial Bank never receded below 1.10 per cent. The tide was generally reversed by 1936 and recovery to pre-Depression levels is now only a question of time.

Taking pre-Depression years; three banks, namely, the Imperial Bank, the Central Bank of India and the Bank of India showed a rate of net profits well above 1.00 per cent. The Punjab National Bank and the Bank of Baroda record a rate generally above 0.80. The Allahabad Bank had and still has a stable rate of about 0.50 and more.

Experience of other countries should throw some light on the significance of these differences. The net profits rate of London banks between 1861 and 1913 and the rate for banks in England and Wales between 1874 and 1913 have both declined in a progressive manner. In the latter case, the decline has been from 1.88 per cent in 1874 to 0.57 per cent in 1923. For Barclays Bank, which may be taken as typical of the Big Five, the rate for 1926 was 0.73. There is no doubt about the causes of this remarkable decline. Their expenditure ratio has mounted up with ruthless consistency, the items of expenditure practically remaining the same. Increased charges have largely occurred under the heads Salaries, Rents, Taxes and Telephone Charges, items all connected with the expansion of branches. In actual figures, the ratio of expenses to working resources mounted up from 0.74 in 1861 to 0.96 in 1914 for all banks in London.

Per unit of resources employed, there is no doubt that the expenses of banking business are very high in this country. In spite of a progressive deterioration from 1861 to 1914, the expense ratio of British banks was only 0.96 per cent in the latter year. Only two banks in this country, the Bank of India and the Bank of Baroda show a ratio which compares favourably with the British ratio. The example of these two banks, so diverse in the character of the territories served, is sufficient proof that special conditions of India as such cannot explain the difference in the outcome of banking in India and banking in England. The expense ratios of these two banks, which vary between 0.75 to 0.85 per cent are superior to that of a typical big bank like the Barclays even. The other big five Indian banks have expense ratios which vary between a wide range of 1.40 to 2.50 per cent. The lower limit is represented by the Central Bank of India and the upper limit by the Indian Bank of Madras.

Comparison with British banks is to an extent unfair to Indian banks since Great Britain represents the most advanced and experienced banking system of the world. The volume of resources per office and consequently, gross

profits are so large in Great Britain that even with their much more elaborate organisation and liberal salaries, only a small fraction out of the latter has to be paid out in expenses. In other words, the fraction of gross profits retained as net profits is the highest in the world. It has tended even in recent years to exceed 85 and 90 per cent when in other countries like Switzerland and the United States 35 to 40 per cent before the crisis and 25 to 35 per cent after the crisis have been the rule. Among Indian banks the fraction has been the lowest for the Allahabad Bank (21 to 25 per cent.) and the Punjab National Bank (10 to 20 per cent.), moderately low for the Central Bank (35 to 40 per cent.) and fairly high for other banks (40 to 50 per cent.). The Bank of India leads with the highest fraction which is generally in the neighbourhood of 55 per cent.

The main factor in the high expense ratios of our banks is indisputably the small volume of resources with which they have to operate. Modern methods of banking presuppose certain elaborate organisation and practices. They prove cheap only when the resources which are to be handled are very large. In India each step in expansion means as a rule venturing on areas of lower and lower banking potentialities. The outcome is increasing expense ratios.

The resources per branch of the Bank of India are thrice as high as those of the Imperial Bank which ranks next to it on this point. It is inevitable, therefore, that its expense ratio should be the lowest. Other banks have resources per branch which range from about 45 lakhs in the case of the Imperial Bank of India to a mere 10 lakhs in the case of the Indian Bank of Madras. In regard to their expense ratios, it will be found that their ranking is approximately the same as that which holds for their resources per branch.

It is also true that our banks have yet to develop a technique suitable to the conditions and banking resources of the country. Perhaps, the main weakness lies in the

quality of the personnel which our banks recruit. It is to be feared that the wastefulness of cheap labour and the economy of high wages are no more than pious phrases even in the highest business quarters in this country. Everything seems to conspire to put a premium on everything except qualifications and ability. Little has been done to develop any special type of training suitable for the small and distant places in the countryside or the lean outskirts of big places. Premises of Indian banks are apt to present the appearance, sometimes of a holiday picnic and sometimes of the confusion and disorder of an Indian bazaar.*

Little accurate or systematic thought has been devoted to the planning and execution of bank organisation. Modern devices are conspicuous by their absence. Little effort is made to distinguish between profitable and unprofitable activities, cost accounting and specific investigations being looked on as dangerous heresies.

The net profits of banks computed as percentages of capital and reserves vary between 9.01 per cent for the Bank of Baroda to 16.5 per cent for the Indian Bank. This is indeed remarkable since the ratio of capital and reserves to borrowed resources is much higher than in England and certainly not inferior to those of other countries. The corresponding percentages for England, France, the United States and Switzerland are markedly lower. In other words, the profits available for distribution to shareholders are quite generous in this country.

* On the occasion of the inauguration of the Sydenham College Banking Association in 1924, Sir Alexander Gray, Manager of the Bank of India observed, *inter alia*—"The answer is—the extraordinary expense of a branch office in India as compared with that in England and more especially in Scotland.

In Great Britain, the staff of a new branch bank may consist of nothing but a manager and one junior clerk, probably an apprentice, while a small office at Parel (a poor part of Bombay) would require :—

An Agent,
Accountant,
Receiving Cashier,
Paying Cashier,
Two clerks,

and about four sepoys or harnals.*

together with an organisation at Head Office to replace these men at a moment's notice in case of sickness or ceremonies.

.....But the real crux of the matter is that the Indian Bank Official must learn to do his own routine work and not only sit in an office chair and give orders to clerks and peons; at the same time, I do not suggest that you should blindly imitate British banking practice. I would ask you to study the real indigenous banking organization of India i.e. the *Suroffing* System....."

It has been sometimes alleged that banks in India distribute too large a share of their net profits as dividends with the object of maintaining the value of their shares and creating a spurious kind of confidence in themselves. Such an allegation could not hold true of the more well-known banks in the country.* In times of exceptionally lean profits like those which succeeded in 1929 crisis, dividends have been maintained by allotting as large a fraction of net profits as 80 to 90 per cent. for the purpose. Such was the policy of the most conservative and well-managed banks even like the Bank of India and the Bank of Baroda. But ordinarily, the fraction rarely exceeds 60 per cent. The share values of these banks follow the course of the stock exchange cycles and give no ground to suspect any undue inflation of values. As in the case of the Allahabad Bank, their affiliations and other wellknown qualities exercise some influence on values over and above the influence of mere relative return. Otherwise, the main evil in this field is the declaration of profits and dividends even though no profits or no adequate profits have been made by new banks or banks which have yet to establish themselves in public esteem. The practice is, however, very hard to eradicate as a low dividend is apt to be understood as an admission of doubtful prospects for a bank. Since the public does not and cannot discriminate between prudent policy and admission of frustrated hopes, a bank management which has the courage to declare a low dividend may find itself inviting the very disaster it is seeking to avert.†

24 Scheduled Banks (in lakhs)

	Capital Reserves & deposits	Gross Profits.	P. C. to total resources	Expenses	P. C. to total resources	Net profits.	P. C. to total resources
1939	203.54	477	2.34	808	1.49	174	0.85
1940	223.37	490	2.18	812	1.39	176	0.79
1941	261.89	529	2.02	334	1.27	196	0.75
1942	363.28	597	1.64	370	1.02	227	0.62
1939							
Mysore	324.45		2.6		1.3		1.3
Union	197.09		3.1		1.5		1.6
Comilla	136.13		3.3		2.6		0.7
Behar	135.19		2.5		2.1		0.4
Indo-Commercial	90.33		4.4		3.0		1.4
Devikaran Nanjee	49.95		1.3		2.3		0.1
Nath	133.37		2.8		2.2		0.6
Indian	123.10		2.3		1.3		0.9

* Pp. 234; 232; 233; 244.

† Table XXXIII.

TABLE XXXIII

	Bank of India		Bank of Baroda		Bank of Mysore.		Allahabad Bank		Punjab National Bank		Indian Bank.	
	Rate of Dividend.	Highest and Lowest price of Shares.	Rate of Dividend.	Highest and Lowest price of Shares.	Rate of Dividend.	Highest and Lowest price of Shares.	Rate of Dividend.	Highest and Lowest price of Shares.	Rate of Dividend.	Highest and Lowest price of Shares.	Rate of Dividend.	Rate of Dividend.
1928...	10	103-87	12	98-82½	14	215-185	18	325-281	4	75	12	12
1929...	10	105-87½	10	82-76	14	220-212½	18	306-291	5	55-51	12	12
1930...	10	95-79½	10	77-69	14	220-200	18	315-284	5	80-55	12	12
1931...	10	90-72½	10	72-58½	12	220½-162½	18	285-249	6	82-60	12	12
1932...	11	96½-74	10	75½-60	13	195-160	18	250-228	6	125-82	12	12
1933...	10	106½-96½	10	101½-75½	13	222-183	18	327-220	6	125-99½	12	12
1934...	10	121½-104½	10	121½-104½	14	270-214	18	375-316	6	104-96½	14	14
1935...	10	126½-115½	10	105½-96	14	277½-270	18	400-375	6	104	18½	18½
1936...	11	142-125½	10	119½-96	14	307½-272	18	398-352	6	104-100	10½	10½
1937...	11	147-136½	14	301-283	18	388-372	6	103	9	9
1938...	11	140-118½	10	116-85	14	289-255	18	390-377	10	10
1944	11	240-170	10	150½-129½	14	500-360	18	540-525	9	287-230	14	14
1946	14	295-196	12	227½-164½

TABLE

Rate of earning— Total Earnings.				Gross Profit Rate— Gross profits				Expense Total						
Total Liabilities.				Total Liabilities.				Total						
Central Bank of India	Punjab Natio- nal Bank.	Allahabad Bank.	Indian Bank.	Bank of Baroda.	Bank of India. 1906—0.58 1907—2.63 1908—2.22 1909—2.14 1910—1.77	Punjab National Bank.	Central Bank of India.	Allahabad Bank.	Imperial Bank.	Indian Bank.	Bank of India. 1906—.19 1907—.68 1908—.66 1909—.56 1910—.52	Bank of Baroda.	Central Bank of India.	Imperial Bank.
1910	5.69	3.57
1911	8.70	...	1.50	4.08	...	0.52
1912	4.12	6.57	...	8.44	2.41	1.96	4.32	1.81	0.55	1.52	0.6	...
1913	13.9	7.59	5.6	8.68	...	2.40	...	5.26	1.70	...	0.68	...	3.5	...
1914	7.41	8.14	6.1	7.98	2.52	2.20	4.10	4.45	2.23	...	0.82	1.76	3.15	...
1915	5.47	5.89	5.8	6.05	2.56	2.41	3.00	2.53	2.20	...	0.72	1.08	1.36	...
1916	4.13	6.24	5.2	6.63	2.16	2.40	3.21	2.21	2.27	...	0.65	0.75	0.79	...
1917	3.58	6.99	...	6.48	2.21	1.98	3.56	1.34	0.64	0.83	0.59	...
1918	5.31	...	5.0	6.83	2.08	2.39	...	3.34	2.07	...	0.95	0.60	2.10	...
1919	4.17	...	5.2	5.83	1.45	1.66	...	2.68	1.82	...	0.82	0.56	2.00	...
1920	5.71	...	7.0	7.56	1.59	2.92	...	2.68	2.43	...	0.48	0.55	1.58	...
1921	5.86	6.54	1.99	2.28	...	2.10	...	3.19	0.60	0.74	1.02	1.39
1922	6.33	...	3.2	7.93	1.98	2.60	...	2.34	1.07	3.34	0.75	0.78	1.87	1.55
1923	6.01	...	6.6	7.56	1.89	2.29	...	2.43	2.14	3.21	0.61	0.81	1.27	1.54
1924	7.04	...	8.4	8.02	1.86	2.43	...	3.08	2.98	3.29	0.66	0.86	1.61	1.65
1925	6.10	6.87	6.5	7.87	1.65	1.95	2.43	2.61	2.50	3.36	0.66	0.82	1.49	1.84
1926	5.45	6.49	6.9	5.81	1.63	1.76	2.12	2.32	2.41	3.53	0.68	0.83	1.30	1.89
1927	5.77	6.75	7.8	5.73	1.71	2.09	2.11	2.84	2.32	3.51	0.72	0.90	1.45	1.96
1928	5.79	6.43	7.1	6.66	1.55	2.26	2.10	3.43	2.31	3.57	0.78	1.02	1.52	1.87
1929	5.99	7.81	6.8	6.51	1.43	2.25	2.89	2.53	2.09	3.59	0.81	0.80	1.65	1.92
1930	5.12	6.68	7.1	6.32	1.37	1.85	2.62	2.36	2.19	3.36	0.77	0.82	1.34	1.84
1931	5.82	7.33	7.7	6.6	1.28	2.16	3.06	2.78	2.24	3.33	0.83	0.73	1.73	1.97
1932	4.58	6.06	8.1	5.44	1.21	1.47	2.21	2.19	2.32	3.41	0.75	0.69	1.55	1.81
1933	4.11	6.30	7.1	5.60	1.54	1.65	2.84	1.67	2.11	2.82	0.85	0.87	1.07	1.69
1934	3.83	6.63	6.8	5.63	1.40	1.92	3.75	1.92	2.44	2.76	0.86	0.84	1.04	1.61
1935	3.64	5.38	6.0	4.08	1.56	2.05	2.93	1.75	2.44	3.13	0.86	0.88	1.07	1.74
1936	3.24	4.82	5.5	3.75	1.40	1.90	2.63	1.75	2.47	2.68	0.82	0.79	1.09	1.50
1937	3.57	4.85	...	3.77	1.81	1.96	2.79	2.27	...	2.52	0.83	1.00	1.45	1.42
1938	3.37	4.94	...	4.24	1.74	2.01	2.91	2.15	...	2.64	0.88	1.08	1.33	1.48
1939	3.78	4.21	1.9	1.98	2.8	2.5	2.6	2.2	0.94	1.3	1.5	1.4
1940	3.46	...	3.6	3.77	2.1	1.45	3.0	2.3	2.5	2.1	0.65	1.2	1.4	1.4
1941	3.00	...	3.4	4.32	1.7	1.6	1.6	2.0	2.7	1.87	0.7	0.9	1.1	1.24
1942	2.25	...	3.5	3.12	1.4	1.3	2.5	1.7	2.3	1.4	0.6	0.9	0.9	0.9
1943	2.67	...	2.9	2.49	1.4	1.2	...	2.1	2.2	...	0.5	0.6	0.9	...
1944	2.82	...	3.10	2.98	1.43	1.8	2.21	2.46	...	3.91	0.65	0.6	1.03	...
1945	3.26	...	2.9	...	1.68	2.0	...	2.9	...	1.46	0.86	0.68	1.09	...

* See ↑ P. 97.

Ratio— Expenses. Liabilities		Net profits Rate— gross profits rate— expense ratio.										Salaries as percentage of gross profits.									
Allahabad Bank	Punjab National Bank.	Indian Bank.	Allahabad Bank	Punjab National Bank.	Bank of Baroda.	Central Bank of India	Bank of India 1906—1.89 1907—1.95 1908—1.56 1909—1.58 1910—1.24	Imperial Bank of India.	Indian Bank.	Allahabad Bank.	Imperial Bank.	Central Bank of India.	Bank of Baroda.	Bank of India 1906—15.7 1907—15.8 1908—15.4 1909—15.2 1910—17.2	Indian Bank						
...	...	1.06	17.7					
...	...	1.83	0.98	...	2.51	18.9					
...	2.52	1.84	...	1.80	0.89	0.71	1.41	...	2.52	24.5	15.6	21.0					
1.92	...	1.45	0.78	2.28	...	3.97	41.9	...	14.9	16.8					
1.85	2.37	1.28	0.88	1.73	0.76	1.90	1.88	...	3.19	41.2	...	30.7	19.2	23.4					
1.80	1.50	1.53	0.90	1.50	1.48	1.17	1.69	...	2.08	40.9	...	25.7	19.4	17.9					
1.81	1.72	1.39	0.96	1.49	1.41	1.42	1.75	...	2.97	39.0	...	19.9	19.2	13.1					
...	1.90	1.80	...	1.66	1.38	0.75	1.34	...	2.56	19.7	17.0	14.0					
1.30	...	1.53	0.77	...	1.43	1.24	1.44	...	2.32	39.0	...	14.6	16.8	18.2					
1.21	...	1.33	0.61	...	0.89	0.68	1.14	...	1.93	42.5	...	16.1	20.4	14.6					
1.43	...	1.66	1.00	...	1.04	1.10	2.44	...	2.75	39.1	...	22.8	19.4	9.7					
...	...	1.78	0.25	1.18	1.68	2.0	1.70	...	28.7	53.9	21.6	15.6					
...	...	2.13	0.21	...	1.20	0.97	1.85	1.79	2.59	52.1	30.9	31.3	25.5	18.0					
1.72	...	2.24	0.42	...	1.08	1.16	1.68	1.71	2.37	53.5	31.4	29.3	26.1	17.8					
2.14	...	2.08	0.84	...	1.00	1.42	1.77	1.64	2.57	48.8	33.1	30.9	28.7	17.6					
2.00	1.22	1.75	0.50	1.21	0.83	1.12	1.29	1.52	1.46	52.2	33.7	35.5	30.7	21.1					
1.89	1.23	1.90	0.52	0.90	0.80	1.02	1.08	1.64	1.94	52.8	33.8	33.5	30.8	24.9					
1.79	1.40	1.55	0.58	0.71	0.81	0.89	1.37	1.85	1.29	53.8	33.8	33.7	32.2	20.6					
1.80	1.47	1.50	0.51	0.63	0.53	0.91	1.48	1.70	2.37	53.7	33.8	34.9	35.8	19.4					
1.61	1.95	1.46	0.48	0.94	0.63	0.88	1.44	1.67	1.89	56.8	33.9	34.6	33.2	21.3					
1.69	2.18	1.28	0.50	0.45	0.55	1.02	1.08	1.52	77	50.0	40.6	41.3	38.9	25.4					
1.77	2.74	2.20	0.47	0.23	0.55	1.00	1.33	1.36	1.26	57.1	44.5	35.1	37.3	22.8					
1.81	2.04	1.72	0.51	0.17	0.52	0.64	0.72	1.60	0.86	57.3	33.9	35.5	35.6	31.2					
1.62	2.53	1.82	0.49	0.31	0.67	0.60	0.82	1.13	1.22	55.9											

TABLE XXXV

Net Profits as Percentage of Capital and Reserve				Net Profits as Percentage of Gross Profits.										Percentage of Net Profits distributed as dividend						
Indian Bank.	Imperial Bank.	Allahabad Bank.	Central Bank of India.	Punjab National Bank.	Bank of Baroda.	Imperial Bank	Allahabad Bank	Punjab National Bank	Bank of India.	Central Bank.	Bank of Baroda	Indian Bank	Wales and	United States (Member Bank)	France.	Switzerland.	Allahabad Bank	Bank of Baroda	Bank of India.	Bank of Mysore.
8.0	9.
1910	18.56	17.45
1911	...	9.87	6.06
1912
1913
1914
1915
1916	...	8.01	...	7.59
1917	11.07	8.14
1918	10.92
1919	...	10.1	10.92
1920	...	14.20
1921	13.12	13.62
1922	...	3.35
1923
1924	12.8	10.98	8.79
1925	8.7	13.61	...	17.01
1926
1927	...	10.02
1928	18.55	10.75	7.94
1929	18.0	...	5.16	46.3	23.0	32.4	68.6	34.8	44.0	60.9	33.0	35.8	64.5	76.1	33.4	39.8
1930	13.6	...	7.80	46.7	22.5	18.1	58.2	48.0	40.3	48.5	96.3	1.0	88.1
1931
1932	11.80	...	7.17	39.4	23.3	10.5	49.6	35.4	43.3	44.4	33.7	Loss
1933	12.31	12.74	6.16	Loss
1934	Loss
1935	Loss
1936	Loss
1937	Loss
1938	10.98	9.54	11.12	9.86	9.01
1939	11.8

CHAPTER VIII

THE RESERVE BANK OF INDIA

IN his annexe to the Chamberlain Commission's Report, Keynes developed the first serious and comprehensive scheme for a Central bank for India. In view of subsequent events, it is a memorable feature of his scheme that he envisaged a combination of Central and commercial banking functions, very much on the lines of the Banque de France. The need of a Central bank did not, however, become an article of accepted faith in India till central banks, independent of government influence, were proclaimed in international conferences as the only protection against economic and monetary chaos of the post-World-War I kind. The Hilton-Young Commission thereafter discovered or pretended to discover "the inherent weakness of a system in which the control of currency and of credit is in the hands of two distinct authorities whose policies may be widely divergent, and in which the currency and banking reserves are controlled and managed separately one from the other." It rejected the proposal to transform the Imperial Bank of India into a Central bank for the purpose in a doctrinaire manner and elaborated a scheme for a new Central bank, the main features of which were later incorporated into the Reserve Bank of India.

It is necessary to recall the background to the present Reserve Bank of India Act of 1934. For, the main constituents of that background still persist and may influence the future working of the Reserve Bank in a material manner.

Action on the conclusions of the Hilton-Young Commission was taken in January 1927 with the introduction in the Legislative Assembly of a bill for the Reserve Bank. In this bill, the Bank was envisaged as a shareholders' bank with a majority of the Board of Directors elected by share-

holders and a Governor and Deputy Governor nominated by the Governor-General-in-Council. A Joint Select Committee of the Assembly to which the bill was referred made important changes. In the first place, it held that the capital of the bank should be supplied by the State since it is an invariable experience that the participation of shareholders in the control of joint-stock enterprise is a mere myth and power always falls into the hands of a self-elective, close oligarchy. Secondly, while active engagement in agriculture, commerce, finance or industry was a desirable qualification in a member of the Board, membership of the Indian or local Legislatures or of the Board of Directors of Co-operative Banks should not be a bar, as proposed in the Bill. Rejecting the shareholders' principle in this manner the Committee then proceeded to indicate how the Governing Board of the Reserve Bank was to be created. Six members of the Board out of a total 15 were to be elected by the Indian and Local Legislatures and the majority was to be Indian. The Committee insisted that either the Governor or the Deputy Governor must be an Indian. These changes were suggested on the ground that the Executive in India in no way represented or was responsible to the Indian public and that its nominations meant in effect alien political influence in the place of Indian political influence.

At one stage, Government were willing to give up the shareholders' principle so far as the supply of capital went but insisted that the bill would have "to live or die according to our success . . . in finding a satisfactory directorate." After a visit to London for discussion by the Finance Member, however, Government tried to revert to the shareholders' principle but the new bill was disallowed by the President of the Assembly. In February 1928, after some erratic voting in the Assembly, the Government abandoned the Bill.

The immediate cause which brought a Reserve Bank into existence was not the monetary and banking needs of the country but impending changes in the Central Government

which involved the transfer of the Finance Portfolio and Finance Department to a minister responsible to a Federal Legislature. The Second Report of the Federal Structure Committee (13th January 1931) described it as "a fundamental condition of the success of the Constitution that no room should be left for doubts as to the ability of India to maintain her financial stability and credit, both at home and abroad." In the sphere of currency, credit and exchange, this meant, according to the Parliamentary Joint Committee on Indian Constitutional Reform (1933-34) that "a Reserve Bank on a sure foundation and free from political influence should already have been established and in successful operation before the Constitutional changes at the Centre take place."* The Joint Committee was as a matter of fact already anticipated by the Reserve Bank of India Act 1934 which was piloted through a legislature which could have claimed for itself anything except representative character.

The "sure foundation" of the Reserve Bank was made clear in Section 153 of the subsequent Government of India Act 1935. No Bill or amendment which affects the coinage or currency of the Federation may be introduced or moved in either chamber of the Federal Legislature without the previous sanction of the Governor-General in his discretion. The restrictions relating directly to the Reserve Bank as such are even more significant. The White Paper proposals which were the basis of the work of the Joint Committee had suggested such prior consent only in regard to the powers and duties of the Bank as may be included in a Reserve Bank Act. But the Act of 1935 taking its cue from the Report of the Joint Committee† requires such prior consent not only to changes in the "functions" but also the "constitution" of the Reserve Bank of India. In the light of the previous history of the question given above, the object behind this extension of restrictions is quite obvious.

We have already discussed some of the problems involved in the creation of a Reserve Bank in our examination of the

* Report para 300.

† Report, para 301.

status and working of the Imperial Bank of India before 1935. On several grounds, the Imperial Bank had a good claim to be considered as the most natural heir to the privileges and responsibilities of a Central bank. The more important among them were, its size and resources, gradual but natural tendency to harmonisation of commercial and Central banking functions, the high liquidity of its assets secured and enforced by law, a growing friendliness and acceptance of its leadership on the part of other banks, the clear and traditional emphasis on public interests in the appointment of its executive, an unmistakable trend towards Indianisation in the ownership of its capital and management, etc.* These facts have to be borne in mind in assessing the worth and value of the new institution created by the Act of 1934.

Constitution

The Reserve Bank of India is a shareholders' bank. Its share capital of 5 crores is divided into fully paid-up shares of Rs. 100 each. Any person domiciled in India and any company registered under the Indian Companies Act can become a shareholder. In the case of persons who are ordinarily resident in India but domiciled in some other part of the Empire and companies which have branches in India but are incorporated under the law of some other part of the Empire, it is a condition of shareholding that the government of the parties concerned should not be discriminating against Indians in any way.

Precautions were taken to prevent accumulation of power in particular parts of India or in a few hands. Initially, the aggregate shares were allotted on the basis of relative importance to five areas, Bombay, Calcutta, Delhi, Madras and Rangoon—into which the country was divided for the purpose. Secondly, while one vote was allowed to be cast for each five shares at elections to the local Board of the area concerned, the maximum number of votes for each

shareholder was fixed at 10. As was to be expected, however, economic power and inherent tendencies of joint stock enterprise are slowly frustrating both these objects. As the law does not prohibit the transfer of shares from the register of one area to the others, the financial power of Bombay is gradually asserting itself. Its shareholding which amounted to 140 lakhs on 1st April 1935 rose to 205 lakhs by 31st December 1938, every other area sustaining a loss. Again, since the ordinary shareholder understands little and cares less for the public importance of the Reserve Bank but is only interested in the scrip as an investment, shares of the Bank are gradually accumulating into fewer and fewer hands. Between the dates mentioned just now, the number of shareholders has fallen from 92 thousand to about 60 thousand, a decline in which all the five areas share more or less. Short of a limitation on the number of shares which an individual may be permitted to hold and prohibition of transfer from one area to another, it is difficult to counteract this drift. Leaving out of account danger of evasion and arbitrary interference with the values of shares it is still very doubtful whether unwilling shareholders will take any interest in elections or prove desirable or enlightened voters. It should not be surprising if as in France and England, shareholding is in the course of time concentrated in a few plutocratic families.

As the history of several central banks proves, the existence of shareholders is as a rule an immaterial factor in their actual working. Either by tradition as in England or by law as in France, the United States and elsewhere, the day to day executive power and consequently the predominant share in the framing of policy are vested in persons who acknowledge no responsibility to any one save the country at large or immediately, the government of the country. This precedent is followed by the Reserve Bank Act of India in that the appointment and removal from office of its Governor or Deputy Governors, approval of their salaries and allowances, fixing of their terms of office,

any officiating appointments connected therewith, supersession of the Central Board, liquidation of the Bank itself are vested in the Governor-General who is to act in his discretion. But this adoption of the practice of other countries is merely one of form only and not substance. The relationship of the Governor-General to the people of this country has no parallel elsewhere and this deprives the arrangement of its usual import and significance.

The general superintendence and direction of the affairs and business of the Bank are entrusted to a central Board of Directors. This Board consists of the aforesaid Governor and Deputy-Governors, four Directors, nominated and removable by the Governor-General in his individual judgment, eight Directors elected by local Boards of the five areas and one government official nominated by the Central Government. A Local Board is constituted for each of the five areas, composed of five members elected from among themselves by the shareholders and not more than three members nominated by the Central Board and representing territorial and economic interests not already represented in the Board. Special attention is to be given to the interests of agriculture and co-operative banks in these nominations. Local Boards are to advise the Central Board on such matters as may be generally or specially referred to them and perform duties which are delegated to them.

Freedom from political influence desired by the Joint Committee is secured by two main disqualifications which apply to Directorships and Membership of Local Boards. No salaried government official or salaried official of a State in India can hold these positions. Members of the federal or provincial legislatures who desire to hold these positions must sever their connection with those bodies. Among other persons similarly disqualified are officers or employees of banks other than co-operative banks or persons who do not hold unencumbered shares of the Bank to the nominal value of at least Rs. 5,000.

Monopoly and Management of Note-Issue*

The monopoly of note issue is intended to give the Reserve Bank complete control over the volume of cash and therefore credit in the country. As a means to the control of the entire monetary and credit situation, its usefulness may be analysed from different angles.

In some countries notes or notes and coins which comprise their legal tender constitute the bulk of purchasing power. They are the main media of transactions. In others, they hold an inferior status as means of exchange which figure largely in the smaller transactions which arise from or co-exist with the volume of big transactions. The former situation is well typified by France, in which the note circulation of the Bank of France exceeds by a third the aggregate time and demand deposits of the Bank of France, Parisian banks and provincial banks. Control of the legal tender means in such countries control of the entire monetary system. In countries like England and the United States where 90 per cent and even more of the transactions are discharged by means of cheques, the demand for currency is largely a demand for deposits. The requirements of legal tender are apt to be very sporadic and to lag a good deal behind the creation of bank money. The power to control the volume of legal tender is therefore an ultimate power which is ill-suited for expeditious effects and still less for anticipatory checks. Such countries have had to develop close-knit banking systems and well-articulated structure of interest rates in which an impulse released from the Central Bank travels automatically to the furthest extremities of the monetary organization. The object sought to be achieved is to affect the volume of credit directly and not mediately through the volume of legal tender.

The monetary organisation of India belongs to the first type. Though the public has been long familiar with three chief forms of purchasing power, namely, rupees, currency

* See Chapt. I ; pp. 27-8.

notes and chequeable deposits, their relative importance today is very different from what it was a few decades ago. Institutional conditions no doubt set ultimate limits to the use of each form. But within these limits, considerations of convenience, average size of transactions, per head income, level of prices, etc., influence the use of each and there are good grounds to surmise that these factors have changed considerably in the last forty years. On the whole, the extension of notes and cheques has been favoured at the expense of rupees.

“As early as 1913, the receipts of banks in Bengal and Bombay showed for rupees as low a percentage as 8 to 24. as against a percentage for currency notes of 70 to 88. In a poor and predominantly agricultural province like Madras, the percentage of rupees in the receipts reached 44 only as against 52 for currency notes.*

The situation must have altered much more in favour of notes when the Hilton-Young Commission deliberated on the currency and banking problems of India. It was stated before the Commission that places which at the beginning of the century sent their remittances to banks in nothing but rupees were sending twenty-five years later as much as half in currency notes.†

Since the post-war boom year 1920, there began a remarkable return of rupees from circulation which was much intensified later by the deflationary movement of the thirties. Between 1920-21 and 1937-38, 138 crores of rupees have flowed back into the Currency Office. The note-circulation has actually recorded an increase in the same period.

It is, therefore, more than probable that till the inflation of the second World War currency notes out-distanced in

	Receipts of Banks		Gold
	Percentage in Currency Notes	Percentage in Rupees	
Bank of Bengal (4 weeks ending 28 June 1913)	69.53	24.27	5.90
Bank of Bombay (February to May 1913) ...	81.39	15.56	3.05
Bank of Madras	51.91	44.12	3.92
National Bank (10 May to 30 June 1913) ...	68.21	7.95	3.90

—Volume III, Appendixes, Chamberlain Commission, Pp. 724-726.

Minutes of Evidence, Hilton-Young Commission, Q. 7939-40.

volume, rupees by a large margin. Official witnesses in India placed before the Hilton-Young Commission estimates tending to show that including Rs. 85 crores of silver coin and bullion then held in the Paper Currency Reserve, the total amount of rupee coin in issue at the time was Rs. 350 to Rs. 400 crores. Of this huge volume, 150 crores only were regarded as serving monetary purposes. Taking into account the great return of coins from circulation it appears highly improbable that the volume of rupees in circulation could have been anywhere in the neighbourhood of active note circulation which amounted to Rs. 183 crores in 1937-38*

As between currency notes and chequeable deposits the former still exceed the latter which however are slowly gaining over them. If allowance were made for the undoubted greater velocity of deposits, it would be difficult to say which predominate.† This might be compared with the proportion of deposits to note circulation which is 3 : 2 in France and 12 : 1 in the United States.

It is clear in any case that notes and chequeable deposits make up the bulk of the purchasing power of the country. Control of the monetary system means, therefore, mainly the control of notes and deposits. Even if rupees held a status higher than a mere means for small change, the demand for them would record economic changes long after they have gathered momentum. Besides, there is ample evidence to believe that the quantitative relationship between the three forms of purchasing power is not of an invariable kind.

The presumed close relationship or dependence between credit and legal tender, etc., overlooks the natural resist-

* Para 123. Since the nickel rupee has begun to replace the silver rupee from 1947, the rupee is now hardly distinguishable from the currency note.

	Demand Deposits. (Scheduled Banks.)	† (In crores.) Active Note Circulation	Bank Clearings
1936-36	128	163	1945
1936-37	126	175	1951
1937-38	135	183	2051
Deposits of scheduled banks accounted for about 90 per cent. of deposits of all banks.			
Cf. Percentage of Notes in payments (cheques and notes) of maturing commercial			
bills at Bank of France :			
1925	54		
1926	42		
1927	43		
Average	46		

ance which any sudden and drastic changes in purchasing power inevitably set up. The close dependence may assert itself in the long run but measures of credit control must act quickly and with certainty. Indian experience itself furnishes illustrations of the high elasticity and mutual independence which different forms of exchange media disclose in practice.

In 1920, a hectic sale of reverse councils in the course of a few months withdrew from circulation rupees and notes to the extent of 39.07 crores. Nothing, however, was detracted from the volume of deposits. On the contrary, during the same months, there was a rise in deposits of 23½ crores in which the Presidency banks and Indian joint-stock banks shared equally. The rate of the Bank of Bengal was higher by ½ per cent only than in previous 2 years and the rate of the Bank of Bombay reached a slightly higher level. The burden of the stringency was mainly thrown on the open bazar where the rate was 11 per cent as against the Presidency banks' rate of 5 per cent. A study of the cash ratios of Indian banks indicates that they are adaptable to changes in monetary conditions within quite wide limits.*

Again, in the 18 years ending with 1937-38, 118 crores of rupees have returned from circulation. But there has been actually a net absorption of currency notes to the extent of 49 crores, a very remarkable occurrence in view of the continuous downward pressure on the price level. Only in one year, 1924-25, was there a return of notes from circulation against an absorption of rupees. The volume of deposits, as is to be expected, continued stable till 1930 and with the expansion of bank branches, etc., grew fast thereafter.

Control of the monetary system in India means then very largely control of note-issue and control of deposit-currency. It was a weakness in the position of the Imperial Bank that, while its very size and expanse gave it some control over credit, the control of legal tender was retained by the gov-

ernment in its own hands. The step in the line of natural evolution should have been to hand over the management of the note-issue to the Imperial Bank. But a new institution has been created to undertake both the responsibilities. Our subsequent discussion will make clear whether the new institution really unifies control of currency and credit or the old dichotomy of monetary management continues under a different form.

(1) Under our present reserve arrangements, which are described in detail presently, domestic lack of confidence in the note-issue or an internal drain by presentation of notes for encashment is not contemplated as a factor which should influence the size of our reserves. Our present arrangements are aimed at securing a certain volume of gold or gold equivalents in the reserve. Gold does not circulate in the country and indeed the condition of redeemability, namely, sales and purchases of gold in bars of 400 oz. each, is intended to discourage raids on the reserve for domestic purposes. A small quantity of rupees is no doubt stipulated for as a part of the reserve but it is insignificant as compared with the volume of gold and gold equivalents and is obviously meant to meet the requirements of people for small change. This arrangement is justified only on the presumption that the people of India have full confidence in the note-issue of the country and in a crisis would be quite content with supplies of notes.

Our reserve then is held largely with a view to our probable external liabilities. There are two points to be noted in this connection. Any demand on our gold resources is now connected directly with our volume of foreign trade and only mediately with the internal volume of purchasing power in the country. As a matter of fact the old view that equilibrium of foreign debits and credits requires a continuous effort to maintain the domestic price and income structure in equilibrium with price and income structures abroad contained a large element of exaggeration and unreality. Under conditions of continuous inter-

national trade, it is unlikely that prices and incomes will fall out of step very far without calling into action automatic and immediate correctives. The more frequent causes of disequilibrium are temporary breakdowns of markets, divergences in interest-rates, etc., which cause a temporary excess of foreign debits over credits but which do not justify measures to restrict or diminish the volume of, domestic credit. Interference with price and income structure is more often than not a necessity arising out of general world movement towards inflation or deflation. Secondly, in so far as movements of our trade-balance are influenced by the domestic price-level, it would have been more logical to fix the reserve proportion on the basis of notes and deposits rather than notes alone.

(2) Although notes form the largest single constituent of our purchasing power, it is not to be presumed that the state of money and credit will be immediately reflected in the volume of notes held in the Banking Department of the Reserve Bank. According to present law, commercial banks need hold only a small fraction of their reserves as compulsory deposits with the Reserve Bank. While in recent years their cash on hand and at the Bank has been about 15 per cent of their deposits, the minimum amount to be lodged by them at the Reserve Bank is 2 per cent of their time and 5 per cent of their demand deposits or on the average $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent only of their total deposits. In regard to the excess over this minimum, banks have two alternative courses open to them. They may hold the whole of this excess with themselves in their vaults in which case it will consist perforce of notes and coin. Alternatively, after keeping on hand a bare minimum of till money, the rest could be deposited with the Reserve Bank.

According to the present practice, banks hold quite a large proportion of these excess reserves with themselves in their vaults in notes or coin. Coins are probably a small part while the greater part consists of currency notes, perhaps currency notes of larger denomination. Even if we

assumed that the Big Five held only 4 per cent of their aggregate deposits in 1938-39 in this manner, it would mean a reserve in notes in their vaults of about 3 crores. For all scheduled banks whose deposits were then about 240 crores, the cash actually held as excess reserve would be 6 crores out of a total banking reserve of 22 crores. This figure may be compared with notes in circulation which amounted to about 185 crores and notes held in the Banking Department of the Reserve Bank which amounted to about 28 crores. The note circulation appearing in the returns of the Central bank included of course, the 6 crores which were really dormant in the vaults of banks.

It is clear that if inflation took the form of more notes in public hands, the banks could support it for some time without recourse to the Reserve Bank. Intimation to the Reserve Bank may be delayed till banks begin to feel that their stock of notes is falling to imprudently low levels. If inflation were to take place in the form of deposits, the volume of mutual claims at the clearing house should immediately reveal the drift of things. In case a more definite signal in the shape of a demand for more notes is awaited, the commercial banks will receive it first after some lapse of time and the Reserve Bank will become aware of the situation only when commercial banks decide to replenish their coin and notes. If banks made it a practice of holding all excess over till money as deposits at the Reserve Bank, intimation of credit conditions will reach that Bank at a much earlier stage.

There is another reason why the practice of holding all excess over till money as a deposit with the Reserve Bank is likely to promote efficiency of credit control. The liabilities of the Reserve Bank in the form of notes are regulated by law by prescribing certain reserve requirements. But the deposits it holds on account of scheduled banks are subject to no such restrictions. To the extent to which these deposits are not withdrawn in the form of notes to meet the requirements of circulation, the Reserve Bank

can inflate them to any extent by rediscounts or grant of loans. Since a deposit with the Reserve Bank is treated by banks as equivalent to cash and is usable at clearing for meeting adverse balances, it may become the basis for a multiple expansion of credit. In this way, the fact that all the surplus cash of the community is concentrated in the Reserve Bank and that, of any balances created in favour of scheduled banks, only a fraction need be withdrawn for expansion of the circulation while the rest may be retained at the Bank to satisfy compulsory reserve requirements puts enormous power into the hands of the Bank over the monetary system. If excess reserves were always retained in the vaults of banks, loans made by the Reserve Bank must immediately cause a drain on notes and limit its power to expand purchasing power.

(3) The Reserve Bank Act copied from the Bank of England its peculiar feature of the separation of Issue and Banking Departments. During the long century which has elapsed since the Bank Charter Act of 1844, no other country outside the British Empire has found it necessary or advisable to adopt this practice. It is reasonable to ask in these circumstances what purpose such a separation is intended to serve or what special advantages it may offer to this country.

Historically, this separation marked the prevalence in England of the views of the Currency School over its rival, the Banking School. The Currency School argued that only bank-notes, which according to their proposals were to be freely convertible into gold and were to rise or fall with inflow or outflow of gold, and metallic coins were money. Other forms of means of exchange, particularly deposits, were not money. The management of money including notes was no banking function at all and might be even entrusted to a government department. While no competition was admissible in the issue and management of money and while the aggregate of coins and notes was to behave as if it were fully metallic, the Currency School

regarded the banking work of the Bank of England to be on the same footing as the business of other banks. The separation of the two departments was devised to mark this alleged distinction of principle between note-issue management and banking business.

Even in those early years, events speedily proved that the alleged distinction between notes and deposits did not exist and that the view of the rival school, the Banking School, that forms of currency were easily interchangeable and bore no fixed relationship to each other was the correct one. In the crisis of 1847, the note circulation of the country did not fall with the efflux of gold but deposits only fell. The subsequent enormous growth of deposits did away with the last vestiges of this particular belief of the Currency School. Besides, in those countries which accepted the principle of very strict restriction or almost complete abrogation of the commercial functions of their central banks, the other part of the doctrine of the Currency School, ill-conceived as it was, also ceased to have any validity.

As the MacMillan Committee on Finance and Industry point out, "the only solid reason" for this arrangement is that "the separation of the Issue Department has provided a convenient formula for dividing the profits of the Bank of England between the Treasury and the Bank itself." According to the British practice, the profits of the note-issue belong to the Treasury and the rest of the profits belongs to the Bank of England. The profits of the note-issue consist largely of the income from assets held against the fiduciary part of the note-circulation. The segregation of the Issue Department and its assets facilitates calculation of these profits and the incidental expenditure. But as the Committee showed, this elaboration of organisation for this particular purpose is neither necessary nor conducive to accurate or just results.*

In any case, this argument has no relevance to the Reserve Bank of India whose profits are allocated on an

* Committee on Finance and Industry, (1931) pp. 143; 144.

altogether different basis. Under our arrangements, a cumulative dividend on the share capital of the Bank at a rate not exceeding 5 per cent and as fixed by the Governor-General-in-Council is first assured to the shareholders. Out of the surplus, when certain prior claims of the Reserve Fund were met till it was raised to equal the capital of the Bank, shares were to be allocated out of the balance to additional dividend and the Governor-General-in-Council according to a certain scale. It is clear that we have no separation here of profits according to their source and therefore no justification on this ground for copying the unique bisection of the Bank of England.

The publication of separate balance-sheets for the Issue and Banking Departments conveys certain other implications which deserve to be examined closely. In the first place, the reserves of the Central bank are linked indissolubly in the public mind with the note-circulation of the country. In the second place, the notes held in the Banking Department, which should represent the surplus which can be legally issued with the existing reserves naturally invite comparison with deposit liabilities of the Bank to the schedule banks.

The second consequence is well illustrated by reference to the beliefs and practices of the British system. Since the Act of 1844, England has adhered to the principle of a fixed fiduciary issue. The excess over the fixed fiduciary issue is required by law to be covered by gold coin or bullion to the full extent. This excess over the fiduciary issue is partly in actual circulation and partly, to the extent that trade and economic conditions do not require it, in the Banking Department. The notes in the Banking Department could be put into circulation at any time without infringing the law of note-issue regulation. The deposit liabilities of the Bank of England to joint-stock banks are the measure of the extent to which the Bank may have to meet a demand for notes. The relation between the two volumes, the notes in the Banking Department and bankers'

deposits is the famous "ratio" or "proportion" of the British system.

It is clear that the "proportion" in so far as it has a guidance value has a simple and obvious meaning to the average businessman in England. The simplicity and obviousness are due to the fact that above a certain minimum, every pound note must be covered with one £ of gold or in other words, notes in the Banking Department and the deposit liabilities of the Bank of England can be compared directly with each other on the basis 1:1.

In India, the arrangements are altogether different. Our law prescribes that gold and gold equivalents should not be less than $\frac{2}{5}$ ths or 40 per cent of the assets held, i.e., of the note-circulation. In other words, 8.47512 grains of fine gold in the reserve which has been defined as the gold equivalence of the rupee may justify the Reserve Bank in putting into circulation Rs. $2\frac{1}{2}$ in notes. In the opposite circumstances, 8.47512 gold issued could be made use of to cause a deflation to the extent of Rs. $2\frac{1}{2}$ in notes. It is clear from this that calculations of the excess notes which are available for issue with existing reserves cannot be simple or obvious under our system. Even the British arrangement, much simpler as it is, has been described by the MacMillan Committee as "confusing and misleading to anyone who is not an expert." They adduced a further weighty condemnation on the ground that the words "reserve" or "proportion" under such an arrangement have quite different meanings from what they have elsewhere—as in, India. The separation of Departments and balance-sheets of the Reserve Bank has taken place on such a basis that the inherent difficulties of its interpretation have been heaped on the difficulties of complicated reserve arrangements.*

* Cf. "We have stated above that the proportional reserve system does not necessitate the separation of the banking and note-issue functions of a Reserve Bank. If nevertheless, in the plan submitted in our Report such a separation is proposed. It is because we have been impressed by the view put forward by many witnesses that the accounts of the Reserve Bank should be presented in the simplest possible form and that it is essential from this point of view to set out in a separate statement the assets and liabilities in respect of the note-issue. We think such a separation would inspire greater confidence in the note." •

The practice of the Reserve Bank itself is an admission of the inapplicability in the case of our note-issue arrangements of the principle of separation of departments. From 1935-36 to 1937-38, it maintained a volume of notes in the Banking Department which was approximately equal to its deposit-liabilities to scheduled banks. If closely adhered to, the practice may acquire a simple and logical meaning in ordinary circumstances, fluctuations in the two quantities indicating the trend of things. But the initial equating of notes in the Banking Department to the deposits of scheduled banks is obviously an arbitrary procedure which has no warrant in our note-issue regulations. The working of this arbitrary practice is well illustrated by events which happened in 1938-39. It appears that scheduled banks acquired balances abroad from the Reserve Bank whose corresponding item recorded a fall of about 9 to 10 crores. The acquisition was effected by a withdrawal from their statutory deposits to the tune of about $7\frac{1}{2}$ crores and an increase of their loans and discounts at the Reserve Bank of about 2 crores and more. The Reserve Bank was thus confronted with a deflation of about 10 crores. The Bank preferred to add about 2.6 crores to the notes held in the Banking Department against an actual fall of 3.8 crores in Notes in Circulation and allow Rupee Coin in the Issue Department to mount up by about 7 crores. A net reduction of 8.2 crores in the volume of sterling and rupee securities in the Issue Department as against this inflow of Coin shows how a fraction of the incoming notes was cancelled and discrepancy allowed to arise between the fall in note-circulation and the addition to the notes held in Banking Department.

Central Banking Control—Its Technique and Relation to the Money-Market

A money market is by definition a market for borrowing and lending of short-term funds. As explained elsewhere,* these loans arise very largely in connection with trade in bills, stock-exchange operations and dealings between

banks. Unlike ordinary loans and advances, a loan to the money market presumes no permanent relationship between banker and customer but is treated as a separate, self-contained transaction. While commercial banks are deeply interested in the market as offering highly liquid and safe outlets for investment, its working and character are of immense significance to credit and monetary control by the Central bank. While open market operations might be sought as an instrument to influence both short and long-term rates of interest, the bank rate gives the Central bank direct contact with short rates and the money-market. The effectiveness of this contact and the speed with which it is able to affect the credit-structure are a measure largely of the organised and well-knit character of the market.*

The power of the Central bank in relation to the money market depends firstly on how far the money market is accustomed to depend on its own funds and on funds borrowed from banks and secondly how far banks themselves have occasion to apply for rediscounts or loans from the Central bank.

As we have already noted, the volume of bills arising in India is not very large and shows small prospect of any material growth. Our Shroffs, Multanis and Chetties who deal in these wares are willing to borrow until the rate of interest reaches a certain level and thereafter are able to fall back on private sources of funds which are by no means inconsiderable. The present process of levelling down of interest-rates may in the course of time dry up these sources but till that stage is reached banks could hardly be said to be in control of the bill-market.† The bazaar bill rates which apply to bills discounted for small traders by shroffs are the highest rates in the Indian money-market.

* Pp. 92-96. While deposit rates were related to the Bank rate in England as early as 1886, it was not till about 1911 that money market rates, particularly rates on 7 days loans, were similarly defined.

† In 1896-97, the bazaar quoted 8 per cent. for bills for which the Bank of Bengal was quoting 12½ per cent.

Q. 1785; 1968; 2431. Evidence, Fowler Committee.

During the deflation of 1920, the rate of the Presidency banks reached only 5 per cent but the bazaar rates shot up to 11 per cent.

Note by Sir C. Kisch—Appendices, Hilton-Young Commission.

Sometimes, they lose touch entirely with the rates of banks and till 1931, used to be markedly lower in Bombay than Calcutta. As for short and call loans for purposes other than investment in bills, they are made use of largely for dealings on the stock exchanges and the bullion markets. But they bear hardly any significant proportion to the deposits of banks.

As for the relationship between scheduled banks and the Reserve Bank of India, it is very difficult to appraise it in the present circumstances. The creation of the Reserve Bank has coincided with an ease of rates and plenitude of funds which have no parallel in our previous history. Many of the scheduled banks have reached a size and own funds on a scale which make them fairly independent of outside assistance in all but exceptional times. According to the course of the seasons and the business in which they specialise, the surplus funds of certain banks are borrowed by other banks which are in need of them. The exchange banks in particular raise short deposits of this kind from Indian joint stock banks as a matter of course in the busy season. Somewhat on the lines of banks in France, where the operation is strictly confined to banks among themselves, it is also an occasional practice with certain banks in India to mark post-dated cheques payable to private persons as "good for payment". The post-dating rarely exceeds three days and the practice has developed largely as an inter-bank convenience. While post-dating for 3 days may not signify much in practice, any general tendency to borrow from other banks in this manner is to be deprecated as weakening Central banking control. Besides, as is well-known, many small banks which are denied or find no access to the Reserve Bank have developed a practice of approaching the bigger joint stock banks for any required aid. To the extent that such inter-bank lending prevails and Reserve Bank funds can reach other banks through the medium of scheduled banks, the power of the Reserve Bank over the market is either frustrated or has

to be shared with these banks. The real test will arrive when the present ease of funds gives place to stringency and the Reserve Bank tries to enforce its credit-lines.

The Bank-Rate

The Reserve Bank encourages or discourages scheduled banks to obtain more cash from itself by means of its bank-rate policy. The rate of the Reserve Bank is a minimum rate at which it undertakes to discount for the scheduled banks bills of certain defined qualities. The effectiveness of this rate depends on two things, its level, and the liberality or narrowness with which the bills to which the rate applies are defined.

The level of the bank-rate is not by itself a sufficient means of control. Even in those countries in which the rate is higher than the market rate of discount, its level is certainly not higher than the average rate earned by banks on all their assets taken together. The situation is even more difficult in India because interest-rates obtainable in different parts of the country show wide disparities. It has been shown elsewhere that while the earning rate of the Central Bank of India is somewhere near the pre-1935 "bank-rate", the earning rates of regional banks of the Punjab, U. P. and Madras are very much higher. A uniform minimum rate such as the Reserve Bank maintained in the last few years must surely prove in times of less abundant money either too high for certain areas or too low for certain others. Different regional rates for different parts will create other difficulties and indeed be frustrated on account of the presence in this country of banks with a wide network of branches. In these circumstances, it is difficult to foresee how the Reserve Bank will maintain effective touch with rates all over the country.

The same difficulties were encountered in the United States during the greater part of the existence of the Federal Reserve System. In the United States, regional

variations even in open market rates are substantial while those in customers' rate are astonishingly wider. The Federal Reserve System tried at different times both uniform rate and regional rates and at present is working on the basis of a uniform rate. The further complication of banks with branches in all parts does not, however, exist there.

A central bank like the Banque de France which combines commercial and central banking functions demonstrates its effectiveness in situations like these. The original object of founding the Banque de France was to make credit available to all parts at cheap rates. Government compelled adherence to this object by insisting on the creation of more and more branches at each renewal of the charter. The Banque works on the basis of a uniform minimum rate which applies to individuals as well as banks. Although the bigger banks quote a lower discount rate and denude the market of the best bills on offer, the smaller banks which quote higher rates are glad to discount at the Banque. Under the country-wide influence of the Banque de France short rates have everywhere approximated to the discount-rate of the Banque which, while it "makes no claim to manage money and control credit after the manner of newer central banks nor . . . wish to dominate the money market" has yet never lost the financial leadership of the country built largely on its monopoly and management of the note-issue.

While the level of the bank-rate by itself is not the chief element in its effectiveness, it certainly proves decisive when combined with the restrictive (or liberal) manner in which the assets to which it applies are defined.

The Reserve Bank is allowed to buy and sell domestic or inland bills, sterling and bills on United Kingdom. In all ordinary circumstances, the dealings are confined to bank-endorsed bills. These "eligible" bills fall into three categories: ordinary bona fide commercial bills with an outstanding maturity of not more than 90 days, bills of

the same limited maturity but arising out of the holding of or trading in certain defined securities, largely Government, and finally bills which are to run for not more than 9 months and arise out of the financing of agricultural operations or marketing of agricultural produce.

The importance of this means of access to Reserve Bank cash has to be judged in the light of the quantity of such assets held by banks in India. The bills portfolio of our scheduled banks has been on the average 3, 4, 6 and 5 crores for the four years 1935-36 to 1938-39. It is to be presumed that a portion at least of this quantity will not reach the standard and quality prescribed for or insisted on by the Reserve Bank. With these figures may be compared the aggregate reserves of the scheduled banks, cash on hand and balances at the Reserve Bank. These amounted in the aforesaid years to about 38, 32, 25 and 22 crores. Even allowing for the low volume of bills and high level of reserves caused by economic stagnation, it is clear that rediscount as a means of credit control has only a limited significance for India.

Alternative to rediscount, the scheduled banks can obtain loans and advances from the Reserve Bank. The Act permits the Reserve Bank to grant such accommodation to scheduled banks for fixed periods not exceeding 90 days against trust securities, gold and silver or documents of title to gold and silver, eligible bills of exchange and promissory notes of the banks themselves which are supported by documents of title to goods in possession of or assigned or pledged to the banks.

Commercial banks have one ground of preference for loans and advances as against discounts. A loan can be arranged for any period within the limits of law or repaid at any time according to the convenience or need of the borrowing banks. The discount of a bill transfers the property in the bills once and for all to the Central bank. As against this preference for loans and advances, account has to be taken of the fact that most Central banks charge a

higher rate for them and as a matter of fact, discourage them as being of a non-self-liquidating character. Contrary to this common practice, however, our Reserve Bank makes no difference between its discount rate and the rate for loans and advances perhaps on the ground that in Indian conditions this must always be the main form of borrowing. Even in England and France, discrimination against loans and advances appeared much later in their history—the initial practice being actually a discrimination in their favour!* The practice of the Reserve Bank presents another difficulty in that it is its avowed object to encourage the creation of bills and the growth of a bill market. A lower rate of discounts is more in harmony with this avowed object.

As observed already, the last few years have been so remarkable for easy conditions and abundance of funds that the Reserve Bank has had hardly any important role to play. Bills purchased and discounted by it have hardly attained any significant volume except for the early months of the year 1939. "Other loans and advances" have been hardly in existence, despite the fact that the investments of Indian banks are composed largely of eligible Government securities and are at present running at very high levels. None of the big banks could be in need of funds and there is no means to ascertain how far the Reserve Bank has been willing to entertain the overtures of the smaller scheduled banks. A just appraisal of the policy and effectiveness of the Reserve Bank must, however, await the occurrence of stiffer monetary conditions.

Open Market Operations

The discouragement or encouragement offered by the bank-rate becomes effective only through the initiative of scheduled banks themselves. The Reserve Bank may itself

* The Bank of England discovered this form of lending against securities in 1824 and the rate was lower than its bank-rate, i.e. discount rate. In the crisis years 1838-39, while the bank rate, i.e. the rate of discount stood at 4 per cent, advances against securities were made at 3½ per cent.

Allowed to make loans against short-dated Government securities first, against all Government securities in 1834 and against railway and Paris Municipality bonds in 1848, the Banque de France raised its rate for loans higher than the discount rate only in 1864.

initiate these effects by the purchase or sale in the open market of such assets as it is allowed to hold or deal in. The bank-rate acts on the willingness of scheduled banks to borrow from the Reserve Bank; open market operations act on the willingness of banks to lend to their customers. Open market operations may be used either to supplement the effectiveness of the bank-rate or independently, within moderate limits, to narrow the gap between bank-rate and market rates, to induce banks to move in step, to avoid the psychological difficulties of changes in the bank-rate, etc. On account of the character of the assets employed to carry out these operations, the Reserve Bank has in them a direct means to influence the long-term rate also.

The extent and effectiveness of open market operations depend on three conditions: the size of the resources which the Reserve Bank can muster for the purpose, the quality and volume of the assets it is permitted to deal in or hold, the capacity and organisation of the market in which they have to be carried out.

(1) The resources at the disposal of the Bank are derived from several sources. In the first instance, there is the capital and reserve of the Bank which today stand at 5 crores each. As the reserve has reached equality with capital, no further augmentation will now occur from that source. In the second instance, the Bank is the sole repository of the funds of the Government. These funds are of two kinds, those which accrue to the Bank in the course of the collection and disbursement of ordinary revenues and those which flow in and out because the Reserve Bank manages, on agreed conditions, public loans and debt operations of Central and provincial Governments. The time and manner of these operations no less than the incidental accumulation and dispersal of funds are important factors in the management of the money market. The aggregate funds held on behalf of the Government have been on the average as large as 11 to 12 crores.

The third source of its funds is the compulsory deposits of the scheduled banks. Every bank included in the schedule has to maintain at the Reserve Bank amounts equal to at least 5 and 2 per cent respectively of their demand and time liabilities in India computed as averages of deposits held at the close of business on each Friday. In case of failure to maintain the required deposit, the defaulting bank has to pay penal interest on the deficit balance at a rate which may be five per cent higher than the bank-rate at the maximum and for failure to make the incidental returns of figures, it has to pay a penalty of Rs. 100 for each day of failure. Events have proved that it may be to the immediate advantage of an embarrassed bank to pay the penal interest and make use of its funds to meet its immediate needs. The existing law makes no attempt to prevent such a course of development and it is indeed difficult to see justification for any such prohibitions. If the Reserve Bank offers assistance in excess of the funds it holds from a particular bank, the question of a return of its compulsory deposit does not arise. If the Reserve Bank is not willing to discount or grant advances to that extent, it would be a grave injustice and against public interests not to permit the bank to save itself with its own unaided efforts and its own funds.

Another source of funds is dependent on the extent to which the machinery of the Bank is used by other banks for collection of bills or transfer of customers' funds. In all countries which lack the cheque habit or a sufficiency of bank branches, such facilities for collection and transfer serve to prevent large inflows into or expulsions from the banking system of cash and are for that reason a great boon to the money market. The Banque de France and the Reichsbank of Germany derive quite an appreciable part of their resources from this business. The Reserve Bank now and the Imperial Bank before it have been charged with the development of these facilities. As telegraphic transfers form the bulk of remittances and demand drafts

or mail transfers are quite insignificant in volume, these operations do not mean at present any appreciable acquisition of funds.*

It could be claimed in one sense, though in one sense only, that the resources of the Bank are virtually limitless. Under our present law of note-issue, the Bank has a very large margin of gold or gold equivalents against which great quantities of notes could be issued. Theoretically at least, the possibilities of such inflation have hardly any measurable limits. In practice, of course, these powers are likely to be invoked only on exceptional occasions when the assets of banks or individuals have to be converted into cash on a very large scale as in the case of a general panic and break-down of the monetary and banking structure. The present practice of the Reserve Bank in regard to the volume of notes issued to and held in the Banking Department shows that in ordinary times and circumstances it does not intend to undertake open market operations beyond the means it is able to acquire in the ordinary way. Despite the existence of the proportional reserve system, the volume of notes in the Banking Department is almost exactly equal to the deposits of scheduled banks at the Bank.† Nevertheless, the potential aberrations of such a power should not be underrated. In all countries, the trading and business community is always highly critical of stiffening of interest-rates and restriction of credit and is generally able to exercise pressure in favour of stable or lower rates. In a country of farmers and cultivators like India, the desires of the mass must always tend to coincide

* The part played by the money order in transmission of funds is quite appreciable. The post office took over the business of money orders from Government treasuries in 1880. In 1884, the telegraphic money order was introduced. Land revenue money orders were first tried in 1884 and rent money orders in 1886. It was in 1886 that the payment of money orders at the houses of payees began.

(Nos. in Lakhs, values in crores)

	Ordinary Money Orders		Revenue Money Orders		Rent Money Orders	
	Nos.	Value	Nos.	Value	Nos.	Value
1880-81	16	4.5
1884-87	48	10.6	0.68	0.11	0.0001	0.0003
1888-91	78	15.7	2.78	0.41	0.0078	0.0074
1900-01	129	26.8	4.53	0.83	0.0134	0.1973
1910-11	247	41.8	7.50	1.24	0.0323	0.2957

with this particular prejudice of businessmen and its political power must be taken into account as a serious factor. If we add to this pressure of practical interests, the new-born faith of academic economists in low money rates of interest and their power to achieve almost any miracle, it is clear that the bias of the whole system must always be towards creation of inflationary conditions and away from measures, howsoever necessary, for drastic revision of values, costs, expectations, etc. Any doubts on this point have met with emphatic warnings in the recent monetary history of the United States.

What makes this one-sided power look rather suspicious is the invariable absence in the statutes of such Central banks of corresponding powers to cause similar deflation. Withdrawals of currency and credit from circulation mean sale of assets which the Central bank had already acquired and holds out of its ordinary resources. These resources, as we have already seen, are strictly limited in the case of our Reserve Bank. The counterpart to the power to cause limitless inflation, theoretically possible under our present law, would be a statutory power either to create and sell its own debentures and short-term bonds or to raise the proportions of the compulsory reserves which member banks have to hold at the Reserve Bank. Such an arrangement has no place in our present Reserve Bank Act. In short, while the law recognises the possibilities of a need to enlarge the cash basis to any unusual extent, it does not anticipate the necessity or wisdom of similar drastic deflation. It is more than likely that this lack of symmetry in the powers of Central banks has much to do with the general prejudice which has always prevailed on the Continent, e.g. in France, against the grant of open market operation powers to Central banks. The objection is not merely the logical one that open market operations place costless credit at the disposal of banks while individuals have always to pay a price for it. The Continental prejudice is rooted in the belief that the interference of open market operations

does not permit the natural changes in the economic structure to express and work themselves out in changes of interest rates, etc. and that while inflationary panaceas find a place in repertory of the Central banks, the pains and penalties of deflation are scrupulously avoided. It is a poor weapon of currency and credit management when a Central bank exists only to evade or moderate the impact of the inevitable penalties of imprudent expansion and unhealthy growth, if not indeed to cause and stimulate it.

(2) The assets which the Bank may acquire or own on its initiative may be described in a general way as follows.

The Bank is allowed to purchase or sell, without limitation of amount, Government securities of the United Kingdom maturing within ten years from the date of purchase.

The Bank is permitted to buy or sell securities issued or guaranteed as to principal and interest by the Government of India or a Provincial Government of any maturity, or such securities issued or guaranteed by a local authority in British India or by Indian State as may be specified by the Governor-General-in-Council on the recommendation of the Central Board. The volume of these Indian securities is, however, subject to a two-fold limit. In the first place, the aggregate value of Indian securities must not exceed the capital and reserve of the Bank plus three-fifths of the deposit-liabilities of the Banking Department. Secondly, the value of securities maturing after one year and of those maturing after 10 years should not exceed the capital and reserve of the Bank plus, in the order of mention, two-fifths and one-fifth of Deposit-Liabilities of the Banking Department. In other words, of the maximum value fixed for Indian securities, the maximum allotted to the longer dated securities is smaller than the maximum allotted to the securities of one to ten years.

The predominance assigned to short-dated securities is of course intended to save the Central bank from serious fluctuations in values and thus maintain its liquidity.

Short-dated securities are liable to be influenced less by changes or anticipated changes in interest-rates, political and social factors, etc., than by the proximity or otherwise of the date of repayment. In any circumstances, there is the certainty that on specific dates their full value will be realised.

A point less obvious is the limit placed on the total value of Indian securities and the absence of any such limit on sterling or securities of the United Kingdom. The volume of Indian and sterling securities taken together measures the extent to which the Reserve Bank can cause at any time deflation of the price and income structure of the country apart from or in addition to similar effect produced by an increase in the bank-rate. While circumstances which justify a contraction of domestic currency are likely on occasions to coincide with a keen demand for remittances abroad, it is equally likely, as we have already observed, that large payments will have to be undertaken abroad without any necessity of deflation at home.* In the former case, the sterling holdings of the Issue Department will be available to supplement the resources of the Banking Department. In the latter case, the sterling holdings of the Banking Department can be replaced with rupee securities without any adverse change in the "Percentage of Gold and Sterling Securities to Total Notes issued". Besides, as internal drain is not contemplated as a serious factor in our future currency management, large resources in foreign currencies must be the constant aim of the Reserve Bank.

The liabilities of the Banking Department for the four years 1935 to 1938-39 give us a good measure of the resources available to the Reserve Bank for fulfilling its obligations. These liabilities are composed of its capital and reserve, deposits of Governments, compulsory and voluntary deposits of banks and items described as "Other Deposits" and "Other Liabilities". The aggregate has been in the neighbourhood of 36 to 38 crores in the first three years and has

* Pp. 292-93.

declined to 32 crores in the last year due to heavy withdrawals by banks already noted. In other words, the resources of the Reserve Bank amount to about one-third of those of the Imperial Bank of India which itself has held steadily about one-third of the resources of the Indian banking system.

It deserves to be considered seriously whether these resources are adequate for the responsibilities which the law lays on the Reserve Bank. It may be advisable to place the Reserve Bank in a position to enlarge or diminish its resources from time to time according to the exigencies of the situation. Suitable variations in the funds raised compulsorily from the banks themselves offer a means which has the additional advantage of affecting most directly the credit situation in the country. Under our present law, a rigid percentage is prescribed which is to hold good in all circumstances whether tending to inflationary or deflationary conditions. It may be a better device, as under the new law of the Federal Reserve System, to prescribe maximum and minimum percentages within which the Reserve Bank could fix the operative ratio according to the circumstances of each phase. In an undeveloped money market like India, such an elastic but direct control should prove a more effective means of monetary management.

(3) The desirability of such a direct means to influence the cash position of scheduled banks appears more obvious when we take into account the capacity and organization of the market in which these operations have to be piloted. The total membership, active and inactive, of the Bombay Stock Exchange is about 450 as against 1100 in New York and 4000 in London. The aggregate volume of transactions is of a comparable order. An endeavour to unload a large stock of short-term or long-term assets cannot but have serious consequences for the whole financial and investment mechanism. The difficulty is no doubt partly overcome by including in our assets foreign claims which link

our currency management with the largest and most stable market of the world, namely, London. But it is not and cannot be altogether obviated.*

The assets of the Banking Department reflect the present conditions in the money market. As pointed out above,† rediscounts and loans to scheduled banks hardly exist. Balances abroad and investments which include cash and short-term securities represent the bulk of the income earning assets. On account of easy conditions, the Bank has refrained from holding more than a minimum volume of funds in investments. About four-fifths of the total liabilities are mere notes which, as noted, were first equated to the deposits of scheduled banks held by the Reserve Bank and variations in which under certain conditions may be interpreted as reflecting the currency operations of the Bank.‡

Direct Relations with Trade and Commerce

It is clear from the foregoing description of the business of the Reserve Bank that its normal relations are with the scheduled banks only and that it is not permitted business relations which will mean competition with other banks in the country. The difficulties created by such competition in the way of the national leadership of a Central bank have been already analysed and assessed in our study of the Imperial Bank of India.§ It has been made clear there that they are not altogether insuperable and that historical environment and tradition are powerful factors in reconciling commercial and Central banking functions. Moreover, we must take account, on the other side, of the special circumstances of India which make bank-rate or open market policies on orthodox Central banking lines much less efficacious than elsewhere. The diversity of interest-rates in several parts of the country,§ the absence

* See also foot-note * Chapt. XI. The ordinary turnover of Government securities on the Bombay Stock Exchange per day is estimated at 20 to 30 lakhs. Actual deliveries amount to 5 to 10 lakhs.

† See p. 306-307.

‡ Pp. 138-46.

§ See pp. 110-15.

of a money market which may respond quickly and sensibly to Central bank policies,* the unavailability of assets which reach the degree of safety, liquidity and quantitative variability demanded by Central banking functions as such, the vast territorial extent of the country over which monetary impulses released from a few advanced centres have to travel, a banking structure in which numerous small banks scattered all over the country† must remain for a long time outside the orbit of the Reserve Bank—these are obstacles which a Central bank acting through a few scheduled banks may not succeed in over-mastering. •

The legal restrictions on dividends‡ take away, or at least weaken considerably, motives to competition with ordinary commercial banks. The usual practice, which has been adopted in our Reserve Bank Act, is to allocate the surplus to the State from whom the Central bank receives its valuable privileges. While State privileges should not be under-estimated as source of its profits, there is, however, another source which should not be over-looked, viz., the compulsory deposit of reserves by scheduled banks. If grounds of justice were allowed to prevail over strict needs of Central banking, the scheduled banks must be admitted to have a good claim to a share in these profits. In a country like India where the object of such compulsory deposits is not adequately appreciated and is even felt by many as a grievance, it may even prove an excellent means to conciliate scheduled banks. But there is a danger also in this procedure. The Central bank may be tempted to overstep the bounds of prudence in its enthusiasm to conciliate and create and try to maintain too high a standard of dividends for scheduled banks. To that extent it will be nullifying its power as a Central bank. •

To this general statement of the relationship between the Reserve Bank and ordinary commercial banks, the Act makes one exception. On the authority of the Central Board and, in cases of special urgency, a Committee of the

* Pp. 182 onwards.

† See pp. 204-15.

‡ See pp. 133-37.

Board, or the Governor, the bank is allowed to engage in business directly with individuals or firms and purchase, sell or discount bills or make loans or purchase and sell sterling on same conditions as in the case of banks but without their intermediaryship. The sanctioning authority must, however, satisfy itself that the occasion of departure from normal practice is a special one and that the departure is required for the purposes of regulating credit in the interest of Indian or Burman trade, commerce, industry and agriculture.

It is clear that the power is intended to be used only in very exceptional circumstances. Even then, the precise object of its inclusion is not easy to discern. An important precedent of this kind occurred in the United States when the Relief Emergency Act of 1932 and Act of 19th June 1934 conferred similar powers on the Federal Reserve System. But these measures were adopted in the United States at a time when ordinary commercial banks had ceased to function and as a matter of fact the acts proved dead letter from the very moment of enactment. The Indian Act does not look to the Reserve Bank to fill the void of ordinary banks but to regulate credit amidst a system of functioning banks. It is reasonable, therefore, to presume that this power is intended to supplement, when necessary, its efforts to control credit in the ordinary way. If this interpretation is correct and the Reserve Bank in course of time creates many branches, this clause may open the way to a gradual but moderate super-imposition of commercial functions on strict Central banking functions. Whether the future development will tend towards the model held before us by the Banque de France or the Bank of England will depend as much on the leadership supplied by the executive of the Reserve Bank as the strict letter and interpretation of the law.

The Reserve Bank and Banking Standards and Practices

The control of currency and credit envisaged in the Act and elaborated above places the Reserve Bank in an

excellent position to exercise steady pressure on individual banks in favour of better banking policies and practices. For ability to offer assets conforming to conditions of legal eligibility is not by itself an unqualified assurance of aid from the Central bank. The latter has necessarily to take account of the general position of the applicant and to make it reasonably sure that such aid proves an effective means to ward off its difficulties. Such discretion assumes special significance in times of general difficulty when discrimination has to be made between banks whom aid from the Central bank could save and others whom no aid of whatever magnitude could rescue from their fate. Funds placed at the disposal of the latter mean merely subsidies towards the relief and profit of the more astute or impatient creditors while banks with much better prospects of solvency are perhaps starved of well-deserved aid. The exercise of such discrimination could be based, however, only on continuous contact with and ample information from the banks concerned and their willingness to act on the advice and guidance of the Reserve Bank. Such information and analysis are of special importance in India where, as we have amply demonstrated, the balance-sheets of banks are more remarkable for their disparities than approximation to any standard pattern. Each bank is a special case to be understood and appreciated only by due regard to its special circumstances and particularly to its past history and development. At present the usefulness of the Reserve Bank is limited by two circumstances. The Bank has no powers to obtain information or enforce inspection except in so far as the banks themselves are willing to co-operate.* The consequence on at least one occasion has been that when difficulties did arise, the time available for ascertaining facts proved too short for any definite or large decisions.† Secondly, the influence and pressure of the Reserve Bank are confined at present to scheduled banks as defined in the Act. Banks which need much supervision and most nursing

* See Chapt. foot note to Draft Bank Bill.

† A model for such power would be Sec. IV of the U. S. Federal Reserve Banks Act. See also Chapt. X.

are outside the purview of the Bank and no means has yet been devised to bring them within its orbit. Our proposals for a deposit insurance scheme to cover these banks should remedy this deficiency in the present situation.*

Definition of Scheduled Banks

According to the present notification under the Reserve Bank Act, banks which have not less than Rs. 5 lakhs capital and reserve can alone be scheduled to the Reserve Bank. The deposits of these banks which number 56 at present form the overwhelming bulk of the banking deposits of the country—to the extent of 95 per cent. and more. Yet there is some oddness about a situation in which the Banking Law of the country aims at 1 lakh as the minimum capital and reserve of a bank while the condition of scheduling to the Reserve Bank is fixed by another law at 5 lakhs and more. It is quite conceivable that in the rural conditions of India, banks with capital and reserve of less than 5 lakhs have as important a role to play in the future development of its economy as banks with more imposing figures of capital and reserve.

It is necessary to bear in mind as a preliminary point the precise advantages of inclusion in the schedule. A scheduled bank is not entitled as such to rediscounts or loans from the Reserve Bank. It has to qualify for such aid by satisfying the Reserve Bank about its soundness and stability. It may even have to bear some loss if and to the extent that, its compulsory deposits with the Reserve Bank are in addition to and not a part of, the reserve it is accustomed normally to maintain. The advantage it gains really lies in its prestige in the public mind. Although a part of the prestige is ascribable to quite erroneous beliefs on the part of the public about the implications of scheduling, it cannot be denied that affiliation to the Reserve Bank carries an assurance that the bank is at least in outward conformity with the law and accepted decencies of the banking busi-

ness. It is needless to add that the Reserve Bank undertakes no guarantees about the solvency or competent management of its scheduled banks.

It should not be difficult to reconcile the legitimate object of scheduling to the Reserve Bank the more respectable and important banks only in the country, with a due solicitude for the growth and encouragement of the smaller banks. Apart from the size of resources, the period of existence of a bank is one among other indices of its inherent strength. Our analysis of bank failures shows* that more than two-thirds of the banks which fail belong to the age-groups below 10 years while the percentage for those between 10 and 20 years is only 20. It should more than meet the requirement of stability and proved usefulness if, for banks with capital and reserve of 1 to 5 lakhs, an additional condition of an uninterrupted existence of 10 or 15 years is imposed.

It has been said above that scheduling as such is no assurance that a bank in difficulties will receive assistance from the Reserve Bank as a matter of course. Even when the Reserve Bank is satisfied that a bank deserves to be saved and can be saved, certain precautionary conditions have to be insisted on. The Reserve Bank must be satisfied in the first instance that the bank in question is making efforts to save itself. The best proof of such efforts is its ability to liquidate its less liquid assets like loans and advances *pari passu* with the outflow of deposits. The maintenance of its old volume of business or the creation of unnecessary new business would mean that Reserve Bank funds are being used not to save itself but merely to replace outflowing deposits. Again, the Reserve Bank must satisfy itself that the more liquid assets are being preserved and not used to raise funds from other banks or sources. The holdings of Government securities are particularly important as assuring the safety and ultimate realisation of Reserve Bank funds lent to the bank. Besides, the facility of acquiring funds from other sources means frustration of

* See pp. 330-36.

any credit lines fixed by the Reserve Bank and, if the bank ultimately fails, preference for the more impatient creditors of the bank at the expense of others. The Reserve Bank will also see that proper proportions are maintained among the various classes of assets and precautions like closing down of unremunerative branches etc. taken to achieve better and more economic working in the future.

Reserve Bank as Clearing House

The Reserve Bank acts as the Clearing House for member banks. In the absence of such a facility, a large quantity of cash should have to move to and from and in a circumlocutory manner between bank and bank. But economy of movement and of cash is not the only or the greatest significance of such an institution. Under a system of daily and frequent clearing, an over-extension of business by any individual bank must reveal itself immediately in the clearing house at the close of each clearing. In other words, this facility and practice is a great factor in making banks move in step with each other. In a vast country with scattered banks like India, there is also another danger—that cheques which are long in transit and take some days to be cleared may be treated as cash and thus become the basis of a certain degree of permanent inflation. Relying on such outstanding cheques, banks may be tempted to lower to that extent their cash reserves, the same cheque serving as a cash item to more than one bank at one and the same time. The extent of the need and importance of this facility may be inferred from the fact that the aggregate volume of cheques which passed through the clearing in 8 big centres of India in the last four years has been in the neighbourhood of 20,00 crores. The volume of deposits has varied in the same years between 250 to 260 crores.

Reserve Bank and Agriculture†

By its sheer magnitude, the finance needed by agriculture overshadows and must continue to overshadow all other

* See pp. 213-17.

financial requirements of the country. The habits and practices of the cultivators no less than the social and economic institutions which govern the cultivation of land make the supply of this finance the most difficult of our banking problems. These two factors no less than the fast growing political power of the peasant masses were bound sooner or later to win a recognition for problems of rural finance in the activities and policies of the Reserve Bank. As the situation is envisaged in the Reserve Bank Act, the Bank is charged to make remedial endeavours along two lines.

The resources of the Reserve Bank are made available to agriculture under conditions which suit agricultural requirements and at the same time ensure conformity to strict Central banking principles. While bills and promissory notes against which the funds of the Reserve Bank may be obtained must relate to short-term needs, i.e. the financing of seasonal agricultural operations or the marketing of crops only, the maturity of such bills and notes is extended as a special case to a maximum of nine months. Secondly, while the principle that the funds of the Reserve Bank can be available only for the relief of exceptional pressure on the resources of intermediary banks is strictly adhered to, the endorsement of provincial co-operative banks is given as a special case the same status as the endorsement of a scheduled bank for the purpose of purchase, sale or rediscount of these bills. As for loans and advances, provincial co-operative banks can obtain them from the Reserve Bank but on the same conditions as scheduled banks, i.e. for a minimum period of 90 days and against Government securities, agricultural paper and documents of title to goods.

The authors of the Act saw clearly that the banking problem is only a part, if not indeed a mere bye-product, of the much larger question of the reconstruction of our agricultural economy and that intensive education and investigation must form a necessary basis of all remedial endeavours. The Reserve Bank has thus got a statutory

Agricultural Credit Department which maintains an expert staff to study all questions of agricultural credit, is available to all banks and banking organisations for consultation and seeks to co-ordinate the operations of the Bank in connection with agricultural credit and its relations with the above-mentioned organisations for the same purpose. The bulletins which the Department has issued from time to time bear evidence to its research and collation work while the work of making ideas and experiences of different parts of the country available to each other is also understood to reach impressive proportions.

Without committing the Reserve Bank to any further action, the Act required the Bank to make to the Central Government a report on two specific matters—the extension of Reserve Bank facilities and obligations to persons and firms, not being scheduled banks; and the improvement of machinery for dealing with agricultural finance and methods for effecting a closer connection between agricultural enterprise and the operations of banks. The former subject obviously relates to the future status of money-lenders and indigenous bankers in the country which we have already examined elsewhere.* The report was issued in due course and along with the bulletins embodies the conclusions of the Reserve Bank on the whole question of agricultural credit and indigenous banking. It points out the difficulties we have already noted about the inclusion of money-lenders and indigenous bankers within the organised banking structure of the country, particularly their unwillingness to shed non-banking business and adoption of modern accounting and banking practices. In regard to the co-operative movement, it develops a case for a radical reconstruction of the whole structure. While overdues are scaled down and passed on to long term credit institutions, and co-operative credit societies restrict themselves in future to crop loans repayable out of the harvest or intermediate credit in a limited measure, an endeavour should be made to enlarge the functions of these societies so that they cover the whole

* Pp. 212-17.

life of the farmer, i.e. become multi-purpose societies. The financing agency is to consist of two stages, banking unions for small areas with radius of 7 to 8 miles, and provincial co-operative banks. Strict observance of business and banking principles, highly trained staffs, etc., are other directions in which improvement is urgently necessary. As for money-lenders, while supplanting them is not possible, regulation of their business by laws is suggested.

Reserve Bank in Action

The creation of the Reserve Bank of India coincided with continued low interest-rates with certain unmistakable symptoms of revival of economic activity. The bank-rate which stood at 6 or 7 per cent. for more than 9 months in 1931 had then fallen to a steady level of 3½ per cent. and the Reserve Bank reduced it in November 1935 to 3 per cent. at which it is maintained till today. The scheduled banks have found themselves with such surplus funds that the concentration of their reserves in the Reserve Bank and the greater sense of security implied in the assured access to the Bank in case of need are not yet reflected in any marked way in a lowering of their cash ratios. Nevertheless, it is doubtful whether the revival of economic activity such as it has been for the last three years and more could have taken place without the usual seasonal fluctuations in rates but for the existence of the Bank. A comparison of the range within which the cash of the Imperial Bank on the one hand and the notes held in the Banking Department, deposits of banks, and assets of the Reserve Bank on the other, have moved, makes clear the influence of the Reserve Bank in eliminating the evil of seasonal extremes in interest-rates.

	Reserve Bank (Range of variation in crores.)			
	Notes held in Banking Department	Deposits of Banks	Loans, Advances and Bills	Deposits of Govt. Loans and Advances to Government
1936 August				
June ...	27.42
1937 August				
April	19.78	Aug. Feb. 22.62	.05
1938 August				
January	29.45	Aug. Jan., 12.24	7.97	6.22

Imperial Bank				Reserve Bank			
	Percentage of Cash to Deposits	Range of Variation of cash (crores)	Loans Advances Deposits (percentage)	Notes held in Banking Department and range	Deposits of Banks	Loans Advances and Bills (Last Friday)	Deposits of Government Loans and advance to Government (Average of Friday figures)
1931-35 (average)							
June	25.2	...	37.9
Dec.	23.7	...	35.1
1936							
June	25.0	...	32.6	81.70)
Dec.	10.8	11.20	33.9	14.86)
				16.92)			
				17.49)			
1937							
June	26.0	36.2	13.63)	26.51	0
Dec.	16.5	8.25	36.2	81.12)	24.36	4.9
				40.53)			
1938							
June	20.0	42.6	40 21.90)	18.10	2.10	10.2
Dec.	11.0	7.23	46.9	18.42)	12.17

Between 1931 and 1935, the usual seasonal variations in the percentage of cash to deposits of the Imperial Bank almost disappeared. In the next three years, the June and December disparity of cash ratios makes its reappearance on almost the pre-Depression scale. The corresponding seasonal change in the volume of bills, loans and advances is not marked till 1938 which is to be explained by the secular changes which were taking place in the Imperial Bank's volume of investment.*

The seasonal demand for currency finds its expression in the balance-sheets of the Reserve Bank in this manner. In the balance-sheet of the Issue Department, while the annual average of notes held in the Banking Department is more or less stable, there is a large consistent seasonal fall in June as over August. This fall is distributed on the liabilities side of the Banking Department as a fall in the deposits of the scheduled banks and, on the assets side, of an increase in the discounts and loans granted to them. Similar changes in the figures relating to Government operations have to be taken account of in tallying changes in notes and coin of the Banking Department with other changes.

* See pp. 148-50; Tables XIII & XV.

The difference between the maximum and minimum of notes held in the Banking Department in any year measures the seasonal pressure for funds which the Reserve Bank has met without any change in its rate. These figures may be compared with the average seasonal difference of 12 crores in the cash of the Imperial Bank in the years 1921-29 and the large variations in seasonal rates which occurred.* The much larger variations in the case of the Reserve Bank even in these years of low prices and moderate business activity and the size of the average cash balances of the Imperial Bank which stood in the neighbourhood of 30 crores indicate that but for the creation of the Reserve Bank, the old variations in the rates of interest might have established themselves again.

In the second sets of figures, a comparison is instituted between the variations in June and December of the cash balances of the Imperial Bank and the notes held by the Reserve Bank in the Banking Department. In 1938, a fall of about 7 crores in the cash balances of the Imperial Bank contrasts with the fall of about 22 crores in the notes of the Banking Department. These figures illustrate how the main burden of seasonal pressure is supported by the Reserve Bank while the banks which participate in that finance bear it to a smaller extent by lowering their cash ratios. It is evident that the Reserve Bank is playing a great and effective part in the elimination of seasonal extremes in rates, which cannot but have far-reaching consequences on India's economy in the future.

While it may be claimed that the management by the Reserve Bank of India of the seasonal requirements of currency and credit discloses no ground for dissatisfaction and that this management may prove to be the herald of a new era for trade and business, it is to be recorded with regret that the same unanimity of opinion cannot be claimed for its management of the first banking difficulties of the country during its short existence. As we have noted, the failure of the Travancore National and Quilon Bank created an undoubtedly dangerous situation in South India.

* See pp. 146-50.

In the restoration of confidence, the Government of Madras exercised a decisive moral influence which won a well-deserved recognition in all quarters. The Government of India, while it maintained a close contact with and presumably offered advice and opinion to the Reserve Bank, preferred, like the Greek wife of yore, to be heard of neither for good nor for evil. It is unfortunate to have to record that the activities of the Reserve Bank to whom belonged the natural direction and leadership of the situation did not evoke the degree of confidence and approbation which are so much to be desired particularly in the early years of its existence. Responsible bodies like the South Indian Chamber of Commerce and the scheduled banks of Madras blandly complained of failure of expected aid and the latter could not see any justification why, in the circumstances, they should be required to place their balances with the Reserve Bank.

Difficulties seem to have begun when, before the suspension of the bank, the Reserve Bank insisted on an investigation as a preliminary to any aid. True, the investigation was intended to cover only the bigger loans and items in the balance sheet. But it was pointed out on behalf of the bank that the news of such an investigation could not be suppressed and was bound to precipitate the very evil which it should have been its object to avoid. When the Travancore Bank ultimately agreed to an investigation just a little before the final end, it was too late for any succour.

It is difficult not to concede on point of principle the validity of the position taken up on this point by the Travancore Bank. The proper time to satisfy itself on the general position of the bank was not when the bank was actually gasping for breath but in the course of the preceding three years during which it was on the list of scheduled banks. It could not be argued either that the existence of the Reserve Bank had been too short to permit of the accumulation of sufficient material on which to base its policy and decision. Only two years before the crisis, the Reserve Bank had granted the ill-starred bank a substantial credit-

line to enable it to put through its amalgamation scheme. It would be hardly proper to presume that this offer was made without adequate preliminary inquiry.

The Reserve Bank was on firmer ground when it insisted that assistance could be given only against assets which were segregated and clearly assigned to the Bank. This difficulty was inherent in the native state domicile of the bank which meant difficulties in case of suspension as to assets which could be availed of by British India creditors and those which might be claimed and seized by native state creditors. The Reserve Bank was justified in assuring the absolute safety of its funds. Its stand is not so free from doubt when it claimed that the situation had altered in principle because the T. N. & Q. Bank had withdrawn the greater part of its legal reserves with the Reserve Bank. So long as the T. N. & Q. Bank paid the prescribed penalty interest, the Reserve Bank Act was not intended to prohibit and did not prohibit such withdrawals. Besides, it could not be gainsaid that the withdrawals were the unavoidable sequel to the refusal of the Reserve Bank to give any aid except after a preliminary inquiry. Again, Central bank aid should have no relevance to the volume of compulsory reserve lodged with it but only the general position of the bank and the volume of its realisable assets. If aid were to be limited to the volume of compulsory reserves, scheduled banks might as well keep their balances with themselves and forgo the dubious advantage of affiliation.

Certain difficulties of purely legal interpretation also arose in the course of the crisis. It was held by the Reserve Bank that demand promissory notes could not fall within the category of bills of exchange and promissory notes maturing 90 days from the date of purchase, etc., which alone the Bank was authorised to deal in. With reference to Section 17-4d, the Bank held that the documents of title to goods and not the goods themselves should have been transferred to the borrowing banks and should support the promissory notes which were to be the basis of Reserve Bank advances. It will serve no useful purpose to examine

here the accuracy or otherwise of this interpretation. The Bank could not have acted except on the strict interpretation of law as it existed at the time of the crisis. As a matter of future policy, however, it is indubitable that the law of the Reserve Bank should be co-ordinated with the existing banking and commercial practice of Indian business unless the latter can and is willing to adapt them to the special requirements of Central banking. It would be meaningless to postulate conditions which in fact do not and cannot exist for a long time. If warehouses and consequently warehouse receipts do not exist, it is idle to prescribe such documents as a basis for Central bank assistance. The experiences of this crisis have established the urgent need of an inquiry into the kind of assets most in vogue and the incorporation of the most realisable of them in the law of the Reserve Bank as eligible paper. In a country where standardisation in such matters hardly exists, wisdom lies in defining eligible paper or security in a wide manner and entrusting it to the discretion of the Reserve Bank authorities to liberalize or make stringent its conditions of assistance according to market and economic conditions. Rigid or narrow restrictions on its powers of discount or advances is tantamount in a country like India, as in the case of the United States, to legal incapacity for timely or massive action in states of grave emergency.

CHAPTER IX

A BANKING CRISIS & MANY "BANK FAILURES"

BANK failures reported in India from year to year have not the same significance as in other countries with more advanced banking systems or stricter banking or company laws. Till the amendment of the Indian Company Law in 1936, no effort was made either to define the word "bank" or to ensure in any indirect way that only respectable concerns used that description in their title. The consequence was that many insignificant or doubtful ventures registered themselves as banks and when they failed, served to swell the number of so-called bank failures.

The amended Act of 1936 allows banking companies to commence business only when they have a minimum paid-up capital of Rs. 50,000. Besides, in the course of a definition which is by no means precise, a company in order to be a bank within the meaning of the Act is required to carry on as its principal business the accepting of deposits withdrawable by cheque, draft or order. The effect of these conditions will not become immediately visible since concerns which were registered as banks before 15th January, 1937 are allowed to retain that description. At no distant future, however, the statistics of bank failures are bound to undergo a profound change.

The only episode which may be described as a banking crisis occurred in 1913-14 when one Indian joint stock bank after another met with disaster. Otherwise, bank failures of the past have been sporadic, individual failures, illustrating certain weaknesses and deficiencies to which Indian joint stock enterprise in general is prone. Epidemics such as those which have threatened to sweep off the banking system of the United States or which shook banking in several countries in the early thirties of the present century have been fortunately almost absent. Indian banks suffer from certain endemics the extermination of which is the main problem.

Failure According to Age

Ordinarily, the long life of a bank should by itself be a proof of its good management. Failures naturally tend to be concentrated on banks which are more or less young. Two-thirds of the failures which have occurred since the banking crisis of 1913-14 are among banks which were less than 11 years old. The proportion of failures declines very rapidly as we reach banks higher up the age-scale.

Bank-Failures Grouped by Age

		Less than 1 year.	More than 1 yr. and less than 3 yrs. 11 mos.	More than 3 yrs. 11 months and less than 7 yrs. 11 months.	More than 7 yrs. 11 months and less than 10 yrs. 11 months.	More than 10 yrs. 11 mos. and less than 13 yrs. 11 mos.	More than 13 yrs. 11 mos. and less than 16 yrs. 11 mos.	More than 16 yrs. 11 mos. and less than 19 yrs. 11 mos.	More than 19 yrs. 11 mos. and over.
1913-14	...	5	26	11	3	1	3	...	2
1915-20	...	1	7	13	6	2	3	...	11
1921-30	...	11	54	22	11	13	5	2	19
1931-36	...	10	72	77	19	18	23	1	13

Totals for the four periods—50; 48 143; 238.

In Percentages of Total Failures

		upto 10 yrs. 11 mos.	More than 10 yrs. 11 mos. and less than 19 yrs. 11 mos.	More than 19 yrs. 11 mos.
1913-14	...	88	8	4
1915-20	...	62	11.6	25
1921-30	...	68	18.1	13.2
1931-36	...	74.7	17.6	7.5

Leaving aside the crisis year 1913-14 when very few Indian joint-stock banks could have claimed for themselves an existence of more than a few years, this table of mortality suggests another important inference. The proportion of failures of banks, 20 years and more old, seems to be definitely on the decline. The failures are being more and more confined to the infants and the young. As the absolute figures of failures of such banks however suggest, this declining proportion reflects only the fecundity of the country in small insignificant "banks".

As a matter of fact, the failures among banks 20 years and more old present a disturbing tale. From a qualitative standpoint, a bank 10 years old should have more than twice the survival probability of a five years old bank. A bank which has managed to prolong its existence for more than 20 years should have more than twice the resis-

tance power of a bank which has existed only for ten years. The failure of a bank 20 years old means much more harm to the community than the failure of 4 banks still struggling for a footing in the 5th or 6th years of their existence. Yet, our table records that 11 banks which were more than 20 years old failed in 1915-20, as many as 19 failed between 1921 and 1930 and 18 failed in 1931-36. In other circumstances, these should be viewed as highly disturbing figures.

Much of the significance of this analysis of bank-failures by age is lost when we take into account the size and character of the banks which make up these totals. We may take as an illustration banks which failed after an existence of 20 years and more. Of eleven banks which failed in the years 1915-20 after an existence of 20 years and more, only four had a capital of 1 lakh and more. Seven of them were so insignificant that nothing about them is traceable. Of the total eleven, only two deserve to be noticed as causing appreciable loss to the country or its banking system. The four banks of some size which we have referred to were among eleven which had a capital of more than 1 lakh and failed in this period.*

Between 1921 and 1930, 19 banks failed after a similar long existence and of them, only five had a paid-up capital of more than 1 lakh. As many as fifteen do not seem to have left any record behind them. Of the four about which some stray information is available, the failures of

* Bank of Upper India—(1862-1917) This bank had a paid-up capital of 10 lakhs. Ch. IX The Kyaatha Trading and Banking Corporation. (1900-1920). It had a paid-up capital of 7½ lakhs. The bank carried on banking and trading side by side and as a rule, losses on its trading branch were made good out of profits on the banking business. Sometimes, debts of the trading branch were met from advances from the bank. Advances to directors and managers, advances against bank's own shares, advances against single name pro-notes made up more than 1/3rd of the total advances.

Deccan Bank—(1890-1916). Paid-up capital 50 thousand. P. Puddumjee and Co., agents, advanced money from 1897 to Gadag Spinning Co., till the loan reached 3 lakhs. On liquidation, the Spinning Company was purchased by the bank for 282 thousand and placed under the management of the nephew of the Agent. A criminal charge was brought against the Agent but withdrawn on ground of his serious illness.

The other banks making up the list are :—

Name.	Paid-up Capital	Place.	
Kashmiri Bank	100 thousand	Fyzabad	1882-1916
Rajdhany Bank	10 "	Bangalore	1889-1915
Kayastha Mercantile Banking Corporation	20 "	Delhi	1881-1916
Gorakhpur Bank	300 "	Gorakhpur	1895-1917
Chikballapur Rajdhani Bank	6 "		1896-1917
Gudibanda Cooperhalli Shri Hanumanta- rayaswami Bank	Rs. 330 only		1890-1918
Jwala Prakash Meerut Bank	11 "	Meerut	1888-1920

two only deserve to be recorded as appreciable mishaps. During the same years, the number of banks with a paid-up capital of one lakh and more which failed runs into as large a figure as twenty-three.*

In the period of great expansion of joint stock banks 1931-36, among banks which failed, as many as 18 could claim a prolonged existence of twenty years and more. Only 5 among them had a paid-up capital of 1 lakh and more. Information regarding only one of them is available. Those five which had a paid-up capital of more than 1 lakh were among the seven which had a similar capitalization and failed in this period.†

* Alliance Bank of Simla. See p. 813.

Bengal National Bank. See p. 801.

Allahabad Union Bank. (1904-1924). Paid-up capital 65 thousand. The manager Kedarnath Mitra gave an unsecured overdraft of 18 thousand to himself, 10 thousand to his brother, 21 thousand to his relatives and did not forget his wife who got 3 thousand. He was also the manager of Annapurna Co., which dealt in grain which was untraceable. He absconded but the directors had to make good all dividends from 1915 to the extent of 40 thousand as being paid out of capital.

Balance Sheet. (000s)

Fixed Deposits 3 years.		Unsecured Overdraft ...	118
" " 1 Yr.	62	Pro-Notes ...	24
" " 6 Mos	0.5	Bank's own Shares ...	0.7
" " 3 Mos.	0.1	Remortgaged Ornaments ...	24
Savings Banks	80	Annapurna Co. ...	48
Family Endowment	38	Cash in hand ...	(Rs. 54 Only)

Peoples Bank. (1898-1924) See p. 288.

The other banks were :—

Bank of Trichinopoly (1900-1921). Paid-up capital 10 thousand. Kisha Mercantile and Agricultural Bank (1901-1922). Paid-up capital 14 thousand. Chikballapur Kandavarnaspet Sri Venkataramanaswamy Bank (1890-1922). Paid-up capital 11 thousand. Hassan Karnatic Bank (1877-1922) Capital 6 thousand. Mandya Lakshmi Vilasa Bank (1894-1922) Capital 13 thousand. Pretoria Bank (1901-1923) Capital about 8 thousand. Poona Mercantile Bank (1898-1923) Capital 124 thousand. Vellore Commercial Bank (1904-1926) Capital 3 lakhs. Gundlupet Sri Himavat Gopala Krishnaswamy Bank (1901-1926) Capital 13 thousand. Mercantile Bank, Bangalore (1892-1927) Capital 4 thousand. Gundlupet Sri Rajarajeswari Bank (1900-1929) Capital 38 thousand. Pabna Union Bank 1908-1930 Capital 80 thousand. Devanalli Sri Adinarayanaswamy Bank (1895-1929) Capital 15 thousand.

† Peoples Bank of Northern India (1925-31) and Dawsons Bank which failed but was reconstructed were the only important failures. Both were less than 20 years old, the latter being founded in 1914.

Karachi Bank :—(1910-1930) "With a paid-up capital of 2½ lakhs, the bank had branches at Bombay, Hyderabad and Larkana. The manager, accountant and cashier of the Bombay branch who were paid Rs. 150, 130 and 110 per month respectively misappropriated bank's money and successfully concealed the facts from the branch inspector by manipulation of accounts. A sum of 32 thousand was tapped at the account at the Mercantile Bank and signatures of constituents were forged. All were sentenced, the first two to long terms. Loans to relations of directors proved another cause of bank's difficulties.

The other banks were :—Pabna Bank (1888-1930) Capital 20 thousand; Lyallpur Bank (1907-1931) Capital 1.8 lakhs; Chinese Merited Company (1909-1931) Capital 1 lakh. Trichonopoly Bank (1905-1932) Capital 32 thousand; Trinnevelly Bank (1896-1932) Capital 1.8 lakhs. Co-operative Hindusthan Bank (1908-1932) Capital 1.7 lakhs. Meerut Bank (1884-1932) Capital 60 thousand. Darbhanga Bank (1921-1932) Capital 40 thousand. Bharat National Bank (1908-1934) Capital 2.83 lakhs. Coimbatore Sahasrati Bank (1913-1935) Capital 8 thousand. Midnapore Bank (1915-1935). Mufassil Bank U. P. (1910-1935) Capital 4.7 lakhs. Banking and Ornament Manufacturing Co. (1906-1935) Capital 40 thousand. Lahore Bank (1906-1935) Capital 95 thousand. Hazaribag Bank (1911-1935) Capital 20 thousand. Madaripur Bank (1911-1936) Capital 10 thousand. Nanjangud Sri Nanjundeswara Bank (1885-1936) Capital 21 thousand.

The general conclusion stands out from these facts that among reported bank failures in this country, age by itself is not a significant factor. Concerns which hardly deserve to be described as banks manage to lead a charmed life for years and years and then slip out of existence.

Failures according to Paid-up Capital

It would be difficult to decide whether, among banks which have reached or passed a certain optimum size, long life or large resources are a better indication of capacity to withstand adverse times. If age were no factor to be taken account of, it is natural that mortality should be more frequent among banks with smaller resources than those with ample resources. It is unfortunate that the statistics of bank failures indicate only the paid-up capital of banks which have gone into liquidation and are silent about reserves or deposits. Basing our analysis on paid-up capital only, we find that more than three-fourths of the failures which have occurred since the banking crisis of 1913-14 are accounted for by banks with paid-up capital of less than 1 lakh. The table below illustrates the tendency of the proportion to fall as we move up in the scale of capital resources.*

Failures according to paid-up Capital
(when available.)

Year.	Less than Rs. 10,000.	More than Rs. 10,000 and less than Rs. 20,000	More than Rs. 20,000 and less than Rs. 30,000	More than Rs. 30,000 and less than Rs. 50,000	More than Rs. 50,000 and less than 1 lakh	More than Rs. 1 lakh and less than 5 lakhs	More than Rs. 5 lakhs and less than 10 lakhs	More than Rs. 10 lakhs & less than 20 lakhs	More than Rs. 20 lakhs & less than 50 lakhs	More than Rs. 50 lakhs & less than 1 crore	More than 1 crore.
1913-14 ...	12	4	4	5	8	7	3	2	...	2	1
1915-30 ...	15	4	1	5	8	1	2
1931-35 ...	40	15	4	11	11	11	6	3	...	2	...
1931-36 ...	95	23	14	13	12	7	2
Summary Table											
						In percentages of total failures					
		Less than 1 Lakh	More than 1 lakh and less than 5 lakhs	5 lakhs and more		Less than 1 lakh	More than 1 lakh and less than 5 lakhs	5 lakhs and more			
1913-14	83	7	7	7	70	15	15			
1915-30	31	8	3	3	74	19	7			
1931-35	31	11	12	12	78	10	12			
1931-36	157	7	2	2	94.5	4.2	1.3			

The first and third periods show a high percentage of failures among the biggest banks. The failure of 7 banks with a paid-up capital of 5 lakhs and more in a total of 47 in 1913-14 should not cause any surprise. The panic of 1913-14 started with the fall of one of the biggest Indian ventures and spread to all other banks. A panic does not discriminate in its victims.

The failure of 12 such banks among a total of 104 during 1921-30 stands on a different footing. Among the victims were the Alliance Bank of Simla, the Tata Industrial Bank, the Calcutta Industrial Bank, Trust of India, Industrial and Exchange Bank of India, Bengal National Bank, Bombay Merchants Bank, the Bank of Morvi, Indian Industrial Bank and two private concerns connected with the House of Petits in Bombay. Most of these failures have been analysed elsewhere in this chapter. It is clear that in every case the failure was an individual misfortune, more than deserved in most cases by long and recalcitrant mismanagement. No evidence is forthcoming to prove that these years presented any exceptional difficulties to Indian banks as such.

The rate of annual suspensions of banks indicates that failures among the bigger banks are gradually declining with the progress of years. The rate we have calculated is not exact since for banks in liquidation we have figures only of paid-up capital, while for banks in existence at the commencement of each period, the figures take account both of paid-up capital and reserves. Banks with paid-up capital only of Rs. 1 lakh and more must be considerably less than banks with capital and reserves of the same magnitude. In other words, the rate calculated understates the mortality from period to period. But this discrepancy is not likely to alter the trend of things as such.

		Banks in existence at commencement of each period. (Capital Rs. 1 lakh and above.)	Total banks in liquidation.	Rate of Suspension per annum.
1913-14	...	41	47	17.0
1915-20	...	45	42	4.0
1921-30	...	65	104	8.6
1931-36	...	84	106	1.8

The striking discrepancies of the first two columns prove the great, almost overwhelming share of the small banks in "bank-failures" in this country. The growing stability of the bigger banks is well demonstrated by a consistent decline in the rate of annual suspensions.

We shall now present an account and analysis of some of the bank failures which are representative and indicate certain broad inferences and conclusions. An effort is made to group the failures according to the main causes which appear to have brought them about. In every failure, of course, many causes have been at work; in some, one cause may have called into existence others and it is not altogether feasible to reconstruct the chain of events. Still an arrangement of this kind is much to be preferred to a chronological account as facilitating the review of the whole problem which is the subject matter of the next chapter.

Lax Laws, Public Ignorance and Bad or Dishonest Management

Among many wiles employed to beguile the public into placing their funds with banks, none was more crude or more frequent than the advertisement of imposing figures of authorised or subscribed capitals as against very fractional amounts of paid-up capital.

Poona Bank, Poona.—This bank was founded in June, 1889 and went into liquidation in August 1924. There is little in its balance sheets to justify its marvellously prolonged existence. It took full advantage, however, of the banking boom before 1913 to tempt into its coffers deposits 9 to 10 times its paid-up capital. At a time when its paid-up capital was little more than 3 lakhs, an advertisement in the leading English daily of the province announced its authorised capital as 10 crores and its subscribed capital as 50 lakhs. While Rs. 85 per share of 100 were still uncalled,

it even issued new shares to bring more grist to its mills. The crisis of 1913-14 denuded the bank of the bulk of its deposits and it never recovered thereafter.*

Amritsar National Bank.—This bank had a very brief but apparently bright career from January 1922 to May 1923. Within that amazingly short period of time, it collected deposits equal to about 12 times its paid-up capital. Its authorised capital was advertised as 50 lakhs and subscribed capital as Rs. 10 lakhs while the capital actually paid in was Rs. 160 thousand only.

To create or merely to advertise a large number of branches was a common device which promised a double advantage. It created a sense of imposing size or enabled the banks actually to spread their talons far and wide.

The Pioneer Bank.—This Bank which we shall notice again presently had a paid-up capital of about 2½ lakhs and deposits of about 3 lakhs. In its advertisement in the Press, it promised the public that branches were to be opened in London, Paris, New York and 31 places in India "as soon as certain arrangements were completed."

The Hindustan Bank, Multan.—This bank was founded by Mr. Daulatrai brother of Mr. Lala Harkishen Lal in July, 1906 and went into liquidation in January, 1914. At one stage, its authorised capital was increased from Rs. 2½ lakhs to 10 lakhs but the paid-up capital remained unchanged at 120 thousand. Within the short space of six years, as many as 36 branches were created and the amount of deposits was inflated to above Rs. 10 lakhs.

Kathiawar and Ahmedabad Corporation.—This bank established in June 1910 and liquidated in December 1913 took evident pride in its authorised capital of 50 lakhs against paid-up capital of 7 lakhs and odd. In its brief

* Poona Bank. (000 Rs.)					
	Subscribed	Paid-up	Reserves	Deposits	
1907-08	20,00	80	85	500	
1910-11	"	220	65	2,500	
1911-12	"	230	70	2,000	
1914	"	300	100	1,010	
1915	"	300	100	470	
1916	"	300	240	240	
1920	"	400	71	188	
1923	"	400	120	245	

career of two years and a half, it created about a dozen branches in India and one more in Nairobi. On its failure, the wily shareholders in Ahmedabad did everything to frustrate the depositors and creditors of the Bank who unfortunately for them hailed largely from the Punjab. When the Court ordered compulsory liquidation, the shareholders tried to evade the rigorous inquisition by pleading for voluntary liquidation: The auditors of the bank avoided to report and the auditor appointed by the Court could not obtain access to the relevant material!

The adoption of similar or imposing names was another bait offered to the ignorant or ill-informed public. There was launched in Delhi in February 1913 a bank named Imperial Bank. Its authorised capital was Rs. 10 lakhs, subscribed capital 40 thousand and paid-up capital about 8 thousand! Luckily, it disappeared in October 1914. Otherwise, the christening of the three amalgamated Presidency Banks might have raised a nice difficulty seven years later.*

The fact is that the very word "bank" has a connotation for the ordinary man which proves his siren song of disaster. Even before the outbreak of the banking crisis of 1913-14, the danger was quite felt and realised by the Government. "Poor and uneducated people", the Finance Member of the Government of India said in March 1912, "are attracted by the word 'bank' thinking that it necessarily implies security and stability; and unscrupulous persons accordingly apply the term to speculative business in order to attract investors and depositors." But the Finance Member could think of no remedy, legal or otherwise. He contented himself with declaring that the Government of India might well hesitate to rush in "where more experienced legislators fear to tread." The consequence was the banking panic of 1913-14, any remedial action being de-

* In the Bombay High Court, Mr. Mulla J. recorded an interesting judgment in 1922 restraining the National Bank of Indore from carrying on business under that name on the action of the National Bank of India. Leading brokers gave evidence for the plaintiff bank. It was held that the question in such cases was not whether the intelligent section of the public was likely to be deceived but whether the public at large was likely to be deceived. The following dictum of Sir G. Jessel, 50 L. J. ch. 255 was quoted with approbation. "This public are careless and it is no use supposing that if they paid a moderate attention to names they would see they are not the same but only similar."

ferred even after that on account of the outbreak of the Great War.

As one peruses the doleful tale of bank-failures in India, one wonders whether the ignorance of the public was not equalled and indeed exceeded by that of the worthy bankers who set out to endow India with a great banking system. Undoubtedly, fraud there was in plenty and in quite despicable forms. Yet, it is more probable that in many cases, ignorance in very naive forms was more responsible for the misfortune of Indian banks.

The British India Bank.—This bank was established in April 1911 and for two years before it was formally liquidated in September 1913, it seems to have become somnolent. Its authorised capital was Rs. 250 thousand while its paid-up and subscribed capital was Rs. 6 thousand only. In less than a year of its establishment, it boasted of 3 branches and 17 agencies in "all towns, cities, trade-centres throughout British India."

The promoters of the bank who elected Allahabad for their operations adduced certain very forceful reasons to overcome the traditional timidity and suspiciousness of the investors. "Unlike concerns in which machinery has to be purchased and buildings have to be erected, and the money of the investors has to be idle for a considerable period," they pointed out in their prospectus, "in the banking business, money is invested in some other profitable venture from the day it is paid in and the shareholders and depositors can always expect quick and good return on their investment." The prospectus then proceeded to unfold the many and varied services which the bank aspired to bring to the doors of the public. It undertook to offer "sound and proper advice....concerning investment of any class." "It was prepared to effect all classes of insurance as agents for the leading Life, Fire, Marine and Accident Offices." It would "engage passages to any part of the world." On behalf of its constituents, it was to undertake "to conduct business of any nature, whether occasional, special or permanent under a power of attorney."

The Sivarama Ayyar Bank, Madras.—A touch of the genuine Quixotic is supplied by this bank which went into liquidation in 1932. It was set up as a poor man's bank and true to its name, it accepted deposits of even a fraction of an anna. Among its valued customers were reported many beggars. When ultimately the bank closed down amidst exciting scenes, the ingenious Sivarama Ayyar, its founder, shareholder and manager ascribed the failure to heavy expenses of organisation and the prevailing trade-depression! During its brief and presumably bright life, it had opened branches at Madura, Trichnopoly and several other towns.

Bombay Banking Company.—This bank was established in November 1898 and after a long and apparently useful life was dragged into liquidation in the panic of 1913-14. The concern achieved reputation and confidence in certain social circles and communities because of the presence on its directorate of a highly respected and eminent medical practitioner of Bombay. It appears that the Directors gave "complete discretion to the agents of the bank." This discretion was used by the agents to give liberal loans to themselves and to prepare entirely false balance-sheets. The shareholders gratefully received their dividends of 12 per cent. and made no inquiries. A flutter of suspicion was caused in October 1912 when the manager took a trip to America and Rs. 5 lakhs were withdrawn by the depositors. The dividend of June 1913 was a modest one of 6 per cent. The bank continued, however, in an unconcerned manner as the eminent director mentioned above endorsed without inquiry or appreciation of the responsibilities he incurred thereby, all hundis submitted to him. But when panic arose over the failure, first of the Peoples Bank of Lahore, and then the Credit Bank of India at Bombay, suspension was forced on it despite courageous efforts to stave it off. The agents promptly declared themselves insolvent.

The directors seem to have then discovered the way in which the bank was managed. "As to books," observes the report of the liquidators, "the bank failed to keep even such

books as are generally kept by private banking firms. Only one day book and one ledger were maintained and the directors and shareholders appear to have been content with these two books." The liquidators express the suspicion that "the registers of securities and pro-notes were suppressed."

The Pioneer Bank, Bombay.—Established in September 1911 by Rahim Joosab, the brother of the much more well-known Jaffar Joosab, manager of the Credit Bank of India, the bank was finally liquidated in December 1916. With an authorised capital of Rs. 50 lakhs and subscribed capital of about Rs. 15 lakhs, it collected a paid-up capital of about 2½ lakhs and deposits by 1913 of about 3 lakhs. Most of its paid-up capital was bogus. Moneys which were paid in as subscription to the bank's shares were loaned out to the same parties against those very shares. Persons in financial difficulties were invited and given loans part of which was retained as application money for Pioneer Bank shares. When petitions were presented for winding up on these grounds, it was held that these grounds related to the internal management of the bank and did not fall under any one of the five heads stated for the purpose in the Indian Companies Act.

The Credit Bank of India.—Established in December 1909 with an authorised capital of Rs. 100 lakhs, subscribed capital of Rs. 50 lakhs and paid-up capital of Rs. 10 lakhs, the bank started in Bombay the epidemic which had broken out in the Punjab with the collapse of the Peoples Bank of Lahore. The Credit Bank achieved among other things an unusual distinction for gathering within its fold men who were quite untrained for the responsibilities entrusted to them. On appointment as manager of the bank, Jaffer Joosab pleaded ignorance of banking or accountancy and requested the Directors to give a strong committee to assist him. Till the day of the collapse of the bank, he had not grasped the meaning of a bill of exchange. When asked to account for Rs. 5 lakhs out of a total of about Rs. 7 lakhs shown as current accounts, he made the following confession: "That was made up of mere paper entries; Mistry

taught me this window-dressing and said it was not illegal and many banks were doing it. . . . The account and balance-sheets were prepared by my staff under instructions from the auditor." The chairman of the Board of Directors was in no happier situation. "Before I became acquainted with the bank", he admitted, "I had absolutely no knowledge of finance or banking, nor have I any now." Mistri, the auditor, pleaded ignorance as defence for every omission or error pointed out in the course of the trial.

The Bengal National Bank.—The Bengal National Bank was one of the few outstanding institutions which resurgent patriotism created in the eventful years 1906-07. Throughout its career, it adhered very strictly to the initial resolution of the founders to exclude all foreign element from the management. Inexperience of banking, however, was more than offset by a very overcautions policy in the initial years of its existence. As late as 1913, the balance-sheet discloses a high amount of Rs. 5.1 lakhs as cash on hand and at bankers against a total deposit liability of 24.8 lakhs. The ratio of working expenses to total resources was not too unfavourable while the temptation to declare high dividends was firmly and consistently resisted.

Dividend per cent.

1908	Nil
1909	1
1910	1
1911	4
1912	5

There had been difficulties in 1913-14. In 1917, a litigation caused a severe fall in deposits from Rs. 25 lakhs to Rs. 2.7 lakhs. But the bank recovered steadily from these disasters till by 1923 its deposits exceeded Rs. 80 lakhs.

Unfortunately, more permanent causes were slowly undermining the strength of the institution. Patriotism by itself is a poor substitute for business ability and vigilance. Sooner or later, it serves as a convenient cloak in the hands of unprincipled men in which all walks of life and particularly business always abounds. The bank became gradually a family concern. Young men without any

qualifications found themselves in responsible positions simply because they were connected with the promoters and organizers of the bank. Five out of six directors became heavily indebted to the bank. One of them alone obtained a loan of Rs. 3 lakhs against no security of any kind. Two of the moving spirits made it their special concern to obtain unsecured accommodation for a host of their friends and associates. Most of the bank officers were content to have overdraft accounts for themselves without any security or with insufficient security. The auditors were not forgotten; they received generous accommodation from the bank.

With deposits of Rs. 81 lakhs, unsecured loans reached the astonishing figure of 50 lakhs while industrial concerns of very doubtful character received by way of loans as much as Rs. 25 lakhs.

A severe warning came in 1923 when with the failure of the Alliance Bank of Simla a run on the Bengal National Bank drained away as much as Rs. 24 lakhs out of deposits aggregating to Rs. 85 lakhs. The Imperial Bank of India came to its aid with two loans amounting to Rs. 20 lakhs against the liquid assets of the bank. The warning either came too late or was not heeded. On March 31, 1926, the bank issued the following astonishing balance-sheet.

(figures in lakhs.)

Liabilities			Assets		
Capital	..	8.0	Fixed Assets	..	.01
Reserve Fund	..	2.5	Bills and Loans	..	112.0
Contingency Fund		0.7	Securities and		
Deposits	..	81.0	Investments	..	1.6
Sundry including profit			Cash	..	3.8
and loss	..	25.0			

The bank now developed an extraordinary technique of returning cheques on flimsy excuses while men of straw were alleged to be still receiving overdrafts. Withdrawals began in April 1927 and culminated in the closing of the bank. It was estimated later that not more than Rs. 60 lakhs worth assets were recoverable.

A criminal prosecution brought to a close this inglorious history. The auditor whose criminal compliance was responsible for the postponement of the evil day for a long time, the director and managing director who were the real culprits in this abuse of public trust were all sentenced to long terms of imprisonment.

Dangers of Industrial Finance

In another chapter, we have analysed the implications and present position of finance of industries out of banking funds. From very early days, public opinion in India stimulated by the spectacular economic success of Germany and Japan has been overwhelmingly in favour of mixed banking such as prevails in these and other countries. It is hardly surprising that this opinion should have reflected itself from time to time in ventures along these lines. Unfortunately, it is not clear whether the public, most concerned with such ventures, has begun to appreciate even now the limitations, precautions and technical conditions presupposed in their successful working.

The Peoples Bank of Lahore.—This bank was established in February 1901 with an authorised capital of Rs. 35 lakhs and subscribed capital of 22 lakhs. It closed its doors on 19th September 1913. The magnitude of its resources was by itself sufficient to make its failure a major event in the world of Indian banking and finance. Unfortunately, its disappearance acted as an immediate provocation to a widespread outbreak of distrust which had been gathering force slowly in the previous few months. In the rapid succession of failures which now ensued the panic-stricken public lost all sense of discrimination and each bank which failed was judged by the standards applicable to the worst of them.

In the case of the Peoples Bank of Lahore, there was less justification for such an attitude. The object of the bank was stated very clearly in its memorandum of association. The bank was founded to promote and maintain industrial enterprises on "swadeshi" lines. In an early report, the

directors were quite explicit. The report ran: "Our managing director, Mr. Harkishen Lall having espoused the cause of industries in the Province, the directors agreed with him in investing funds in industrial concerns in preference to land mortgages or trade-hundies."

The following balance-sheet published three years before the collapse of the bank may be compared with the principles and practices of mixed banking we have already analysed.*

31 December 1910.

(figures in lakhs.)

Paid-up Capital	11.5	Cash-credits, bills, pro-	
Reserves	1.8	notes, overdrafts	79.3
Deposits	98.4	Deposits with other,	
		banks	2.4
		Drafts in hand	1.9
		Debentures and other	
		Investments	4.2
		Govt. Paper	4.2
		Cash in hand and at	
		bank	7.1

The circumstances in which the bank undertook to aid or initiate industries in this country were in no way favourable. Industries were either conspicuous by their absence or in very immature stages of growth. While men made fortunes in mere trade and commerce, industrial enterprise was nowhere visible except in the already well established cotton and jute mills of Bombay and Calcutta. It is not surprising that the managing director of the Peoples Bank became also the promoter and manager of several enterprises. He had the long vision also to incur much expenditure to finance Indians abroad for the study of industrial and technical subjects. The combination of banking and enterpreneurial functions need not have led the bank into difficulties if the standards of banking practice had been quite strict.

When the bank ceased to operate, it was found that 88 lakhs out of total deposits of Rs. 1 crore and more were

advanced to enterprises in which the managing director was directly interested. The number of concerns in which the managing director exercised direct control was as high as 17. As late as 1913 the directors do not seem to have found any cause for uneasiness. In their report of that year, they even took notice of "some adverse talk about bank investments in industrial concerns." They, however, declared themselves as satisfied that all liabilities to the bank were adequately covered by properties. Unfortunately, many of the major concerns on which the funds of the bank were embarked did not prove profitable. The most important among them were the Punjab Cotton Press to which 20 lakhs were advanced, the Lahore Spinning and Weaving Mills and the Pioneer Investment Company to which 8 lakhs had been offered. The confidence which the directors had placed in the managing director was nevertheless vindicated by subsequent investigations. The report of the official liquidators could not discover any trace of an effort or intention on the part of the managing director to profit himself at the expense of the bank. On the contrary there was much in his behaviour to prove the excellence of his motives. In the ultimate result the bank paid all its creditors in full but the shareholders lost all their capital.

The Amritsar Bank, Lahore.—Along with itself, the Peoples Bank dragged into the abyss the Amritsar Bank which was deeply interlocked with it. Mr. Harkishen Lall whom his detractors nicknamed the Napoleon of Punjab Finance on account of the magnitude and boldness of his ventures had been its director till 1910 but even after his retirement continued to influence its policy in a decisive manner. In the post-mortem, it was found that Rs. 4 lakhs were advanced to Mr. Harkishen Lall in his personal capacity. Against advances of Rs. 21 lakhs more, the securities were either mere promises to pay or shares of Mr. Harkishen Lall's concerns. In the auditors' report for 1911, the following significant sentence occurs: "We referred to the directors certain accounts which appeared to us to be not fully secured. The directors however hold the opinion that

the accounts are secured." 66 per cent. of the assets of the Amritsar Bank as against 56 per cent. of those of the Peoples Bank were found to be invested in the same 10 companies.

The Tata Industrial Bank.—The Tata Industrial Bank came into existence in 1917 in the very midst of the Great War amidst the glamour of the House of the Tatas and the warm greetings and expectations of the business public. The termination of war prosperity with the crisis of 1920 and hopes deferred of high dividends seem however to have induced sobriety, if not positive sickness, in the hearts of the shareholders. Within about six years of its establishment, their clamour forced amalgamation with a commercial bank, the Central Bank of India.

The following statement of liabilities shows its progress and the extent and degree to which it was qualified to undertake industrial finance.

(figures in lakhs.)

		Capital and Reserve	Deposits.		Total	Cash-balance
			Fixed	Current		
1918	...	70 + ...	228	198	426	70
1919	...	152 + ...	415	390	871	160
1922	...	225 + 18	419	325	905	216

In 1923, on account of the severe collapse of the post-war boom, industrial holdings showed some depreciation and there was a loss on sterling bills acquired in times of low exchange rates. An allotment of 11 lakhs out of profits had to be made to offset these losses. As a memorandum subsequently circulated by the general manager pointed out, purely industrial investments aggregated to Rs. 27 lakhs only. These were distributed among three enterprises chiefly—a bank in London by name British Italian Corporation, Tata Iron and Steel Company (second preference shares) and Bombay Electric Supply and Tramways Co. Two of these three have subsequently more than justified the choice of the manager. It was also pointed out that liquid assets accounted for about 66 per cent. of the deposits. Since more than half the deposits were fixed and the fixed capital amounted to more than 15 per cent. of the

deposits, this certainly indicated a sound state of things. True, 66 lakhs had been lavished on magnificent structures in Bombay and Calcutta. Better insight into public psychology about banks and a knowledge of practice elsewhere should have perhaps reconciled the shareholders to this Indian prodigality. The management pleaded that after housing the bank, the properties were estimated to give a return of 4 per cent.

But the House of Tatas was then under a cloud. Many of its other enterprizes were yet to fulfil the investors' sanguine hopes, hopes fostered by the quick riches begotten of the war. The Industrial Bank had some interests in the Industrial Finance, Ltd., which was but another name for some Tata concerns—notably New India Assurance Co., Nira Valley Sugar Co., Tata Power Co.* In this atmosphere explanations, however valid, were of little avail.

One decisive fact stared the shareholders in the face. The profits from ordinary banking business were moderately good and consistent. The industrial side of its activities appeared to exist only to diminish these profits. Under great clamour, the directors were coerced to promise not to make further investments in industries.

When the shareholders met shortly thereafter, on, 19th July, 1923 to cast 550,000 votes in favour of amalgamation as against 259 votes against it, they exacted one safeguard from the management. The directors of the new bank were required to eschew seats on the directorates of other banks. Obviously, interlocking directorates were an evil much feared in those days.

The Bank of Burma.—Interlocking interests may be unavoidable and perhaps even desirable in certain stages of industrial progress. With a high standard of business ethics, they are quite innocuous. Character and not laws is the ultimate foundations on which greatness of a community in economic as in other fields of endeavour has to rest. Yet, it is equally undeniable that laxity of law and practice is more often than not an invitation to all that is mean and unestimable in a community.

The manager of the Bank of Burma fell under the power of its two directors, Messrs. Mower and Clifford. These two grabbed the funds of the bank for their own enterprises. The security of the loans consisted entirely of the shares of the companies of which the agency was with Mower & Co. Only two of these companies were paying dividends. The bank went to the length even of underwriting the shares of Mower & Co., at Rs. 21 per share of Rs. 15 nominal value. Imprudence or speculation was piled on fraud. Rs. 10 lakhs were lost on shares of Burma Investments, Ltd., and Burma Petroleum Co., but the directors would not take any notice. Even when the fate of the bank was clear, large fixed deposits were offered and accepted in the last few weeks of its existence.

It took about 10 years to liquidate the bank completely. The creditors were lucky in receiving a dividend of as much as annas 0-14-6 in the rupee while the shareholders lost everything.

Dangers of Speculation

It is a matter for opinion whether ordinary deposit banking can be combined in a prudent manner with the finance of industry. When a bank is launched with a clear avowal of this object, no blame could rest with the organisers on the ground of misleading the public or misapplication of their funds. Very frequently, however, banks appeal to the less scrupulous as a convenient means to acquire funds which, if the object were stated clearly, would not be forthcoming at all. While many of the failures already noted illustrate this danger, the most glaring example of such abuse has yet to be recorded.

The Indian Specie Bank, March 1914.—The foundation of this bank with an authorised capital of Rs. 2 crores, subscribed capital of 1½ crores and paid-up capital of about 75½ lakhs was due to the initiative and enterprise of one man, Mr. Chunilal Saraiya. Originally a man of medical qualifications, he had had a long experience in the methods and practice of banking on the staff of the Bank of Bengal. He played a noteworthy part in the launching of the Bank

of India in 1906. He withdrew from the bank when the authorities of that bank declined to appoint him its manager. His reputation for ability and financial skill was, however, so high that he attracted into the directorate of his new bank some of the most eminent men in the public and business life of Bombay. Unfortunately, events proved that Mr. Chunilal Saraiya's inclinations lay all in the direction of speculation rather than constructive business.

Very early in its career, rumour became busy that the bank had embarked on colossal speculations in silver. Authoritative notice of this rumour was taken when in the course of the fifth general meeting of the shareholders in 1911, the chairman said: "I may mention that not one ounce of silver is held by this Bank on its own account and your Board is determined to adhere to this policy." Yet, the belief persisted obstinately in the public mind. Within less than a year of this disavowal, the *Commerce* of Calcutta reported that "the attempt to corner silver, a project of the directors of the Indian Specie Bank, still continues, and the leading spirits of the enterprise entered the market again as buyers on a large scale." In the following May, the market recorded its estimate of the success by putting up shares of the Indian Specie Bank from Rs. 52 to Rs. 66. Admirers of this species of banking were not lacking either in those quarters which should have known better. A local journal commended Mr. Saraiya with evident approbation as the manager "who forced the Secretary of State in England and the finance member in India to buy silver at enhanced rates, thus earning a profit of about Rs. 25 lakhs at a stroke."

The mode of operation of the speculators whose names, although known with an absolute moral certainty, were never officially disclosed, was neither original nor ingenious. In the list of the debtors of the bank, there appeared the mysterious firm of Mr. Nanabhai & Co., which was the fixed ring or syndicate of the aforesaid speculators. When the time for the compilation of each balance-sheet arrived, the firm used to disappear to make room for ficti-

tious debtors who passed appropriate promissory notes. The directors of the bank itself took good care to show their liabilities to the bank as nil. As a matter of fact, when the bank closed its doors, they had to admit a liability of Rs. 12 lakhs which they hurried immediately to pay off. The following figures set out what the trying judge describes as "a miserable tale of the lowest form of fraud, the creation of fictitious debtors and the preparation of demand promissory notes in support of these."

					(in lakhs of rupees.)	
					Losses	Gains
1909	22	—
1910	9	—
1911	81	—
1912	—	80
1913	78	—

Thus the bank was saddled with a net loss on account of speculation in silver to the extent of Rs. 111 lakhs, while silver worth more than 4 crores was found to have been held on behalf of the bank in London by Messrs. Sharp and Wilkinson.

The immediate cause of the collapse was the fear raised in the public mind by the fall of the Peoples Bank of Lahore in September 1913 and the end of the Credit Bank in Bombay on 1st November 1913. The Specie Bank was presumed to have common interests with the latter. Later, the manager of the Credit Bank himself admitted that large sums were represented with mutual consent as lying with the Specie Bank on behalf the Credit Bank which, in his opinion, was a legitimate form of window-dressing. The Specie Bank also held under doubtful title cotton mill shares of the value of Rs. 20 lakhs which had to be subsequently surrendered. In the run which ensued, the Indian Specie Bank paid out in cash Rs. 90 lakhs in a few weeks. The public was profoundly impressed with the promptitude and the apparent ease. Yet, more critical minds could not but notice mysterious movements in the balance sheet. The reserve fund of Rs. 15 lakhs invested in Govern-

ment securities had disappeared. Deposits fell by Rs. 48 lakhs. But loans actually mounted by 30 lakhs to a figure of Rs. 2½ crores. No man could guess what the security behind these loans was. Sundries to the extent of 13 lakhs occupied an uncomfortable place in a corner on the liabilities aside.

The actual course of events, however, bore singular testimony to the confidence which the public placed in the directors and particularly the abilities and financial genius of the managing director. An insignificant shareholder who held only 10 shares of the bank and was alleged to have been instigated by the personal enemies of the managing director presented a petition to the High Court for winding-up. It was clearly felt that the ordinary public would not be able to discriminate between the petition of a shareholder for liquidation and the order of a proper court for the same object. There took place an astonishing rally of the shareholders and directors in support of the bank. The judge, unable to restrain the processes of law, felt constrained to admit it with these words: "I should like to say that it struck me as a most remarkable circumstance that shareholders representing a capital of three quarters of a crore of rupees and creditors to the extent of a crore of rupees, should come forward together to support the directors and the managing director in the manful fight they have made for the existence of the bank, in spite of allegations of very hazardous trading. Not a single shareholder or contributory or creditor has come forward to support the petition."

The trading had been indeed hazardous. The loans to constituents for speculation in silver alone aggregated to 3 crores and more. 60 lakhs and more were advanced against pearls which the bank held. The pearl market had, it is true, grown brighter and brighter since the advent of the century. But, unfortunately for the bank, the two parties to whom advances had been made became insolvent just about the time of the failure of the bank. The stock exchange also had its share of the bounty. From 55 to 65

lakhs were advanced for budla transactions for which the bank ultimately could lay its hands on shares valued at not more than Rs. 9 lakhs.

In the last eventful week of the bank's existence, heavy withdrawals occurred from Monday to Saturday to the extent of about Rs. 15 lakhs. Saturday's toll was a moderate one, about 1½ lakhs only. After a strenuous but impressively well sustained cross-examination, Mr. Chunilal Saraiya withdrew to participate till a late hour in the feast and festivities held to mark public confidence in him and the bank's survival in the ordeal. The dinner does not seem to have agreed with the hero of the episode. For, next morning, the city was petrified to hear that Mr. Chunilal Saraiya had died of heart failure. On Monday morning, before anybody else had a chance, the directors rushed to the High Court with a petition in their hands for voluntary liquidation.

The inevitable post-mortem exposed to light the following doleful history.

			in lakhs
Loss on silver speculation	111
Loss on advances against pearls	36
Loss on loans for budla deals	14
Loss on imprudent loans	4
			<hr/>
Total Loss.			165

The bank had made no profits since 1909 and dividends aggregating to 22 lakhs had been paid out of capital. After all assets were realised, there still yawned a deficit of Rs. 79 lakhs against which there was the unpaid capital of the bank of Rs. 74 lakhs.

Victims of Misfortune

It would be strange if banks were more immune from sheer misfortune than any other kind of business. Banks depend for their stability on mere mass opinion to such an extent that they have more cause to fear misfortune than other enterprises. Yet, examples of failure due to circum-

stances outside the sphere of bank managements are hard to find. A tinge of imprudence if not positive dereliction of duty is always present in almost every disaster.

The Bank of Upper India, Meerut.—The bank established as long ago at 27th June 1863 was slowly but steadily built up to a position of importance in the Indian banking world from very modest beginnings. Launched with a paid-up capital of Rs. 15 thousand only, it progressed till just before the crisis of its existence it had a paid up capital of Rs. 10 lakhs, an ample reserve of Rs. 7 lakhs and deposit liabilities of 2 crores. Confined to the Punjab and the U.P. it had created 17 branches and sub-agencies.

It was much crippled in the banking crisis of 1913-14. In the 9 months succeeding the collapse of the Peoples Bank of Lahore, the bank had to pay out Rs. 78 lakhs. Still, its investments, largely loans to Talukdars, shipping interests (Rs. 6 lakhs) and jute concerns of M. V. Apcar & Co. (Rs. 5 lakhs) were sound and, given some time for recuperation, the bank could have recovered the lost ground. But on the heels of the crisis, within a month or so in fact, came the outbreak of the Great War which proved the final blow. Securities depreciated enormously and in spite of the soundness of its assets, the bank had to announce suspension in October 1914. As the Allahabad High Court found, "the present position of the bank is not due to bad management but is the result of the recent financial crisis." Both the depositors and the shareholders were repaid in full—which is indeed a striking proof of the inherent soundness of the bank.

The Alliance Bank of Simla.*—This bank, one of the oldest in India, was launched into the world in 1874 and suspended payment on 27th April 1923. It fell on evil days largely on account of the imprudence of their London Agents, Boulton Bros. The Boulton Bros. initiated a policy of expansion out of all proportion to their resources. Involved inevitably in difficulties, they were unable to return a deposit of Rs. 150 lakhs which they held from the Alliance Bank. The Boulton Brothers and the officer of their

firm were prosecuted in London on various grounds including the alleged misapplication of the funds of the Alliance Bank but were acquitted. The securities held for them by the bank amounted to a mere 50 lakhs. Another debtor to the bank was the Trust of India, Punjab, which had a paid up capital of 65 lakhs and operated as a bank from 1916 to 1923. The Trust was liable to the bank for one full crore against securities which were worth only Rs. 18 lakhs. Advances against personal security amounted Rs. 180 lakhs.

In their report for 1922, the directors spoke plainly of the "knock-out blow" which the bank had sustained. This led to continued withdrawals, the effect of which may be studied from the following extracts from the balance-sheets of the bank before and after the run started.

(in lakhs of Rupees)

	1914	1921	1923 (before suspension)
Paid-up			
Capital	30	88	88
Reserve	40	53	
Fixed Deposits		900	498
Current Deposits		679	373
Total Deposits	554	1627	871
Cash-Balance	103	439	76

A new management made efforts to link "the bank with more powerful banking interests in order to re-establish public confidence." When the effort bore no fruit, the notice of suspension was put up.

Then, an event took place which surprised all who remembered the banking crisis of 1913-14. Directed by the Governor-General-in-Council, the Imperial Bank of India undertook to repay 50 per cent. of deposits including current accounts and savings bank deposits. The Imperial Bank was precluded from making a profit on the liquidation but at the same time it was guaranteed by government against loss. Messrs. Grindlay & Co., ran to further rescue by announcing their willingness to repay deposits of government officials.

The bank had 36 branches when it closed its doors.

Travancore National and Quilon Bank

Most of the bank failures we have drawn on for illustration belong to the crisis of 1913-14 while some very important ones belong to the twenties of the present century. We have now to close this account with the obituary of the Travancore National and Quilon Bank which suspended payment as late as June 20th 1938. In many ways, the submergence of this bank was very remarkable. The failure occurred some time after the severest depression India has ever known had well passed into a perceptible recovery. Secondly, it took place three years after a Central bank had been established in this country. Finally, from the legal standpoint the bank was a Native State institution which had however attained its stature on the fat of a British province, itself remarkable for fecundity in all sorts of small banks.

The Travancore National and Quilon Bank, as it stood on the date of suspension, was the outcome of an amalgamation between the Travancore National Bank and the Quilon Bank. Significantly, the amalgamation had taken place only two years before the crash occurred. More significantly still, it was an agreed condition of the amalgamation that the assets of the Quilon Bank were to be taken over without any investigation. To facilitate the amalgamation, the Reserve Bank placed a substantial credit at the disposal of the two banks.

The previous history of the Travancore National Bank with its long spell of life was quite remarkable. In 1912, its paid-up capital was only Rs. 13 thousand and with deposits, its aggregate liabilities amounted to Rs. 32 thousand only. It made steady progress during the war and post-war years. By 1922, its paid-up capital and reserve were raised to about Rs. 3 lakhs and its deposits were a little short of Rs. 4 lakhs. In 1929, just before the great depression, the total liabilities were still under Rs. 18 lakhs.

Like other Indian banks, this bank seized the post-Depression years for a policy of rapid expansion—particularly by multiplication of branches. From 4 lakhs in 1930, its

capital was raised to 11 lakhs in 1936 while its reserve which was a little over a lakh in 1927 rose to Rs. 3½ lakhs only. The deposits rose far more steeply due to a rapid extension of branches. Rs. 19 lakhs only in 1930, the figures were 37 lakhs in 1932, 94 lakhs in 1934 and 177 lakhs in the boom year 1936. The ratio of capital and reserve to deposits fell from 1:5 in 1930 to 1:13 in 1936.

The history and progress of the Quilon Bank are not dissimilar.

Just before the run began in April 1938, the March balance-sheet of the amalgamated banks disclosed the following situation. The situation as on 17th June 1938 describes the effects of the run just before the bank suspended payments.

(Figures in lakhs)					
	April 1938	June 1938		April 1938	June 1938
Capital ..	24	24	Cash ..	47	17
Reserves ..	5½	5½	Bills (contra)	18	15
Deposits ...	324	252	Loans and advances		
Borrowing against			i (against gold)	41	245
Govt. G. P. Notes		35	ii (others)	242	
Bills ..	18	15	Investments:		
			i Govt.		
			Securities	45	45
			ii Others	4	4
			Lands	13	14

The ratio of cash and bills to aggregate liabilities works out at 18 per cent. and of government securities at less than 13 per cent. These ratios compare unfavourably with those of the leading banks of India we have already examined.* This by itself was proof of the inflation and illiquidity of loans and advances. Investments had hardly any place in the assets of the Travancore National Bank as late as 1932 and in 1936, the date of the amalgamation, the proportion was only 11.5 per cent.

"A run on the finances of the bank," the Report of the Madras Official liquidator dated 3rd January 1939 tells us, "began early in April 1938 and from that date until the

* Chapter VI.

suspension of payment on June 20th 1938, a sum of approximately Rs. 1,10,00,000 was paid in satisfaction of demands made during that period." The payments made amounted to about 25 per cent. of the assets as on the date before the run commenced. "The bank had failed," continued the liquidators, "(a) by reason of the fact that sufficient further cash resources were not available wherewith to meet further demand for payment and (b) because the realisable value of the remaining assets on the books was very considerably less than the book values of the assets."

The run had begun in a most unusual manner. It was repeatedly alleged later that the highest state authorities had much to do in the creation of the initial panic. None can read the subsequent liquidation and reconstruction proceedings in the State and in British India without becoming aware of the vast difference between the highly surcharged atmosphere of Travancore Courts and the scrupulously objective and judicial atmosphere of British India Courts. Behind the collapse of the Travancore National and Quilon Bank, there lurks the sinister shadow of a struggle for political power between the Hindus and the Syrian Christians of Travancore. The bank was alleged to have made itself the sponsor of Christian interests and was suspected of complicity in affairs which could be no concern of a bank.

Apart from the peculiar ways and atmosphere of Native States, the failure of the Travancore National and Quilon Bank raised difficult questions of jurisdiction which must influence the future course of banking and banking law in the country.

The Madras High Court was inclined to give chance to a reconstruction scheme preferred before it. As the judge himself explained—"The scheme was propounded on the basis that if there would be co-operation on the part of all courts, where liquidation proceedings are pending and the scheme is sanctioned, it would be possible to realize 12 annas in the rupee and possibly more. I cannot say that this expectation on the part of applicants was not justified

....I cannot say....that their action in filing the petition was not bona fide." The Court saw no cause for fearing that other courts would not co-operate especially since it was always clear that ultimate sanction could be withheld if the scheme proved unworkable.

The first difficulty was created by the official liquidator of Cochin. He was not prepared to recognise the claims of 'foreign' creditors in the distribution of Cochin assets of the Bank. The Madras High Court was constrained to admit that if co-operation was not forthcoming for winding-up, it could not be presumed in favour of any reconstruction scheme.

The District Court of Quilon was much more explicit even to the point of undisguised hostility. Since the bank was registered in Quilon, it argued that the principal court for winding-up was Quilon Court and that a reconstruction scheme must be considered in the first instance there. It criticised severely the past management of the bank placing reliance on the report of the official liquidator in Travancore. It found the Madras reconstruction scheme "fantastic," "illusory" and "unworkable". It poo-pooed the bona fides of the reconstruction scheme, stating *inter alia*: "The sponsors of the scheme were successful in no time in getting round a large body of creditors numbering about 2,400 and whose deposits amount to about Rs. 72 lakhs to file affidavits in support of the scheme....It is said that there are High Court judges, advocates, bishops etc. among the creditors....majority of shareholders (of the bank) are relatives or nominees of the directors themselves....the staff in the several branches of the Bank consists mostly of the relations of the directors....propaganda in support of the scheme of reconstruction is being carried on by the ex-servants of the banks." On these grounds, the Court concluded that the creditors of the bank must be protected against their own ignorance and gullibility by ordering a winding-up.

When an appeal was preferred before the Travancore High Court, that court confirmed the winding-up order but

in a manner which approached the subject from a standpoint diametrically opposite to that of the Madras High Court. The Madras High Court desired to explore the possibilities of reconstruction prior to enforcing a winding-up. The Travancore High Court favoured winding-up since "the creditors would not be prejudiced in any manner by the winding-up order as it was open to the Court after completion of such investigation, as it might deem necessary, to take suitable steps under sections 157, 175 or 176 in furtherance of any scheme of reconstruction that might be proposed."

The whole situation was well epitomised in the attitude of the British India creditors of the Bank. In explaining their overwhelming vote in favour of reconstruction, the counsel for petitioners said before the court in Madras—"The Quilon judgment did not influence the meeting of the creditors though printed copies of the judgment were circulated to them beforehand. They could easily see the obvious meaning behind it all."

The episode illustrates forcefully the inherent difficulties, if not the impracticability of reconstructing banks once they have suspended payment. It is never easy to ascertain the realizability of certain assets—much time and inquiry are needed to reach accurate estimates. Certain incidents illustrate difficulties of investigations as such. The Madras High Court found itself unable under the law to sanction the expenditure for which the Reserve Bank wanted to be indemnified before undertaking the investigation. The District Judge of Quilon went further and described the contemplated investigation by the Reserve Bank as "an unauthorised interference with the concern of the Bank." In the case of the Travancore National and Quilon Bank the last balance-sheet had shown bad debts of 1½ lakhs only. During the proceedings on a winding-up petition, the bank authorities admitted bad debts as equal to Rs. 15 lakhs. The Quilon reconstruction scheme placed them at Rs. 40 lakhs and the Madras liquidators report at 70 lakhs. Even if all the material facts are available within a reason-

able time and a bank is reconstructed on that basis, much time must elapse before deposits can be coaxed in. In the meanwhile, working expenses have to be incurred, the only source for which could be the realised assets or the willingness of creditors to accept a lower rate of interest on the dues.

A large share of responsibility for the failure must be ascribed to the incompetent or dsingenuous management of the bank's business. Some of the manipulations and misleading features of the bank's balance-sheets have been already referred to above. The directors and their relatives were allowed to borrow heavily and these debts which reached Rs. 25½ lakhs by the date of suspension of the bank were not shown in the balance-sheet as such. In sentencing the miscreants to heavy fines and terms of imprisonment, the Court in Trivandrum cited grave instances of falsification of balance-sheets. The 1937 balance-sheet stated the advances to directors at about 6 lakhs when the true figure was not less than 14 lakhs. Investments in shares to the book value of more than Rs. 6 lakhs were not disclosed at all. Debts considered bad and doubtful were reduced from more than 8½ lakhs to less than 1½ lakhs. Profits of about 2½ lakhs were declared when as a matter of fact there was a gaping loss of more than 2½ lakhs to be covered even after the general reserve fund was fully utilised. These practices had been in vogue even before the amalgamation and were continued after the amalgamation. "So far as can be ascertained," the Travancore official liquidator alleged, "little or no control was exercised over advances at branches and information regarding borrowers, etc., is not on record. We found that agents have very little knowledge of their duties or of business at their branches....The management was not effective and the staff not properly trained. Account books were maintained in haphazard fashion and seldom bear evidence of having been checked or balanced while at some offices essential figures in books have been recorded in pencil." As indicated above, bad debts were systematically understat-

ed. A private and confidential circular issued on December 11th 1937 ran as follows: "To all branches. Branches shall not treat and classify any loan and advance as doubtful or bad without written instructions from this office. They are not to include any debt as doubtful or bad in the balance-sheet as on December 31st 1937 except those that have been shown in the half yearly balance-sheet as at June 30th last....."

CHAPTER X

BANKING REFORM AND BANKING LEGISLATION

THE main theme of the previous chapters is the growth, achievements and failures of the Indian banking system. When causes have been indicated, they relate either to internal difficulties and deficiencies of Indian banks or to their demographic or political environment which is exemplified in distribution of population, presence of foreign banks, etc. Not less important for the growth or retardation of a banking system is the state of the banking habit of a people. Banking habit is an omnibus phrase which covers economic psychology in regard to banks no less than objective economic facts and practices. To the analysis of this banking habit, the causes and forces which check or facilitate its progress, we must now address ourselves.

I

BANKING REFORM

The absence of the habit of banking in this country is due to several causes, the relative importance and remedies of which have to be assessed separately. These causes may be placed under three heads; absence of confidence, ignorance and illiteracy of the people, and low level of incomes in general. In examining their operation, we must distinguish between fixed deposits, savings deposits and current deposits and indeed other activities of bank. For, the legal and practical obligations and requirements which arise from each class of borrowed resources and from other activities of banks are materially different in each case.

Absence of Confidence

Lack of confidence in banks as an endemic must be distinguished to a certain extent from banking panics which break out suddenly like epidemics on account of excep-

tional causes. Of course, the two cannot be regarded as altogether independent of each other since the known existence of safeguards against emergencies is an important element in day-to-day confidence and the prevalence of general confidence is itself an important factor in preventing or moderating panics. The distinction made is not so much one of principle as convenience for analysis.

(a) In times of general distress and danger, such as ensue from a widespread loss of faith in the banking system of a country, it is inevitable that all eyes should turn to the government of the land for assistance and protection. It is not feasible, however, to lay down in advance the precise extent, form, manner and occasions of government intervention in such situations. The Indian Government itself has always been vague and hesitant as to the allocation of the sphere of government intervention, operation of ordinary law and law-courts and finally the prudence and wisdom of depositors to the extent that these may be presumed to exist in this country. The creation of the Reserve Bank of India and particularly the discussions and controversies which preceded its creation have in no way facilitated clarification. The alien character of the government has been no doubt a factor of no mean importance in the situation as it has historically developed. But, as we shall see presently, the advance of democracy and advent of provincial autonomy have raised new problems and difficulties.*

The banking crisis of 1913-14 appears to be the first occasion when the issue of the Government's duties and res-

* The proposed Banking Companies Act, 1945, empowers the Central Government in case of danger to the depositors' interest, inability to meet obligations, default in complying with the Act, or commission, actual or constructive, of an offence under the Act, to direct the Reserve Bank to inspect the offending bank and if necessary on report from the Reserve Bank, to prohibit the bank from receiving new deposits, to de-schedule a scheduled bank, or to direct the Reserve Bank to apply for the winding up of the bank.

The difficulty about such a provision is that a projected inspection can hardly be kept secret and mere rumour of it must involve the bank in difficulties much before the inspection can establish anything. Similarly, the infliction of any of the proposed penalties must in practice amount a death-sentence. What is really required is provision for continuous contacts between the Reserve Bank and individual banks. The suggestion for Audit by the Reserve Bank instead of by private auditors made in this book offers the logical solution.

possibilities in such circumstances was definitely raised. The danger itself had been clearly foreseen both by the public and government. In a speech delivered early in 1912, the Finance Member lamented certain tendencies in the Indian banking world in these words: "Poor and uneducated people are attracted by the word 'bank' thinking that it necessarily implies security and stability; and unscrupulous persons accordingly apply the term to speculative business in order to attract investors and depositors." He then proceeded to explain how the best talents in England and elsewhere had failed to devise legislative safeguards against the abuse of the word 'bank' and concluded that "the Government of India might well 'hesitate to rush in' where more experienced legislators fear to tread." While ruling out direct legislative remedy in this manner, the Finance Member does not seem to have allowed his mind to be disturbed with its logical corollary that the discretionary or administrative duties of government are automatically enhanced in proportion.

When the crisis ultimately broke out, the government were constrained to admit certain responsibilities in such emergencies. Interest free government balances were placed at the disposal of Presidency Banks to enable them to help banks in temporary difficulties. Yet, as the following extract from a speech made at Madras by the Viceroy shows, such intervention was deemed to be sporadic and exceptional. For the day-to-day stability of the banking system, the official mind could not envisage any responsibility for itself. "We have closely followed the course of events," said the Viceroy, "and where it has been possible and legitimate to do so, we have given timely assistance. I am glad to say that there has been no undue disposition to look to Government for such help. Such help as we can properly give is limited in extent and necessarily subject to conditions and safeguards. But what we could do has been done and will continue to be done. If the Indian

investor is taught by these events to be careful to distinguish between sound and unsound undertakings or if they pave the way to some better system of regulation and protection they will not have been unfruitful of beneficial result.* So far as banks were concerned, the concluding part of the extract remained a dead letter for two decades and more.

The next occasion on which the Government of India exercised its discretionary responsibilities to assuage distress caused by the difficulties of private banking was when the Alliance Bank of Simla closed its doors on 27th April 1923. Under instructions from the Governor-General-in-Council, the Imperial Bank undertook to pay immediately 50 per cent. of the amounts at credit of depositors including current accounts and savings bank balances. It was explained subsequently that these amounts "could be paid without incurring financial risk provided that the liquidation was properly supervised" and that under the government guarantee, "Imperial Bank would make no profits by this business but was assured against loss." It may be presumed from this that even before its suspension the Alliance Bank of Simla enjoyed an intimate and close contact with the Government which claimed a close converse with its affairs such as a Central bank might have well envied. Could banks with purely Indian management have aspired to the same facilities and access to those in authority?

The grounds on which the intervention was undertaken deserve careful examination. Under the Imperial Bank Act of 1921, instructions from the Governor-General to the Imperial Bank were specifically limited to safeguarding of Government balances or the financial policy of Government. The defence by the Finance Member of government action interpreted these phrases in the statute in this manner: He expressed himself as "most anxious that

favourable conditions in the money market both in London and in India for borrowing sums needed by government in both markets on better terms....and the good effect which the balancing of the budget had created should not be upset." He seems to have felt, however, that this was too large a proposition to hang on the outstanding current and fixed deposits of the bank amounting to Rs. 7 crores only and that the technical excuse of law was hardly adequate to carry moral conviction. He, therefore, widened his defence, and in doing so widened also the future responsibilities of the government. He represented the step as "most desirable in the interests of Indian Finance and Indian Banking" and also as well calculated "to restore public confidence" and to prevent "causing inconvenience and probably danger to other sound institutions."* If the government admitted in 1913 its obligation to render assistance after a crisis has broken out, the Finance Member completed the admission in 1923 by including prevention as a part of the responsibility.

But there was scepticism in the hearts of those who listened to defence of the Government. Those among them who did not suffer from short memories found the promptitude of the Government on this occasion a very strange contrast to their slovenliness and reluctance in the 1913-14 crisis. How did the Government detect so quickly that the assets of the bank were of sound quality? They reflected that the Bank's clientele was largely official and European and, perhaps, in India such connections were a special advantage to which Indian enterprise may not aspire. Nor did they forget that undisguised hostility to and continuous vilification of Indian banks on the part of European banks and Journals were no mean factor in the 1913-14 situation. The Legislative Assembly conveyed its appraisal of the situation by a successful vote of censure against the Government.

* *Commerre* July 28th 1923.

The situation had changed in several fundamental respects when the Travancore National and Quilon Bank* suspended activities in the middle of 1938. Till 1935, the Government of India with its direct control of currency and its indirect control of credit through the Imperial Bank of India was the one unique authority to deal with emergencies of this kind. In 1935 was created the Reserve Bank of India with statutory duties and responsibilities for the banking stability of the country. The events of 1938 revealed the emergence of still another authority—provincial governments inclined to make provincial autonomy continuous only with the aggregate welfare of their subjects. A bald statement of the course of events connected with the suspension of the Travancore National and Quilon Bank should make clear the confusion of guardianships, which, however, inevitable, has yet to be resolved in the only manner in which it can be resolved, namely, the permeation of one common mind i.e., the Indian mind in all agencies.

The Madras Government initiated consultations with the authorities of the Reserve Bank of India and made suggestions to the Travancore National and Quilon Bank to apply "to the Reserve Bank of India, to undertake an immediate and thorough investigation, through competent officers and accountants appointed by them, into the affairs of the bank and agree to act according to such advice as may be tendered as a result of such investigation for the continuation, re-organisation or liquidation of the bank, whichever course is finally suggested."

In an appeal to the public to remain calm and refuse to be rushed by ill-founded rumours, the Prime Minister informed them that "the Government of Madras are taking steps to secure an immediate examination of the accounts and affairs of the banks in Madras." About two months

later, he felt constrained to issue "on behalf of the Government of Madras" a statement "emphasising the fact that the scheduled banks in Madras are in a perfectly sound position." The statement proceeded: "Even those banks that took help from the Reserve Bank during the recent crisis have cleared off all those accounts. Further, the local Reserve Bank has sanction to give accommodation to these banks, should such accommodation be ever found necessary." In another significant part of the statement, the Prime Minister informed the public: "I am in close touch with the Manager of the local Reserve Bank branch, and issue this statement as a result of the conversations I had with him." •

The establishment of co-operation between provincial Governments and Reserve Bank authorities is a significant precedent. It is a proof that even with all its technical apparatus and its great resources, moral weight and authority such as the Government of Madras supplied on this occasion are no mean factor in the successful fulfilment of the mission of the Reserve Bank. It is difficult to foresee when and in what manner the Reserve Bank will win the requisite confidence of the banks and especially the large public. In the meanwhile, it is a grave question to consider whether expression of opinions which carries with it no specific responsibilities, in case the opinion is unfortunately disproved by events, is a proper means to meet critical situations. While "widespread and insistent demand from all over the province that the Madras Government should do something to reduce the distress caused by the suspension of business" is a natural incidence of the growth of democracy and immaturity of the Reserve Bank and "congratulatory telegrams and letters from all parts of the province expressing great satisfaction with the steps taken to restore the general confidence in the banks of the province and especially with the steps taken in regard to investigation and possible re-construction of the Travancore National

and Quilon Bank" are a signal admission of the part which moral prestige played in the termination of the crisis, the spokesmanship which the Government assumed on this occasion in the place of the Reserve Bank may well prove more than a passing development in the future.

It is well to emphasize that it is not a question of mere initiative and spokesmanship which we have posed above. At some stage, in a crisis like this, questions of judgment as well are bound to be involved. In an early stage of the crisis, the Prime Minister used these significant words: "Whatever the statutory limitations of the Reserve Bank may be, the Government of Madras will exert their utmost influence to induce the Reserve Bank to use all its resources towards re-construction." In other words, the Government felt themselves sufficiently seized of the situation to indicate the lines along which the crisis should be met. Indeed, the Government seem to have even overlooked the existence of another authority which has to be reckoned with in such situations, the ordinary law and law-courts of the land. When the Courts were actually seized of the matter, the Reserve Bank could initiate nothing without their consent and co-operation. The Madras High Court, however, neatly disposed of the status of the conversations which had ensued between the Reserve Bank and the Government in these words. "I am afraid," said the judge, "I do not concur . . . in calling the result of the conversations which have taken place and which are evidenced by the communique of the Government and the letters, an agreement."

While these events were taking place, the Central Government practised a self-effacement which in view of the recent creation of the Reserve Bank is easily accountable. More significantly still, the Government of the State in which the bank was domiciled showed no desire of movement to save the bank.*

* According to the proposed Banking companies Act, 1945, the Central Government, on a representation by the Reserve Bank, or in special emergency, the Governor or Deputy Governor of the Reserve Bank is given power to suspend for 60 days in the first instance

(b) Banking laws contribute to public confidence in two ways. In the first place, they strive to insure, if not sound management, at least immunity from more flagrant abuse of place and power. Secondly, they aim at mitigating harm and distress when banks find themselves in difficulties or have actually to be liquidated. The objective of sound management so far as legislation can secure it will be discussed in the appropriate section. Here, we shall be concerned with the the second objective—the post-mortem protection laws may offer to and the confidence they may consequently inspire in, banks' creditors.

Protection to creditors of a bank could take several forms, ranging from complete to partial immunity from risks. The appropriateness of any form must be judged, not from the standpoint of private interests at stake, but in the main on the basis of its compatibility with banking conditions in the country and its conduciveness to future banking progress. Creditors of an individual bank are offered protection not because of any special claims they can urge as compared with investors in other enterprises. Such protection is only justified by the fact that the failure of one bank is apt to set in motion forces which endanger the whole banking and longer if necessary, the operation of part or parts of the Act, either generally or in relation to an individual bank.

It is clear that this power is intended to deal with grave situations like a run on a bank or banks in general, and relates particularly to clauses requiring non-scheduled banks to maintain a certain minimum cash and all banks to maintain a certain minimum of liquid assets. The exercise of this power is not however free from certain acute difficulties. In the case of a run on an individual bank, suspension of the above-mentioned clauses is justified only when it is certain that the bank deserves to survive and such suspension is likely to help it to survive. If on the contrary, the bank is doomed to fail, such suspension would only mean advantage to the cleverer or more panicky depositors to the detriment of the rest of the depositors. In such a case, immediate closing of the bank is the only just course. It is not clear how in the absence of any provision for continuous contact between the Reserve Bank and individual banks, the Government or the Reserve Bank will be able to form a judgment on this point in a quick manner.

The other case of a general run presents not a few difficulties in the conditions of Indian banking. Our banking system consists of several distinct elements, the Imperial Bank of India, the exchange or foreign banks, the scheduled Indian joint stock banks and non-scheduled Indian joint stock banks. It is more than probable as indeed happened in 1911-12 that a run may be concentrated on one particular element of this system and the pressure for suspension of the Act might become almost irresistible. Yet, it would not be easy to invoke the test cited above and decide whether the particular element is likely to survive if aided by such suspension.

system of a country. The failure of a non-banking enterprise rarely depreciates, much less destroys so completely the solid assets of other cognate enterprises.

The most obvious form of protection would be a scheme to guarantee the deposits of banks. The liabilities under such a scheme could be kept within manageable limits by several precautions. In the first place, it is clear that the scheduled banks do not stand in as urgent a need of such a scheme as those others which are not scheduled and which for a long time must remain outside the direct influence of the Reserve Bank. The fact of scheduling is itself some evidence of their respectability and fair management. On the other hand, the main danger to the structure of Indian banking is likely to ensue from those banks which are individually small but which in the aggregate constitute an important banking problem for this country. The compulsory inclusion of these banks in such a scheme will go a long way towards introducing some Central control and regulation for these banks, and at the same time, limit the liabilities of the scheme. It is instructive to note here that such a guarantee of deposits by the State exists in Switzerland for the cantonal banks which held one-third of the deposits of the country, catered largely for local trades, crafts, and agriculture, and invested 90 per cent of their capital and time deposits in one-half the mortgage loans required by agriculture. In the second place, a progressive limitation of the guarantee for deposits of large size can be introduced. Such limitation of guarantee is justified by the obvious fact that well-to-do persons are much better informed about the relative merits of different banks and that our future progress in banking depends very largely on the confidence our banking system is able to inspire in the small man. So limited, it should be easy to build up a sufficient insurance fund by compulsory contributions from the included banks on some equitable

basis. A certain small percentage of the working resources suggests itself as a device free from odious possibilities.

The most cogent argument against any scheme of insurance is that the stronger and better managed banks do not feel the need of it and tend to look on the contribution as an unnecessary tax, while the weaker and less responsible banks find it an encouragement to their incompetent and reckless methods and policies. Recent experience in other countries, however, proves that countries with highly concentrated banking systems are no less vulnerable to panics than those with diffused banking structures. Apart from that fact, our proposal for insurance of deposits covers as an initial measure only those small banks which are not deemed at present as worthy of the status of scheduled banks. In the course of time, on account of the operation of the banking sections of our amended Company Law, these banks will consist entirely of such as have capital and reserves between 1 and 5 lakhs.

Another form of protection would be to give, in case of insolvency, statutory priority of repayment to a special class or classes of banks' creditors. As in the former case, it is possible to delimit on a progressive scale the benefit of priority to smaller deposits. Such priority may be thought of for instance in favour of fixed deposits and savings deposits. It is true that the loss of the privilege to protect themselves by quick withdrawals in case of danger is supposed to be counterbalanced if not fully indemnified by the higher rates which are offered on such deposits. It is also true that as a measure for restoring public confidence it is the practice of sound and respectable banks not to insist on their undoubted legal rights but to allow withdrawals to the fullest extent. But our proposal concerns only the smaller banks and the depositors of such banks are apt to be persons who require such additional safeguards. Such insurance of deposits may result in the addi-

tional benefit that these banks need not then indulge in highly deleterious competition to attract deposits by the offer of imprudent rates. They may sustain some loss on account of falling-off of current deposits but as things are at present, their dependence on these deposits is limited.

Another form of protection would be to throw the responsibility for it on each individual bank by stipulating the building-up of a reserve fund at a certain pace and up to a certain prescribed maximum. The special banking section of the Indian Company Law of 1936 has incorporated this form of protection. Before declaring a dividend a banking company is required to transfer a sum out of the profits equal to not less than 20 per cent. of such profits to its reserve fund till the amount of the fund is equal to the paid-up capital of the company. This reserve fund has to be invested in Government or trust securities or kept as a deposit with any scheduled bank. This protection is illusory in the case of many small banks which have a record only of continuous losses, particularly in Bengal where, as we have seen, they tend to be very numerous. In the case of other non-scheduled banks, it may be an advantage to relate the maximum reserve prescribed not to the capital but the average of their deposit-liabilities for the three preceding years. Such a stipulation has the obvious justification that the reserve fund is largely intended for the protection of the depositors of the bank and may ensue in a further advantage that the tendency to inflate deposit liabilities out of proportion to the size of the bank will receive an automatic check. The irksomeness of the burden could be made more acceptable if in the case of new banks, the proportion to be abstracted out of profits were prescribed low in the initial years and increased progressively to full 20 per cent. in the 10th or 20th year.

It would of course be possible to combine these several forms of protection in such a manner as to throw more or

less burden on the individual bank, a special class or classes of creditors and depositors, or on particular groups of banks. A distribution of burdens in this manner is likely to make such schemes more acceptable to the banking community.

(c) **The Press and Public Opinion**—The presence of a responsible and discriminating public opinion is one of the most effective safeguards of sound banking. The responsibility of the Press in particular in matters like these which are highly technical to appraise and yet which depend in such a large measure on the opinion and behaviour of the ordinary individual is very grave. In normal times, the Press should be able to exercise a constructive influence by giving well-deserved prominence to whatever is best in the banking system of the country and reproving, more by contrast than by words, whatever falls short of the desired standards. In times of crisis and disturbance, the nation has a right to look to the Press for discriminating self-restraint and leadership. The value of these qualities has been forcibly illustrated by recent contrasting events in the United States and the United Kingdom. It would be difficult to underestimate the part which incessant patriotic propaganda bore in creating a calm confidence in the British depositor in the crisis of September 1931. As a climax to the bewilderment caused by a series of political blunders and discreditable manoeuvring for party advantage, the politicians and the Press successfully hustled the ignorant Britisher into an emotion that the gold standard and the British banking system were of the same sacred order as the British Navy, Royalty and Empire.* In the banking crisis which followed on the heels of England's abandonment of the gold standard, the heterogeneous and less reverent Press of the United States gave a different account of itself. Successive bank-failures were too good sensational news not to be exploited to increase the circulation. When there were no actual failures to report, dark hints foreshadowing

* *The Mechanism of Exchange*, by J. A. Todd (Oxford University ; 3rd Ed.) pp. 190-93.

failures proved no less serviceable. Only censorship could arrest the progress of this reckless, irresponsible and anti-social activity.

The creation of a well-informed, accurate and weighty public opinion on financial and economic matters is one of the most urgent needs of this country. The ultimate basis of sound economic and financial policies must be a public opinion of this kind rather than laws and constitutions. Some progress has been made with the growth of a few financial journals of general economic interest and a few other organs representative of special interests like the Indian Textile Journal, the Journal of the Indian Institute of Bankers, etc. For the rest, ill-staffed newspapers, individual businessmen, economists and chambers of commerce, etc., are in possession of this field.

We have already had occasion to notice the power and danger of the written or spoken word, well-founded or not.† Never were this power and this danger better illustrated than in the banking crisis of 1913-14. That crisis was preceded and in no small measure caused by the prolonged Anglo-Indian newspaper broadsides to which Indian joint stock banks were exposed for two or three years previously. The lead in this hot onset was taken by "Bayard" in the columns of the *Commerce* which published week after week articles from that vitrolic pen, each more spiteful and poisonous than the previous. It is true that many of the enterprises criticised by "Bayard" hardly deserved to be called "banks". But as one peruses criticism piled on criticism by that writer, one cannot but recognise the deliberate venom spouted at all 'Swadeshi' banks as a class.

Lala Harkishan Lal was undoubtedly the central object of the hottest fire of "Bayard". His contemptuous notices of the "Napoleon of Punjab Finance" were reinforced by the *Arya Patrika* of Lahore which obliged the public with the

† See pp. 228-4; 228-9; 228; 250; 255.

information that the directors and auditors of the Peoples Bank were under obligation to Harkishan Lal. When the Peoples Bank fell, Lala Harkishan Lal ascribed the catastrophe with some shadow of justification to the agitation of his enemies in the Press.* Other victims of the incessant ridicule and attacks of "Bayard" were sometimes moved to protest and contradict.† For the vindication of his personal reputation, Lala Harkishan Lal himself had to wait till the publication of the official report of the liquidators.

The failure of the Travancore National and Quilon Bank created in 1938 an equally serious situation in the Madras Presidency. The Prime Minister of Madras described the situation in these words: "Consequent on the suspension of payment by the Travancore National and Quilon Bank, the confidence in the banking system has been shaken among the people of the city. Everybody is inclined, as a result, to cash his deposits in whatever bank they may be." Two months later, despite the efforts of his Government to restore confidence, he was constrained to issue a more direct statement on the subject. "The reported activities of some mischievous persons render it necessary to issue this statement emphasizing the fact that the scheduled banks in Madras are in a perfectly sound position."

While the existence of the evil itself cannot admit of any doubt, it is not easy to suggest a remedy which will be effective and at the same time not unduly restrict legitimate criticism. But while a special law of libel for banks may not be feasible, it is within the range of the practical to make punishments specially deterrent in the case of banks. The same may be suggested for dealing with frivolous legal proceedings which are so frequent in this country.

* Commerce, 19th and 24th September, 1918.

† Thus, the managing director of the Popular Bank of Rawalpindi—"As regards other matters, I may confidently tell you that either the writer is misinformed or he has a malice against the personality of the Managing Director. I may assure you that not an iota of what your correspondent has stated is based on facts."

Commerce, 17th September 1918.

(d) While our banks have a right to expect a proper responsibility in the expression of opinion from the press and individuals, and, should need arise, due protection from public authorities, they owe it to themselves no less than to the country that they should take every precaution to forestall the causes and moderate the effects of serious situations. There are three possible sources of embarrassment against which the banks will be wise in arming themselves.

Nothing is less likely to hinder the enduring interests of a bank than undue obscurity and concealment of its affairs. Confidence is no doubt slow to grow. But clear and informative balance sheets are certainly a good means to create it. In our analysis of balance sheets, we shall indicate several directions in which they could be improved. It is true that the public is as a rule ill-qualified to appreciate the merits of a balance sheet, that an isolated balance sheet is like an event in the biography of an individual which is understandable only in the light of the past, that the public rarely waits to compare and to study, particularly when distress and adversity are feared. But this only means that banks must endeavour to reach down to the level of public intelligence and that efforts must be sustained over long spells of time. The belief that credit depends on trust and therefore, the less the public knows, the more they must trust, belongs to the more crude and ungainly phases of capitalism now repudiated by all sane business.

In this matter of confidence, there are at least three parties directly involved, the shareholders of each bank, its depositors and the whole community of depositors in general. Present practice recognises the claims for information and control only of the shareholders. Yet the confidence of the other two classes is in no less degree a vital condition of the future progress of banking in this country.

One recently established bank has already set an example, by making room on the Board of Directors for a depo-

sitors' director. In the case of the bigger banks, it may not perhaps be feasible to give such representation to all depositors small and big. But if representation were given to those who maintain an average balance above a certain minimum and the list were revised every three years, the step should go a long way towards enhancement of public confidence. It would, however, be unjustifiable to exaggerate the virtue of such representation. It is the settled practice of shareholders to take no interest in their concerns when profits are good and to reserve their combativeness and bellicosity for times of stress and difficulty. There is no reason to presume that depositors as scattered through the several branches of a bank as shareholders will be better able to discharge their responsibilities. Their representation is more likely to be merely symbolic but even symbolism has its special advantages in these spheres of human life.

It is when we think of the confidence of the public and the banking community in the banking system as a whole that the problem presents itself in its proper perspective. Public confidence can rest only on the impartial opinion of some public authority or, in ordinary times, on the belief of the public that their interests are being watched by some competent authority. There are only two authorities which we could conceive of in this connection—the Government of the country and the Reserve Bank of India. The intervention of Government can be, as we have already observed, only an emergency and last-stage resort. In all other circumstances and stages, the power and leadership belong naturally to the Central bank of the country. Unfortunately, the recent world-wide crisis has revealed that central banks as constituted at present are incapable of helping themselves or individual banks. The crisis proved that the more recently created banks have yet to acquire the authority and public confidence which are the outcome of slow historical evolution; secondly, that

their legal powers while suited for ordinary times, could not be quickly adapted for any bold or heterodox innovations; thirdly, that their executive is not qualified to assume the full weight of national interests. The creation of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporations in the United States, the creation of special authorities like the Reich Commissioner for Credit in Germany, Inspector of Banks in Italy, etc., testify to the loss of initiative by central banks and their subordination to Government as an experienced and valuable advisor. In the creation and management of Exchange Equalisation Funds, the Governments have actually undertaken banking functions in which again the role of central banks is largely advisory and consultative. These developments should warn us against looking to *a priori* principles of central banking and laws based on them rather than to expert knowledge and devotion to national interests in the executive of the Reserve Bank for the future progress of our banking system. The powers of the Bank may have to be extended in such wise that it has full means to avert more extreme developments and when they cannot be averted, to meet them in an effective manner. Ex-officio representation of the Reserve Bank as observer on the Boards of Management of the scheduled banks, powers to obtain compulsory returns and institute examinations of affairs, the concentration of all banking-audit and even other audit in a special department of the Bank—these are some of the directions in which the present organisation and powers of the Reserve Bank could well be expanded.

If the public in this country has yet to learn to look on our banks as national institutions, the banks on their part owe it to the country no less than to themselves that they should avoid all communal or sectarian affiliations. Bitter experience has proved again and again that in the course of these conflicts no institution escapes their ravages.

The contending parties carry their war sooner or later into every institution which is or is believed to be a stronghold of the opposing parties. By their very nature, the clientele of banks, whether depositors or borrowers, is very cosmopolitan which makes their position delicate and vulnerable. A run on a bank by any large section of its creditors must develop sooner or later into a general run in which other people in no way interested in the conflicts, indeed, even the sectarian supporters of the banks themselves, participate. Sinister warnings on this point are not lacking in the history of certain institutions and even banking furnishes certain examples. A close connection between British political power and British commercial enterprise is a postulate of Indian attitude on many economic questions and in banking, the opinion held about the past policies of the Imperial Bank or about the real motive behind the prompt assistance given to the Alliance Bank of Simla illustrates the point. Several other examples of the same kind have been already met with in our account of the history of Indian banks or the history of bank failures.

Low Level of Incomes

No other single cause is more responsible for the slow growth of the banking habit than the general poverty of the people. Figures relating to the number of assesseees of Income-tax and the average size of the incomes, the number of depositors in postal savings banks and the average deposit per head, etc., testify amply to the narrow basis on which the banking habit has to be reared. The extension of branches, it has been already recorded, has caused a progressive decline in the average volume of resources available per branch. In other words, every advance in the creation of banking facilities must in future be secured in spite of a progressive decline in the income-level of depositors. It is indeed a common experience even now that many people, high in educational or social status have to forgo the pride or convenience of a bank-account

because of sheer inability to maintain a decent balance at the bank.

The banks on their part cannot claim that they have reached a proper balance between income-levels in this country and their conditions for opening accounts or giving charge-free use of banking services. In prescribing a minimum initial deposit, banks aim at assuring at certain financial status in their depositors. In stipulating the maintenance of a certain minimum average balance, they aim at securing payment for the costs of banking business and some margin of profit. It seems that these conditions tend to be onerous for most incomes in this country. Many important banks require as high an initial deposit as Rs. 300 to 500. Some banks which require an initial deposit of Rs. 300 or more expect a credit balance of not less than Rs. 100 to be maintained in the course of the relationship. These figures appear unduly high when compared with certain stray evidence of the average size of accounts in this country. It is likely that even for the bigger banks the average volume of balance per current account is no more than Rs. 1,000 at the most. From the experience of other countries, particularly the United States, it may be presumed that the bulk of current deposits of a bank is made up by a few big accounts while the greater number of current-accounts consists of small and even unprofitable accounts.

Statistics relating to postal savings bank deposits may be helpful in fixing the range of our estimates on this subject. The postal savings bank deposit per head moved in the neighbourhood of Rs. 120 till 1920 and with the growth of wealth in recent years, the figure has improved to Rs. 190 in the last few years. If these savings were estimated to cover a period of life of ten years on the average, it gives us an annual contribution of about Rs. 20 in round figures. Even if these 42 lakhs and odd account holders were assumed to save only 5 per cent. of their incomes in this manner, this should mean an annual income

or expenditure per family of about Rs. 400. It is probable that postal savings bank depositors are drawn from much poorer strata of society than those on which our banking system must rely for the supply of its resources. Nevertheless, these figures illustrate the narrow basis of banking in this country and the need for revising some of our banking practices in harmony with our economic environment.*

Of course, banks as profit-earning concerns cannot approach the subject from the side of income-levels alone. They have perforce to take into account the profitability of each individual relationship. The direct profitability of an account must vary according to its average size from year to year, the number of cheques drawn on it on the average, i.e. its activity, and the cost of handling each cheque. It is clear that reliable estimates of these factors involve elaborate and painstaking accounting analysis. It has been estimated in the United States, for example, that the cost of handling a cheque works out on the average at 3 cents. It would be strange if in India this cost is above 1 anna per cheque and most probably, it is nearer to half anna. If an average balance of Rs. 100 in an account earns for a bank 2 per cent. per annum, it might mean loss to the bank if more than 32 cheques per annum or more

* Central Bank of India.

	Number of current Accounts.	Current and Fixed Deposits (lakhs)	Average Current and fixed deposit per current Account.
1914 December ...	1,560	80	Rs. 1,000
1915 June ...	1,700	48	" 2,800
1915 December ...	2,000	50	" 2,500

In 1912, more than 2/3rd of total deposit liabilities were accounted for by fixed deposits—the average volume per account of which is generally smaller than in the case of current accounts and the bulk is made up by many small accounts. By 1921, fixed and saving deposits fell to about half of total deposit liabilities.

Imperial Bank. Current Accounts.

(Branches in C. P. and Berar)

	Number	Rs. Total (000)	Average amount per Account.
December 1925...	1,584	8,281	2,100
1929...	2,190	5,150	2,800

Of 80,000 and odd British India creditors of the Travancore National and Quilon Bank, 20,000 and more were reported to be for Rs. 50 and under. Presumably, most of these accounts were fixed deposits. The aggregate liabilities, fixed and current, amounted to Rs. 242 lakhs. Assuming that the bulk of current deposits had been withdrawn by that date, this works out at Rs. 800 per account for fixed deposits.

In 1940, another bank operating in the same area disclosed an average of Rs. 864 per fixed deposit account, Rs. 145 per current account and Rs. 46 per savings account.

In 1941, the Devikaran Nanjee Bank of Bombay disclosed an average of Rs. 1,100 per fixed and current account taken together.

than three cheques per month were allowed to be drawn on it free of charge. Of course, for a final estimate of the profitability of an account, other incidental advantages like loans borrowed by the customer, his safe custody business, his advertisement value, etc., have also to be taken into account. The fact that as high a percentage as 57 of the total accounts in the United States is reported to be unprofitable to the banks proves the extent to which banking facilities may be based on considerations other than direct profit. It may be perhaps feasible for Indian banks to frame schedules of the number of cheques they are prepared to allow free of charge for accounts of varying sizes and to make a service charge for any excess above the minimum number. The present insistence on a large initial deposit seems to be out of harmony with the economic conditions of a country in which gazetted officers of Government start with salaries of less than Rs. 200 and in a few cases with Rs. 300 to Rs. 400. The changes suggested above must be preceded by scientific inquiries and should, when effected, go a long way towards attracting smaller folk into the banking system.

Ignorance and Illiteracy

Illiteracy and absence of education in general cannot but be grave obstacles to the growth of economic habits and modes of business which presuppose ability to write and affix signature to cheques, follow the purport of rules, documents, etc. It is, however, possible to exaggerate the significance of these obstacles to the spread of the banking habit. The illiteracy and ignorance which are relevant to this problem are the illiteracy and ignorance of those only who reach the minimum income-level presupposed in a bank-account. Considered in this light, it is very doubtful whether the obstacle really operates in the case of more than an insignificant fraction of those who can afford to have a bank account. The assesseees to the income-tax which covers all non-agricultural incomes did not exceed 700 thousand in the year 1935-36 when the minimum assessable was Rs. 1,000. The number of persons

who live primarily on rent of agricultural land was returned by the last Census at 32½ lakhs only.* If the Travancore National and Quilon Bank were taken to represent average banking conditions in 1937 and Rs. 1,000 were taken as the mean size of deposits for all depositors of the Indian Banking system, the aggregate number of depositors would not exceed 30 lakhs in that year. This number may be compared with the number of account holders in the postal savings banks which ran into about 35 lakhs in the most recent return. The number of literates themselves was returned in 1931 at 281 lakhs for both males and females. These figures and the general experience that illiteracy and poverty are as a rule inseparable twins indicate that these particular obstacles are much overstated. Nevertheless, since every effort must be made to build up the banking habit, the extension of the use of vernaculars for all banking purposes deserves to be attempted in all favourable circumstances.†

II

BANKING LAWS

The causes inspiring banking legislation vary according to the history and circumstances of each country. Much of the legislation in the United States, and, to a limited extent in England, was motivated by a desire to regulate and assure the redeemability of note-issues. With the assumption of the note-issue by the Government in 1861, such a cause for banking legislation ceased to exist in India. The monetary and exchange difficulties of the post-1920 era induced many countries, new and old, to undertake banking legislation bearing chiefly on the powers and functions of central banks. It was not till the Great Depression and banking crisis of 1929-33 that the law was invoked almost everywhere and on a sweeping scale as a remedy for almost every conceivable banking ill.

The desire for banking legislation in India is to be traced to the one great banking crisis which this country faced

* i.e. "workers" only.

† The minimum for assessment to income-tax from 1936-37 is Rs. 2,000 per annum and in that year the assesses were 373 thousand only.

in 1913-14. The objective aimed at has been the protection of the public against its own ignorance, protection against the ignorance or dishonesty of those who float or manage banks and finally the protection of Indian banking generally against powerful foreign competition. The enactment of the Reserve Bank Act was due, as we have recorded, to the anxiety of British commercial and political interests to remove monetary and currency questions beyond the reach of Indian political influence.

Form of Legislation

The ends of banking legislation have been secured in different ways in different countries. Some countries have preferred to introduce special clauses bearing on banks in their general law relating to joint-stock enterprise. Others have promulgated special banking laws. A few have special laws which include in their purview not merely banks but other allied and cognate concerns as well.

Till 1936, India had no banking legislation of any kind. Banks like other concerns had to conform to the general requirements of Indian company laws. The Act of 1936 made the first and a very significant departure. Part X-A was devoted exclusively to banks and was meant to be merely a precursor of a special law on the whole subject.

The first effort at legislation on the subject of joint-stock enterprise in general was the Joint Stock Companies Act of 1850. This Act made members of a company registered under the Act liable for the debts of the company in proportion to their holdings. The law conferred on companies legal personality, prescribed for them audited balance-sheets and profit and loss accounts and made provision for their winding-up. The Act of 1857 created limited liability for all companies except those for banking and insurance. The Act of 1860 removed the exceptions. These various enactments were later consolidated into one statute—the Companies Act of 1866. Although amendments were made now and then e.g. in 1892, a reconstruction of the statute was executed only in 1913. The law which governs this

subject at present is the great enactment of 1936, the Indian Companies (Amendment) Act 1936.*

Law Relating to Balance-Sheets of Banks†

In the present era of joint-stock enterprise, the legally enforced publication of balance sheets is no doubt the greatest safeguard against flagrant types of financial dishonesty or incompetence. From 1866 onwards, the laws relating to

* To understand the genesis of much of this legislation, it is necessary to bear in mind the course of legislation in England. The Act of 1856 allowed partnerships with more than 6 members outside a radius of 65 miles from London to issue notes and to sue and be sued in the name of the bank. The Acts of 1858-60 and 1864 confer corporate character on all joint-stock banks and provide for some details of a general company law. In 1855, limited liability was permitted to trading companies but not to banks. In 1858 banks were allowed to register as limited liability companies after notice to shareholders.

† A Specimen Balance-Sheet.

Liabilities :		Assets :	
Authorised, Issued and Subscribed Capital Paid-up Capital :—(1) Reserve Fund :— Reserve for Contingencies :—(2)		Cash Credits and Demand Advances :—(7) Loans :— Bills Discounted and Purchased :—	
Deposits :— Fixed, Short and Savings Bank :—(8) Current and Contingency Accounts :—(4) Rebate on Bills Discounted and Treasury bills, notes due :—(5)		Particulars required by Act VII of 1913 :— (i) Debts good and fully secured ; (in which Director is concerned) (Total maximum balances in the year) (ii) Debts good and secured by liability of other persons. (bills discounted) (due from joint-stock co. with agent's guarantee, a bank director being concerned) (iii) Debts good but secured by debtor's liability ; (iv) (v), (vi), (vii) Debts doubtful, bad, to directors personally, due from other officers, etc.	
Profit and Loss Account :— (i) Balance from last year :— (ii) Profit from the current year :— (iii) Less income-tax, etc. :—		Landed Property below cost :— Investments at or below market rates :— Bullion, Government security, Improvement Trust and Municipal bonds, joint-stock company debentures and shares ; Government of India Treasury Bills :— Cash in hand and at Bankers in Current Account :—	
Contingent Liabilities :—(6)			

- (1) It is rarely the practice of Indian banks to maintain a part as uncalled reserve liability to be called up in case of liquidation as in England.
- (2) This is a reserve against those liabilities which may materialise after the preparation of the balance-sheet, e.g. re. bills discounted, guarantees, unfinished contracts, pending actions.
- (3) The usual period for fixed deposits is 1 year! 'Short deposits' are a feature of financial centres like Bombay and Calcutta.
- (4) Contingency Accounts :—These are hidden reserves, provision for bad and doubtful debts, depreciation of investments, and many other unknown items.
- (5) Rebate is allowance made for discount received but not earned since the maturity of the bills concerned extends beyond the date of closing the balance sheet.
- (6) These are liabilities which are contingent on the happening of events which may or may not happen, e.g. as regards bills discounted, guarantees, unfinished contracts, actions in court, etc.
- (7) A cash credit is fixed as a maximum against promissory notes, two sureties, hypothecation of stocks. Interest is charged only on the amount actually used but interest on a minimum balance has to be paid. The facility is terminable at any time. Demand advances are also repayable at any time but as a rule notice is given.

joint-stock enterprise have endeavoured to make this safeguard as strict and effective as possible. Unfortunately, from the standpoint of banks, these efforts have laboured under a grave drawback. The Indian Company Law has always adhered to one general form of balance-sheet to which all companies are expected to conform. Any special provisions for banks as such have been incidental rather than conceived on a comprehensive and well-laid plan. This has meant a good deal of undesirable latitude and a good deal of unnecessary obscurity. As Buckley J. defined it in *Newton v. Birmingham Small Arms Co.*, "the purpose of the balance sheet is primarily to show that the financial position of the company is at least as good as there stated, not to show that this is not be better". It is doubtful whether the present form of our balance sheets achieves this desideratum of cautious prudence. The construction of a special form of balance-sheet for banks is one of the most urgently needed reforms†

The Indian Companies Act 1866 required banks and other cognate concerns on a limited basis to publish, in a summary form, statements of their assets and liabilities twice a year. Except for inconsequential alterations of dates, the form of 1866 has been rigidly adhered to in all subsequent acts and amendments.* As avowed summaries, they have significance only in the light of the balance-sheets of which they are the forerunners or successors.

The Act of 1866 required that a balance-sheet of the property and liabilities of the company should be made out† once at least in every year. The Act of 1913 amplified the object of the summary which must give particulars so as to

† Cf. McMillan Report Appendix I.

* Act of 1866—as on 1st day of January or July, to be published on 1st Monday in February and 1st Monday in August.

Act 1913—as on 31st day of December or 30th of June—to be published on 1st Monday in February and 1st Monday in August.

Act 1886—same as 1913-A.

† Act 1866, S. 46.

disclose the general nature of the liabilities and assets and how the value of the fixed assets has been arrived.*

The Act of 1936 made no alterations in the particulars stated above. But it made one important addition. When a company holds shares of a subsidiary company, the balance-sheet of the holding company must contain as an annexure the last audited balance-sheet, profit and loss account and auditor's report of the subsidiary company or companies as well.

In the case of institutions like banks whose business is much influenced by the course of the seasons, the time of publication of the balance-sheets is obviously a very important matter. Unfortunately, there is no uniformity of practice on this point yet. Most Indian joint-stock banks publish their balance-sheets as at the end of June and December. But there are some exceptions. The Union Bank of India, and the Allahabad Bank of India after its affiliation to the P. & O. Banking Corporation, prefer to state their accounts as on 31st day of March. Apart from the difficulties of compiling a general statement for Indian banks as such, there is also the danger that such divergences of practice may encourage window dressing by means of temporary transfers of cash deposits to needy banks.

There is also a good deal of varying practice about the publication of profit and loss accounts. Many Indian banks e.g., Bank of India, Allahabad Bank, Bank of Baroda, Bank of Mysore, the Central Bank of India, etc., publish along with their December balance-sheets yearly accounts of profit and loss. But the Indian Bank and the Punjab National Bank publish only half-yearly accounts at a time. The Imperial Bank adhered to the latter practice till 1933. From that year, it began to publish its aggregate yearly profits but they are stated unlike other banks as from June to June.

The Table A to the Act of 1866 prescribed the form of balance-sheet which companies were required to conform

* *Galloway v. Schill and Co.*, 1912, 2KB 254 and 1927, 20 Bom. L. R. 722.

to as near as circumstances admitted. It is noteworthy that while in England the form was omitted in the subsequent Act of 1908, our Act of 1913 continued to adhere to the form with certain amplifications. These amplifications deserve to be noted as bringing out the limitations of the law as it existed before 1913.

Capital.—The Indian Company Act 1866 required a statement of the number of shares and the amount paid per share. The 1913 Act changed this to a statement per share and in the aggregate, of the authorised, issued, subscribed and paid-up capital under each separate head. The change was useful not merely as enabling an easier understanding of the owned resources of the company and resources which could be evoked at a short notice but served also as a constant reminder of the proportions which the three magnitudes bore to each other and therefore as a check on irresponsible or fraudulent devices meant to impress public ignorance with mere paper figures.

The amendment of 1936 distinguishes between various classes of capital. Shares issued as fully-paid-up but without receiving any cash for them have to be stated separately from those for which cash payments are to be realised. Under the head "calls unpaid" the amount due from the managing agents has to be separated from dues to be realised from others. As will be noted presently, the Act prohibits managing agency system in banking business.

Reserve Funds.—The Indian Company Act 1866 had only one head—Reserve Fund. It was explained as showing the amount set aside from profits to meet contingencies. The 1913 successor adds several other funds of what it then described as "Reserve Fund or Development Funds." These new funds were: any sinking funds, and any other fund created out of net profits.

This head again has revealed a good deal of divergent practice in balance-sheets. The Punjab National Bank, for example, states separately Funds for Contingencies and

Funds against Depreciation of Investments. The Indian Bank follows the same practice. Other banks find need for other ingenuities.

Debts and Liabilities.—The Indian Company Act 1866 had an omnibus head "Debts and Liabilities" to show firstly, amounts of loans or debenture bonds and secondly, amount of debts owing by the company distinguished under several specific and one miscellaneous sub-head. The fixed and other deposits of banks fell naturally under the last miscellaneous sub-head which called for no details.

The amendment of 1913 split the second part of the omnibus "Debts and Liabilities" mentioned above into several independent heads with slight changes of description. The most significant change was the creation of two new independent heads, namely loans otherwise secured (stating the nature of security) and loans unsecured which now took the place of the last miscellaneous sub-head mentioned above. The head "unsecured loans" now covered the deposits of banks. Again no specific details were prescribed by the Act.

The 1936 Act specifies several details under the head Loans (a) secured and (b) unsecured. Under the latter head occur the important items—loans from banks, fixed deposits, short-term loans, liabilities to subsidiary companies, etc.

The balance-sheets of Indian Banks do not observe any uniform practice regarding deposits. The Imperial Bank of India and among the smaller banks, the Indian Bank and the Union Bank of India do not separate fixed and current deposits. Those banks which separate them act presumably on no uniform definition of fixed deposits or "short" deposits.

Again, banks which state current and fixed deposits separately are not agreed on the status of saving deposits. The Allahabad Bank and Bank of Mysore have adopted the sensible course of stating their savings deposits under an

independent head. In view of the rapid growth of these deposits in recent years, this practice is to be much commended. Alone among important banks, the Bank of India follows the less objectionable course of putting savings deposits together with fixed deposits. The Central Bank and the Bank of Baroda lump them with current accounts. The undesirability of such varying practices is thrown into bold relief by the behaviour of the Punjab National Bank on this point. Till 1936, savings accounts were combined with current accounts. For unexplained reasons, they were thereafter placed with fixed deposits.

So long as savings deposits formed but an insignificant part of a bank's borrowed resources, this variety of practice could not cause much misinterpretation of the situation of any bank. But as the figures relating to the Allahabad Bank and Mysore Bank suggest, their importance has grown strikingly in recent years.* Indeed, this development is not exceptional to India but has been observed in many other countries like the United States, etc. It must conduce to greater clarity and more accurate interpretation if all banks followed the practice of these two banks. In the alternative, a uniform procedure of combining them with fixed deposits is to be preferred as being more logical.

The changes in the other heads of the 1866 Act, namely, Profit and Loss, Contingent Liabilities and creation of a new head, namely Advanced Payments and Unexpired Discount do not need any special comment.

Property held by Company.—We may now turn to the assets side. The first head under the Act of 1866 is "property held by company," which is distinguished as immovable property and movable property. The cost was to be stated with deductions for deterioration in value as charged to the Reserve Fund, or Profit and Loss. The 1913 Act drops the distinction between movable and immovable property, combining the two as "Fixed capital expenditure"

* Pp. 24-6; 248; 255; Tables XXI, XXII, XXV, XXVI.

• Q 7933-35, Minutes of Evidence, Hilton-Young Commission.

The Act of 1936 added a significant clause that once sums have been written off on a reduction of capital or revaluation of assets, subsequent balance-sheets must show the reduced figures.

Book-Debts.—A very important head in the Act of 1866 was "debts owing to the company" corresponding to the head "book-debts" in the Act of 1913.

The Act of 1866 distinguished first "debts considered good for which the company hold bills or other securities." In the Bank of Burma case, Mr. Justice Twomey explained the wide significance of the clause as it stood in the Act. The sole pro-note of the debtor was enough to make the debt one with security to support it. It did not matter if the security, when there was any, was grossly inadequate—the Act did not require full security to justify inclusion under this head. No line was drawn between good and bad security and even when the security depreciated, the debt may still be classed under this head as a secured debt. True, reputable accountants developed a practice of classing under this head only those debts or those portions of debts which were fully secured. But the Act itself did not lead to such a construction.

The Indian Company Act of 1913 terminated this vagueness. In the case of a bank, it distinguished those debts which are fully secured from those which are good but for which the bank holds no security other than the debtors' personal security.

The next head under the Act of 1866 was debts considered good for which the company had no security. The Act of 1913 continues this head of "good debts." The definition of a good debt is obviously a difficult point. In the case cited above, the judge described it as a business term to be interpreted in the light of relevant business standards and declined to lay down any definition of his own.

The third sub-head under the Act of 1866 was "debts considered bad and doubtful."

This head was a natural embarrassment to banks. Acknowledgment of debts of this kind was inevitably looked on as an invitation to suspicion and loss of confidence. Bankers and auditors all over the country developed practices according to which these debts were placed in the class 'good debts' on condition that a secret reserve or contingent fund was created on the liabilities side to the full amount of the debts. As was emphasised in the Bank of Burma case cited above, absence of a reserve meant that the debt must be specifically shown under the bad and doubtful category either to the full extent or to the extent of the difference between the amount of the debt and the amount of the provision against it.

Although no dishonesty could be imputed to such a practice, it was certainly not a strict and correct compliance with the law. The Act of 1913 retained this sub-head in its original form F, adding the significant words "in all cases," i.e. in the case of all companies including a bank. In the Central Bank of India case (1927), the question of interpretation was disposed of in the only valid manner possible. "Book-debts" can only mean debts owing to the company and so shown in the books of the company. Unless a debt was actually written off, it should have its proper place on the assets side. The fact that chances of recovery were non-existent or that a reserve was held in hand against it could not make it less of a debt.

The Act of 1913 had added in this connection one more obligation on the liabilities side which found no place in the Act of 1866. There occurred the head "Provision for Bad and Doubtful Debts" which again made it necessary that when the reserve was invoked to meet such debts, the amount should be revealed under this head and not hidden from the public eye.

•After the decision in the Central Bank case, a notification of 1927 gave legal status to the practice of bankers and auditors described above. Banks were now relieved of the embarrassment of the sub-head "Provision for Bad and

Doubtful Debts," on the liability side altogether and on the assets side they were not required to state their bad and doubtful debts when provision was made against them to the satisfaction of the auditors. It is clear that the amendment throws an unambiguous duty on the auditors to assure themselves that all bad and doubtful debts which do not appear in the balance-sheet are reserved against.

The Act of 1936 cancelled the notification of 1927. Doubtful and bad debts were to be so shown and "Provision for Bad and Doubtful Debts" reappeared once more on the assets side. But this change appears to have been due to mere inadvertence. Early in 1937, the Governor-General-in-Council directed that alterations be made in Form F in the III Schedule of the Company Act restoring the notification of 1927 and the law was subsequently amended in the sense of this notification which a special bench of the Bombay High Court declared ultra vires on 2-9-43. In the Column headed "Capital and Liabilities," banks were relieved of the necessity of showing "Provision for Bad and Doubtful Debts" and in the Column "Property and Assets" the sub-head "Book Debts" was qualified with the addition of the words and brackets "(Other than Bad and Doubtful Debts of a Bank for which Provision has been made to the Satisfaction of the Auditors.)"

The last sub-head is "Debts due by Directors and other Officers etc." The Act of 1866 had a head which ran: "Any debt due from a director or other officer of the company to be separately stated." In order to include debts held by these parties conjointly with others, the 1913 Act adopted the more comprehensive description. Under the old sub-head, each individual name and amount were required to be disclosed. But it is not clear whether the new Act presumes a continuation of the old practice or requires only the total amounts of such debts.

Cash and Investments.—With regard to investments, the 1866 Act required a statement merely of their nature and rate of interest. The 1913 Act separated the two heads

"Cash" and "Investments" and with regard to the latter required that the mode of valuation e.g., whether at cost or market value should be stated. The Interest on Investments was placed under a separate head of its own.

The 1936 Act requires details under the head Investments. Government or trust securities, investments in shares, debentures and bonds, investments in scrips of subsidiary companies, investments in immoveable properties have to be separately distinguished.

The treatment of "Cash" varies in actual practice from bank to bank. Some banks e.g. the Allahabad Bank include call-money under Cash. The Central Bank and the Bank of Baroda state call-money separately. The Punjab National Bank includes under Cash drafts in hand as well. It is obvious that the last named practice tends to inflate unnecessarily both the cash and deposits of the aggregate banking system. With less scrupulous banks, the practice may lead to more dangerous consequence. In the case of the Travancore National and Quilon Bank, it was found that "entries in bank accounts were manipulated at the end of the financial year by drawing bogus cheques and then reversing them at the beginning of the next year evidently with the object of concealing the real financial condition of the bank and to make it appear in the balance-sheet that the Bank was earning a profit."

Advances: Bills of Exchange.—The 1913 Act created these two new heads which had no place under the former Act.

Under Advances, the 1936 Act distinguishes loans given to subsidiary companies and loans to directors and managers.

Most Indian joint stock banks state separately Cash Credits, Demand Advances and Overdrafts on the one hand and loans on the other. But the Punjab National Bank and the Indian Bank put these items under one omnibus head. The Union Bank which followed the former practice till 1936 began to lump the items together thereafter.

Interest Accrued on Investment.—The inclusion of a sum which was never paid and was never likely to be paid

amounts to a false statement involving criminal liability. It has been held however that a bank is entitled as a matter of course "to reckon as profit the unpaid interest on any debt which is honestly considered to be a good debt."* In another case of this kind it was laid down that to justify payment out of profits of this kind, the directors should have satisfied themselves that the debtor "is a man whose liability is as good as cash."

Profit and Loss Account.—Banks in India differ very much in the amount of information they give in their Profit and Loss Accounts. The Bank of India, Bank of Baroda, Bank of Mysore, Union Bank and the Imperial Bank of India give only their gross profits i.e., the difference between their aggregate earnings and interest paid by them to depositors, etc. The Allahabad Bank, Indian Bank, the Punjab National Bank, etc., state both the aggregate earnings and the interest paid. All banks give of course their expenses and their net profits. The items of expenditure are not however arranged on the same plan—although fortunately the important item "salaries" is invariably stated separately. Alone among the leading banks, the Central Bank of India gives, in addition to all this information, the interest paid on fixed and current deposits separately. For the earlier years, it used to supply the aggregate number of its account-holders. It is clear that a standardization of this information will be a great aid to the understanding of the economy, efficiency and general tendencies both of individual banks and the banking system as a whole.†

Law relating to Officers of Banks‡

The law holds directors and officers of a company crimi-

* 1930, 124 Ind. Cas. 999 (Sind) re. Karachi Bank.

† Cf. "Now the figures shown in the bank balance-sheets are neither exhaustive nor so detailed as those published when the joint-stock bankers were facing the keen competition of private houses. In those days gross profit, net profit, and working expenses were all revealed by the majority of joint-stock banks. Now, only net profits are shown." *The Amalgamation Movement in British Banking*, by J. Sykes, p. 127.

‡ The proposed Banking Companies Act prohibits management of a bank by managing agents, or persons claiming commission or share in the profits, or a person claiming a disproportionate remuneration, or a person with a contract of management of more than 5 years at a time.

What is disproportionate remuneration? If it is defined as what an individual could obtain in an alternative employment in a free competitive market, the number of guinea pigs and adventurers who will be thrown out of not merely banks but many public concerns should reach an amazing figure.

nally liable for false statements under three conditions. In the first place, the statement must be false in some material particular. Secondly, the statement should have occurred in one of the documents like balance-sheet, prospectus, etc., required for the purposes of the Indian Company Law. Finally, the statement should have been made wilfully, knowing it to be false.*

The falsity of a document may lie in its general tenour, although no specific statement in it is false. When mere technical point or points regarding correct or incorrect accounting are involved, no wilful dishonesty can be imputed to the statements.

The civil liability of officers of a company is fixed by Section 235 of Act 1866, Act 1913 and Act 1936. Officers of a company are made answerable for misfeasance or breach of trust which includes breach of duty whether as an act of commission or omission. The misfeasance need not be a fraud i.e. involve moral censure. To fall under this section, the misfeasance or breach of duty must result in pecuniary loss and the applicant must have a direct pecuniary interest in the success of the application. For purposes of this section, an auditor appointed by a general meeting of the shareholders is an officer in the company.

Innocent mistakes or mistakes of judgment even though they may be so gross as to appear absurd and ridiculous involve no liability. To make officers liable, the imprudence must amount to gross negligence i.e. absence of care such as a reasonable man might take and not absence of all possible care. Non-attendance at board meetings would not necessarily be negligence. By section 86 F of 1936 Act, absence, deliberate and without the leave of directors from three consecutive meetings or for a period of 3 months, whichever is longer, is reckoned among causes requiring the vacating of director's office. The directors are justified in trusting the accredited officers of the company but not to the exclusion of the exercise of their own judgment.

* 1918, 22 I. C. 432 (L. Bur.) Re. Bank of Burma Case.

A few decided cases should illustrate the kind of causes which might raise the issue of liability with special reference to banking. In the Union Bank case,* it was held that "acting blindfold", putting "blind faith" in the ability of a manager, "submitting their judgment to the disposal of a manager" made directors liable. Further, a distinction was drawn between banking and other business. In other business, to keep a watch and check on a fluctuating stock of goods like yarn was impracticable. But the directors of a bank could and should see that bank funds are not offered to worthless debtors. In the Sholapur Bank case,* the directors who exercised no supervision or control over the managing agents were held recklessly careless and grossly negligent. The 1913 Act permitted articles which could indemnify directors against any loss or damage except that caused by their dishonesty. But, in this case, such articles though enacted did not avail them. An overdraft to a customer does not ordinarily make directors liable for loss. But if a part of the overdraft is received by the directors as creditors of the customers, they must refund it. Directors, it has been suggested, must inform themselves of the purpose before they sign cheques. To continue to carry on business and incur debts when there is no reasonable prospect of the creditor ever receiving repayment has been suggested as proof of an intent to defraud. The application of such a principle means in regard to receipt of deposits, etc., obvious difficulties for officers of banks which are on the decline but not quite despaired of.

The 1913 Act had also enacted that the Indian Limitation Act applied to applications made under this section. In actual practice, the widest divergence of opinion prevailed as to the date from which the limitation began to run. The date of liquidation, date of misfeasance or, as in the case of Bombay, date of the first ascertainment of the loss by the company were taken as the point from which the limitation

began to run and the period was variously two years, three years or six years (Bombay) under different sections of the Act. The Act of 1936 terminated the confusion by prescribing 3 years which should run from the date of appointment of liquidator or misfeasance, whichever gives the longer period.

No single cause has been more responsible for the difficulties of banks in India than the dishonesty or incompetency of their management, particularly the directors. Yet, no cause is more intractable to human remedy. The law can be of use here only in a post-mortem manner. The fact is that the qualifications to be sought in directors are of such a diverse character that any legal definitions or disqualifications are impracticable. General or administrative experience, technical ability, ability to introduce business, local knowledge or influence, control over other businesses—these are some of the qualities which open the way to directorship. Yet, there can be little doubt that much less worthy qualifications play too often a decisive part in the selections. Concentration of power in a few hands or narrow social groups and cliques, interlocking of interests to a dangerous extent, predominance of old age or the hereditary principle, these are some of the crying evils of company management even in most advanced countries like England. Unfortunately, while it is easy to state the evils, it is not easy to suggest remedies. The vigilance of shareholders, which in practice is only a counsel of perfection, high standard of business morality and public character which are always slow to take root and grow, particularly in a confused and heterogeneous soil like that of India—these are the only ultimate basis of our so-called financial democracy of joint stock enterprises. In the meanwhile, it may be feasible to give the Reserve Bank ex-officio representation on the boards of scheduled and certain non-scheduled banks as a guardian of public interests without the right to vote.*

* The proposed Banking Companies Act 1945 prohibits a bank from granting unsecured loans to its directors or to firms etc. in which it or any of its directors is interested as partner, director or managing agent. While this prohibition can be easily evaded by directors of two banks acting in collusion, difficulties will fall heavily on honest banks which invite big business-

Till 1913, no qualifications were required of persons who acted as auditors. The Act of 1913 for the first time prescribed the certificate of the Governor-General as a necessary qualification in the case of all public companies. The Act of 1936 requires the same qualification of auditors for those private companies which are subsidiaries of public companies.

The rest of the section purports to ensure the independence of the auditors. The auditor is appointed by the general meeting of the shareholders. In order to prevent any surprise displacement or appointment of auditors, a notice of 14 days prior to the meeting is prescribed. The directors may fill a casual vacancy. Unfortunately, the judges are not agreed on the definition of a casual vacancy. The remuneration of auditors is fixed by the general meeting which, however, may and does delegate the task to the directors with the words "at a remuneration to be agreed." A director, officer of company, partner of director, a person in employment of director or officer cannot be appointed as auditors. The Act of 1936 disqualified persons indebted to the company and auditors who became indebted subsequently to their appointment to the office.

By Sub-section 3, Section 145 of the Acts of 1913 and 1936, the auditor is relieved of the responsibility of examining the accounts of branches beyond the limits of India. It would appear from this by implication that he must attend and audit on the spot all branches within the limits of India. Such an inference is regarded as valid by some authorities on the subject.

men to serve on their boards and also reckon them among their valuable clients. Short of communal ownership, there is no easy solution of the question of concentration of financial interests and power and the interlocking of concerns to which they inevitably lead. If a public authority had the responsibility to veto appointments to the directorates and higher offices of all joint stock concerns, the guinea-pig evil and financial cliques might receive some check. So far as paucity of high business talent is at the root of this predicament—and this is at the best a very questionable proposition—there is little hope for mankind under capitalist democracy.

Experience teaches that legal precautions to ensure the independence of auditors or wider powers conferred on them to obtain information are of little use in practice. Actual appointments are always made by the directors and there are forms of favour and bribery so subtle that they can never fall within the clutches of law. It may be that bank-failures are more due to the laxity of supervision and management than to inadequate performance of duty by auditors.* Nevertheless, no steps should be omitted which can make directors more alert and the public more confident about the security of their funds. Proposals have been made to arrange for an outside audit and examination which will extend beyond ascertainment of facts to advice and criticism. The Indian Companies Act itself empowers shareholders of a bank holding one-fifth of the shares to approach the local Government for special investigation and report. The former suggestion has been disapproved as opening a loophole for shoving of responsibility from the management to outside examiners. The latter has proved futile in practice and incapable of improvement.† Other proposals like association of a shareholders' committee with audit, more frequent reports, etc., offer no better solutions. The proposals to make auditors liable for omission to state material facts in their reports and in the presentation of accounts of a bank are at best merely a post-mortem consolation. The only logical and final settlement of the vexed problem is to look on the audit of public companies as a public and national concern and to constitute a State service of accountancy for the purpose. A first step towards the goal would be to begin with banks, money-lenders and others cognate concerns and since the Reserve Bank is in direct charge of our banking welfare, it would be well to entrust it with the formation and management of such a service. Such an audit power has the additional merit of giving the Reserve Bank a closer insight into the banking situation from time to time

* Central Banking Inquiry Committee Report, p. 470, Para, 723.

† Ibid, p. 469. Para, 720; pp. 470-723; 724.

and making its credit-control to that extent much more effective. The expenses of this audit could be easily raised from the banks themselves by levying a certain percentage on their resources.

Law relating to Organization and Management.

One great difficulty of banking legislation is to define what a bank is. In the absence of such a definition, there must always be some doubt as to the exact concerns to which its provisions should apply. -

English law which till 1936 governed banking practice in this country as much as in England makes no effort to give a precise definition of a bank or banking business. Nevertheless, it imposes obligations on all concerns which claim to be banks, like periodic publication of balance sheets, etc.* It has even created a penal offence in making an improper use of the phrase "banking business."† But whether a particular concern is a bank or not, English law is content to treat as a question of fact, to be determined in each particular case in the light of prevalent beliefs, methods and practices.‡

The Indian Central Banking Enquiry Committee, 1931, considered suggestions on this behalf from the Government of India, the Royal Commission on Indian Currency and Finance, 1926, ¶ Provincial Enquiry Committees and several witnesses. It recorded its conclusion that "the task of defining the term 'bank' or 'banker' which has been regarded as well-nigh impossible in other countries, is much more so in India where a definition cannot be drawn up without excluding many firms of indigenous bankers and individuals who do a considerable portion of the financing of the country."§

* Companies (Consolidated) Act 1908. Sec. 1; 108; 112; 251; 256.

† Moneylenders Act 1927. Sec. 4 sub-sec. 3.

‡ In *Todd v. World of Finance Syndicate Ltd.*, Mr. Frederick Strasser, Assistant General Manager of London and South Western Bank, in evidence for the defendants, stated that the methods of the John Bull Bank were not those ordinarily adopted by joint-stock banks. The jury found the verdict for the defendants with costs.

¶ Para. 162: The Commission proposed this definition: "The term bank or banker should be interpreted as meaning every person, firm, or company using in its description or title 'bank' or 'banking' and every company accepting deposits of money subject to withdrawal by cheque, draft, or order."

§ Majority Report, p. 453.

Many countries have sought to meet this difficulty by requiring banks to incorporate themselves under special laws which aim at the regulation of the organization and management of banks and their supervision. Other countries like Italy and Germany have created special authorities to license banks and have specified grounds on which license may be declined or banks in actual operations may be closed. In Germany, another authority, a Supervision Board, watches and regulates the practices and internal management of banks.

The Indian Banking Enquiry Committee made a commendable effort to combine the elasticity of British practice with the certain protection of law on specific points. As we shall presently notice, it indicated certain points on which banking legislation was desirable. At the same time, it suggested the formation of a Bankers' Association whose membership should automatically create in a conspicuous manner the necessary assurance of reputable status and practice. Till such an Association came into existence, the committee proposed that banks should be required to take out licenses from the Reserve Bank of India, which must naturally insist on conformity to certain standards.*

The Indian Companies Act of 1936 adopted the bolder but more doubtful course. Section 277F defines a banking company as "a company which carries on as its principal business the accepting of deposits of money on current account or otherwise, subject to withdrawal by cheque, draft or order." The qualifying word "principal" must itself create not a little embarrassment in the future. But, not content with this ambiguity, 17 clauses more were added to catalogue incidental businesses or business operations which banking companies may undertake within the bounds of law. The catalogue finds place for activities as widely different as agency business other than that of managing agents (unless the managing agency relates to

a bank itself) at the one end and financing or promoting a business undertaking or industry at the other. It is obvious that if precision is the only virtue and justification of a legal definition, the definition adopted by the Indian Company Law cannot be accused of it.*

One may well compare this essay in legal ingenuity with the definition offered by a great authority on banking law and practice like Sir John Paget.† After enumerating each specific characteristic, Sir John Paget finds himself compelled to recognise acceptance by public opinion as one if not the sole mark of a bank. This is tantamount to a confession that banking is a dynamic process and that reputable practice as understood by contemporary bankers is the final court of appeal in every doubt.‡

Organisation.—The Indian Companies Act, 1936 lays down that no banking company incorporated after the commencement of the Act shall commence business unless shares have been allotted to an amount sufficient to yield Rs. 50,000 as working capital.‡ We have already noted how the creation of banks with small, insignificant capital was a very usual device with charlatans and adventurers to defraud the public of its hard-earned savings. The res-

* The proposed Banking Companies Act, 1945, omits the phrase "principal business" and proceeds to define the permitted business first positively and then negatively in terms of prohibitions as follows :—a banking company cannot engage in trading in goods other than stocks and shares, subject to a period of 7 to 10 years for the disposal of any non-banking assets it might acquire in the course of banking business; or have subsidiary companies except for the purposes of trustee or executor work; or hold shares in any company in excess of 40 per cent of its issued or subscribed capital.

It is difficult to make out how the period of 7 or 10 years was arrived at. It may be within the knowledge of many how the aftermath of the last war loaded at least one bank heavily with urban property and how the present war came to its rescue to convert the load into a source of enormous profit. If this Act had been in force in 1921-22, the bank would have been compelled to dispose of this property perhaps in 1930-34 at about half or quarter of the sum advanced as loan. If the Tata Industrial Bank had been allowed to continue, this war would have probably made it a roaring success.

† Sir John Paget in his *Law of Banking* sums up the legal decisions on this subject in the following manner :—

"No one and no body, corporate or otherwise, can be a 'banker' who does not (i) take deposit accounts, (ii) take current accounts, (iii) issue and pay cheques drawn on himself, (iv) collect cheques, crossed and uncrossed, for his customers. Banking must be his known occupation and recognised by the public as such."

The proposed Banking Companies Act, 1945, defines banking as "accepting of deposits repayable on demand". As compared with the present law, the omission of the word 'principal' from the permitted business of banks and, as compared with the Draft Bank Bill, the omission of the words 'by cheque' are significant. In so far as companies like textile mills and other concerns in Bombay and particularly in Ahmedabad which receive deposits technically repayable on demand are concerned, this enactment will compel them to receive deposits on some fixed basis. The omission of the words 'by cheque' is perhaps prompted by a possible evasion of the Act by the extended use of receipt forms and savings account devices.

triction introduced by this Act undoubtedly terminates one grave scandal in the field of banking.

It is possible to claim some historical or logical justification for the minimum fixed. During the four periods over which we have studied bank-failures in the past, more than 3/4ths of the failures are accounted for by banks with a paid-up capital of 1 lakh and less. Of these defunct banks, those with a paid-up capital of Rs. 50,000 to 1 lakh were about 1/4th of the aggregate in 1913-14, about 1/6th in 1915-20, about 1/7th in 1921-30 and 1/10th in 1931-34. Banks with paid-up capital of Rs. 50,000 and less no doubt constitute the bulk of the problem of bank failures. The failures of those in the class immediately above this forms also a substantial though fortunately declining proportion of the whole.

Since capital and reserve constitute the guarantee fund to the creditors of banks, it is logical that the fund should bear some proportion to the liabilities of banks. The fixing of a minimum does not satisfy this logical test although it eliminates many doubtful and obscure ventures. Some countries have met both these difficulties by prescribing the minimum according to the population of the place where a bank is intended to operate. While population is no doubt a good index generally to the banking potentialities of a place, the criterion is obviously unsuited for countries in which branch-banking is allowed without restriction. We have had occasion already to notice how in India many banks with small resources of their own indulge in the creation of branches which cannot be justified by any canons of prudence or sound banking practice. It would not be feasible either to prescribe any definite proportion between their capital and reserves and their liabilities. In an earlier chapter, we have analysed how this proportion is influenced by factors outside as well as within the control of banks and by factors some of which are temporary in their incidence while others are more or less permanent.* Besides, even though a bank may decide

to make changes in its capital structure, banks like all other enterprises have to bide for opportune moments to appeal to the capital market. Perhaps, these were the difficulties which, in Spain, led to the conclusion that each bank should have its minimum fixed according to its special circumstances.

The Indian Companies (Amendment) Act, 1936, requires that every banking company should, out of the declared profits of each year and before any dividend is declared, transfer a sum equivalent to not less than twenty per cent. of such profits to the reserve fund until the amount of the said fund is equal to the paid-up capital. In other words, provision is made that banks with less than 1 lakh of owned resources should not exist in the future. The reserve fund must be invested in Government securities or kept on deposit in a special account in a scheduled bank.*

It is but fair that a part at least of the profits should be used to increase the security of the creditors and depositors who supply the bank the bulk of its resources. 20 per cent. of the net profits is by no means too large a proportion. In view of the all too common tendency to declare high dividends and raise the value of bank shares to fictitious levels, this clause may prove a very healthy influence on banking policies. Nevertheless, a lower percentage during the first ten years of a bank's life with gradual increases every five years might have been a justifiable concession in favour of new banks.

Concentration of banking resources in a few banks contributes materially to the stability of a banking system.† In India, as we have noticed, banks have grown in size less by the process of amalgamation and more by creation of branches. This unrestricted freedom to create branches however brings with it its own special evils which require to be carefully inquired into and firmly dealt with. There can be little doubt that branch banking appeals to many disreputable concerns as a mere device to collect resources.

* See 277K.

† Pp. 45-51.

Small and backward places are particularly exposed to this kind of exploitation.* It is also to be feared that Indian banks have not yet developed an efficient technique of running bank branches. This efficiency of technique rests on a four-fold basis—recruitment and training of qualified staff, a strict system of audit and inspection, adequate discretion to the local manager combined with a proper degree of regulation from the headquarters,* and finally, close contact and interchange of views and information between the local branch and businessmen. On every one of these points, Indian banks have yet to prove their capacity to adapt themselves in an original way to Indian conditions and limitations.

Till India reaches its maximum growth in banking facilities, some restrictions on the freedom to create branches seem unavoidable. The minimum conditions as to paid-up capital and reserves prescribed by the law of 1936 may by themselves act as a check on this evil. Our earlier analysis however has established how difficult it is to define or assure ratios of capital and reserves to deposit liabilities which can operate effectively in different places and at different times.† It has been sometimes suggested or proposed that branches in the bigger places should be under an obligation to conform to certain special requirements as to capital. In other words, it is proposed to abandon the present principle of uniform minimum capital for the country as a whole and to introduce for the benefit of certain places the principle of wealth of the area of operation as the basis of capital requirements. It is arguable against this that protection is more required for the smaller and more obscure places than for big cities which always contain banks of great repute. The proposal is besides a denial by implication of the existence of business in the

* Pp. 80; 296-297. Chapter IX.

† X. Cf. "The managers of the big branches of German banks before the crisis of 1930 were highly trained; they were really managers & not just 'clerks'. Incidentally, this was also reflected in their remuneration which was certainly far above that of a manager of a branch of the British bank. Nevertheless, the final decision had to rest with the control board in Berlin and in that way, the machinery had become unwieldy. The managers in Berlin were almost invariably overworked and were deprived of the possibility of confining themselves to big issues."

‡ Pp. 127-127.

bigger places suitable only to small banks. A more logical and certainly more effective alternative would be to prohibit the expansion of individual banks to such an extent that the average of resources per office falls below a certain minimum. It may be recalled that this average for Indian banks of class A and B was about 7.7 lakhs in 1936. When the big seven banks were not taken into account, the average fell to about 3½ lakhs.* It should be no hardship for our banks which are required in future to show a minimum capital and reserve of Rs. 1 lakh, to have to produce at least a minimum of 3 lakhs per office on the average as proof of the confidence commanded by them.

A more difficult question relates to wasteful competition. It is probable that much of the recent branch extension is in the nature of a struggle among bigger banks not to be out-distanced by each other. In such competition lowers ultimately the cost of borrowing to the public, trade and industry are no doubt benefitted. But very frequently, the cost of uneconomic competition is transferred to the public in the shape of lower deposit-rates and higher cost of borrowing. It is more than likely that some of the bigger financial centres are overcrowded with bank-branches and that in other places, indiscriminate competition has undermined the position of certain old and well-established concerns.

Within broad limits, population should be a simple and intelligible index to the banking potentialities of a place. If a greater degree of exactness were desired, the index could be adjusted so as to discriminate between places which are mainly industrial and possess a truly urban character and those which are mainly markets for agricultural produce and on that account retain a predominantly rural character. A little intensive investigation should be sufficient to fix appropriate ratios of population per branch from which departure should be permitted only under exceptional conditions. It is natural to think of the Reserve

* See Chapter II.

Bank as the proper agent for the regulation of branches on these lines. When applications for licenses to open branches exceed the maximum fixed by the population ratio, preference should as a rule be given to those banks which show a large volume of resources per office. As for exchange banks and foreign banks generally, their unhampered extension into the interior has been detrimental to national interests and their further encroachments must be prohibited by law. The small economy of financing movements of goods from the interior to the ports and from the ports to their destinations abroad as a single transaction is nothing as compared with the greatest of all economies—the creation of a truly national banking system.*

A grave defect of Indian banks at present is the unsatisfactory way in which bank staffs are generally recruited, the unsatisfactory facilities offered for training and self-improvement and the unsatisfactory incentives held out to industry and ability. It is not possible to overstate the grave implications of this situation for the future of Indian banking. If the creation of a sense of national pride and confidence in our banks is our objective, nothing will achieve it more quickly than a well-founded public conviction that system and standards and not arbitrariness and disregard of merit and qualifications weigh in the selection and promotion of our bank personnel. The time has arrived when service in a bank should carry with it the same

* The proposed Banking Companies Act, 1945, prescribes a minimum capital and reserve of Rs. 20 lakhs for a bank operating outside the province of its origin; a minimum of Rs. 5 lakhs for a branch in Bombay or Calcutta; a minimum of Rs. 2 lakhs in respect of each branch in a place with a population of a lakh or more; and finally a minimum of Rs. 10,000 in respect of every other branch. The aggregate paid-up capital and reserve requirements are subject to a minimum of one lakh and a maximum of 20 lakhs.

It is clear that the Act does not inhibit the existence of small banks outside the bigger towns. But it raises two questions. Do bigger places in which big banks are as a rule well represented require protection against small or less known banks? Or, alternately, does the extension of small banks into bigger places bring them additional temptations or risks from which they are free if confined to smaller places? It will not also do to forget that the big industries and trades of big places have as their unavoidable concomitant innumerable small crafts and small trades whose needs are not generally catered for by the bigger banks. Secondly, if small places are as a rule only deposit-collecting centres and big places investing outlets, would not the exclusion of small bankers from bigger places mean depriving them of legitimate and desirable assets? Or if agriculture is deemed to offer enough scope for investment of funds, would not this exclusion mean limitation of opportunities to diversify risks?

While little relevant evidence is available on all these points, it would probably be a good compromise to abandon the special requirements for bigger places and to prescribe a general minimum of Rs. 20,000 in respect of each branch over and above the basic minimum of Rs. 1 lakh.

degrees of stability, assured prospects and fair deal as service in a State Department. In the matter of initial recruitment at least, the Reserve Bank and the scheduled banks could and should take the lead to devise in co-operation a common machinery on the lines of Public Services Commission. While shareholders may well claim their profits and the directors their power over the management of banks, the public who contribute the bulk of the working resources may well insist on employment in banks being dealt with as a public concern.

Not less important than original recruitment is the question of selection for higher and more responsible posts. It is to be feared that the present organisation of our bank staffs creates a pressure of vested interests which does not allow any distinction to be made between abilities suited for routine and clerical work and ability required for directive and responsible work. The most practical escape from this corroding and intolerable situation would be to make a triple division of banking services, to prescribe suitable minimum qualifications for each service and finally to institute corresponding examinations to confer the requisite qualifications.

Management of Banks

We have insisted in various places that certain special features of banking business justify safeguards in public interests which may not be so expedient in the case of joint-stock enterprise in general. This argument has sometimes been extended to support a very strict regulation of the internal, day to day management of a bank as well. Indeed, much recent legislation in many countries seems to suggest that law can take the place of that wisdom which according to Gilbert "implies a due proportion of all the faculties" and that banking talent which according to the same authority "consists more in the union of a number of qualities, not in themselves individually of a striking character but rare only in their combination in the same person." If to the already formidable list of Gilbert,

we add one more virtue, namely, honesty, the absurdity of seeking in law a means to banking success and stability becomes at once self-evident. As a matter of fact, a close examination of most of the aforesaid banking legislation will reveal that its object was more to allay panic and restore public confidence by means of a visible gesture than to force any departures on actual banking practice. In the case of India, certain special features of the situation make such legislative interference highly inexpedient.

It is true that legislation of this kind does not lack precedent in this country. The various charters of the Presidency Banks and the Imperial Bank of India furnish examples of regulation on almost every conceivable point—cash ratios, period and currency of loans, interest-rates, security, limits on individual loans, etc. But it must be borne in mind that these restrictions had one specific object in view—the safety of public funds which also constituted the compensation for the restrictions. Banking and financial needs of the whole country are however incapable of definition with reference to a single objective and could not for that reason be fitted into the framework of a general law.

Banks in India disclose highly regional and individual characteristics. According as they are regional or country-wide, their liabilities and assets show different composition and bear the mark of the specialised services they are performing. Besides, still on the threshold of our banking development, we have yet to evolve a type of bank or banking system which really answers the special needs and circumstances of the country. In countries like Germany, legislation was comparatively easier and harmless as banks had already reached their full banking development and were distinguished by a certain degree of homogeneity. Even if these difficulties were absent, it is difficult to conceive how law could permit the large degree of cyclical and secular adaptation which we have already remarked upon in our earlier analysis. The inflation of war years, the

high interest-rates and reviving trade of post-war years, the dramatic fall of interest-rates and stagnation of trade after the crisis of 1929-30—these caused such profound changes in the cash ratios, investment policies, volume of loans, etc. of banks that it is difficult to make out how law could cope with them. Legislation must either prove futile or invite the very evils and disaster which it is intended to forestall.*

Our concern till now has been with the good management and stability of banks which are in operation. The special difficulties arising out of legal and administrative procedure concerning banks which suspend payment are not less numerous, vexatious and from the standpoint of public weal, less urgent. Some of these difficulties have been already discussed by us in the course of our study. Liquidation of banks and disbursement of dividends to creditors engross as a rule not less than ten years and in some case, much longer periods even have been recorded. Although facilities for reconstruction are defined in law, practical difficulties almost always frustrate such efforts. In many cases, indeed, such schemes of reconstruction are mere devices either to secure a continued lease of life for abuse of public confidence or to evade legal consequences, which await a public investigation incidental to compulsory winding-up. Proposals for voluntary winding-up or even amalgamations are more often than not inspired by similar motives. The recent banking crisis in South India

* Pp. 138-166.

The proposed Banking Companies Act, 1945, prescribes that banks must maintain a minimum of 25 per cent (instead of 30 per cent as in the Draft Bank Bill) of their time and demand liabilities in the form of cash or trustee securities as defined in the Indian Trust Act excluding immovable property. In practice, 30 per cent. of the securities so held should be securities maturing in 10 years. This minimum reserve is not to be used as a security for advances except when the Government after considering the recommendations of the Reserve Bank to suspend the operation of the Act for limited periods, either generally or with reference to a particular bank or banks may allow the Reserve Bank to advance against this minimum reserve to tide over a crisis.

Out of 40 Indian scheduled banks, about 25 are already maintaining more than the proportion suggested above in these liquid assets. It is noteworthy that even the Travancore National and Quilon Bank in its balance-sheet of March 1935, i.e. just before its collapse, exhibited the required proportion. In the case of small banks, however, the proposed measure might mean a distinct improvement. Since liquid assets available for use must be in excess of the 25 per cent. minimum, a likely consequence of this step would be a tendency to reduce cash to the lowest minimum possible in order to maintain profits. The suggestion is in effect tantamount to the creation of a guarantee fund in addition to the capital and reserve of a bank, the price of which in the case of many small banks will be reduced dividends to the shareholders.

has raised another acute difficulty—conflict of jurisdiction between British India and Native State authorities and the danger of loss of protection by one of the parties to the unfair advantage of the other.* It is clear that a rehaul of the law to suppress these evils cannot be deferred long.†

* The proposed Banking Companies Act, 1945, prescribes that banks incorporated elsewhere than in British India and the United Kingdom shall keep with the Reserve Bank cash or unencumbered securities equivalent to the minimum paid-up capital required under the Act and that every bank in India shall have on the closing working day of the year assets in British India equivalent to 75 per cent of time and demand liabilities.

† The proposed Banking Companies Act, 1945, proposes to deal with these questions in this manner: Inability to pay is defined as relating to two working days in a place where the Reserve Bank has an office and four working days elsewhere. On an investigation and favourable report to that effect, or even without report if necessary, the court may grant a moratorium not exceeding three months or may stay a winding-up order when arrangements are made for paying-up the depositors in full. No voluntary winding up is to be allowed unless the bank is able to pay its depositors in full immediately. Otherwise, the court is bound to pass orders for winding up when a bank is unable to pay. No meeting of creditors etc. need be called when it would mean mere expense or delay. To avoid the high cost of liquidation, the Reserve Bank is to be recognised as the liquidator in all cases of banks. The liquidator is to make the preliminary report within two months of the winding-up order on the basis of which an interim dividend may be declared and need not be held up for the sake of the statement from the office of the bank required under 117A.

CHAPTER XI

THE LONG-TERM CAPITAL MARKET

EVEN if banks attracted nothing but temporary savings of the public and placed them in nothing but genuine short-term investments, their influence on the long-term capital market would not be less real or less than considerable.* As a matter of fact, however, their contacts with this market are in certain ways quite direct and, in the aggregate, as decisive as those of other similar institutions. In the first place, banks have their long-term investments, changes in the volume and character of which are important factors bearing on the course of interest rates. Secondly in different banking systems, fixed deposits tend to be either a larger or smaller proportion of total deposits and run either into longer or shorter periods. In this country for example, fixed deposits form a larger proportion and cover longer periods of time than in England or the United States. If the banking system itself holds a larger proportion of the long term savings of a country, it is obvious that its influence over long and short rates is proportionately enhanced. Thirdly, when banks offer their advice and services for security dealings or place their funds at the disposal of industry in anticipation of public investments later, or themselves embark their funds on such ventures, they become an important agency in the working of this market. Finally, with the creation of a Central bank, an instrument is forged by means of which the long rate can be controlled almost as directly and as decisively as short rates.

The basic problems of long-term investment are two. In the first place, there is the problem of aggregate investment which should be such that it corresponds to the division of their incomes by consumers between saving and

* Pp. 64-5; 84-6.

investment. In the second place investment should flow into different lines of production in accordance with the index or profitability as given by the consumers' demand. As the first problem is generally believed to be one of monetary management, it is properly held to be within the sphere and constitutes the responsibility of the banking system. As for the second great task of the investment market, the responsibility is at present shared by different agencies in different ways. Among them, the stock exchanges are looked on as the main mechanism developed by the capitalist system. The promoter and underwriter, the banks and issue-houses act as auxiliaries or supplementary aids to the stock exchanges.

I

STOCK EXCHANGES IN INDIA†

Legal Status

Originally, stock exchanges were mere voluntary associations largely for the purpose of regulating fees and charges. It was not till after great lapse of time, that these associations recognised that they owed certain responsibilities towards the public. In the case of more recent bodies, which lacked experience and tradition to keep them in the straight path, law has had to step in as a substitute for that experience and tradition.

The London Stock Exchange is perhaps the only example today of an autonomous exchange unfettered by any outside restrictions. But the history of "Britain's Bourse for stock jobbing and securities" goes back to the days of Queen Elizabeth who herself inaugurated it amidst great pomp and patriotic fervour. Even today, the London Stock Exchange has no legal monopoly of its business. The growth of Exchanges outside London in more recent times has indeed tended to create some acute problems for the parent body.

The New York Stock Exchange was for a long time a voluntary body till in 1933 financial and banking collapse

† For analysis of actual working & possible remedies attention is invited to the author's *Economics of Post-War India* (Hind Kitabo) and *The Budget & After* (Academic; Hind Kitabo).

and public clamour compelled the Government to impose on it statutory regulation and registration. The Compagnie des Agents de Change of Paris is a privileged body created as such by law but Government tacitly tolerates breaches of its monopoly. The Berlin Stock Exchange was subject to a dual control, firstly by the Imperial laws of 1896 and 1908, and secondly by the Chambers of Commerce and State Departments.

Business in stocks and shares in Bombay appears to have begun quite long ago. Six brokers are reported as doing this business between 1840 and 1850. By 1860, the eve of the American Civil War, they had increased to 60. Among them was one Premchand Roychand whom subsequent events were to link in an immortal manner with the financial history of the City of Bombay. The American Civil War brought great wealth to this cotton exporting port, wealth led to wild-cat enterprises and ignorant or fraudulent speculation, speculation attracted more members to the business of stocks and shares—to the tune of 200 to 250. The inevitable losses, insolvencies, exposures of fraud and cupidity do not seem to have diminished the attractiveness of the profession itself which reached a membership of 300 in 1877.

The formal creation of an association had however to wait till 1887.* In that year, the Bombay Stock Exchange as it is popularly known took its birth as "Native Share and Stock Brokers Association." The name selected caused some difficulties. The members of the association have never been brokers pure and simple. The inclusion of the word "native" gave offence to patriotic sentiment and in the rules of 1938 was discreetly omitted.

Membership of Stock Exchange

Conditions attached to the membership of a stock exchange flow naturally from the functions which such an

* An informal association was in existence from 9th June 1875. The trysting place of these worthy people was for a long time a famous banyan tree and on many an occasion the police had to intervene to disperse the enthusiastic crowd in one place only to find them gathering strong in another place.

institution is meant to fulfil. These functions may be broadly distinguished under two heads. When a member acts as a broker to the public, he undertakes (i) to buy the scrip concerned at the lowest price or sell it at the highest price obtainable, (ii) to offer his expert advice and guidance in matters of investment, according to the temper and inclinations of his clients, and (iii) to establish and maintain more or less permanent relations with his clients and their families as their ever-present well-wisher and guide in matters financial. It is clear that this function calls not only for a high standard of skill and experience but what is most difficult to find, a high standard of honour and character as well. In the absence of these qualities, the individual with surplus income must hold back in distrust and prefer less economic forms of investment with great loss or waste of national savings. Every practical precaution has therefore to be taken to place the broker above temptation in the discharge of his proper duties. Two obvious principles suggest themselves. The broker's remuneration should be so defined that firstly, it should be independent of the price of the scrip which he buys or sells for his client and secondly he should have no personal interest in the scrip bought or sold. The first point is met if the broker's scale of remuneration is fixed from time to time with reference to particular scrips or classes of scrips according to the status and prevailing values of the scrips. The second point relates to the second main function of the stock exchange. When a member of the exchange acts as a jobber i.e. buys scrips on his own account or sell scrips out of his own stock, he is acting just like a dealer in ordinary commodities. His willingness to buy and sell at all times makes the supplies of the market more regular and makes periodic revisions of judgment possible. Since buying and selling are continuous, the jobber does not hold stocks with a view to speculate on likely price-changes. He looks for his profit and remuneration to the difference between his selling and buying prices and his skill lies in keeping stock from time to time just sufficient for the needs

of the market and making his selections in such a way that fluctuations in the values of some are balanced by counter-fluctuations in the values of others.

In the enrolment of members, the existing practices of leading stock exchanges vary much in the emphasis they lay on position in business, financial guarantees, technical qualifications, etc. The oldest of them, London, relies chiefly on personal introduction or membership of a reputable stock exchange firm. New York is satisfied chiefly with the financial guarantee and status implied in the purchase of the seat. The Parquet in Paris requires over, and above purchase of a seat, firstly, a large contribution to the general guarantee fund and readiness to respond to further assessment on the same account and, secondly, proved knowledge of business, law or banking.

Admission to the Bombay Stock Exchange is preceded by an inquiry into character by the managing committee and has to be supported by two existing members. By a rule which has been in existence from about 1927, a member is not permitted to deal with any non-member share or stock broker within a radius of 50 miles from Bombay. The obvious object is to prevent or discourage the growth of unauthorised business in this line.

The price of an admission card was originally fixed at Rs. 51 only but was raised subsequently to Rs. 1000. By 1909, the price of a card reached Rs. 2500 and after the outbreak of World War I to Rs. 7,000 in 1917. During the great speculation waves which followed, a card was recorded to have fetched as high a price as Rs. 40,000. Before the outbreak of World War II, the value of a card was placed at about Rs. 7,000 but has since mounted up to Rs. 40 to 50 thousand. It is clear from this that the financial guarantee implied in the possession of a card fluctuates much from time to time. Indeed the record of recent defaults proves that most of the defaulters lacked the financial status desirable in a member of a stock exchange like that of Bombay. Of 28 defaults which occurred from 1927 to 1939,

seats had to be forfeited in the case of 11 because the defaulters could not make good the loss sustained by members even though it ranged much below Rs. 50,000. Four other defaulters could pay only $6\frac{1}{2}$ to $10\frac{3}{4}$ annas in the rupee although the loss at stake was on the same scale.* To assure a better safeguard against defaults, the proposal has been made that in addition to the purchase of a card, the new member should produce two sureties or make a deposit of Rs. 30,000 for a period of 2 years. It may be a better alternative to create a guarantee fund to which all members have to contribute and to which further assessment may be made in case of need. The fear that other members may have to bear the consequences of the recklessness of less worthy members will tend in the long run to great care in initial admission and the creation of a powerful opinion among the members themselves against undesirable practices and forms of dealings.†

A member of the Bombay Stock Exchange must not engage as principal or employee in any other business. It would be otherwise very difficult for the public or for his brother members to judge his credit-worthiness or his financial position at any particular time. This restriction has been unfortunately felt as a grievance by many businessmen who are anxious to add stock exchange business to their other existing lines. The new institution, the Indian Stock Exchange, is the outcome of this desire.

Till 1938, an admission card to the Stock Exchange was treated very much as an invitation card to an Indian wedding. Cousins, nephews and other relatives were held entitled to admission over and above authorised clerks. Henceforward, only sons of brokers and authorised clerks will be allowed to enter.

Sub-brokers

In addition to members, we have the institution of sub-brokers or remesiers. The Atlay report described them as

* P. 487.

† In 1938, when Currimbhoy Ebrahim and Sons Ltd. crashed, all active members whether involved or not in the dealings of Currimbhoy group, subscribed to a special fund to the extent of Rs. 8 lakhs and enabled the Exchange to meet all its liabilities.

mere unauthorised tipsters and touts who misled the public by their irresponsible canvassing and added to the demoralisation. Their status is now proposed to be regularised by requiring them to sever their connection with any other lines of business and to deposit Rs. 5000 on registration. The amount may be confiscated for reasons for which a member is liable to be expelled and his ticket confiscated. No limit is proposed on their number. But they must pay an annual subscription of Rs. 100 and when leaving one broker for another, must produce a clearance certificate.

The 1938 rule limits strictly the number of authorised clerks allowed to each broker. It is doubtful whether anything short of abolition of this element will eradicate some of the notorious evils associated with their activities.

Till almost 1938, a piquant feature of the general disorder and confusion of the Stock Exchange used to be the presence of hawkers, beggars and naturally enough, pick-pockets also. The Atlay Committee discovered even minors speculating on the floor of the Exchange. The difficulty, if not the impossibility of admission to the central floor of the London Stock Exchange is a queer contrast to the informality and even charitableness of the Bombay City. This evil has fortunately disappeared recently.

There were about 6 brokers in 1840-50. By 1860, there began to assemble, no doubt under the famous banyan tree near the University tower, as many as 60 under the leadership of Premchand Roychand. The hectic speculation of American Civil War raised the number to about 200 to 250, many of whom were "broke" by the close of the war. The membership was 300 in 1877 and 478 in 1921. But of these, only 225 to 250 were active when the Atlay Report was made in 1924. At present the membership is about the same—the members on the active list being about 200.

If membership is any index to the size of an exchange, we may contrast this figure with the maximum membership of 4,000 in London and 1,100 in New York. The Par-

quet in Paris has a jealously limited membership of only 70 while the intruder Coulissee has about 100.*

Classification of Members

When a member acts as a broker, he is working as an agent of the public. He becomes a principal towards his customer when he acts as a jobber. These two essential functions of an orderly and trusted stock exchange raise difficulties when the same member is allowed to act in these dual capacities. The conflict of interest and the strain on honesty are obvious and the extent to which they are resolved is a measure of the smoothness, reliability and effectiveness of the investment market of a country.

London has met this difficulty by a clear separation of brokers and jobbers.† As a matter of convenience, a broker who receives similar orders from two customers is allowed to cross them but then he may charge one commission only. Besides, as brokers frequently underwrite issues and consequently hold quantities of scrips they are also allowed to sell on their own account. But, in such circumstances, the brokers are expected to declare the fact to their customers and are not allowed to charge any broker's commission. New York has similar classes of brokers or commission houses, specialists or specialist dealers but as there are more varieties of members, the distinction is very much blurred in practice. In Paris, the Parquet deals only with the public as brokers but members of the Coulissee are allowed to trade among themselves also.

In Bombay, a member is allowed to work both as broker and dealer. There is little doubt that this double capacity has been the source of much abuse of public confidence or at least public suspicion. It is not easy, however, to frame effective remedies. A separation of brokers and jobbers such as prevails in London depends for its efficacy largely on traditions of the profession. Collusion between brokers

* See ante, see foot-note Chapter XI.

† "When there is a slump in the market and a rush of selling orders with no support as happened in rubber shares.....the jobbers are apt to be at lunch all day and the brokers have to report to their clients that they simply cannot find a purchaser."

and jobbers is always possible and a separation forced on the members from outside is most likely to be rendered nugatory by such practices. It has sometimes been suggested, as another argument against separation, that the volume of business is not sufficient to justify such a step.* The argument lacks clearness. Since separation cannot reduce the present volume of business, the members could not be affected in that manner by such a measure. For every broker's transaction, there must exist as its counterpart a jobber's transaction and unless losses on one part are sought to be covered by manipulations of the other part of the transaction, there is no reason why members should not be willing to enrol themselves for prescribed periods either as brokers or dealers. It might be conceded, however, that as the aggregate of scrips listed is less than 150 as against the enormous numbers appearing on the lists of London and Paris, specialisation does not offer here any proportionate advantage. Besides, another difficulty lies in the fact that the jobber's trade presupposes a large amount of capital and some capacity for undertaking risks which appear to be lacking in our present membership.

In the absence of such separation, other remedies tending in the same direction have to be sought. In the first place, lists of members should be drawn up to distinguish such of them as are willing to act only in one capacity from others who wish to adhere to the old practice. In any case, it should be presumed that in every transaction, a member first declares the capacity in which he is acting towards his client. In the second place, the contract note arising out of each transaction should specify the capacity in which he is acting. Till now, we have had only one form of contract note, the principal's contract note. A contract note, whether principal's or agent's, should give all details which explain the character of the transaction. The name and quantity of scrip dealt in, the rates of purchase and sale, the rate of brokerage charged

* See ante see foot-note Chapter XI.

—these should be sufficient to enable each transaction to be sifted and judged, should there be an occasion for such a procedure. In the third place, it has to be recognised that much the great source of present evils is the uneconomic and unfair competition which prevails among members themselves for the available business. The strict regulation of rates charged is the only conceivable check on such competition. Although minimum rates have been in vogue for a long time, it is to be feared that the levels at which they are fixed are so low as to defeat their main purpose. A revision has now been effected by the rules of 1938. More important than fixing the rates is their proper enforcement, which raises inevitably questions about the independence, impartiality and courage of the executive of the Stock Exchange.

Listing of Scrips

The procedure and conditions of admission of scrips to stock exchange dealings are a very pivotal point in the technical organisation of these institutions. It may perhaps be thought strange that restrictions should be thought necessary for a stock exchange when they are not to be found in ordinary commodity markets. A little reflection should, however, show that there are some crucial differences between the two markets. In the first place, most commodities in ordinary markets are self-defined and easy to identify. In the case of scrips, frauds are far more easy. Even in the case of ordinary commodities, precautions have sometimes to be prescribed in order to ensure purity, quality, etc. The usual practice of stock exchanges is to prescribe a certain minimum period of existence before the scrip of a company is admitted to official dealings. The minimum period is sufficient to prove whether the enterprise is seriously intended or is a mere kite sent up by designing promoters, etc. In the second place, most commodities are abundant and world-wide in their supplies and what is more, there is a large scope for mutual substitution. The scrip of each company is a unique commodity

by itself and its supplies are more or less rigidly defined. The essential condition of a free market, that a single individual or a few individuals should not be able to exercise a disproportionate influence on price, is very hard to satisfy unless proper precautions are enforced from the very start. Stock exchanges seek to create such a free market by stipulating firstly that the company concerned should have a certain minimum of capital divided into shares of convenient denominations and secondly that a sufficient quantity of shares should be offered initially to the public at large. In order to assure the quality of the scrip, stock exchanges are not content with mere conformity to the Company Law but demand many more details before admission to the privileges of the exchanges. London maintains two lists, one official and one supplementary, demanding much more information in the case of the former.* Of course, it must not be imagined that a stock exchange can or even presumes to sit in judgment on the nature of prospects of any individual enterprise. The assessment of the ultimate qualities and risks of each scrip is the proper function of the investor which the stock exchange may facilitate but cannot itself assume.

Till 1938, the Bombay Stock Exchange restricted admission of securities by a rule that scrips registered outside the province of Bombay should not be put on its list. Besides, companies were required to take initiative in seeking admission of their scrips. The effect was a certain limitation on the volume of their business and a certain concentration on a few scrips. The rule regarding the maintenance of a register in Bombay has now been widened to cover the whole country while the prohibition to deal in scrips applies now to those companies only which have been positively debarred from admission. The application for admission may be made by the Company or by a member of the Exchange. The criteria of admission are "magnitude and importance" of a scrip and the offer except in

* In Paris, with reference to mortgage bonds of the Memphis, El Paso and Pacific Railroad (1899) which were first admitted to the Parquet and later found by it to be fraudulent the Syndical Chamber was held negligent by the Courts and made to pay 1/15th of the damages.

unusual cases of at least 50 per cent. of the issue to the public.

Cash and Forward Lists

Deals on the stock exchanges may be either time deals or cash deals. Ordinarily, it should lie with the parties to the contract to decide whether it should be on a time or cash basis. Although time deals facilitate speculation, pure and simple, they are no less a convenience to genuine investors who may desire to avail themselves of particular, favourable opportunities for investment. The practice of our stock exchange in regard to this matter is rather peculiar. It maintains two separate lists for scrips for which cash and forward dealings are recognised. This places a special responsibility on the management of the body and inevitably raises the question as regards the appropriate considerations to be taken account of in placing a scrip on either list. So far as the rules of our local exchange are concerned, with one exception which we shall note presently, they vest unfettered discretion in the management both for initial assignment to the lists and subsequent changes.

Cash dealings have a natural tendency to be confined to those who are genuine investors or at least have the means to hold the scrip for a long time. Since a regular stream of investment is hardly to be expected, fluctuations in the values of scrips which figure largely in cash transactions tend to take place in an abrupt or sporadic manner. Time deals take place from day to day in terms of expected differences and as such appeal naturally to the speculator. Since only a large volume can give adequate profits and income, they are apt to be undertaken very largely with borrowed money. According as expectations materialize or the opposed groups make their power felt one way or the other, prices fluctuate very widely. On the other hand, it must be recognised that such speculative trading by itself acts as a support to the prices of scrips. A movement in one direction cannot proceed very far before a contrary

THE LONG-TERM CAPITAL MARKET

opinion develops and counteracts the movement. Each level of values reached by a scrip tends to perpetuate itself for at least a considerable time. In the case of cash scrips, on the other hand, the market may not be a continuous one as judgments are revised only when investors turn up. The range within which values fluctuate is therefore apt to be a wide one.

This difference between scrips which are dealt in largely on a time or cash basis explains the positive exclusive of bank shares from the forward list. Banks are quite unlike other joint-stock companies in that the resources with which they operate are withdrawable on demand. Fluctuations in the values of their shares are highly undesirable as likely to provoke unwarranted inferences and mass fears. Even in the absence of forward deals, bank managements have to keep a jealous eye on the values of their shares and many a time adopt policies as a concession to popular prejudices which strict prudence and long foresight would not approve. The shares of assurance companies and in a less accountable manner, the shares of railway companies also are placed entirely on the cash list.

In 1935, there were placed about 106 scrips on the cash list and about 31 on the forward list of the Bombay Stock Exchange. The 31 scrips on the forward list were made up of three Government securities, two electricity scrips, fifteen spinning and weaving scrips and eleven miscellaneous scrips. The only common quality of these scrips is the large interest which the public and particularly the speculators display in them.

Period of Settlement and Speculation

The time interval over which a time deal is spread varies from one stock exchange to another. In London and the Parquet in Paris, the settlements are fortnightly. The bulk of transactions on the latter exchange, however, takes place on a cash basis. On the Coullisse in Paris and in Berlin, settlements are monthly. On the New York Exchange, settlements used to take place every next day prior

to the crisis of 1929 but since then the practice has been introduced of settling accounts every third day.

On the Bombay Stock Exchange, there are two settlements a month for dealings in Government securities and one settlement a month for all other forward deals.

The time interval over which forward deals are permitted and settlements enforced has a certain limited significance for the tone and character of a market. A long interval makes assessment of the future more difficult and gives irrational calculations much larger scope. A short interval means an earlier payment of differences and badla charges and therefore an earlier inducement to revise judgments and, if necessary, to withdraw before the situation deteriorates much further. It might appear that this is a rather dubious advantage since transactions can always be carried over. But a little reflection will show that even with the facility of carrying over, the shorter interval still retains most of its advantage. Carrying over has its own automatic check in that it involves some cost as contango (ब्याज or सीधा बदला) or backwardation (उंधा बदला) and shorter the period, the more certain is the incidence of these costs. It is of course true that mere imprudence or recklessness cannot be remedied in this manner. But this is no argument against improvements devised to diminish defaults arising out of honest mistakes or misfortunes. That a shortening of the interval is by itself incapable of moderating extreme fluctuations in values, speculation and wide-spread defaults is more than proved by the frequent experiences of the New York Exchange.

It has been sometimes suggested as a remedy for excessive speculation that forward dealings should be abolished as in Calcutta. It should be clear however that what such a step will suppress is not forward sales and purchases but only organised facilities for carrying them over from time to time. So long as delivery can be postponed by mutual agreement, such measures will have only one effect, the creation of irregular markets.

Budla Charges and Other Expenses

Expenses which have to be incurred in time deals of stocks and shares are also no mean factor in encouraging or discouraging speculation. Movements in prices of certain scrips, especially seasonal movements, can always be foreseen with a fair degree of accuracy. No advantage can however be taken of them since these movements are more than offset by incidental expenses of the transactions. It is a natural extension of the logic of this fact that where incidental expenses are heavy, speculation on narrow margins of price fluctuations is effectively repressed. It has been suggested of the London Stock Exchange that one cause of less speculation there than in New York is that the incidence of brokerage, transfer fees, stamp duties, etc., is markedly heavier. From this standpoint, the practice of blank transfers on the Bombay market must be counted as another factor making for speculation which has no social or economic purpose.

The expenses which are involved in time deals are of two kinds. In the first place, there is brokerage which on the Bombay Stock Exchange is officially placed at half the ordinary scale. As a matter of fact, according to the size of the deal and the status of the client, the actual brokerage charged is much less so that if the budla is favourable, the payment of the brokerage still leaves appreciable profit to the operator from one settlement to another. Thus, while the carry-over brokerage may act as a deterrent to small or occasional operators or speculators, it is no discouragement to the big operator and speculator. This is a very important cause of the unusual prolongation of overbought or over-sold positions which is such a regular feature of the Bombay market.

The other expense which should incline one of the parties at least to close his open position is the budla or cointango and backwardation. Ultimately, the budla is only a penalty interest which the bull or the bear has to pay to the other party for carry-over. When bears have sold more

shares than they can deliver at the settlement, the bulls have the chance to demand delivery and thus to force bears either to cover, which must raise the price against themselves or to pay the budla at the level to which the technical situation of the market might raise it. The bear will clearly agree to pay the brokerage and the budla if he is convinced that forthcoming events must cause a fall of prices. Similarly, when bulls have bought more shares than they have resources to take delivery with, the bears get their chance to offer delivery and force the bulls either to sell out i.e. pay the difference, which must cause a fall of prices against themselves or to pay the reverse budla. Of course the threat on the part of the bulls to take delivery or on the part of the bears to offer delivery is apt to contain a large element of bluff, the bulls having no money to take up and bears having no scrip to deliver. But the fact that the actual position of the market has turned or has been manipulated in favour of bulls or bears and the party at disadvantage believes that circumstances must ultimately favour his view is the compelling force which fixes the level of the budlas.

As a measure to curb War-time speculation, the Government made an attempt to suppress the forward market and its technical means—the budla. Experience should convince by now all observers of the futility of the attempt. Where sellers and buyers are agreed and have a status and reputation to maintain, prohibition of budla within the ring leads only to arrangements outside the ring. Secondly, irregular budlas outside deprive the market of a more or less reliable index to the technical situation as between sellers and buyers. Finally, it is an elementary principle of exchange value that fewer and more ill-balanced the buyers and sellers, the larger is the number of possible points of equilibrium and greater the fluctuations in demand and supply. Because of their long and intimate experience of the market, the large fringe of the crowd which speculates on differences is really an important

THE LONG-TERM CAPITAL MARKET

factor in widening the multilateral basis of the market and making values continuous and stable.

Margins and Speculation

It is the practice in certain stock exchanges to enforce margins from customers. The prime object of such margins is to protect the broker from losses since his is the ultimate responsibility in case of default by the customer. There are no margin deals on an organised basis in London. The tradition there has been to depend very largely on the personal knowledge of the customer. Margins are availed of very freely on the New York Stock Exchange. On the Parquet in Paris, margins of 20 and 30 per cent. are prescribed according to the character of the scrip and the broker may enhance the margins in case of need. There is no practice of margins on the Bombay Stock Exchange. The Atlay Report described it as undesirable but the Morrison Report has suggested its adoption.

The main effect of a system of margins on the outside public is to repel persons with inadequate resources from stock exchange deals or to prevent them from assuming burdens out of proportion to their means. The justification of its adoption must naturally depend on the extent to which such persons are accustomed to participate in such adventurous speculation. It has been claimed on behalf of the Exchange that cases of this nature are very few and far between. It is not improbable that there is some substance in this claim. Members of the Stock Exchange cannot be interested in assuming financial responsibilities for such clientele. When, however, those who oppose margins adduce the further reason that their adoption must eventually create irregular and illegitimate markets outside the stock exchange, they involve themselves in an obvious contradiction. If men who engage in time deals at present are as a rule men of resources, the demand for margins should not have any such effect as is feared. If irregular and illegitimate markets do emerge, it will be only because even at present there is a large number of financially weak parties

who participate in this activity. If the adoption of margins does lead to this result, the situation will be on a par with those which exist on account of the prohibition of betting, gambling, etc., and should have to be dealt with in an identical manner. The real difficulty will be the effective enforcement of such margins since they can be easily eluded by mutual collusion or by the broker himself furnishing the required funds at a price. The innovation could be given a trial for an initial period of ten years and then finally disposed of in the light of actual experience.

Prices on Stock Exchanges

It is generally presumed that the objective expression of the existence of a single market in a commodity is uniform price. As a matter of fact, uniform price for a commodity is an ideal to which actual conditions rarely show even an approximation. The ideal price when analysed really resolves itself into a number of objective conditions which may be briefly stated as a high degree of standardisation of the commodity dealt in, a high degree of concentration in time and place, a relative insignificance of the influence which any individual or a coterie of individuals can exert on price.

The stock exchange as a market in titles to capital is distinguished by certain features which seem to run counter to these conditions. On the supply side, each scrip is no doubt a highly standardised commodity by itself. But, at the same time, each scrip is unique by itself and cannot take the place of another. It would hardly be an exaggeration to say that from the standpoint of aggregate supply, there are as many markets in a stock exchange as there are scrips and securities traded in. This has a very important effect on daily dealings and therefore on daily prices. Offers to buy and sell any scrip are as a rule sporadic and separated by intervals of time. Continuous variations in supply, demand and prices such as make for stabilisation in other markets are not to be found in a stock exchange.

Except in Berlin, each transaction takes place on all other

exchanges as a separate bargain, which results for reasons given above in its own special price. This creates the problem of recording daily prices in such a manner as to make them intelligible and useful as indicators. In London, prices are marked individually while for listed securities, the closing prices of the last half an hour are published. Paris quotes prices in the order of actual deals while dealings for account have their first and last and their highest and lowest prices only published. It is clear that such records are bound to be too inadequate or too copious to indicate the prices at which the bulk of transactions takes place.

Fixed prices are used only for carry over transactions in London. New York uses the opening price of the day for this purpose, which however, is frequently revised. Paris uses the average of actual prices.

Berlin has made an original, profound and instructive effort to obviate the effects of lack of concentration in time to which all stock exchanges are subject. Each individual bargain does not take place at its own time and at its own price. All orders for sale or purchase have to be submitted in the first instance to official brokers. At the appointed time, the official brokers start to make bids and counter bids and out of these, they frame regular schedules of demand, supply and prices. They are thus enabled to fix that unique equilibrium price at which according to the strict theory of competition the largest offers of sale coincide with the largest offers of purchase. It is clear that the system is justified only in so far as accuracy in price fixing is attained. In the most favourable circumstances, such an achievement presupposes an elaboration of technique and degree of intelligence which are not easy to secure.

Level of Values Generally

Day to day prices or cyclical trends in values are intelligible only as deviations from some conceivable basic

level of values when considered over more or less long periods. Scrips represent ultimately the fixed assets or capital-goods and good-will of an enterprise and it appears natural to presume that their prices follow the general movements of prices. Yet, the assessment of appropriate values of scrips and the differences between them involve more complications than are present in the case of ordinary consumption goods. The subject may be analysed under three heads.

(1) The profits or dividends of a concern or industry are the most obvious factor to be taken into account. The assessment of their true meaning,—how far they represent the fundamental stability and soundness of a concern and how far they are merely fictitious is however not an easy task. It calls for much experience and technical knowledge in interpretation. Extraneous factors like taxation, etc. have also to be allowed for in degrees of varying nicety.

(2) Any particular level of dividends or profits has a significance only in relation to the general level of dividends or profits. The best representative of this level is the long-term rate of interest on investments which are free from risks and therefore typify the productivity of capital in general. It is clear that with a rising trend of long-term rate, the values of scrips will tend to be depressed and with a falling trend, they will show a certain persistent buoyance.

(3) All investment partakes more or less of the nature of a leap into the unknown future. Expectations of future profits are for this reason a more important influence on prices than the attraction of past profits. These expectations necessarily take account of a bewildering number of factors—the potentialities of a particular concern, the prospects of an industry in general, trends of currency and banking policies, trends of interest rates, all political and non-political incidents which affect the course of trade and

THE LONG-TERM CAPITAL MARKET

105

industry. It is of course true that anticipation of the future^{*} in this sense is inherent in every economic activity and indeed in all details and spheres of human life. Yet, of few other markets could it be said as of the stock market that speculation is the most outstanding influence in valuations and sometimes indeed in a degree which is little distinguishable from gambling, pure and simple.

It is natural that all the weaknesses of human psychology in regard to the unknown should be exhibited in their raw and crude form in the field of investment. Paradoxically, our so-called forecast of the future is but a projection of our present experiences and moods and it is not surprising that our^{*} pre-occupation with the incidents of the living present however trivial and insignificant in themselves, should throw out of perspective our view of the future.* Fear and hope, perhaps fear more than hope, originating in our present experiences create a mental state in which rational computation has little chance.

Since it takes more than one person to speculate, it is clear that gambling as the extreme form of speculation is a social phenomenon. The standards of honour and respectability prevalent in any social group have therefore an important effect on the extent to which gambling is made a source of individual and family fortunes. As in many other lines, it happens in India that business in stocks and shares tends generally to be confined to certain castes and communities of the Indian society. It is an inevitable out-

^{*} The influence of interest rate, varying demand and expectations relating to different commodities, the course of inflation, etc. is well illustrated by the course of prices of scrips during World War I :

	29th July 1914	26th July 1917	26th March 1918	27th March 1919	31st March 1920
5 Government Securities ...	100	70	67	74	62
100 Port Trust and Municipal Debentures ...	100	80	84	85	91
10 Banks ...	100	106	112	116	127
32 Jute Mills (ordinary) ...	100	311	497	268	268
65 Cotton Mills (ordinary) ...	100	182	169	167	208
90 Coal Mines (ordinary) ...	100	136	124	127	149
1 Woollen Mill ...	100	106	125	125	167
87 Tea Companies (ordinary)...	100	127	125	122	126
4 Flour Mills ...	100	127	206	228	406
Tata Iron and Steel (ordinary)	100	202	208	204	207

See also p. 78 foot-note for effect of a depression.

Some of this situation that an economic psychology of a self-perpetuating character and impervious to new influences from outside should have grown in which stricter judgment of character, behaviour and modes of life find little place. When we reflect that most persons are virtuous not because like Socrates they prefer virtue but because of penalties of detection and social disapprobation, the importance of this factor in certain regrettable aspects of our dealings in stocks and shares is easily discernible. The infusion of new and better educated elements into the Stock Exchange should in the course of time prove the solvent of many of our present evils.

The fool cannot be prevented from his follies—much less the gambler. But this is no reason why opportunities for such behaviour, particularly when it ensues in anti-social consequences, should not be curtailed to the minimum compatible with the free flow of legitimate business. Still less could it be a justification for placing temptations in the way of persons who but for them might prefer cautiousness to recklessness. It is to be feared that the seamy side of stock exchange business in this country and particularly in Bombay has been in no small measure due to certain practices which weakened deterrents to rashness and anti-social activities. Affairs have been administered and powers have been used in the past in such a way as to suggest that the institution exists only for the benefit of its members and recognised no responsibility to the investing public and the country.

Default

Till recently, the penalties of default were seriously limited in their deterrent value. Default was recognised only against a fellow-member and not against a member of the public. Besides, the property of a defaulter outside the stock exchange was not available to meet his liabilities

THE LONG-TERM CAPITAL MARKET

incurred in deals on the exchange.* The 1938 rules remove these defects in both these respects. A defaulting member is not to be re-admitted to membership now if the default was caused by speculation on his own account. In other circumstances, when the conduct of the defaulter has been above blame, he is re-admissible only if he has met his liabilities in full. Exceptions may be made in case of clear misfortune. It is self-evident that the beneficial effect of these amendments will be in proportion to their proper enforcement.

Intervention in the Ordinary Course of the Market .

Powers of intervention in the ordinary course of the market can only be justified by some special factors which distinguish deals in stocks and shares from deals in other commodities. The chief usefulness of speculation, it will be recalled, lies in enabling future stocks to be taken into account in fixing present prices. Whenever possible, speculation enables supplies to be adjusted to forthcoming changes in economic circumstances. In the case of stocks and shares, the only adjustment in supplies relates largely to scrips of new enterprises. New enterprises and their scrips take, however, a long time to establish themselves and in the meanwhile, holders of scrips of older enterprises find themselves confronted with exceptional opportunities of gain, when the demand is enlarged, and loss if the decline of demand has created the necessity of contraction of out-

* Number of defaulters				Max. and min. loss to members (000s)	Penalty
1927	...	2	...	27 to 20	Seats forfeited.
1928	...	1	...	48	" "
1929	...	8	...	25 to 35	" "
1930	...	1	...	38	" "
1933	...	7	...	16 to 377	8 seats forfeited and others pay 5 to 10½ annas in the rupee.
1934	...	2	...	144 to 280	1 seat forfeited and one pays 5½ annas in the rupee.
1935	...	1	...	6	Seat forfeited.
1936	...	8	...	11 to 109	2 seats forfeited and one pays 12 annas in the rupee.
1937	...	8	...	74 to 146	0-10 annas in the rupee paid.
1938	...	5	...	34 to 286	4 seats forfeited and one pays 10 annas in the rupee.

put. In the second place, since the market for each individual scrip is unique by itself, individuals are sometimes able to acquire complete control over supply and prices and thus terminate the existence of a free market. This indeed is the most glaring evil of a small market like the Bombay stock exchange. Thirdly, hedging is an important facility which ordinary markets offer. Speculation is the means to eliminate speculative risks from production and manufacture for future delivery. In the case of stocks and shares, hedging from week to week or from month to month has clearly no economic basis. Intervention in the ordinary course of the Stock Exchange may be justified to the extent that these special features lead to any anti-social consequences.

Selling-out Rule

The suspension of the selling out rule is intended to arrest a bear raid and prevent further falls in prices. Obviously, the power is invoked to protect bulls who are confronted with heavier and heavier losses as the market drops down. Such intervention seems however to have only slender justification since there exists an automatic check in the market to a fall which is not warranted by inherent economic facts. When prices fall so low that yield rises above the economic level, buyers must make their appearance in large numbers. If buyers hold off, it will be only because bulls have raised prices to very uneconomic levels and considerable downward movement must take place to reach economic levels. The establishment of economic levels is certainly a pre-requisite of healthy investment and the penalties borne by the bulls in the process are decidedly a well-merited chastisement which should lead to more responsible behaviour in the future. It has been sometimes argued that depreciation of securities might embarrass legitimate trade and business which use them for raising loans. It is improbable that such counters are accepted by lenders as security except at very safe margins. The existence of this power was properly condemned by the

Morisson Report.*

* The following record illustrates the intervention of the authorities in the more important corners, crises, etc. which bring out incidentally the great part played by causes and persons outside the Stock Exchange in most of its difficulties.

1898. One Raghunath Mulji cornered the shares of Lakhmidas Mill and raised their price from Rs. 1,000 to 1,300.

A little later, a corner raised the price of shares of Queen's Mills from Rs. 1,000 to Rs. 1,200 and then in a single day, the price fell to Rs. 800.

Jehangir Byramji Dalal effected a corner in the shares of the China Mill and raised the price from Rs. 1,000 to Rs. 2,100. Dalal was made a partner in the Managing Agency.

1896. Chunilal Saraiya, assistant in the Hundi Department of the Bank of Bombay and others intimately connected with the Mill cornered the shares of the Sun Mill and raised their price from Rs. 150 to Rs. 650. The stock sold by the bears was found to be more than three times the stock issued. The President intervened and the liabilities were settled at a price of Rs. 500.

1910-12. Rivalry between uncle and nephew led F. Petit to buy the shares of Manekji Petit Mills at a price of Rs. 8,950 and sell them for the next settlement at a price of Rs. 8,300. For the first time in its history, the buying-in rule was suspended. Petit agreed to a compromise and received the difference on the basis of Rs. 8,800 per share.

1913. The aforesaid J. B. Dalal cornered shares of Petit Mills. The banking crisis brought matters to a head. Dalal failed with the collapse of the Credit Bank. On the earnest representations of the Board, members who had sold share at Rs. 8,300 repurchased them at Rs. 2,600 and resold at Rs. 2,000—thus suffering a loss of Rs. 1,300 per share.

In 1918, a corner seems to have been prompted in the shares of the Standard Mills on account of a rumoured desire of certain parties to obtain control over the Mills. The situation was settled amicably.

1919. The managing agents in concert with others effected a corner in the shares of the Madhavji Mills. Forward deals were suspended. There were two defaults by members in the year and four more in the subsequent year. A rule was passed empowering the Board to fix such rates as they thought proper.

1919. A corner in the deferred shares of the Katni Cement Co. was settled by a resolution of the Board. It was settled that till further notice, no one should transact business for June settlement or for cash at a price higher than Rs. 1900.

1921. Mathuradas Gokuldas and his Syndicate cornered the shares of Fasalbhoy Mills. While the issued shares were only 7,200, 14,000 Kuplis were applied for. A corner was declared and price was fixed at Rs. 2,155. The Syndicate sold 6,000 shares to Sir Fasalbhoy Currimbhoy at Rs. 1,650 c. d., i. e. at a price higher than market price which had fallen to Rs. 1,400.

Later, a partner in the Agents' Firm and others connected with the Mills effected a corner in the shares of the Finlay Mills. A low dividend of Rs. 25 was first declared and shares purchased at the depressed prices. A dividend of Rs. 125 was then declared and although the shares issued were only 8,000 the purchases amounted to 16,000 and more. After the corner, the price fell from Rs. 1,880 to Rs. 950.

1923. Emboldened by their previous successes, the syndicate of Mathuradas Gokuldas attempted a corner in the shares of many concerns, Kohinoor, Davul, Currim, Bharucha Swadeahi, Nagpur, etc. A member of the syndicate was also involved on the Cotton Exchange. Forward deals were stopped in Nagpur and Swadeahi shares and prices were fixed. For the first time, a corner failed on the Stock Exchange and Mathuradas Gokuldas had to part with the Managing Agency of as many as five mills. One of the directors of the Stock Exchange was broker to the syndicate and as such did not vote on the decisions taken. The Board passed a resolution that such directors must resign or may be removed by a two-thirds majority of the Board.

1922 October. A corner took place in the shares of the Currim and David Mills. While the shares issued were only 16,600, the amount sold exceeded 48,000. The syndicate sold David shares at Rs. 1,350 which was much more than market price to Sir Sassoon who thus got the Managing Agency while Currim also was mortgaged to the same parties.

1923. E. D. Sassoon and Co. Mills were sold to a syndicate for 6 crores. Preference shares were reserved for E. D. Sassoon and Co. while ordinary shares of Rs. 4 crores were loaded on the gullible public at good premiums. As a matter of fact, the book-value of the concerns was about 2½ crores and real value perhaps less than 2 crores. The share of Rs. 10 nominal value collapsed to Rs. 3 immediately thereafter.

1924. Two syndicates attempted to corner the shares of (i) Madhavji Mills and (ii) Bombay Dyeing & Centuries respectively. Dyeing rose from Rs. 750-800 to Rs. 1,150 & Madhavji's from Rs. 150 to Rs. 400. The corners failed and with them the parties.

1929, June. The exchange suspends all dealings.

July. The onset of the crisis and depression saw all deals for account suspended and resumption was delayed till October.

1933. The old Managing Agency Firm of Currimbhoy Ebrahim and Sons failed and six companies were involved. Members whether dealing in the shares or not subscribed to a special fund of Rs. 3 lakhs and all liabilities were duly discharged.

1935. Difficulties were caused by the Italy-Abyssinian war and dealings for account in Government securities were suspended. The step was approved by banks, businessmen, etc.

Men who lost in the Bullion Exchange crash tried to recover by a great bear raid on the Stock Exchange where the realizations to meet losses on the Bullion Exchange were already depressing prices. Forward deals were suspended but cash deals continued.

1937. The currency depreciation and cheap money boom of middle thirties was abruptly reversed by rumours of return to the gold standard, threat of penal legislation in the U. S., etc. There was a general collapse of prices in April-May on the Bombay Exchange.

1940. An expected boom was suddenly destroyed by Government announcement of an excess profits tax. Four defaults were announced.

• Buying-in Rule

The power to suspend the "buying-in rule" exists to operate in the opposite circumstances, i.e. when bears have sold more stock than is available in the market and the ensuing concentration of supplies in the hands of the bulls enables them to dictate any prices. In such conditions of a corner, the automatic check of an increase in supplies is no longer operative. Suspension of the rule prevents any further rise in prices and has a tendency to attract such supplies as are still floating outside the market. • The threat to terminate the suspension, when prices must fall, inclines bulls to propose more reasonable terms and bears to accept them.

In the light of the foregoing analysis, it may well be doubted whether forward deals have any important economic function to perform in the interests of the economic system. Most of the situations envisaged above and the remedies considered seem to have a bearing only on speculation among members and operators of the stock exchange themselves. The elimination of forward deals altogether, the introduction of banks as members of the stock exchange as in Berlin, a rigorous enforcement of conditions of admission to stock exchange quotation, strict restrictions on the proclivities of directors and officers of companies to manipulate and speculate in their own scrips, initial allotment of shares through a public authority, above all an impartial and independent executive officer responsible to the Government to watch the enforcement of rules—these and other measures are the proper means to solve the investment problems of this country. It is instructive to note that the Calcutta Stock Exchange recognises no forward deals and the absence of this mechanism has not caused any inconvenience to the great industries there.

Holidays

Arbitrary declaration of holidays has been a very common device on the Bombay Stock Exchange to evade the

* Appendix 29.—Representation of the Bombay Exchange to Morison Committee.

consequences of critical situations. The Atlay inquiry revealed that in a certain year the Exchange was closed completely for 155 days, was open for two hours on 144 days and recorded full working on 66 days only. When the authorities of the Exchange were not inclined to fall in with the demand for closing, brokers' clerks took the law into their own hands and declared holidays. As usual, religion furnished the most easily available excuse for this anti-social behaviour. Under 1938 rules, these holidays are now limited to Sundays, December 27 to 30 and not more than 5 other holidays to be fixed by the Association at its discretion.*

The Board of Directors are authorised to close the market at their discretion for not more than 24 hours. It is made clear that this authority is to be used to meet country-wide emergencies or international crisis like war, etc. A domestic crisis is specifically excluded from the exercise of this power. An extension of the closing period requires the prior consent of Government through its appropriate department.

Reorganization of the Capital Market

Experience establishes very clearly that the main deficiencies of the investment market are three namely, the staggering waste of mistaken or fraudulent promotion; high instability of values and consequent deterrence to genuine investors; and finally, the tendency to waves of over-investment and under-investment with their serious reactions on production and employment. The general public, it is true, is apt to think of this market more in terms of frauds and malpractices than any technical deficiencies, but it will be found on deeper reflection that these evils are largely traceable to the three defects already stated. A drastic overhaul of company law with the object of eliminating

* Many holidays are an amiable weakness of the Indian people. Early in the present century, bank holidays at Abottabad were found so numerous that the clientele protested that it would be less of a nuisance to them if postcards sent to them intimated working days instead of holidays! A bank manager at Jullunderkeen on joining a cricket team at Amritsar promptly put up a holiday notice. A run on a bank which required 48 hours to bring cash from the nearest big town was staved off by means of an announcement of two days' holidays.

monopoly or minority control of stocks and shares, financial manipulations by office-bearers, perversion and abuse of audit and accounts, the enormous losses of still-born promotion and fraudulent management etc. might mitigate to an extent the first mentioned evil. The Central bank of the country in close co-operation with the Government and the banking system could obtain sufficient control over the capital market to enable it to mitigate if not eliminate the third evil.

But it will be found on further analysis that ultimately these defects proceed from the central fact that the whole mechanism of the market rests on the foundation of individual judgment and investment enterprise. Experience proves that the existence of apparently expert and specialised intermediaries, the brokers, does not modify in any substantial manner the drawbacks and weaknesses of individual judgment which is always lay and uninstructed judgment. These drawbacks and weaknesses constitute the real basic problem of the capital and investment market and the main direction of reform must be to find effective means to protect the investor against himself.

The growth of insurance in recent times is very suggestive and offers an instructive precedent for such protection. What insurance companies achieve in the field of protection against the uncertainties of life, investment trusts whether of the general, fixed or unit type, could achieve in the field of protection against the uncertainties of investment. For the fulfilment of this purpose, two fundamental changes will have to be effected. Individuals should be legally debarred from subscribing to or holding the shares and stocks of individual companies, all contributions to capital in future being allowed only through investment trusts. Secondly, as in the case of insurance companies, the investment of funds by these trusts should be regulated by law. Trusts of this kind rather than industrial banks, as suggested by some, offer a more promising solution of the vexed

problem of supply of long-term or medium-term capital to industry.*

Banking Funds and Stock Exchanges

We have noted that the character of each money market varies according as there is a smaller or larger scope for loans to bill-business, business in stocks and shares, and, in countries where banks are more or less localised,—inter-bank needs. In London, the larger proportion of call loans generally finds its way into the bill-market. But in New York where the banking system lacked centralization of reserves and central banking facilities for a long time, the device of settlements every next day seems to have been adopted as a means of placing funds in an easily withdrawable manner. Even after the operation of the Federal Reserve System for more than a quarter of a century, the stock exchange continues to absorb the bulk of available short-term funds. It is possible that these sporadic injections and withdrawals of funds according to the needs of banks is an important factor in the well-known instability of values on the New York Stock Exchange. In other countries, loans to the stock exchanges tend to approximate to the periods of settlement while the bill and discount market furnishes the banks with access to the funds of the central bank.

It has been sometimes suggested that a large participation in loans to the stock exchanges makes the banking system vulnerable to the ups and downs of security markets. The belief seems hardly well-founded. When banks make such loans, it is their practice to protect themselves with quite conservative margins. So long as this precaution is observed, there is no reason why banks should incur losses on this account. Experience proves that brokers' loans are the most liquid and reliable investments. What difficulties have been encountered relate to loans to customers for that purpose. Looking at it from stand-point of the economic system as a whole, it is their irresponsible and

* For full details of this proposal, see Chaps. V, VI and VIII of the Author's *Economics of Post-War India*, 2nd ed. (Hind Kitabs : Bombay).

panicky behaviour of funds from non-banking sources which has caused grave embarrassments on the stock exchanges. Recent legislation in the United States is partly directed to squeeze out these non-banking sources from at least the official business on the stock exchange and to concentrate loans in the hands of banks.

There is nevertheless a sense in which loans to the stock exchanges are a weakness to the management of the banking system as a whole. Speculation on stock exchanges is speculation in price-differences. At certain times, the anticipated changes relate from one day to another and are apt to range over several points. Interest-rates as high as 10 per cent. and even more mean nothing more than an insignificant fraction of a rupee for a day, week or month and as such are hardly calculated to check the course of events on the market. No effective means could be found either to enforce any special rates of interest for the stock exchange which will not be evaded or will not impinge on other parts of the economic system. This inability to control stock exchanges is a contrast to the ease and effectiveness with which interest rates reach the economic system through the medium of the bill and discount market.

It is sometimes thought that loans to stock exchanges imply a diversion of funds from legitimate trade and industry into speculation. The belief is founded on a misapprehension which becomes clear when we follow the trail of such loans. If such a loan is used to buy an existing security, one of two things, must happen. The receiver of the price may use it to repay a loan to a bank in which case indebtedness has been merely shifted from one person to another. Alternatively, the price realised may be used by the previous holder for consumption purposes which means that the borrower must ultimately pass the scrip to some one with new savings. In either case, the loan to the stock exchange has not affected the distribution of resources between saving and consumption. If the loan is applied to the purchase of a new scrip altogether, the bank has either

supplied capital in anticipation of new savings or if the new scrip does not turn out well has enabled the speculator to finance his loss. To the extent the investment is justified, funds have been usefully applied to trade and industry. To the extent that the judgment has miscarried, there is a loss of new savings for which banks cannot in any way be saddled with blame. Unless banks undertake the direction of investment by acting as brokers, advisors or underwriters, their responsibility could not extend beyond making funds available whenever sound and reliable security is offered.

No statistics are available of the extent to which the Bombay Stock Exchange is dependent on funds from banks. It is probable that loans from banks do not hold any important place in these dealings. Much of the speculation is undertaken by members on their own account and the funds are derived very largely from private sources.*

II

THE BOMBAY BULLION EXCHANGE

Investment in precious metals has always held a great place in the Indian economy. It would be difficult to say whether the chief motive in the growth of this practice was the desire for ornamentation or for a secure store of value. It may be presumed that for all practical purposes neither motive could have grown to the strength it has without the presence of the other. This fact gives ground for the hope that if either of them is weakened on account of social or economic changes, the practice as such will disappear much more quickly than many people have dared to hope.

Continuous absorption of precious metals as a store of value is indeed inherent in the economic situation of India. In highly industrialised countries, the alternative to pre-

BOMBAY STOCK EXCHANGE

* Value of Shares bought and sold as per Broker's Lists.

Year.	(figures in lakhs)		
	Minimum in 12 months	Maximum in 12 months	Total for 12 months
1932	5.30	10.99	91.77
1933	9.94	32.32	256.14
1934	16.17	58.56	400.17
1935	41.25	84.66	681.35
1936	36.61	83.30	585.53
1937	67.06	141.35	1180.01

precious metals is house-ownership which holds before the eyes of the ordinary man the very same assurance of solidity and tangibility with the additional attraction of some income as far as one may care to see. Till recently, India as a land of villages lacked this outlet for investment of savings out of moderate incomes. In advanced countries like England and the United States, house-building is estimated to absorb as much as half the annual national savings. In India illiteracy, ignorance, insecurity, etc., may have aggravated the inclination to seek shelter in precious metals but the main cause has been the absence of alternative forms of investment.

We have seen already that in more recent times, other forms of investment have been gaining very rapidly over this primitive way of storing value. Of total visible investments, the proportion invested in gold and silver has declined very impressively—although the absolute absorption in normal times still remains very large.* Indeed there is ground for the assertion that purely economic factors and economic consequences relating to movements in and out of precious metals have not received their due attention while the part of the steady, unvarying social factors has been correspondingly exaggerated. Of course, harvests, agricultural prices, festive and marriage seasons, etc., have been always reckoned in estimating the demand for metals and profits of the trade. But one factor has been generally left out of account and its economic implications not properly assessed, namely, the course of the exchange rate.

A rise in exchange rate is tantamount to an immediate reduction in the price of gold. Many people are tempted to take advantage of the apparent cheapness by their converting other forms of savings into gold and silver or forgoing a part of their normal consumption in order to add to their stocks of the precious metals. These artificially stimulated imports have to be paid for and actually result in a large volume of exports than usual. This should explain how during a period of appreciation of the rupee like 1923-26,

our ordinary imports did improve but exports actually outstripped them in a paradoxical manner.

In other words, the harm from an appreciating exchange may not be visible in an actual striking increase in imports and a reduction in exports. The harm may take the shape of placing a premium on unproductive investment in precious metals and causing unnecessary exports in order to acquire the metals.

A fall in the exchange rate reveals itself immediately as an increase in the price of gold. Two different developments are recorded in our history.

The prolonged fall in the exchange rate between 1871 and 1893 illustrates one type of development. The rise in the price of gold caused a perceptible decline in the imports of gold. While a part of the resources thus saved may have gone into consumption, much the larger part seems to have converted itself into silver rupees. With silver as our standard, the price of silver in contrast with that of gold remained unchanged and thus brought it into favour. Almost all the silver imported in these years found its way into the mint although only a part was added to the circulation. People preferred to hold their savings in form of currency rather than as ornaments.

The decline in imports of gold and an increase in the expenditure on consumption may have been a part of the explanation of the striking increase of imports as compared with exports which was noted as a paradoxical feature of those years by the Herschell Committee.

When sterling and with it the rupee left their gold basis in September 1931, the situation was materially different. Among other changes, the advance of price of gold had come after a long period of 30 years of relative prosperity during which the country had acquired a stock of gold of more than Rs. 500 crores. The rupee was now a token coin and the rupee price of silver followed the same direction as gold price. The sensational increase in the price of gold and some increase in the price of silver were no ordinary temptations to make profits while the boom lasted. In six

years after the abandonment of the gold standard, gold averaging to Rs. 50 crores per annum left the shores of India.

There can be little doubt that a small part of this wind-fall was used for consumption i.e., to support the volume of imports. A large part found its way into investment. From 1931 to 1935, imports on the whole show much less elasticity than exports. There is nothing, however, in the composition of our imports to warrant the belief that we imported more capital goods in these years than formerly; the imports represent the usual consumption and investment demand. The agricultural classes generally seem to have lost in favour of those classes which invest their savings in banks, postal saving deposits and cash certificates, life assurance policies, etc. Currency made redundant by the severe fall of prices may also have replaced the former gold hoards on quite an appreciable scale.

The Bullion Exchange

It is natural that a market should have developed to facilitate these enormous dealings in precious metals. The Bombay Bullion Exchange originated in the regular trading which used to take place once on the pavements and footpaths of the Kalbadevi area. Systematic trading began with the foundation as late as 1923 of the Bombay Bullion Exchange. As in the case of other markets, the discipline and control of this Exchange cannot be described as strict, although the Association has its manual of elaborate rules. Arbitrary closing of the Exchange has sometimes been complained of.

Conditions in the Bullion Exchange are so inherently different from those on the Stock Exchange that both in organisation and in general atmosphere the former presents quite an impressive contrast. In the first place, the Bullion Exchange deals only in two commodities, the qualities of which are uniform and easily ascertainable. Secondly, prices of these commodities are determined in all ordinary circumstances by international conditions and sometimes, specific laws of particular countries. As a rule, they fluctu-

ate within narrow margins or in a manner which may be accurately foreseen by the instructed. It has been also claimed on behalf of the Exchange that members have avoided difficult situations by refraining from undertaking operations on behalf of persons of doubtful financial standing. These conditions make for a more even tenour of trade as also a less elaborate kind of organisation.

Traders in the Bullion Exchange fall into two classes: members and brokers. None but members are admissible to the forward ring. Another difference between members and brokers is that when a party to a contract fails, the member is bound to fulfil the contract. A broker placed in the same circumstances need only forgo his commission and has no further penalties to incur. Conditions of admission to the Bullion Exchange are hardly onerous. Apart from introduction etc., membership can be acquired on payment of Rs. 11 for an Associate Member and Rs. 51 for a member. In practice, social connections and apprenticeship in the complicated way of the Exchange from early age tend to limit membership to certain communities.

Deals are undertaken under two forms of agency. In *Katchi Adat*, the member merely brings together the outside client and the bazar party, charges the prescribed commission and incurs no liabilities for the ultimate fulfilment of the contract. In *Pakki Adat*, the member, although acting on behalf of an outsider, is a principal to the contract. He may be called upon to buy or deliver the bullion as the case may be, or in the alternative to pay the difference. When a member sells bullion on behalf of a client, it is customary for the latter to draw a hundi on the member.

The unit of transactions on the exchange is the same for cash as for forward business, namely, 250 tolas for gold and 2,800 tolas for silver. In the case of gold, the fineness prescribed is 90 fine for cash and 100 fine for forward business, a margin in the case of the latter up to 94 being allowed. In case of unusual difficulties in delivery, however, the exchange has been known to alter the fineness to facilitate

fulfilment of contracts. To cite an example, while the margin for fineness of silver was ordinarily 996-999, it was lowered on Dec. 18th 1939 to as much as 916 owing to inability to refine the silver delivered by Government according to its own standard of 11/12th fine. Actually the rule never went into operation. According to the change in the degree of fineness, adjustments are made in the price of bars.

The most important activity of the Exchange is dealings business. For ready deals, the commission is 3 pies per tola in the case of gold and 1 anna per 100 tolas in the case of silver. For forward business, the scale is 3 pies per tola for gold and 14 annas per 2,800 tolas for silver.

The most important activity of the Exchange is dealings in options, the so-called *teji* and *mandi* transactions of buyers of options or खानार and sellers of options or लगाइदार. 'Put' as usual is the right to sell bullion at the agreed price at some future date. Obviously, the party which buys the right expects that the price on that specific date will be less than the agreed price. 'Call' is the right to buy bullion on similar conditions and is founded on the expectation that the price on the future date will rise. The agreed price is usually the market price of the day and the period of the option is generally between a fortnight to two months. The advantage of 'put' or 'call' lies in this. If the expected change in the price is frustrated, the purchaser of the right can forgo its exercise by paying down its price and thus limit his loss to the price. If a 'put' were purchased at a price of annas 4 per 100 tolas, the maximum loss per unit i.e., the standard bar of 2,800 tolas could be only 280×4 annas, i.e., Rs. 70. If the change in the price of bullion is so adverse that the exercise of the right will mean a loss of more than Rs. 70, it would be advantageous to forgo the right and pay the penalty of Rs. 70. The actual details are much more complicated since 'put' and 'call' are combined in a most bewildering number of ways.

The Bank of India is in charge of the clearing system of the exchange. At the close of each account period, the

Board of the Exchange fixes the making up price. If a member fails to deliver the bullion, the buyer buys it at the auction and the seller has to bear the price difference. The loss to the seller is, however, limited by the rule that he will not pay per 100 tolas more than Rs. 3-8-0 above the market price fixed at the auction for the next settlement. The advantage of a corner to raise the price against the seller is thus severely restricted. Perhaps the fact that the price of the commodities cannot under normal circumstances widen very much makes such regulation practicable and effective.

III

LIFE INSURANCE

In analysing the disposal of our annual savings, we have recorded how life assurance funds have grown in a remarkable manner since 1920 and how the pace has been more rapid since 1930. The figures available for the pre-War year 1937 reveal that aggregate life assurance business in force was 277 crores for private companies and 19 crores for the post office insurance scheme. The aggregate premium income for the year i.e., the contribution of the public towards life insurance in that year exceeded Rs. 15 crores as against 6½ crores in 1928.* To this figure, we

*Average Sum per Policy

	Indian Companies Rs.	Non-Indian Companies Rs.	Post Office Insurance Rs.
1929	1,628	3,086	1,800
1930	1,484	2,970	1,610
1931	1,764	3,400	1,890
1934	1,528	3,313	1,978
1935	1,541	3,418	2,070
1936	1,504	3,148	2,187
1937	1,485	3,089	2,180
1938		3,090	2,068
1939	1,460	3,244	1,940
1940	1,645	3,963	
1941	1,808	4,781	
1942

	1928	1929	1930	1931	1934	1936	1937	1939	1941
Total Assurance in force	185	155	168	184	232	270	270	298	301
Premium Income	6.8	7.9	8.6	9.2	11.3	13.9	15.1	15.6	14.5

The suggestion to establish State Life Assurance was first made by Sir Richard Temple the Finance Member in 1872 but was rejected after a great deal of discussion. It was revised by the Director General of Post Office and accepted by the Government in 1881 and a scheme was introduced in 1884.

The insurance scheme was first confined to the employees of the Post Office. In 1887 the privilege was extended to the Telegraph Department and in 1895 to employees of the Indo-European Telegraphs and to women employed in all departments. In 1898, the benefit was extended to all permanent Government servants as also certain members of establishments of the Military Departments. Subsequent extensions covered temporary Government servants or servants in foreign service in India.

At first tables of premia were calculated from mortality rates from experience of the un-convenanted Service Family Pension Fund—a fund confined to European residents in India. In 1912, the Actuary at the India Office revised the tables from the past experience of the Fund

must add about 5 crores more on account of non-life insurance and post office life assurance.

There is little doubt that there is still a good deal of scope for further expansion in this kind of investment. Some indication on this point is offered by the average sum assured in the new policies from one year to another.

The Indian companies are obviously supporting the main burden of reaching the less well-to-do classes of our people. In a country where about 42 lakhs of account holders in the postal savings banks show a per head claim of Rs. 193 only, an average sum assured of about Rs. 1,500 is still relatively high. Besides, the figures for the last few years do not disclose any tendency to fall, which suggests that new and lower income-classes have yet to be persuaded to adopt this form of saving.

The manner in which insurance companies invest their funds is bound to be a factor of growing importance in the long-term capital market. The following distribution of their funds by Indian life assurance companies which account for 184 crores out of a total business in force of 296 crores in 1937 should be sufficiently illustrative of the point.

		(Figures in crores)*	
		1937	1941
Indian Government Securities	..	28.2	46.7
Municipal, Improvement Trust Boards		5.2	6.0
Mortgage on Property	2.1	2.1
Land and House Property	3.2	5.5
Shares in Industrial Companies	..	4.1	7.2
	Total	32.8	67.5
Other Investments	23.1	16.8
	Grand Total	55.9	84.3

* This distribution of assets does not give an accurate picture of the practice of the majority of Indian Companies. For, the figures are inclusive of the Oriental Insurance Company which accounts for about half the investments. It invests very largely in Government securities and for the reason quotes the highest premium rates. The exclusion of Oriental Insurance Company alters the percentage share of each type of investments in the following striking manner.

		Percentage to Total Investments	
		All Indian Companies	All Indian Companies ex-Oriental Insurance Co.
Loans and mortgages	...	14	16
Indian Government Securities	...	51	35
Other Gift-edged Securities	...	12	15
Shares	...	6	10
Landed and House Property	...	5	7
Miscellaneous	...	12	17

In 1940, the Oriental Insurance Co. amended its rules to enable investment in mortgage.

It is clear that insurance companies although in a much stronger position than banks are in no way more-venture-some. While investment in Government securities is essential to a certain extent, these concerns could easily cultivate some of our neglected fields of investment like industrial debentures, house building societies, etc. At present, their contribution to the great task of cultivating new investment habits lies only in life insurance as such.

IV

POSTAL SAVINGS BANKS & CASH CERTIFICATES

Post office savings banks and postal cash certificates are the most far-reaching agency created by Government to attract the small investor in this country. First established in 1882, postal savings banks supplanted before the close of the century the pre-existing district savings banks and the Presidency towns Government savings banks and today they show 230 head branches and 11,879 sub-branches. The extent of their operations will be better realised when it is recalled that towns with a population of 5000 and over aggregate in India to about 2300 only.

The limits on deposits that can be made in any single year and the total balance that can be held by a single depositor are now Rs. 750 and Rs. 5000 respectively while the limit for balance in the accounts of minors is Rs. 1000 only.† Various suggestions have been made to raise the limits, allow deposit and withdrawal by cheques, facilitate joint-accounts and payment to survivors, increase the number of offices, extend as in the United Kingdom the local obligation to pay or receive into a general obligation valid in all parts of India etc. As we have already stressed, joint-stock banks now offer similar facilities and inducements while the growth of savings deposits has been most remarkable.*

* Pp. 33-6; 254; Tables XXI, XXII, XXV and XXVI.

	Max. Deposit. per annum	Total Max. Deposit	Interest
	Rs.	Rs.	
1882-85 Govt. Banks, Presidency Towns	500	500	4 p.c.
1870 Dist. Savings Banks	"	5000	2½ "
1879 Dist. & Other Govt. Saving Banks	"	5000	4 1/8 "
1880	"	3000	2½ p.c.

See p. 130; F. N. †

There is nothing to prevent a man having any number of imaginary relatives and opening accounts in all their names.....a case came to light some years ago in

The limits, for example, fixed by the Imperial Bank of India for its savings bank accounts are Rs. 5000 in any single year and Rs. 10,000 for the maximum balance permitted in an account. Not more than one withdrawal is allowed per week.

We have had occasion to remark on the enormous growth of small savings which has occurred since 1930. The growth in the case of postal savings has occurred very largely by an increase in the number of depositors. About 8 lakhs in 1900, the figures stood at 18 lakhs in 1920 and 24 lakhs in 1930. Although the number of branches has not varied much since 1920, the number of depositors rose to more than 42 lakhs in 1938-39.

The average balance in each branch has naturally kept pace with the growth of the number of depositors. But it is noteworthy that the deposit per head which was fairly stable or slowly progressing till 1930 mounted with astonishing speed in the depression years thereafter. Several causes of varying importance have been at work to bring about this result. It is more than probable that fixed deposits at banks have lost their attraction with the great fall of interest rates. A part of the improvement is accounted for by the phenomenal exports of gold, hoards being to an extent converted into this form of savings deposit. A third cause which might explain the contrast between the behaviour of fixed deposits and postal savings deposits is that with the great fall of prices, the number of well-to-do persons e.g., assesses to the income-tax ceased to grow while the margin for saving of those classes which depend on fixed

which a depositor at Dharwar was authorised to operate on eighty-three accounts with a balance of nearly Rs. 80,000....further inquiries made at the time elicited that one depositor at Bijapur controlled forty-two accounts, another at Surat thirty, and another at Karwar nineteen.....These deposits represent a very high proportion of the total in India so that the action of any strong body of depositors in Bombay has a very serious effect on the balance of the Savings Bank."

or relatively inelastic incomes was very much enlarged. These remarks hold good for postal cash certificates also.†

V

THE RUPEE DEBT AND GOVERNMENT BORROWING POLICY

In almost all countries which are now in the van of industrial and economic progress, the creation of public debt led the way to the investment habit which became subsequently the foundation of their commercial and industrial achievement. Since the political dependence of India on England made this country an outlet for the investment of British capital, it was hardly to be expected that the growth of a domestic investment habit should have any place, whether as the most important bye-product or a part of the main objective itself, in the policy of public loans. As a matter of fact, it was the settled belief and policy of the Government of this country before the first World War that not more than 5 crores could or should be raised in the Indian market in any single year. It was only under the stress and compulsion of that War that the potentialities of the Indian market were first properly assessed and in the short space of three years 1917-1919, loans aggregating to

†TABLE XXXV—Postal Savings Banks etc.

	Average number of depositors per Banks	Total number of Depositors (000s.)	Number of head branch.	Number of Sub branch.	Average Balance in each account.	Average Balance per Depositor.	Closing Balance (lakhs).	Postal Cash certificates (lakhs)	Government securities held in custody (Lakhs).
1890	685
1900	...	816	123	1004
1910	...	1430	118	1693
1911	...	1501	126	1890
1920-21	...	1877	121	2286	486	459
1925-26	...	2317	117	492
1926-27	209.9	...	255	11709	24603	117	2950
1927-28	211	2706	256	12070	26502	125	3286
1928-29	162.6	...	256	12166	27605	170	3449
1929-30	180.5	...	256	12512	29081	161	3718
1930-31	192.8	2477	256	12590	28622	140	3702	3300	578
1935-36	...	3541
1936-37	6440	...
1937-38	299.8	...	230	12401	61350	204	7749
1938-39	350.2	4241	230	11879	67602	193	8196	...	1077

* Pp. 81-2.

† P. 136.

more than 130 crores were raised. In the ensuing years of deficit budgets, reconstruction expenditure, development of railways, irrigation, forests, reclamations and many other miscellaneous objects of provincial concern, the experience of the War proved a turning point in policy and the same steady rate of borrowing, about 30 crores a year, was maintained till the onset of the Great Depression in 1930.

A little before the outbreak of World War I, about 1910, an important change took place in the relative attractiveness of the two markets. A persistent disparity between the prices of rupee security in India and sterling security in England made it clear that so far as India was concerned, the United Kingdom had become a dearer market to borrow in. This dearness, explained in various ways, has become even more marked recently and is bound to work as an overriding factor in all our future borrowing.

World War I initiated a trend in interest-rates which has changed the composition of our public debt. It was obvious that the high levels caused by the War could be only temporary and must in due course be followed by a more or less long period of falling rates. Funds were therefore raised by means of terminable loans which account today for the bulk of our public indebtedness.

As the bulk of our public debt before the first World War was covered by productive assets like railways, irrigation works, etc., it had not the regrettable meaning which it had in many other countries. It is true of course that the expenditure of these loans could have been so managed as to expedite the creation and growth of Indian industries in place of British industries. And apart from this omission, it could be argued that our public debt deprived private trade and industry of the resources it needed more urgently. It would be more legitimate, however, to criticise our borrowing programme on the ground that it took no account of market conditions and the state of trade and business generally. Our loans and programme of public investments have been so timed that they have sometimes aggravated the pressure on funds and at other times, avoided taking

advantage of idle savings and assisting investment. In the years of abundant funds 1930-38, for example, Government showed themselves keen on conversion operations and in no year did the tender of cash accepted in the loans exceed 20 crores. In most years, the tender accepted fell much below 15 crores.

So long as borrowing is undertaken for productive purposes and the credit of the Government is high, the public debt performs an important service towards banking and business. It supplies the market with the most marketable kind of security. Ordinary banks find in it a means of cyclical or secular adaptation, while the Central bank depends on it within the limits of its character or law, for open market operations. Individuals and business look on it as an investment and the most dependable means to raise loans.

On 31st March 1939, the aggregate rupee debt of the Government of India and provincial Governments stood at about 440 crores and the sterling debt at about £300m. To the rupee debt, we must add the loans of independent bodies like the corporations of Bombay, Calcutta, etc., which have statutory powers to borrow directly on their own account. The rupee debt of Governments thus was more than twice the average note-circulation and more than one and a half times the fixed and current deposits of banks with a paid-up capital of 1 lakh and more. It is very unlikely that of the aggregate public debt of India, more than 150 crores of the rupee debt was held by all commercial and co-operative banks put together. During World War II the export surplus was used to repatriate our foreign debt, and to create foreign i.e. British obligations towards this country to the extent of more than 1,200 crores rupees. The addition to the domestic unproductive debt itself exceeds 600 crores.

VI

AGRICULTURE AND LONG-TERM CAPITAL†

The supply of long-term capital to the peasant and cultivator is one of those economic problems of India which

have yet to be dealt with on any significant scale. With the money-lender and other indigenous agencies of rural credit, there hardly exists a distinction between short-term and long-term finance. In spite of changes of law and status, their mutual relationships still retain the basic elements of a hereditary joint enterprise. Co-operation which aspires to replace these ancient agencies has avowedly concentrated itself on the supply of seasonal or at the most intermediate credit. There is a general agreement that long-term finance must be undertaken by a special type of organization like land-mortgage banks.

It is unlikely that one uniform type of credit agency will be able to satisfy the long-term needs of different classes of agriculturists. The big landlord or zemindar should be able in all ordinary circumstances to raise funds from commercial banks in the country. For the small agriculturists, mutual guarantee, and intimate knowledge and association implied in co-operation are probably the only basis on which funds could be raised and banking operations managed with success. A partial modification of the co-operative basis may be necessary to the extent that the shortcomings of agriculturists in business ability, knowledge, etc., have to be remedied by the admission of non-agricultural persons into the management and organisation of such institutions. As the Banking Enquiry Committee well observed: "While mutual knowledge of, and control over, one another among members is the insistent feature in the case of the unlimited liability credit society, the insistence in the case of a land-mortgage credit society with limited liability is on the capacity and business habits of the directorate in order to ensure sound valuation of security, careful investigation of titles, correct assesment of the borrower's credit and repaying capacity, and efficient management of affairs."

In 1937-8, there were 166 land-mortgage banks in British India and 35 more in Native States. Only a few provinces and States like Bombay, Madras and Mysore have central land-mortgage banks while the bulk is composed of primary

land-mortgage banks or societies.* The share capital, debentures, and loans received from central banks and societies of the aforesaid 166 societies in British India aggregate to less than 2½ crores. Provincial Governments have appointed their officers on the managements of these banks and services of officers of the revenue or co-operation departments have been placed at their disposal.

In defining the area over which these banks or societies have to operate, physical difficulties of transport, etc., have to be carefully weighed. The Punjab and Bombay provinces have adopted the same territorial unit, the tehsil or taluka and more often the district. In Madras, a radius of 5 miles is judged more appropriate and there is a further tendency to limit the banks to fertile areas. Where the district is selected as the area it has been found that the bulk of applications is drawn from the area in the neighbourhood of the headquarters of the bank, the more distant tehsils or talukas finding the procedure more expensive or not easily available.

Equally with management, finance is the crux of the problem. It is clear that share capital cannot furnish more than a fraction of the working fund. It may not be practicable or indeed desirable to depend on short-term deposits for investments which must extend over 10 to 20 years, if not indeed longer. In Bengal, deposits running from 2 to 5 years have been raised. But it is still a question to be decided whether it would not be wiser in the long run to prohibit the acceptance of deposits or at least to limit them as to currency, volume, etc.

The flotation of debentures must, therefore, be the chief means of raising the necessary working funds. The success of debentures depends entirely on the status of the authority which issues them or on the guarantees offered. Since primary banks can hardly command the requisite prestige and credit, the central land-mortgage bank as in Madras

- * (a) Baroda has a central L.-M. Bank but it deals directly with agriculturists. So also some other States like Cochin and Travancore.
- (b) In Bengal, the Punjab and the C. P. Provincial Co-operative Banks act as provincial land-mortgage banks.

seems to be best qualified to undertake the responsibility. The guarantees in vogue are of two kinds. In some cases, the provincial Government have been guarantees as to either principal or interest or both and in Bombay and elsewhere have even subscribed to them. In most cases, the debentures enjoy the privilege and status of trustee securities. The other form of guarantee consists in the limitation of the issue of debentures. It has been suggested that the volume of debentures should not exceed 15 to 20 times the paid-up capital of the issuing mortgage banks or half the value of property mortgaged to them. As primary societies cannot float debentures, their working fund is composed largely of loans from central land-mortgage banks.*

Safety of funds is sought not only by conservative management but also by various restrictions on lending. It has been the practice in Bombay not to advance more than half the value of mortgaged property. In Bombay and Bengal, loans are further limited to 10 to 20 times the share capital of the borrower. In the Punjab, the limit prescribed is 30 times the land revenue paid by the borrower. It has been suggested that the maximum advance should not exceed a certain figure, two, five or ten thousand being mentioned. Periods for which loans should run are defined variously in different provinces. Equated payments are spread over 16½

	* Capital and other liabilities (in 000s)	Percentage of pd.-capital to 1	Percentage of Debentures to 1	Percentage of loans from C. C. to 1
Bombay Provincial Co-Op. Land Mortgage Bank Ltd. ...	2100	19.6	77.1
Nasik Dist. Co-Op. Land Mort- gage Bank Ltd. 1933 June ...	118	10.9	77.1
Surat Dist.-Co-Op. Land Mort- gage Bank Ltd. ...	158	18.9	78.1
Dharwar Dist. Co-Op. Land Mortgage Bank Ltd. ...	230	4.7	77.3
Belgaum Dist. Co-Op. Land Mortgage Bank Ltd. ...	148	10.8	83.1
Pachora Taluka Co-Op. Land Mortgage Bank Ltd. ...	259	4.9	74.9
Hubi Co-Op. Land Mortgage Bank Ltd. ...	173	8.7	89.6
Poona Dist. Co-Op. Land Mortgage Bank Ltd. ...	260	8.0	88.7
East Khandesh Dist. Co-Op. Land Mortgage Bank Ltd. ...	153	16.7	80.8

years in Madras while periods of 10 to 20 years or 20 to 30 years are either suggested or in vogue in other provinces.

The question of management and co-ordination is, as stressed above, not less important than that of finance. Defaults, accumulation of over-dues, favouritism in the grant of loans, the misuse of their position by directors to secure loans for themselves are evils to which these societies are as prone as the ordinary co-operative credit societies. The spirit and working of central co-operative land-mortgage banks no less than the needs of agriculture would obviously be better served if joint-stock banks were persuaded to become members of the central institutions. A more difficult and urgent problem is to co-ordinate the working of mortgage banks and ordinary co-operative credit societies. Their mutual co-operation cannot but be of mutual advantage. At the same time, every precaution has to be taken in order that only solvent and well-managed societies of the latter class should be admitted to such participation in the activities of mortgage banks.

In the existing circumstances, the main service of land-mortgage banks must be to release the small land-owner

		* Percentage of applications granted to total applications	Loan per applicant	Percentage of loans due from members to liabilities	Cash and Bank Balances—Percentage liabilities
Nasik Dist. Co-Op. Land Mortgage Bank Ltd. ...	1936	19.7	1,418
	1937	46.8	1,118	82.4
	1938	60.8	1,080	87.5	1.8
Surat Dist. Co-Op. Land Mortgage Bank Ltd. ...	1937	26.7	1,282
	1938	21.9	2,990
	1939	2,164	83.8	0.6
Dharwar Dist. Co-op. Land Mortgage Bank Ltd. ...	1936	1,875	76.8	6.9
	1939	14.7	1,586	74.7
Belgaum Dist. Co-Op Land Mortgage Bank Ltd. ...	1930	90.7	2.7
Pachora Taluka Co-Op. Land Mortgage Bank Ltd. ...	1939	823	74.8
Hubli Dist. Co-op. Land Mortgage Bank Ltd. ...	1939	89.6
Poona Dist. Co-op. Land Mortgage Bank Ltd. ...	1935 + to ×	34.8	1,170
	1939 =			91.5	1.7
East Khandesh Dist Co-op. Land Mortgage Bank Ltd. ...	1935 + to ×	14.5	1,350	86.6	1.8
	1939 =			

and cultivator from his load of past indebtedness. In other words, the debt to the private money-lender with its high rate of interest is to be replaced with a debt to the land-mortgage bank at a lower rate of interest* and an arrangement for systematic repayment has to be enforced. It is obvious that this alleviation of burdens will be a genuine service to agriculture only to the extent that high rates of interest are the chief cause of the agriculturist's continued indebtedness and he is willing to adopt a higher standard of business ethics towards land-mortgage banks. Till this vast load of indebtedness inherited from the past is liquidated, questions of improvement of land, technique of production, etc., must stand inevitably postponed. As a matter of fact, the bulk of the present loans of land-mortgage banks is intended for liquidating old debts incurred largely for non-productive purposes.† It must not also be overlooked that the borrower approaches the private money-lender as an initial step to raise loans for improvement of land and other quite legitimate objects. When the improvements are executed and the security proportionately enhanced, he applies to the mortgage banks to release him from the hold of the private lender. This circumlocutory and therefore expensive procedure is largely due to the dilatoriness and inelasticity inseparable from institutional financial operations.

* The primary banks in Bombay Province lend to their member borrowers at 6 per cent while the Provincial Land Mortgage Bank lends them at 4½ per cent.

† In Percentages of Total Loans.

	Loans for repayment of Old Debts	Loans for Land Pur- chase or re- demption	Loans for Land Im- provement	Percentage of recove- ries to dues
The Punjab, 1920-30 ...	46.8	46.8	6.2
Nasik Dist. Co-op. Land Mortgage Bank Ltd. 1935-38	94.3	4.1	91.0
Dharwar Dist. Co-op. Land Mortgage Bank Ltd. 1935	42.4
Pachora Taluka Co-op. Land Mortgage Bank Ltd. ...	94.8	5.3	89.8
Poona Dist. Co-op. Land Mortgage Bank Ltd. 1935-39	87.3	0.9	4.8
East Khandesh Dist. Co-op. Land Mortgage Bank Ltd. 1935- to X 1939 =	65.4

CHAPTER XII

MONETARY TRENDS OF WAR & PEACE

Leaving out of account foreign loans or any investments held abroad, a country can find the means for war by withdrawing men and resources from the employments of peace, by more intensified exploitation of resources hitherto used or unused and finally by postponing replacement of equipment built up during years of peace. The ordinary financial or monetary equivalents of these sources of sinews of war are the consumption-expenditure, ordinary savings and depreciation reserves of the community in the aggregate. The most straightforward means to finance a war is therefore to levy the maximum taxation on individual and corporate incomes and/or to compel or prevail on all classes of people to subscribe the excess over minimum of consumption to public loans. It is not found feasible, however, in every country to employ taxation in such a manner as to reach every income-receiver or to equalise incomes and yet retain the economic incentive required to reinforce the urge of patriotism. Unequal incomes create two difficulties. Firstly, they mean unequal consumption out of a strictly limited stock of things to prevent which rationing and price-controls have to be invoked. Secondly, combined with rationing and price-control, unequal incomes mean unequal savings which might run into idle hoards or undesirable forms of investment unless they are intercepted at the source or forms of investment are strictly controlled.

Taxation and loans were the principal means by which the United Kingdom and the United States met the bill of World War II. Profiting from the experience of World War I, both countries had formulated their financial policies with the utmost care and completeness and lost no time in putting them into effect. In India, fore-thought had no place in the policies of the British Government and haphazard measures, improvisation, conflict of policies, etc. fell to the lot of the bewildered and unorganised people.

Rupee Finance during the War (Figures in Crores)

Year	Visible Balance of Trade in Merchandise of British India (excl. of re-exports)*	Sterling payment by H. M. Govt. (against War Expenditures Recoverable from Br. Govt. shown in brackets)	Defence Capital Expenditure	Revenue Surplus or Deficit—	Total Rupee Finance to be met (Columns 1+2+3+4)	Rupee Finance met from loans †	Rupee Finance met by issue of currency, i.e. Absorption of Notes & Rupee coin**
	1	2*	3	4	5	6	7
1940-1	+29.93	43 (53)	— 6.5	79.4	+124	53.
1941-2	+64.31	199 (194)	— 12.6	277.9	+ 37	164
1942-3	+77.18	310 (325)	52	— 112.1	551.2	+137	318
1943-4	+80.35	365 (377)	37	— 189.7	575.7	+252	282
Total	251.60	919	90	— 223.0	1,484.2	+550	830
1944-5	+53.08	457 (410)	62	— 161	633	+206	231
1945-6	+24.11	342 (Re) (347)	14	— 144 (Re)	511	+277	162.2
					** Increase in sterling holdings of Reserve Bank (i.e. total sterling purchased & sterling payments by H.M. Govt. minus Repatriation of Debt and other Sterling commitments)		
						2	85
1940-1	89	26	140	64	64
1941-2	110	297.9	227	100	100
1942-3	160	592.6	434	341	341
1943-4	16	978.7	122	803	590	590
Total	875	1,584.1	418	266	266
1944-5	14	896.2 (Re)	361	376	376
1945-6	900.7 (Bud)			
					† Sterling amounts utilized for Repatriation		
					Total Govt. outlay on account of Defence & exp., Defence capital exp., Exp. recoverable from Br. Govt.		
					† Treasury Bills (Average amounts outstanding with public)		
					Defence Loans		

Financial and Economic Policies

The financial and economic policies of war years must be judged against the avowals or confessions of the Finance Member from time to time about economic conditions in the country. When he presented his three budgets for the years 1940-41 to 1942-43, he found no cause for any serious concern. The budget of 1940-41 found the prices of the chief articles of export 40 per cent higher than at the outbreak of the war and there was no marked difference in the trend of prices of raw materials, of industrial commodities, of food, etc. "The different branches of the national economy," averred the Finance Member, "are moving forward in fair unison and there is no need to fear, for the present at any rate, a lop-sided development with serious cleavages in interest." The downfall of France and the loss of continental markets caused some deterioration in prices but at the time of the budget of 1941-42, they were still 15 per cent higher than in September 1939. The general indices of progress like railway and postal traffic still showed improvement and the value of exports still ran at the old level. The Roger Mission on output of munitions, the Eastern Group Supply Council and the programme of placing supply orders two years ahead were described by the Finance Member as tremendous developments in the supply field which "must necessarily give a great stimulus to Indian industries." In presenting the next budget, he assured the legislature that "on the whole this country has been fortunate; the balance has so far been to her advantage."

It was when presenting his budget of 1943-44 that for the first time the Finance Member admitted some cause for uneasiness. "It would be idle to pretend," he said, "that in the last twelve months unfavourable factors have not gained relatively to the favourable. Prices have risen and, as in other parts of the world, an increased money income is being expended on a smaller stream of immediately available goods." But with this admission in the earlier part of his speech, he devoted subsequent parts of

it to disprove the existence of any inflation. In the light of what happened later, his arguments can now be regarded only as historical curiosities. The ceiling of the maximum utilisation of available man-power and resources was not yet reached and increase of currency was apparently the means in the opinion of the Finance Member to reach it! The impossibility of increasing the volume of commercial imports and the futility of any upward movement of exchange rate were held as another valid excuse for adding to the note circulation. Sterling balances in India's favour could not have any bearing on the internal problem which was to make disbursements in Indian currency. Since the only inflation which the Finance Member was willing to recognise was the inflation in the countries "which suffered utter defeat in the last war", the assured victory of the United Nations itself gave us guarantee against inflation! Indebtedness of the U.K. had been kept low while there were sterling debts to be repatriated and pure credit inflation had been avoided by making cash payment against "a block of investment waiting to be taken up by the nation". Finally, rate of turn-over of money was falling and in any case, high prices must require more currency to support them!

Yet in his budget speech of 1941-42, there had occurred this curious passage: "No one would wish to see repeated the period of hectically inflated prices which ruled towards the end of the last war, but we can rely upon steadily increasing industrial effort during the present one. with consequences which seem likely permanently to affect India's position among the industrial nations of the world." So, the Finance Member was aware of other degrees of "hectically inflated prices". But this was at a time when prospects of any inflation appeared remote. Nor was he altogether unaware of the duty which such inflation casts on the Government. A year earlier, when he presented his budget of 1942-43 amidst "favourable conditions", he stated the problem in the following words: "Nevertheless, it is beyond dispute that a stage has been reached at which in

addition to the ordinary process of taxation, it is the clear duty of the Government to make a beginning with measures of a kind which will assist in relieving the pressure of enhanced volume of purchasing power on the inevitably limited supplies of goods and services which are available for private consumption at the present time."

In the budget of 1944-45, the Finance Member casts off any pretence. "Whether we approach the matter from the viewpoint of building the new India of our hopes, or from mitigating the hardships of the immediate present, it is clearly our paramount duty to deal first with the menace of inflation." So ran his new revelation. Among the "resolute measures of a two-fold character launched by the Government in the monetary field and in the sphere of control of commodities", he listed all-India statutory price control of foodstuffs, cloth control, release of woollen clothing from defence requirements and the Hoarding and Profiteering Ordinance. For the rest, his fiscal measures were devised to close the gap estimated at 250 crores between the total of our taxation and borrowing on the one hand and the total of rupee outgoings on the other.

Inflation or Loans?

The annual visible monetary savings and investment of India progressed at a rate of approximately Rs. 100 crores during the decade 1921-1930. During the four years 1939-1943, which excludes the great inflation year 1944, the accumulation rate appears to have reached Rs. 300 crores. While during the earlier years, precious metals claimed more than a third and the permanent public debt about a quarter of the annual savings, the War has enabled bank deposits and public loans to oust almost every other visible form of holding savings like precious metals, post office savings and certificates, scrips of joint-stock companies, insurance, etc. The public debt of India is now responsible for more than half of the visible investment, and bank deposits for more than a third.

The story of how this growth in the volume of monetary savings has taken place and how public debt and banks

have appropriated five-sixths of those savings is very simple and may be told in non-technical language in the following manner. Between 1940 and 1944, England and her Allies purchased goods for use in or outside India to the monetary value of about Rs. 1,200 crores. During the same period, India also financed her War expenditure which was in excess of her revenues by more than Rs. 300 crores. Unable or unwilling to offer in return any goods or capital assets, the Allies could have paid for their purchases from individuals only by means of loans raised in India. Similarly, having exceeded its income resources, the Government of India could finance itself only by loans in the same manner. It would now be futile to discuss why the British Government did not assemble and offer British investments in India as in the United States or why the Indian Government did not adopt more drastic measures of taxation including a war-time capital levy. Actually, against the aggregate expenditure of more than Rs. 1,500 crores, the Government of India on whom fell the responsibility to find domestic finance for both the expenditures, could not raise public loans of more than about Rs. 600 crores. Of course, these figures of Rs. 1,500 crores and Rs. 600 crores must not be taken in the absolute sense because they are what they are only on account of the inflationary means adopted to fill the gap. What is important and relevant is the proportion between expenditure requirements and available means which they roughly indicate. The Indian authorities met the disproportion by accepting the mere paper promises of England called the sterling holdings of the Reserve Bank of India to the extent of about Rs. 800 crores and issuing currency notes against them to the extent of Rs. 820 crores. As notes were issued from week to week and month to month, the rise of prices caused a simultaneous growth both in the value of Allied purchases and the need to issue more currency notes. The goods supplied to the Allies came ultimately out of the consumption of those people whose incomes fell in purchasing power on

account of rise of prices. It was a forced loan from classes who could least afford it.

The rise of prices approximately in the proportion of 100 : 250 raised money incomes which in the ordinary course of things should have raised monetary savings in about the same proportion. But the rationing and price control of certain goods as they came into force for one commodity after another no less than the non-availability of certain other goods curtailed ordinary opportunities of expenditure and thus reinforced the normal influences which create savings. The volume of savings tended thus to swell still further. At the same time, legal or administrative restrictions or prohibitions altered materially the normal channels into which the community directed its savings. New capital issues were licensed and imports of precious metals and house-building came practically to a standstill. The way was thus apparently cleared for canalization of all savings into bank deposits or public loans but for the fact that there were still pre-existing assets like land and the operation of heavy taxation, excess profits duty and above all black markets had already created a new rival to bank deposits and public loans, namely currency hoards. The size of these hoards must in the aggregate reach impressive dimensions since against a rise in the note issue from less than Rs. 200 crores to about Rs. 1,000 crores, i.e., a five-fold increase, the prices of both controlled and uncontrolled commodities and capital assets have not risen by more than 2½ to 3 times the pre-War level.

Failure of Loan Finance

This inflationary procedure of war finance raises the question whether the failure to raise sufficient loans was unavoidable. During the more normal years, 1921-1930, when prices on the whole were sagging and there were no prohibitions on capital issues and no cessation of imports of precious metals or house-building, the public debt was growing at an average annual rate of about Rs. 25 crores. Precious metals and paid-up capital of joint-stock compa-

nies were absorbing on the average about Rs. 50 crores annually. In other words, at a much lower price level and without taking into account the much enlarged real national income during the thirties, these sources alone could have furnished Rs. 75 crores annually or Rs. 300 crores in four years. It is difficult to estimate the savings which were releasable from house-building which was then passing through a great boom. It is reasonable to suppose that investment in housing could not be much short of our annual consumption of precious metals. Thus against the price-inflated requirements of Rs. 1,500 crores in four years of War, there is to be set our normal capacity to furnish without curtailment of ordinary consumption an output of Rs. 400 to Rs. 500 crores which at war prices has to be valued at not less than Rs. 1,000 crores.

Apart from the identification of the public mind with a cause, the most powerful inducement to attract savings into public loans is undoubtedly the interest rate offered. It appears that it was decided from the very first that the era of low interest rates amidst which the War started should be continued into the War itself, presumably in the interests of public borrowing. The decision by itself is not to be blamed, provided the implications of it were fully realized and translated into action. A particular level of interest rates for public borrowing is, however, appropriate and effective only when it is properly related to the returns on alternative investments. In England, for example, the War was made a successful 2 or 2½ per cent war only because wholesale rationing and price controls created a volume of enforced savings, and over-all and drastic controls of profits and civilian output made alternative investments sufficiently unattractive. In India, rationing and price control arrived in a tardy and haphazard manner and could cover only a few commodities and, what is more important, only in a few bigger places. High income tax, super tax and excess profits duty introduced at a fairly early stage of the War appeared to hold down the profit level of trade and industry but, with output uncontrolled

and low rates for loans and advances, allowed as a matter of fact profit margins twice and thrice as large as in peace times. When at length the Government awoke in the budget of 1944 to the need of more drastic steps, capital values had already doubled and trebled themselves. Moreover, land, the main outlet for investment in the rural areas, although growing more attractive with rise of prices of produce, remained altogether outside the range of the Central Government's power. While alternative investments acted in this manner as a magnet for public savings, low interest rates for public borrowings invited their own frustration by reducing the cost of liquidity and encouraging people to hoard resources in the form of currency notes and bank balances. It may, therefore, be truly said that the essential prerequisites of low interest rates were not adequately grasped and certainly were never fulfilled to the required degree in India. Whether the cause of the ultimate financial impasse is to be ascribed to the choice of a wrong financial policy or to administrative failure must depend on our judgment about the scope and potentialities of legal and administrative action in this country. In the abstract, the choice lay between over-all and effective controls and effective levels of interest rates for borrowing. In actual practice, since large sectors of the economic system were bound in any circumstances to lie outside the range of Government's power, the proper policy for financing the War should have been on the one hand to raise moderately the rates of interest and on the other to take whatever measures were available to restrict and curtail returns on alternative investment. As the present writer observed on the outbreak of the War: 'With a rigidly controlled foreign exchange market and a scale of relative demands furnished by a definite objective like the prosecution of War, interest rates in England have only a formal significance. With a largely uncontrolled economy like that of India, interest rates are a real and active force of regulation.'

Indeed, India seems destined to pass through a quixotic phase of financial experience. During the years of War when higher interest rates could have moderated the degree of necessary inflation by inducing the public to subscribe to public loans and investment such as was possible required no stimulus of this kind, the country got the blessing of low rates. When the requirements of full employment and rapid growth should make in post-war years low rates very imperative, it is more than probable that higher rates will prevail in a struggle to hold in check the potential inflation implicit in hoarded currency and bank balances and, in the absence of a proper exchange policy, the trend to adverse trade balance implicit in an inflated price level. The penalties gained on the interest charge of public debt will have as their nemesis the pounds lost on the actual inflation of War years and the probable deflation of post-war years.

Structure of Banking

The War has proved a stimulus to banking in ways which could hardly be anticipated. When military developments removed machinery and capital equipment beyond the reach of India, enterprise largely financial in outlook and motive began to look about for other avenues which were not barred by such pre-requisites. It was not difficult to discover that banking requires as little capital equipment as insurance companies and very much less than farms, dairies or rubber and tea plantations. Its chief working instrument, viz. short-term funds, the War itself was supplying in unprecedented abundance. But for tardy checks on capital issues of all kinds, the business of banking promised fair to assume the proportions of a raging epidemic.

The scheduled banks other than exchange banks have maintained their pre-War rate of growth and regional expansion. Fifty in 1936, the number was 61 in the first year of War—1939, 71 in 1943 and 84 in 1947. Starting with 828 branches in 1936, they added 449 by 1939 and an additional 445 by 1943. Of the 445 new branches of War years, the Imperial Bank and the Big Five were responsible for 150.

Banking During the War—Average Friday (Crores of Rupees)

MONETARY TRENDS OF WAR & PEACE

478

Scheduled Banks	Demand Deposits	Savings Deposits	Time Deposits	Total Time & Demand	Non-Scheduled Banks Total Deposits*	Cash in Hand and at Reserve Bank Percentage of Deposits Schdld. Non-Schdld.*	Investments Percentage of Deposits		Bills & Advances Percentage of Deposits		Ratio of Cheque Clearings to Demand Deposits	Branches	
							Schdld.	Non-Schdld.†	Schdld.*	Non-Schdld.*		Scheduled Banks	Non-Scheduled Banks
1939-40	189	38	106	245	15	9.9	6.9	..	53.3	..	10.7	1938	1128
1940-41	163	37	104	267	16	16.6	7.8	..	46.8	..	13.0	1939	..
1941-42	211	37	107	318	20	14.5	8.4	45.4	39.2	72.7	12.8	1940	..
1942-43	306	48	104	410	24	16.7	10.7	53.7	23.8	60.5	9.1	1941	1454
1943-44	456	68	142	598	34	14.0	14.0	51.4	26.9	55.8	9.5	1942	1550
1944-45	584	90	194	773	53	14.9	11.4	..	30.2	1943	1682
1945-46	654	105	259	914	..	13.6	32.9	1946	2207

*Calendar years †Excluding Imperial Bank.

Including pay offices, sub-offices and head-offices, the total number of branches etc. for all scheduled banks ran into 3,519 by the end of 1946. Of these, the Imperial Bank claimed 445, the Big Five 780 and other scheduled banks 2,207. As one should expect from the operation of the Indian Company Law, 1936, the number of non-scheduled banks has fallen steadily from 626 in 1938 to about 557 in 1943. In other words, about two-thirds of the branches of War years and the bulk of regional expansion are to be ascribed to the smaller scheduled banks or to new banks which hold less than 40 per cent of the deposits of Indian joint-stock and 20 per cent of the deposits of the Indian banking system. In spite of the enormous inflation of deposits, the volume of resources per branch rarely exceeds in their case Rs. 15 lakhs and in most cases lies in the neighbourhood of Rs. 3 lakhs only. But it is also necessary to record here that with very few exceptions the multiplication of branches has not caused a fall in the volume of resources per branch and in most cases it shows improvement.*

Nevertheless, this rather exhilarating expansion in banking business is on the whole free from any perceptible weakening of the banking structure as a whole. The War has, if anything, intensified the pre-War trend to a higher degree of concentration. The relative position of the Imperial Bank, exchange banks and Indian joint-stock banks remained indeed more or less unaffected till the end of 1941. The entry of Japan into the War proved the real adverse factor for exchange banks whose proportion of aggregate deposit resources of the country declined for the first time in a marked manner from a little under 29.5 per cent before the War to about 25 in 1942 and less than 20 per cent by 1943. The Imperial Bank with its new venture into the field of exchange business and its high prestige and favour with big clientele reaped the bulk of the advantage from the loss of ground suffered by exchange banks, improving its share to about 37 per cent in 1942 but receding to less than 30 per cent by 1943. The relative position of Indian joint-stock banks made a stride in 1943, rising from

about 38 per cent in 1939 to 46 per cent of the total deposits of the Indian banking system.

Among the Indian joint-stock banks themselves, the process of concentration made noticeable progress till 1942, but the rise of new banks suddenly reversed the trend in 1943. Of the 46 per cent of aggregate deposits held by Indian joint-stock banks, the Big Five increased their share from less than 70 per cent in 1939 to more than 80 per cent in 1942, but lost heavily in 1943 to less than 60 per cent; and the Big Seven recorded a fall from more than 75 per cent to 61 per cent.

War Structure of Liabilities*

From the standpoint of practical banking and banking policy in general, a striking feature of the years of War is no doubt the change which has taken place in the character of the working resources of banks. About the onset of the War, fixed deposits accounted for about half of the deposit liabilities of banks. During the War, fixed deposits have hardly increased, while current deposits have mounted very fast. By 1943, fixed deposits amounted to no more than about a quarter of the total.† It is true that this change is the continuation of a process which was initiated during World War I and was reinforced by certain other powerful causes in post-war years. But the extent of the present change within the short space of time during which it has materialized is so striking that mere continuation of an existing trend is no more than a small part of the explanation.

We must first inquire into the public preference for different forms of investment and the position of banking funds relatively to other funds. The decade 1921-30 may be taken as representing stable conditions. While mone-

*Fixed Deposits (P. C. to Deposits)

	Big Five.	Central.	India.	Allahabad.	Baroda.	Punjab.	Imperial.
1939 ...	48.4	38.9	43.7	70.0	37.6	77.5	—
1944 ...	37.6	29.0	18.1	—	23.3	34.8	—
1945 ...	32.5	21.3	20.6	42.5	25.5	59.4	—
1946 ...	—	—	—	56.2	—	—	—

†See pp. 218-220.

tary changes were not altogether absent, the stability in the volume of deposits eliminated one complicating factor. Besides, India continued to play in these years her normal role as an importer of precious metals. Assuming that the relatively high prices at the outset of the period were more or less balanced by the relatively low prices at the end, the total volume of visible savings for this period appears to be in the neighbourhood of Rs. 900 crores. These visible savings were distributed roughly as follows:

		Rs. (in crores)		
		1921-30	1931-39	1939-43
Growth in bank deposits	...	nil	55	364
Growth in Post Office savings and cash certificates	...	50	60	15
Growth in Working capital of co-operative societies	..	55	15	30
Growth in Paid-up capital and reserves of joint-stock companies.		120	52	50
Growth in Public Debt (minus increment in bank holdings)	..	180	33	350
Growth in Premium income of insurance companies	..	70	148	15
Growth in Precious metals	..	350	—	—
Total	..	825	364	794
Annual Increment Rate	..	82	36	200

While the present level of prices and incomes has multiplied the volume of monetary savings several-fold, the War has distorted out of shape the familiar pattern of investment. Post office savings and cash certificates have fallen by several crores. The paid-up capital of joint-stock companies has hardly changed although their reserves have mounted considerably. The progress of co-operative credit societies had almost ceased before the War. As against the disappearance of the normal outlets of investments, the growth of bank deposits records an increase of about Rs. 400 crores, and public debt, of about Rs. 500 crores. A large volume of our savings running annually at the present level of prices and incomes into about 200 to 300 crores which in ordinary times found visible investment is lurk-

ing somewhere in our economic system. If to the normal volume of visible savings, we add other forms of savings of which there is no record, this lurking capital will reach a still higher figure. Leaving aside normal investment in enterprises other than joint-stock, the pre-War investment in housing could not be other than very massive, perhaps as large as our visible savings. House-building was in its boom phase during the few years before the War and has been altogether at a standstill during the War.

There is little doubt that these floating savings have sought conversion into such durable assets as are available. Precious metals, however, were not allowed to be imported, except lately. Stocks of land and houses have remained more or less stable. Scripts of public companies have not received appreciable augmentation. Thus the search for safety has merely raised the prices of these assets and shifted the onus of applying the new savings from those who purchased to those who sold. It is probable that a large part of these sale proceeds exists in the form of mere idle hoards of currency notes but the much larger part has, it is to be feared, found its way ultimately into the hands of those whom the distress, famine and privations of War have compelled to consume their past savings. In other words, disinvestment or dissipation of capital on a scale never seen before in this country is now taking place before our eyes.

Overshadowing by far this private disinvestment of capital is the colossal process set in motion by the finance of War—of converting ordinary, genuine savings into consumption and destruction goods. The Government tried to seize public savings in the first instance by taxation and borrowing on an unprecedented scale and when these proved inadequate to meet the growing bill of Indian and Allied war expenditure, by the easy device of inflation against sterling securities.

A war economy has to cope with shortages in many requirements not all of which have any comparable significance for life in peace time. Ship-building, machinery of

various kinds, chemicals of all kinds are examples of industries which have as much significance for peace as for war. Prudence should have made it obvious that India should concentrate on the creation of these industries rather than the exploitation of existing industries in pursuit of profits arising from War. Such a policy presents a two-fold problem. A part of the flow of economic resources into production of commodities which offer immediate profits but have little significance for the future, had to be diverted into these new industries as long-term investment. Control of capital issues, excess profits tax, etc. are the obvious means to achieve such an objective. Secondly, as private enterprise is not always prepared to launch into such new ventures, particularly during a war, it has to be considered whether the State itself should take the initiative, or depend on subsidies, administrative action and control, to secure the objectives. Unfortunately, such a long-range view does not appear to have commended itself to those who took charge of the financial helm of the country.

Instead, the concentration on ordinary consumption industries to which all expenditure was directed, while long-term investment or creation and acquisition of capital goods fell more or less into complete abeyance, multiplied several-fold the demand for working capital in general. There has thus taken place a corresponding, unprecedented shift of funds from long-term to short-term employment, whether directly or via the Government. This is the main explanation of the relative stability of fixed deposits and the continuous and rapid growth of demand deposits. The profits of trade and industry are no longer useful as investment funds to create or extend capital equipment. They are more profitable when employed to enlarge the output from the existing scale of equipment. The operation of this influence not only in India but also in other countries is clearly reflected in the changes of ownership of demand deposits reported as a remarkable development from the United States and elsewhere. In the former country, an inquiry by the Federal Reserve authorities reveals that

two-thirds of demand deposits are at present owned by business, while income deposits have fallen in relative importance. If a similar investigation into ownership were made in India the facts are not likely to be different.*

Other reinforcing causes, but of minor degrees of importance, may be compendiously epitomized as the tendency to seek increased liquidity. Low rates of interest generally weaken the inducement to hold fixed deposits and enhance the preference for liquid forms of wealth. Low interest rates are, however, an inheritance from the post-depression era. The non-availability of durable assets at prices which are deemed safe has enhanced the safety value of current deposits.

War Structure of Assets†

The investment of their funds by banks were dominated by two factors, the heavy fall in the demand for loans and advances, and the unappeasable demand of Government for loans from the public. Between 1939 and 1945 the loans, cash credits and bills of scheduled banks have fallen in percentage of their deposits from about 58 to 20; those of the Imperial Bank of India, from 55 to about 18; those of the Big Five, from 54 to about 30. As the War progressed trade and industry gradually released themselves from dependence on banks for their ordinary working capital. A large part of their earnings and profits figures as current deposits and was used as working capital. Secondly, as Government made advance payments to contractors, their working capital reached them via the Government. To a more limited extent, the fall in the bank accommodation to trade and industry may be explained by the adverse effects

*Since this was written in 1944, the Reserve Bank has compiled figures for one year.

Demand Deposits of Scheduled Banks (1945 December end).

Category	Amount (crores of Rs.)	Percentage to Total
Total	599.97	100.00
Business of which	259.01	43.18
(a) Trading and Financial	181.85	30.31
(b) Manufacturing and Transport	77.16	12.87
Personal	284.62	47.63
Other demand deposits	80.88	13.48
Unclassified	18.46	3.08

†See pp. 218, 219, 220.

of War on individual markets like cotton, jute and bullion, etc., the legal restrictions on so-called speculation, the elimination of intermediaries on account of direct purchase and acquisition by the Government and its agents, and the curtailment of exports and imports.*

Since cash credits, loans and bills fell to half their pre-War importance in the portfolios of banks, they had no alternative but to seek earning assets in Government securities. The Big Five raised their investment in Government securities from about 28 per cent of deposits to more than 41 per cent and their holding of treasury bills from 3 to about 9 per cent. The Imperial Bank acted as the main support of Treasury Bills, 35 per cent of its total investment of 70 per cent being accounted for by them in 1942. But despite these high proportions, the void caused by falling business accommodation was hardly filled. The cash ratios of all these banks ran at unusually high levels—about 15 per cent in the case of the scheduled banks; 15 to 25 per cent in the case of the Imperial Bank of India; 18 per cent in the case of the Big Five; and 11 per cent in the case of non-scheduled banks. In other words, their quick assets bore a much larger proportion to their deposits than ever before.†

In their security portfolios, most banks observed the prudence of accumulating as large a proportion of medium-dated obligations as possible. In the case of important individual banks, this proportion was reported to be as high

*Loans, Cash Credit and Bills (P. C. to Deposits)

	Big Five	Central	India	Allahabad	Baroda
1939 ...	15.0+34.7+8.7	46.7+5.9	20.4+30.8+2.3	5.4+42.1+1.2	15.5+33.3+1.8
1944 ...	7.7+18.5+3.4	29.0+5.0	10.6+14.6+1.2	—	5.9+20.4+1.4
1945 ...	10.2+26.7+4.4	31.8+—	14.8+17.3+3.2	1.3+35.4+5.5	9.7+26.0+3.4
1946 ...	—	—	—	1.1+46.4+2.5	—

	Punjab	Imperial
1939 ...	61.2	12.1+35.2+7.5
1944 ...	27.3	6.3+18.7+4.4
1945 ...	23.1	11.6+12.8+3.5

†Cash (P. C. to Deposits.)

	Big Five	Central	India	Allahabad	Baroda	Punjab	Imperial
1939 ...	15.6	13.4	20.0	14.7	17.7	9.0	91.1
1944 ...	15.2	16.0	12.5	—	18.3	15.5	17.9
1945 ...	13.8	14.8	13.8	15.6	15.8	10.8	16.0
1946 ...	—	—	—	18.2	—	—	—

as 20 to 25 per cent of their capital and deposits. It would, however, be doubtful financial foresight to believe that medium-dated securities are a complete safeguard against the future. The situation, was bound to change at the end of the War when and in proportion as present controls were relaxed or abrogated and normal investment trends revived. It is to be regretted that no steps were taken as in the United States to compel banks to buy none but dated loans during the War. The warning is all the more applicable to certain banks which hold long-dated or undated securities in such high proportions as 30 to 35 per cent.

With the prohibition or control of long-term investment and the creation of a superabundance of working capital, it is hardly surprising that the low pre-War rates of interest should have fallen even lower during the War. The yield of $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent security moved up and down according to the psychological reactions to the varying fortunes of the War and averaged to 3.66 which was higher than during pre-War years but lower than during the earlier War years.*

Peace Time Trends

As was to be expected, the close of the War caused a great fall in the volume of economic activity financed directly by the Government and reduced the desire for liquidity and large working balances. Even by the end of 1945 the proportion of fixed deposits recorded a perceptible improvement with the bigger banks. The change could not but be strengthened by the hardening of interest-rates which set in about the middle of 1946 when the banks raised both their borrowing and lending terms. Much more well-marked were the changes on the assets side. Investment in securities was checked in 1945 and with the great stringency of funds which developed from August 1946, even reversed. Commercial banks unloaded their holdings while the Reserve Bank sought to relieve harden-

*Investment Securities and Others (P. C. to Deposits)

	Big Five	Central	India	Allahabad	Banars	Punjab	Imperial
1939	25.2+9.9	24.4+17.2	21.4+4.9	26.2+4.0	27.1+16.7	22.0+10.1	42.2+0.5
1944	52.2+6.6	52.1+7.2	30.5+1.2	—	50.2+4.6	59.2+0.9	60.5+1.6
1945	54.4+1.9	57.4+5.8	44.8+1.8	44.5+6.9	46.6+8.8	64.7+1.0	57.4+2.0
1946	—	—	—	46.7+8.6	—	—	—

ing conditions by continuous purchases. Fall in the value of all Government loans was quite sustained and well-marked between August 1946 and the end of the busy season in 1947. But even more emphatic was the trend in loans, cash credits and bills which began to engross a larger and larger proportion of bank funds from the end of the War. The year-end balance sheets of 1945 bore unmistakable testimony to the desire of all banks to replace as fast as possible this most lucrative asset of theirs. Cash continued at a slightly subdued level.

Between August 1939 and August 1945, notes in circulation increased by a little more than five-fold. The corresponding expansion in demand deposits which are generally available as money was a little less than four and a half times. It is not easy to make out how much of the increase in demand and time deposits is to be ascribed to the growth of the banking habit, i.e. the willingness of individuals to entrust their savings to banks rather than hold them in the form of cash and how much is due to the inflation of cash indicated roughly by the rise in note circulation. The growth of the banking habit, although a minor factor relatively, is not unimpressive by itself.

While the disparity between growth of note circulation and demand deposits is a little more than mere crude figures indicate, it is not such as to require any special explanation. In a country with undeveloped banking habit like India, the influences which govern the distribution of cash between banking and non-banking sections of the population must always weigh in favour of the latter and this is likely to be all the more true if inflation of prices tends to raise the income of rural classes which are largely outside the orbit of banking generally, at the expense of urban classes which contribute very largely to the deposits of banks.

The time and demand deposits of Indian banks stood at about 260 crores on the outbreak of the War and stand today at more than 860 crores. Now that the force of War inflation is no longer in operation, the future course of deposits

has naturally become a subject of interesting speculation, and some concern to the banks. Appealing to past experience as the most easily available guide, it is noted with some wonder and much comfort that a volume of deposits once created has a tendency to stabilise itself—despite even severe deflation and fall of prices. A distinguished economist has accorded support to this belief by statistical analysis which shows that the difference between rising and falling phases of business activity is a difference between the rates at which credit is created and not changes in the direction of growth of credit.

To test the truth of this empirical fact, it is necessary to distinguish between two causes which are apparently held to have a tendency to affect adversely the volume of deposits. The first and the most important cause is the deflation of prices and incomes such as takes place after a period of hectic rise in monetary circulation. It is clear that deflation as such does not and need not imply a change in the public preference as between cash and deposits. What it does mean is a change in public preference in favour of liquid purchasing power in whatever form as against goods and assets, with a consequent fall in prices. It takes effect essentially as a change in the ownership of goods and assets on the one hand and money and deposits on the other. Those who feel that prices have fallen sufficiently are naturally willing to hold goods, while others who are not certain that deflation has reached its end continue to accumulate liquid balances. Looked at from another angle, the process may be described as a continuing disparity between the velocity of circulation of goods on the one hand and velocity of circulation of money on the other. The disparity comes to an end when the fall of prices equalises the disposition to hold goods and to hold money. A change in liquidity preference of this kind clearly does not require or necessitate a fall in the volume of deposits.

The second cause already indicated above is an enhanced public preference for cash as against deposits. A change in the form in which purchasing power or incomes are held

is hardly significant from the stand-point of economic welfare. But if such a change is not properly diagnosed and counteracted, it might indeed cause a cumulative shrinkage of deposits and lead to serious economic dislocations. If the Central bank takes steps in time to satisfy the change in public preference by the issue of more cash, deflation will be avoided and the only effect on the banking system will be to raise ultimately the ratio of cash to deposits. In normal times and circumstances, however, such a change in the habits of the public does not take place except very slowly and need not be reckoned as a serious factor in determining the volume of deposits. In more abnormal circumstances like general loss of confidence in the stability of banks the rush for conversion of deposits into cash is apt to assume enormous proportions and the real question then is who should or could hold the liquid assets of the banking system pending recovery of confidence and supply cash in lieu of these assets. It is obvious that it is for the Central bank to be aware of the *raison-de-etre* of its existence and meet the situation particularly in the earlier stages when timely action is well calculated to forestall serious developments.

The general conclusion which this analysis leads to is that there need be no deflation of deposits unless the Central bank desires it as the object of its monetary policy.

Fears about likely fall in deposits overlook the important fact that the source of bank profits lies not merely in their volume but also in their turn-over. The balance sheets of banks do not directly reflect the turn-over as such. But as business becomes more active and deposits change hands, the balance sheets tend to change more and more in favour of the more lucrative assets at the expense of the less lucrative ones. Between 1939 and 1942, the working resources of scheduled banks increased by 78.8 per cent. During the same time, the rate of gross profits declined by 29.9 per cent. These were years when with an inflating note circulation, the activity of deposits was falling and cash and other less lucrative assets were running at high levels.

Capital and Profits*

The changes in the ratios of capital and reserves and the gross and net profits of banks inevitably reflect, to the exclusion of every other factor, the mere inflation of deposits. The capital and reserves ratios have fallen very low indeed, the Imperial Bank recording a fall from 12.8 in 1939 to 4.5 in 1945; the Big Five from 9.3 to 4.5; the scheduled banks generally from about 10.8 to 5. Perhaps, the enforced high liquidity of their War-time assets might appear to furnish some formal excuse for this adverse change in the guarantee offered to the numerous creditors. But in view of the fact that a volume of deposits of this size once created never falls appreciably afterwards, the vital issue, although postponable for some time, cannot be avoided indefinitely. Indeed, the problem was growing in significance long before the outbreak of the War. The profits of banks have grown in absolute volume and some had even fallen under the E. P. T. hammer, unlike British banks. If their less profitable assets have expanded at the expense of others, most of them have compensated themselves by lowering their deposit rates fractionally. The return per unit of resources, however, has fallen steeply. For scheduled banks generally, between 1939 and 1942, the gross profits rate has fallen from 2.34 to about 1.6 the expense-ratio from 1.49 to about 1.00 the difference recording the fall in net profits rate. For individual banks the movements appear to be rather irregular. 1943 was a year of continuing fall in the rate of earning but 1944 was a year of some recovery. The same is true of the gross profit rate. The year of the close of World War II 1945 marks a decisive recovery in the rate of earning and gross profit rate for almost all banks. But the improvement with net profit rate was comparatively less well-marked. For by this time the pressure for increase of salaries, dearness allowances, etc. began to be felt gradually. Till 1944, most banks seem to have successfully resisted any increase of salaries and expenses—particularly notable from this

*See Tables in Chapter IX.

standpoint being the Bank of Baroda and the Bank of India which refrained from joining unreservedly in the competition for opening new branches. But in 1945, the fraction of gross profits paid as salaries jumped suddenly and to that extent kept down the rate of net profits. Even then the level was distinctly higher for the leading banks (with the exception of the Punjab National Bank which records a fall) and the Central Bank which seems to have achieved the higher level a year or two earlier.* The keen competition for trained and experienced personnel does not appear to have brought much relief except to a few lucky individuals; and bank employees like other sections of the middle classes are supporting the main burden of inflation profits.

Banking under a Planned Economy

In the banking and currency developments of the War, it is clear that the Reserve Bank and the banking system have had only a passive part. Given the financial policy and the controls of investment and consumption adopted by the Government, the Reserve Bank has merely issued currency notes against sterling assets and commercial banks have acted as the medium for holding what surplus income the public choose to hold in the form of deposits and thus to reap where they have not sown. This suggests to some the possibility that under a planned economy of the future the role of the banking system might alter so radically that the justification of the banking system as a private enterprise might no longer hold good. For more than one important reason, such a view does not appear to be well-founded. In any kind of planned economy established in this country, large sectors of consumption and investment activity must lie outside the reach of Government control and action. In other

**Earning, Gross Profit and Net Profit Rates.*

	Central.			India.			Allahabad.			Baroda.			Punjab.			Imperial.			
	E.	G.	N.	E.	G.	N.	E.	G.	N.	E.	G.	N.	E.	G.	N.	E.	G.	N.	
1939	...	3.78	2.5	1.0	—	1.00	1.04	—	2.6	0.8	—	1.9	0.6	—	2.8	0.8	—	2.2	0.8
1944	...	3.23	2.21	1.18	—	1.8	—	8.10	2.46	0.69	—	1.43	0.83	—	0.85	—	—	—	—
1945	...	3.26	2.9	1.11	—	2.0	1.14	2.9	—	0.7	—	1.68	1.00	—	0.43	—	—	—	0.69

words, the argument that savings under a planned economy involve no effort on the part of individuals and do not require any special inducements to be drawn into active economic service will not hold true of this country. Secondly, if controls and inflation augment the working resources of banks, the appropriate method of dealing with them as with other trades and industries is by taxation and excess profits duties. Finally, it must be recalled that in a country of diverse economic conditions like India, banks on different planes of policy and business alone can fulfil the function of appealing to different classes and localities and any standardization of banking organization and practice will simply bring to a halt banking progress. When we turn from the savings collecting function to the function of allocating them to business units and industries, it will be seen that their services as judges of individual prospects under an economy in which large sectors of activity will still lie with private initiative and enterprise, will continue to be no less vital and urgent than now.

Although the plea of enforced savings cannot be used in India to operate banking on a cost-plus basis, and the abolition of competitive banks will only halt the further spread of the banking habit, and the allocation of working capital cannot be entrusted to the Government without entrusting to it all trade and industry as well, it must be conceded that planning on any important scale will certainly entail profound reactions on the banking system and its working. The Government must tend whether directly or through statutory authorities, whether singly or in close co-ordination with co-operative organizations etc., to become more and more the biggest single borrower of short-term funds. The volume of its requirements will approach more and more unprecedented levels as it undertakes to run or manage public utility concerns, commercial enterprises, public works, acquisition, storage, import and distribution of commodities, specially agricultural produce, etc. A question of the utmost economic significance is whether the Govern-

ment should borrow all such funds directly by means of treasury bills or whether each authority should borrow separately, submitting in the process like all ordinary borrowers to banking discipline. In any case, as the banking system grows more and more dependent on this single borrower for investment in loans and advances, which must be continuously renewed as a matter of course, the time-honoured concept of liquidity must retreat in face of a new situation in which the solvency of the banking system becomes identical with the economic efficiency and solvency of the Government itself. Nor can the structure of the banking system itself escape profound modifications. While the continued existence of private businesses of all sizes and degrees of efficiency would seem to call for numerous banks at all levels of organization, the existence of one great borrowing leviathan cannot but have the effect of stimulating amalgamation among those from whom these resources must flow.

Again, banking credit will have to be co-ordinated not only with accepted social and economic priorities but also with such restrictions and prohibitions of possible capital exports, capital issues and factories as may be in force. Serious evasion and leakage must ensue if permanent capital is made available in the guise of temporary but renewable loans and advances, or if funds which cannot be placed at the disposal of joint-stock enterprise percolate into the hands of private and domestic concerns the objects of which run counter to priority policies. It may well happen also that banks may be expected to play a more active part in the industrialization of the country and particularly in the supply of long-term and medium-term capital than they have done in the past. Much will depend on the future of loans and advances which have now reached the extremely low level of 25 to 30 per cent and investments, largely Government securities, which stand at the level of 40 to 60 per cent. With the creation and proper functioning of the Reserve Bank, there would be nothing wrong in expecting the commercial banks to disburden themselves of Govern-

ment securities and to concentrate on their proper banking function, namely the financing of trade and industry. What proportion of banking funds thus released could safely find their way into the fixed assets of industry is another matter. Leaving out of account a probable post-War shift from demand to fixed deposits—and much depends here on the course of interest rates—a maximum of total capital and reserve plus one quarter of the average fixed deposits over the preceding five years may be suggested as a safe upper limit. But this means automatically a widening of the eligibility conditions of the Reserve Bank and a very close contact with and supervision of banks by the Central bank.

Nationalisation of Banking

In his budget speech for 1946-47 the Finance Member made a laconic announcement about the future of the Reserve Bank. "An important question which I might mention at this stage," he said "is the nationalisation of the Reserve Bank of India. Hon. Members will remember the recent debate in the House which disclosed that there was a general consensus of opinion in favour of nationalisation. I said at the time that I would be prepared to give sympathetic consideration to the proposal for nationalising the Bank if this was found to be in the best interests of the country, after considering all the relevant aspects of the question. I have since given further thought to the matter and am convinced that the advantages of nationalisation outweigh any possible disadvantages. I have therefore come to the conclusion that the Reserve Bank should be nationalised; the time and manner of effecting the change being a matter for separate consideration in due course."

The most important development of the last few months in the world of banking is, no doubt, the strong trend towards nationalisation. The initiative was taken in the United Kingdom when the Labour Government proceeded to nationalise the Bank of England. A much more important departure was made in France when, with the public administration of the Bank of France secured by the pre-

War socialist Government of M. Leo Blum, the new post-War Government announced the nationalisation of the four biggest commercial banks of France. With these important events before our eyes, it would perhaps be not altogether unconstructive or too inopportune to examine this question of nationalisation of banking in the context of Indian circumstances.

Nationalisation of Central Banks

It is necessary to keep apart the two quite different questions of nationalisation of central banks and nationalisation of commercial banks. The central bank of every country is avowedly entrusted with a national responsibility and to enable its proper execution is vested with important special privileges and monopoly powers. It is true that in too many cases, this national responsibility was materially qualified in the past by the fact that high finance and commerce were allowed to have a preponderating influence in the day-to-day management and formulation of policies of central banks. This essentially narrow and mistaken view of their national responsibilities is to be chiefly attributed to the fact that till recently the nature and importance of monetary management for the economic welfare of all classes and sections of society were not properly understood. There was a general tendency to assume without much examination that, what was expedient from the viewpoint of high finance and commerce could not be opposed to the general interests of employment and good incomes. Apart from this rather perverse and class-blind approach to the principle of national responsibility, the unwillingness to subordinate the central bank to the authority of the Government sprang not from denial of its national function, but from a fear that Governments were most unlikely to have the capacity to appreciate the technical skill and knowledge required for its efficient discharge. A veritable revolution in economic thought and ideology and two world wars with an interim period of fearful depression and unemployment have enlarged the economic initiative and authority of Governments beyond

all imagination. Central banking has now become one among several means by which the Government is expected to maintain stability of income and employment for the community at large. It is hardly surprising if in this new orientation of State responsibilities, the old-world idea of the so-called independence of central banks has been swept out of existence.

It is well known that Indian public opinion was entirely for the creation of a State-owned and State-managed Central bank and events have proved that it judged world trends more accurately than Whitehall and London which forced on this country a contrary decision. The probable or ostensible grounds on which the Labour Government has nationalized the Bank of England should now enable us to judge how far the British dictated Reserve Bank of India interposes obstacles in the way of the economic progress of this country. It is clear that the common objection that the assured profits of special privileges and monopoly business should not be appropriated by private investors does not hold good in the case of our Reserve Bank. Unlike the Bank of England, the Reserve Bank has to share all profits in excess of a certain minimum with the Central Government on a scale which is heavily in favour of the latter. At the very least, it could be claimed that the urgency of this ground does not exist to the same high degree as could be alleged in the case of the United Kingdom. A much more compelling circumstance which has expedited nationalisation in England is the experience during the financial crisis of 1931 when a rift is supposed to have occurred between the policy of the Labour Government and the self-interest or financial judgment of the banking system in general. The need for a full alignment between the policies of the Government and trends of money and banking has become much more acute as important industries are singled out one after another for nationalisation. This new factor raises the general question of policy from the sphere of monetary control and incentives into the sphere of credit by commercial banks.

Extension of Authority over Commercial Banks

Actually, the most heated controversy has arisen not over the acquisition of ownership of the Bank of England by the State but over the clause which appears to extend its authority over the business of commercial banks with the inroads it implies on the time-honoured secrecy of banking business. So far as the argument relates to monetary policy and credit control, it applies with as much force to this country as to the United Kingdom. But what it necessitates in this country is not so much a change in the law of the Reserve Bank as a new orientation of practice. The law already vests the power of appointing the executive in the Governor-General whose responsible ministers could be trusted to bear in mind the national stake involved in the appointments. As for the equilibrium of power between the Central bank's executive and the Central Board of the Bank, this again is largely a matter of the skill, capacity and moral authority of the individual on whom the mantle of Governorship is conferred.

The question of the authority of the State-owned and State-managed Central bank over commercial banking is more complicated. Although there is a large volume of individual opinion on the planned economy or economic planning of the future, no political party likely to assume power in the near future has yet adopted a programme which is definite beyond doubt, sufficient in its detail, reasonably authoritative in its Economics or Technology. Even when the extraneous issue of Indo-British relationship is cleared out of the way, a stable realignment of political and economic forces is bound to take several years. Till then, it would be highly premature to adopt measures likely to interfere with the judgment of commercial banks in their normal activities. Another very important element in the rapid drift towards nationalisation of banking was the accusation which was very freely made that Montague-Norman, and under his influence the banks, had a "foreign policy" of their own during inter-War years.

which ran counter to the official foreign policy. It is not necessary for our purposes to examine the character or veracity of this belief. It must be recognised however that with the organisation of international organs for management of money and trade relations, economic decisions are today made as much on the plane of power politics as financial and economic expertise. A Central bank which lacks the authority of the Government behind its opinions and policies has no place in the world as it is emerging from World War II. As a matter of fact, the Central bank is becoming one among many organs through which the State seeks to co-ordinate national economic policies in relation to the outside world.

Bank of France and Bank of England

When the Government of France decided to nationalise the Bank of France, it made a much more fundamental departure than the Labour Government of the United Kingdom. For, unlike the Bank of England, the Bank of France is as much a commercial bank as a central bank. It was a matter for some speculation how the power over trade and industry which the Bank of France has placed in the hands of the State could be reconciled with the power which private banks were bound to exert over other sectors of trade and industry. The potentialities for conflict were many and serious. The difficulty was resolved in the only logical manner possible by extending the principle of nationalisation to cover in the first instance, the four biggest banks of France. From the standpoint of India, this is a very important experiment, for, the banking system of France has many similarities with our own in structural diffuseness, larger use of currency notes, absence of outlets for investment, the presence of country banks, predominance of agriculture, etc.

British Banks and Monopoly

The grounds on which commercial banks are deemed as ripe for nationalisation are naturally of quite a different order. In certain countries like the United Kingdom, com-

mercial banking is believed to be very near monopoly conditions. To establish a conclusive case on this ground, it is necessary to prove two things. It must be established in the first instance that competition between different banking units has ceased to exist. Secondly, it must be proved that banks are making use of their monopoly position to extract for their services something more than what they would receive if free competition prevailed. So far as this country is concerned, the domestic banking business of the country is shared among scores of large and small banks in all phases of expansion and conforming to all standards of banking practice. We are yet far away from that high concentration of banking power represented by the Big Five of the United Kingdom. Even in England, the accusation of monopoly conditions is vigorously denied. It is true that there is not much of competition in deposit rates or the rates charged to customers. But there is quite a lively and continuous effort to draw away customers by the offer of numerous services, ever growing in number and variety. It is also very difficult to say that the cost of banking services in the United Kingdom compares in any way unfavourably, with costs of other banking systems. In the case of India in particular, the prevalence of competition has certainly not placed us in an advantageous position so far as the costs of banking services are concerned. Whatever the special causes of this situation, our problem of banking expansion remains very largely the problem of bringing down costs to the level of our economic capacity. There is no ground to presume that nationalisation is likely to overcome these causes more efficiently than competition. While domestic banking business is thus far away from monopoly conditions, there is however one sector of banking in which something like the atmosphere of cartel understandings appears to prevail. Whether from a natural instinct of self-preservation or deliberate intentions, Exchange Banks have from very early days lived and behaved as if a constant posture of self-defence against Indian encroachments is the very condition of their continued

existence. This is, however, a conflict not between private enterprise on the one hand and the community at large on the other but between national and foreign interests.

Banking Services and Nationalisation

Commercial banks perform two main services to the community. They collect the savings of the people and offer them the incidental banking facilities. Secondly they place these savings at the disposal of trade and industry, exercising in the process their expert judgment as to the soundness and worthiness of each enterprise. It is possible to argue that one or both these services are necessities of the same order as water or sanitation and therefore must be placed within the reach of all members of the community. In the case of water, light or sanitation, this is achieved by meeting the loss on the supply to poorer members of the community by charging higher prices to the more well-to-do classes. It would no doubt be possible to apply the same principle to banking and run banking services and facilities in leaner places by seeking compensation for the loss from profitable places. It is clear that private enterprise is not likely to undertake responsibilities of this kind at the sacrifice of its profits. But nationalisation on this ground has to answer one important question. The supply of light, water or sanitation bears its fruit in the shape of better health and longer life of the population. But what special benefit to the community could the supply of banking services run at a loss offer? If savings collected in a place are not sufficient to earn the expenses of their collection, it does no harm or little harm if they are left in the possession of their owners. Similarly, if the outlet for investment made does not return the expenses on the apparatus of investment, we must seek other means of creating the employment or incomes which investment brings with it. It must be admitted, however, that in special areas of peasant agriculture or cottage industries, the subsidisation of credit at the expense of profitable areas might bring with it incomes and employment more than sufficient to offset the loss suffered by the community.

••

War and Nationalisation

The monetary and financial developments of the War have furnished another powerful line of argument in favour of nationalisation. In many countries, particularly in those like India in which the War was mainly financed by inflation, the inflation of the working resources of banks is clearly an act of the State for which the banks can claim no special effort or sacrifice. Even in those countries in which inflation was held in strict check, the control and restriction of consumption meant an enforced creation of savings which found their way duly to the banks. It therefore appears to most people that the banks are merely reaping where they have not sown. A thorough examination of this line of thought reveals intricacies and difficulties. So far as the increase of resources due to inflation is concerned, it is obvious that the benefit to banks accrues only during the interval during which the increase in the volume of deposits is not offset by a corresponding rise of prices and increase of capital. The benefit is no doubt large and prolonged while the inflation is in process. Nevertheless, during the time that unusual profits accrue, special taxation like excess profits duty, rather than permanent transfer to State ownership is obviously a more appropriate remedy. The argument based on enforced savings has been used to deduce more than one conclusion. Since the income receiver has no alternative but to save and to place his savings with banks, it has been maintained that the case for paying him interest as inducement to save, has ceased to exist. Instead of paying him interest, it is considered more logical that he should pay banks a charge for looking after his money and rendering him a multitude of incidental services. If the banks performed no significant function except collection of the savings of the community and the investment of funds involved no skill and expertness, it would be difficult to resist the other and more fundamental conclusion that the justification for private enterprise has ceased to have validity. In any case, this ground for nationalisation will apply only in those communities in

which allocation of real resources to different purposes is not based on profitability, and allocation of money savings is intended merely to give effect to such predetermined allocation. A planned economy permanently operated as such rather than a community in which there prevail free enterprise and free consumer's choice is obviously presumed in this particular justification of nationalised banking.

Standardisation of Methods and Practice and Nationalisation

An argument which tilts the balance very much in favour of nationalisation is the tendency of certain classes of enterprises to tend to standardisation of methods and practice. No sensational discoveries or improvements are expected after the industry has achieved a certain degree of progress and stability. This is perhaps true of banking in a measure which does not hold good of any other class of business. The balance sheets of banks in many countries reflect a uniformity of practice which must ultimately be the outcome of certain basic economic and financial factors which banks can do little to alter. It is difficult to say how far Indian banking has arrived at this age. It must be recognised that banking in this country is still in its stage of adolescence, if not of infancy. But when experience of other countries is available for guidance, it would be sheer pedantry to argue that we must reach manhood through the same process of trial and error. It is possible that the initiative and vigour of the State may expedite our attainment of manhood in banking in the same manner as in labour legislation, social security, employment policies, etc.

Whatever the divergence of views on the question of nationalisation of banks, all are agreed that banking falls in a special category and requires special laws and special safeguards. The spate of legislation after the world-wide crisis of the last decade demonstrates this fact very clearly. It is a most unfortunate fact, however, that India is placed in such a situation that she has shown little originality of ideas or boldness of practice on this subject. Whether the

question relates to free trade, mixed banking, central banking, stability of exchange and currency policies, etc., it has always been acted on as a maxim that whatever is beneficial to the United Kingdom must be equally beneficial to India. We may not perhaps go so far as to have the managing boards of our banks appointed by the Government as in France under the new laws. But we could have an officer of the Government or the Reserve Bank to sit on the boards and watch observance of law and public interests. It is not perhaps necessary for us to have the capital of our banks owned by the State, but the audit of banks could well be the responsibility of the Reserve Bank. The employees of banks may not perhaps be converted into public servants, but their initial recruitment and conditions of service could well be approximated to those of the public services.* But it is a most regrettable fact about private

THE BOMBAY AWARD

* The following are the main features of the award, which ran to 62 closely typed pages. Salary: (1) Big Banks—Clerks Rs. 65 to Rs. 180 (first to eleventh year) Efficiency Bar Rs. 187 to Rs. 190 (twelfth to eighteenth year) E.B. Rs. 200 to Rs. 280 (nineteenth to twenty-second year) E.B. Rs. 245 to Rs. 275 (twenty-third to twenty-fifth year). Graduates to start at Rs. 75.

(b) Low paid staff: "Chokras" to start at Rs. 25; Peons—Rs. 30—2—50—1—65; Sweepers and scavengers—Rs. 20—2—40.

(2) Small banks: Clerks—Rs. 55 to Rs. 102 E.B. Rs. 110 to Rs. 150 E.B. Rs. 160 to Rs. 200 E.B. Rs. 212 to Rs. 350, the efficiency bars being after completion of ten, sixteen and twenty one years of service respectively. Graduates to start at Rs. 65.

Low-paid staff: "Chokras" to start at Rs. 19; Peons—Rs. 24—1—25—2—55. Sweepers—Rs. 15—1—30.

Dearness Allowance: (1) Big banks; Clerks—25 per cent. of salary with Rs. 30 as minimum and Rs. 80 as maximum. Low-paid staff—Peons and others Rs. 25 at flat rate, chokras—Rs. 30 and scavengers—Rs. 15.

(2) Small banks: Clerks—30 per cent. of salary with Rs. 25 as minimum and Rs. 35 as maximum. Low-paid staff—Rs. 17 at flat rate.

Regarding provident fund the Adjudicator observed that all banks had Provident fund or Pension Rules or both. The existing rules of provident funds and pension could not be changed in the absence of all the beneficiaries and trustees. However, suggestions had been made for modifying the rules. All banks which had no pension or retiring allowance should pay gratuity to all employees on voluntary retirement or resignation after 15 years of service and on retrenchment or discharge, after 10 years of service, the maximum amount being 15 months' salary after 15 years of continuous service.

Clerks in the big banks were entitled to one month's privilege leave with full pay and allowances. Leave could be accumulated upto a total period of three months but the actual period to be granted depended on the exigencies of the bank.

Big banks: Casual leave—10 days in a year with full pay and allowances.

Sick leave—one month for each year's service, subject to a maximum of 12 months in all during the whole service. The bank might, in special cases, grant additional sick leave at its discretion. Leave without pay could be granted to an employee when no other leave was due, at the discretion of the bank.

Low-paid staff: The same rules of leave applied with the modification that privilege leave could be accumulated up to a total of two months.

Small banks: Same as big banks for all employees except that they would get three weeks instead of one month for privilege and sick leave.

SERVICE RULES

Regarding service rules, the Adjudicator provided for free medical consultations for employees of big banks, voluntary retirement after 30 years of service; compulsory retirement at the age of 60 except as otherwise provided for in the Pension rules, in all banks. Service record is to be maintained for all employees, with the right of appeal to the highest authority in case of adverse remarks. The rest of service rules is to be incorporated in the standing Orders to be framed by the commissioner of labour.

All banks would have to provide dining rooms wherever possible and clean potable water for their employees. The employees had the right to apply to the proper Court in case of

enterprise that it resists blindly moderate reforms till one day public anger and discontent destroy it altogether. Bankers, it is to be feared, are no more willing to learn from history than are other businessmen.

Course of Interest Rates

The immediate and distant future of interest-rates and investment is a matter of critical importance to individuals and financial institutions. Banks, insurance companies, investment trusts, etc. have in particular a large and vital stake in investments which appreciate or depreciate in accordance with the trends of interest rates. Apart from individual or sectional interests, the whole economic system, the levels of investment incomes and employment are dependent in a significant measure on the way these rates will behave in the near and distant future. True, economic thought is today not as optimistic about the aggregate and definitive influence of interest levels as it used to be a few years ago. Investment and profit prospects, or to put it more realistically, expectations about investment and profits are now felt to depend on some other factors besides these rates.

The regulating function of interest-rates was much obscured by the role assigned of necessity to money and finance during the World War II. Many persons were inclined to think and speak as if the rate of interest is not the price of capital subject to the inescapable forces of demand and supply, but merely a minimum reward to inducement to save, to be fixed arbitrarily by the public authority according to its own estimate of what the public will tolerate. Arguing on this basis, they were apt to take it for granted that these rates could continue indefinitely and built up their post-war plans and policies accordingly. The more or less complete prohibition of private investment,

victimisation or improper treatment and in case of a breach of the Standing Orders or the Adjudicator's award. All the existing rights and privileges enjoyed by the employees, which were not covered or varied by the award were to continue.

The 12 big banks, according to the award are the Imperial Bank of India; Lloyds Bank; Grindley and Co.; Chartered Bank of India, Australia and China; Netherlands India Commercial Bank; Central Bank of India; Bank of India; Bank of Baroda; Allahabad Bank; Punjab National Bank; Hindustan Commercial Bank; and Indian Bank. Over 8,500 members of the clerical staff and 1,200 members of the low-paid staff in these 12 banks are covered by the award.

the curtailment and rationing of the supply of several prime articles of consumption, the continuous issue of lawful money, etc. were either overlooked or forgotten. The situation was however bound to change materially as these controls and arbitrary interferences were progressively abandoned and the normal force of consumers' choice and freedom of business enterprise were permitted to have freer play.

Post-War Demand

Whether we agree or disagree with the thesis of Colin Clark that economic progress consists of a regular succession of capital-glutted and capital-hungry periods of about twenty to thirty years and that we are now in the midst of a more or less prolonged capital-hungry period, there can be no doubt that for many years after the War, there will be an intensified demand for capital from all sides. In the case of this country, three important sources of this demand deserve to be specially stressed. For years now, the capital equipment of existing business units has been exploited most intensively without any appreciable replacements. Not much new capital has been allowed to come into existence either, whether to meet the requirements of normal growth or to utilize the unusual opportunities of War or post-War years. In the second place, a special place must be accorded in our estimates to post-War housing and house-building on the ground of the magnitude and special character of the economic and social interests involved. While the present overcrowding of cities and towns may prove to an extent a temporary phase, the tendency to future industrialization and the complete suspension of residential construction during the last few years are bound to maintain an unwonted pressure on living space for years to come. Nor must we overlook the important fact that the investment requirements and proclivities of large classes of people in this country are sure to exploit to the full the marked disparities in returns which must reveal themselves between this form of investment and other gilt-edged

investments. Finally, we must take into account the formidable requirements of the governments in this country. The Indian Government like all other governments has piled up a large volume of short-term and medium-term indebtedness, the funding, renewal or repayment of which will be a long and difficult process. If we add to indebtedness the demands of post-War schemes of repairs, reconstruction or development fathered by the Government or public leaders, the pressure of public borrowing by itself is not likely to be easily appeased for many years to come.

Post-War Supply

We estimate the annual pre-War *visible* savings of this country (and incidentally, their allocation) at about Rs. 100 crores. Taking visible and invisible savings together, the total is unlikely to exceed Rs. 300 crores. If the present levels of prices and incomes are allowed for, the surplus out of which all our post-War ambitions are to be satisfied must fall appreciably short of Rs. 1,000 crores. Restrictions, economies of public and private expenditure, etc. might, however, enable us to raise the disposable surplus to that level.

Leaving aside the investible part of our sterling balances which are unlikely to flow back in an annual instalment of more than £50 m. or Rs. 65 crores, some relief might be sought in foreign loans. This is, however, a very slender possibility. The one country which could and in its own no less than world interests, should offer its capital surplus to others is the U.S.A. A global scarcity of dollars is one of the few certainties of post-War economics. It is still very doubtful whether the wisdom of the United States will rise equal to the logic of monetary and economic facts and necessities. And in the case of India, we have perforce to take into calculation the unavoidable difficulties of her new international status.

Control of Investment

The industrial demand for capital will be intensified according as the present restrictions on profits are relaxed quickly or slowly. The existence of an excess profits duty

was fully justified by the conditions of war. But in times of peace such duties tend in the long run to stabilize business at artificial levels of efficiency and harm economic progress. In the case of existing production units, such a duty based on certain standard profits has a tendency to restrict the expansion of each unit relatively to all others. In the case of new enterprises, either of two things must happen. By restricting the expansion of existing units, the duty gives an artificial encouragement to the creation of new units which may not justify themselves in the long run by their intrinsic merits. Or, when the new units are more efficient than the old, the dead levels of maximum profits prevents them from expanding beyond a certain point and impinging on the field of old but less efficient units. Finally, with a dead level of maximum profits in all lines of production, the duty must place a premium on existing lines and methods of production to the ultimate detriment of all-round economic betterment. These reflections suggest that the present excess profits duty will have to give way by stages to more steeply graded income and super taxes and profit taxes more compatible with conditions of peace.

While all this pressure on post-War capital market is conceded it is still possible to argue that a rise in interest-rates cannot eventuate itself unless the pressure passes beyond the limits set by our national savings. So long as these demands on the market do not exceed available savings, interest-rates can continue at the minimum levels at which the public is willing to save rather than consume. Such an argument clearly postulates the adequacy and suitability of some public authority to arbitrate between capital demands of various kinds. With war as the sole objective of national effort, a government has an index of priorities according to which it can restrict certain capital imports, prohibit certain kinds of factories and generally regulate capital issues. But when peace restores consumers' choice, it is difficult to make out how a government

can exercise the regulating function of interest-rates and profits correctly and efficiently.

Restrictions on public issues of capital will merely stimulate enterprise other than joint-stock. Prohibitions on certain kinds of factories will tend to confer special advantages on business units which already exist and encourage the growth of crude and inefficient cottage and domestic production at the expense of factory and power production. An economic structure raised on such artificial foundations can be kept in existence and sustained by such artificial means alone and must fall the moment it is exposed to the operation of natural forces.

These considerations do not and need not preclude some restrictions and prohibitions of a broad and general character on the creation of concerns of an unnecessary character. Apart from such minimum interference with investment activities, it would be more to the purpose if drastic powers were invoked to mitigate or prevent some of the rank abuses of joint-stock enterprise and the investment market. This large and extremely urgent subject cannot be dealt with here. Two things must be recorded here as of immediate necessity. The Government should determine and announce here and now a list of public utility and other services which it will as a rule undertake in the future and which will therefore be removed outside the range of private control and management.* Secondly, the whole field of company law should be subjected to a drastic and immediate overhaul with the object of eliminating monopoly or minority control of shares and stocks, financial manipulations by office-bearers, perversion and abuse of audit and accounts, the

* The Government of India has since announced :

- (i) Iron and steel, cotton and woollen textiles, cement, sugar, electric power and coal will be henceforward Central subjects for purpose of legislation etc.
- (ii) Ordnance factories, public utility undertakings and railways will continue to be state owned and state operated, as also the bulk generation of electric power as far as possible.
- (iii) Basic industries such as aircraft, automobiles and tractors, chemicals, iron and steel, prime movers, machine tools and electrical machinery industries may be nationalised in case of inadequacy of private capital and urgency of national interests.
- (iv) Salt in which tax elements predominate may be nationalised.
- (v) Coal will be considered separately.
- (vi) Industries of national importance such as ship-building, locomotives and boilers may be run by the State as well as private capitals.

enormous losses of still-born promotion and fraudulent management and in general of bringing the whole field of public enterprise into conformity with our ideas of post-War economic ethics.

In assessing the post-War levels of interest-rates, we must not omit to note the relative returns on investments of different kinds, now and in the near future. In spite of highly inflated values and unprecedented levels of taxation, the returns of most industrial scrips of status rarely fell below 5 to 6 per cent. In many individual cases, the returns were surprisingly higher. Although the return of peace must compel a revaluation of investments in all lines, it is unlikely that profits will deteriorate on any comparable scale. For a few years, profit percentages might even move on higher levels, particularly if present taxation is revised. The return on housing must similarly continue on a very attractive level. In contrast with these returns, the short-term rates are today on the lowest plane ever reached. Making the utmost allowance for elements of risks, liquidity, etc., the present gap between long-term and short-term rates is too wide to continue without readjustment. Such readjustment can only mean a higher trend in all sections of the capital market.

Some Counter-Arguments

A critic academically inclined might be tempted to seek comfort in the thought that since every level of investment tends to create its own level of income and savings, the growing excess of investment over savings does not matter. Perhaps, a little reflection on the manner in which investment performs the alleged miracle of *post facto* equality of investment, incomes and savings might furnish the clue to what must happen in the circumstances under review. When investment outstrips savings the excess must cause a growing diversion of factors from consumption and non-durable goods generally, creating a growing shortage in their supply. Taking all industries together, consumption goods no less than investment goods, an acute demand for

working capital of all kinds must reveal itself. The short-term rates will tend to be first forced up—dragging in their wake the long-term rates in due course.

In India, War production has taken the shape very largely of an intense concentration of resources on consumption goods and non-durable goods generally. Capital equipment, even that suitable for War production, has not received appreciable augmentation comparable with the peace or war industrial needs of the country. With the end of the War the scale of government expenditure is falling, releasing both money and real resources for investment and production for private use. All in all, the demand for working capital must tend to reach an intensity unprecedented in the economic history of this country.

We cannot overlook also the large volume of savings which the War leaves behind in the form of hoarded currency and bank balances. The goods and real wealth represented by these have disappeared long ago in the smoke and destruction of the War. While these savings leave their hiding places, they will increase the supply of capital in the monetary sense, but at the same time aggravate immensely the pressure on real factors of production.

Many people find in the avowed monetary policy of England for post-War years encouragement for their belief in post-War low rates of interest in this country also. This view overlooks the fundamental difference, firstly, between the economic situation of the two countries and secondly, the ways in which the War economy has been managed, not to say manipulated, by the two Governments.

In England, the pre-War problem consisted not of a shortage of savings and capital, but an alleged deficit of investment opportunities within the country. Although the War is bound to make inroads on her saving capacity, England still continues to envisage her post-War situation in the pre-War context. Rightly or wrongly, the men at the head of thought and affairs there, take the view that investment abroad does not conduce to increased production and employment at home and that control of exports of capital

and purposively directed and stimulated investment at home are the necessary part of a policy of full employment. It is clear that this logic has no applicability to India whose fundamental problem is shortage of capital relatively to her immediate and prospective needs.

Besides, in the United Kingdom, the consumption of the public has been reduced to the lowest minimum and the resources thus saved have been applied to the creation of capital goods no less than destruction goods required for War. Although shortages of capital goods will be felt at the end of the War in this line or that, England will find herself on the whole in possession of quite a large equipment which can be turned over with greater or smaller adaptation to the purposes of peace—particularly for export purposes. In India, on the other hand, the concentration has been entirely on consumption and destruction goods such as could be extracted from existing capital equipment. The production of durable goods or their importation has been more or less discouraged directly or indirectly. India has thus found herself at the end of the War with sadly depleted capital equipment.

If the foregoing analysis is well founded, it should also furnish the clue to the relevant long-term monetary policy for post-War years. Assuming that a proper parity is selected for the rupee, the danger of a further inflation superimposing itself on the inflation of War years arises from more than one quarter—the unleashing of the pent-up forces of investment, the release of hoarded currency and bank balances, the super-normal level of cash reserves and sub-normal level of loans and advances of banks, foreign loans if available, etc. As the community accustoms itself to long and stable views of the future, a larger growth of fixed deposits relatively to the growth of current deposits might also add to the incentive of banks to search for the more lucrative assets of loans and advances. In an all-round sanguine environment like this a cheap money policy by the Reserve Bank can only aggravate the upward trend and make the ultimate crisis and collapse more certain.

The skill and prudence of the Central bank will be seen best in exercising a proper restraint on the tempo of growth and yet avoiding the other dangerous extreme of forcing the whole economic system into reverse gear. A difficult achievement of this kind means conformity to economic facts and forces not by the use of a particular weapon in the armoury of the Central bank, but a dexterous and continuously adapted combination of all resources—discount rate, open market operations, rationing, moral persuasion, joint and agreed action by commercial banks, etc.

INDEX

- Adat—Katchi & Pakki, 449.
- Advances, loans and cash-credits, 140-46, 162; bad & doubtful, 145; Bank of India, 222; Bank of Baroda, 240; Bank of Behar, 268; Big Five, 140, 479-80; Bank of Mysore, 255; Allahabad Bank of India, 110-11, 242; Imperial Bank of India, 110-11; Indian Bank, 250; & Real estate, 144; & Reserve Bank of India, 305-6; and Indian industries 188-200; seasonal 119-21; during war, 479-80; classification of, 144-45, 146, 171, 175; distribution of, 184, 185n; in foreign countries, 142n; unsecured 145.
- Agency Houses, members of, 1; and banking 1-2; of Calcutta, 3, 6-7, 17n; Bombay 5n, 4-5; capital of, 5; loans of, 5; borrowing and lending rates 5-6; failures of, 6-7.
- Agriculture, and seasonal finance, 213-17, 320-23; and long term finance, 457-62; and banks, 217.
- Alexander and Co., 3.
- Allahabad Bank of India, 28, 29, 35, 41, 88, 97, 111, 113, 114, 115, 116, 131, 138, 143, 161, 197, 241-48, 274.
- Allahabad Union Bank, 333n.
- Alliance Bank of Simla, 28, 113, 117, 333, 354-55, 366-67.
- Amalgamation, 128, 227-28; effect on capitalisation of 128-29, 129n; see also under Capital and Reserves; of Imperial Bank, 103n.
- American Civil War, effects on banking of, 25-6, 417, 421.
- Amritsar Bank, Lahore, 346.
- Amritsar National Bank, 337.
- Arjunji Nathji, 11, 12, 14, 16, 18.
- Assets and liabilities of banks, mutual interaction, 127.
- Atlay Committee, 421.
- Audit, of banks, 402-3, 498.
- Bagehot, Walter, 28n.
- Balance of trade, and prices and income structures, 293-94.
- Balance-sheets, law relating to, advances, 396; & audit, 402-3, 498; debts and deposits, 391-92; debts, bad and doubtful, 393-95; capital 390; book-debts, 393-94; cash and investments, 395-96; interest, 396-97; profit and loss, 397; property, 392-93; reserve fund, 390-91, 497.
- Balance-sheets of banks, Allahabad Bank of India, 245-48; Bank of Baroda, 252-53; Bank of Behar, 269-70; Big Five, 218-19; Bank of India, 225-26; Bank of Mysore, 256-57; Central Bank of India, 233-34; Hindusthan Bank, 267; Indian Bank, 263-64; Punjab National Bank, 239-40; Union Bank of India, 266-67; Reserve Bank of India, 329.
- Bank and banking business, definition of, 406n, 403-5; 405n; and Government of India, 364-65; and Banking Inquiry 403-4; Hilton-Young Commission, 403n; and English Law 403; 403n; Indian Companies Act, 405-6; and Sir John Paget, 405n; and other countries, 404; 405n.
- Bank D'affairs, 192, 192n, 197, 200.
- Bank Deposits, progress of, 25, 25n, 29n; exchange rate 26; & other forms of investment, 80-1; return of, 391-92; distribution among banks of, 36-7; as a measure of banking progress, 30, 31n; fixed, current and saving, 34-5, 134-5, 174, 176, 475-79, 475n, 483; of Imperial Bank, 115n; definition of fixed deposits, 134n; and other funds, 32-33, 35, 467-69, 476-77; and other forms of money, 289-92, 482; insurance of 372-73; ownership of, 479, 479n; priority of payment 373-74; profitability of 383-84; average size of, 137, 138n, 193, 383; urban & rural, 39-40; & smaller places, 40; see also under Interest rates.
- Bank employees—, recruitment of, 410-11; salaries 498; salaries & gross profits, 274; salaries in foreign countries, 277.
- Bankers' Clearing House, and Reserve Bank 320.
- Bank Failures, by age, 331-33; by resources, 334-36; and industrial finance, 344-49; by management, 336-44; & misfortune, 353-56; and speculation, 349-53.
- Bank income, source of, 80-82.

- Banking and Loan Companies**, 179-80; by provinces, 59n.
- Banking Companies Act 1945**, 364n, 370n, 400-1n, 405n, 410n, 418n, 414n.
- Banking facilities**, extent of, 38; distribution by towns, 39, 40, 41; and bigger joint stock banks, 41-2; war-growth of, 472-73; and Native States, see under Native States; distribution by provinces, 42-43; international comparison, 57.
- Banking funds**, and other funds, 32-33, 476.
- Banking habit**, and public confidence, 368-81; and level of incomes, 381-84; and literacy, 384-85.
- Banking Inquiry Committee**—, 183, 187, 198, 212, 213, 408.
- Banking legislation**, form of, 386-87; causes of, 385; definition of bank, see under bank and banking business; capital and reserves, 405-7; management, 411-12; and audit, 402-3; and branches, 407-8 and n; and investments, 412-13; and liquidation, 413-14; representation of interests, 378-79, and insurance of deposits, 472-73.
- Bank of Baroda**, 41, 43, 56, 161, 249-53, 272, 275.
- Bank of Behar**, 268-70.
- Bank of Bengal**, 7, 101n, 292.
- Bank of Bombay**, 25, 102, 292.
- Bank of Bombay Government**, 4n.
- Bank of Burma**, 348-49.
- Bank of Calcutta**, 3.
- Bank of England**, 70, 109, 118n, 116, 118, 296, 301n, 306n.
- Bank of France**, 107, 109, 110, 111, 164, 287, 289, 304, 306n.
- Bank of Hindusthan**, 3, 7n.
- Bank of India**, 41, 56, 89, 221-26.
- Bank of Madras**, 4.
- Bank of Mysore**, 35, 56, 254-57.
- Bank of Upper India**, 354.
- Bank rate**, average 70n, 74n, 303; effectiveness of 108, 303-6; and other rates, 303; & foreign obligations, 109.
- Bank references**, 206.
- Bank X**, 86-7, 170-71.
- Bank Y**, 171-72.
- Barclays Bank**, 184, 185n, 275.
- Bazaar hundi rate**, 63, 93, 301-2.
- Benares Bank**, 7n.
- Bengal Bank**, 3.
- Bengal National Bank**, 117, 188, 342-44.
- Berlin Stock Exchange**, 433.
- Bhargava B**, 14n.
- Big Five**, capital and reserve of, 182, 485; time and demand deposits of, 134; loans and advances of, 140-41, 479; bills and hundis, 156-57, 158; investments, 160-61, 163, 480; net profit rate, 275.
- Bills of exchange**, function of, 147; and hundis, 13, 152-56; and banks elsewhere, 158n; and Big Five, 156-57; decline of, 157-58; & indigenous bankers, 13, 301; bill market, 150-52; export trade, 202-3; funds employed, 155n; and import trade, 203-4; in France, 151n; in U.S.A. 151n.
- Bombay Banking Company**, 340-41.
- Bombay Stock Exchange**, early origins, 417; membership of, 417-20; admission of securities, 424-26; classification of members, 422-24; 417-20; time and cash deals, 426-27; cash and forward lists, 426-27; settlement period, 427-28; margins, 431-32; prices on, 432-33, 455n; buying in rule, 440; selling out rule, 438-39; default and disciplinary action, 436-37, 437n; corners, 439n; holidays, 440-41, 441n; volume of transactions 445n, outside influences on, 439n; sub-brokers, 420-22; and speculation, 440, 431; and bullion exchange, 448-49; and banks, 107; and banking funds, 443-45; and open market operations, 313-14, and foreign exchanges, see under London, Paris, New York, Berlin exchanges.
- Boulton Bros**, 354.
- Branch banking**, and banking expansion, 39-40, 43, 44-5, 408; and exchange banks, 44-45, 45n, 208; see also under exchange banks; and bigger Indian joint stock banks 45; deposit per branch, 49; and financial implications, 47-8, 50-1, 51n, 57-8, 407-8; and overcrowding, 46-7; and personnel, 410-11; and management, 408, 408n; expense of, 277n; and Imperial Bank, 39, 41, 42, 44, 48, 429, 455; and competition, 41, 44, 45-46; and smaller places, 43; and non-scheduled banks, 46-7; & profits, 50; by provinces, 42-3; deficit or surplus branches, 55n.
- British India Bank**, 339.
- Buckley, J**, 388.
- Bukamji Kasidas**, 10.

- Bullion Exchange, 445-51; members and brokers, 449; unit of business, 449-50; clearing on, 450-51; forms of contract 449; options, 450; clearing, 450-51; and bullion, 446-47; investment in bullion, 38; teji-mandi on, 450.
- Calcutta Industrial Bank, Calcutta, 199n.
- Call money, in India and England, 98, 95.
- Call money rate, 98, 95.
- Capital and reserves, function of, 127-28, 181-82; influences on proportion to deposits, 128-30; smaller banks, 182; Imperial Bank, 181; Big Five, 181; in foreign countries, 180n; statutory, 374-75.
- Cash, control of, 289-90.
- Cash credits, 145-46.
- Cash ratio, 142, 168-65, 165n.
- Central Bank for India, 8n, 10, 12-13, 18n, 101; profit & losses of 110.
- Central Bank of India, 41, 82-4, 88, 89, 96-7, 115, 182, 161, 166, 191, 275, 486.
- Chamberlain Commission, 39n, 85n, 86, 118, 283.
- Champa Shah, 8.
- Charter Act of 1833, 1.
- Cheques, see under banking habit; and clearance, 320.
- Chettis, 10, 154, 161, 259-60, 261.
- Chits, 180-1.
- Chunilal Saraiya, 349-53.
- Comilla Bank, 180.
- Concentration in banking, 407-8; causes of, 52-5.
- Credit Bank of India, 841-42.
- Credit control, 289.
- Currency and Banking Schools, 28n, 296-97.
- Deccan Bank, 332n.
- Demand loans 145-46.
- Depositors and deposit accounts, 382-83, 383n; conditions for, 382, see under bank deposits; size, 188, 383n.
- Deposit rates, 82-5, 87n, 88-9, 89n; regulation of, 89-90; on current account, 82-83; on fixed deposit, 84-88; on postal savings, 186n; and long term rates, control of 89-90, 94-95, 95n, 301, 301n.
- Dividends of banks and share prices, 278, 279; of Federal Reserve System 110n; of Bank of England, 110n.
- Earning rates, 91-2, 96-8; of Central Bank, 97; of Imperial Bank 97; of Punjab National Bank, 97; of Allahabad Bank, 97; of Indian Bank 97.
- Eligibility rules and Reserve Bank, bills 300-5; loans 305-6.
- Exchange Banks, relative position, 36; fixed & current deposits of, 207n; and branches in the interior 45, 45n, 208; Indian share in exchange business 201; other incidental business of 202; & domestic trade, 209; Complaints against, 207n, 209, 210; exchange clause, 204-5; restrictions on, 209, 210. Import & export business of; see under Bills; Indian participation in, 210-11; & Imperial Bank, 211, 212; & London banks 211.
- Exchange rate, effect on banking 26.
- Executor and Trustee work, 167-68.
- Expense ratio of banks, 276; and salaries, 274, 277; & gross profits, see under gross profits; and British banks, 273n.
- Fattchchand, 9.
- Federal Reserve System, 147, 303, 318; dividends of, 110n; 111.
- Finance, for war, 463; and inflation, 467; and loans, 470-71.
- Fixed Assets, 165-66; 165n.
- Foreign trade, finance of, 201; Indian share in finance of 201-2, 209-10; and German Banks, 211.
- Fowler Committee, 26.
- France, banking in, 90, 107n, 151n, 164, 287, 289; Bank of, see under Bank of France.
- General Bank of Bengal & Bihar, 2n.
- General Bank of India, 2, 8.
- German banking, 191n, 193.
- Gilbert, 411.
- Gold and silver, see under Bullion Exchange; imports of and exchange rate, 446-48; advances against 175.
- Gopaldas Sahu, 10, 11, 16-17, 18.
- Government and banking, 364-75.
- Government Bank of Bombay, 4n.
- Grant A. T. K., 65n.
- Gray, Sir Alexander, on branch expenses, 277n.
- Grindlay & Co, 355.
- Gross rate of profit, 280; of Imperial Bank, 111, 114-15; of earning 96-8, 271-2.
- Har Kishan Lall, 345, 376-77.
- Hastings, Warren, 2, 15.
- Hawtrey R. G. 64, 79n.
- Herschell Commission, 26.
- Hilton Young Commission, 113, 136, 290, 290n, 291, 299n, 301n.

Hindusthan Bank, Multan, 387.
Holland, 129.

Hundi, Mudatti 153, negotiability of 153-54, varieties of 154, dealers in 155, discounting of 155-56; see also under Bills of Exchange.

Imperial Bank of India, 38, 101, 142, 152, 157; Presidency Banks amalgamation, 101-2; causes of amalgamation, 101; and bills of exchange, 157; capital and reserve 181; legal restrictions on, 102, 104-5, 106n, 122; competition with other banks, 41-2, 112, 113-14, 151-52; composition of ownership, 118-19, 118n; composition of executive, 103, 118-19; and aid to other banks, 116-17; demand loan rate of 92; Hundi rate of 92; expense ratio of 112; and central banking functions; 107-17; privileged position, 106; public balances, 106-7, 114, 110; public debts, 103-4; & seasonal finance, 118, 119-21, 120n; and branch banking, 39, 41, 42, 44, 48, 104, 455; and rates policy, 86, 99; and reserve Bank of India, 121, 122-23; balance sheets, 76-7; profit and loss account, 50, 107, 111-12, 271, 273, 274; Size & power 105-6.

Imports, finance of, see under foreign trade.

Incomes, see under banking facilities.

Indian Bank, Madras, 41-42, 56, 86, 96, 97, 116, 258-64; deposit rates 87, 87n.

Indian Company Law, 128; of 1850, 386; of 1857, 386; of 1866, 388.

Indian joint stock banks, progress of, 30-31, 49-50; relative position of, see bank deposits; concentration, see under that head; Class A banks, 32, 42, 59n, 181-82; Class B banks, 37-8, 42, 59n, 182, 169, 176-77.

Indian Specie Bank, 349-53.

Indigenous bankers, 7-25; and agriculture, 216-17; Arjunji Nathji, 11, 16, 18; Bukanjji Kasidas, 10; Champa Shah, 8; Gopaldas Sahu, 16-17, 18; Jagatseths 8-10, 15-16; Parracks, 8; Virji Vora, 11; area of operation of, 11-12; intelligence system of, 12; and hundi, 18; and trade, 14; accounts of, 14-15; and politics, 15-17, 17-19; and revenue collection, 19; and mints, 19-23.
Industry, and banks, 198-200.

Industrial banking, 182; and major industries, 182-83; & medium industries 183; & small industries, 198-99; difficulties and precautions of, 186, 188-92, 194-97; and liquidity 189-90; and shiftability, 190; in Great Britain, 189, 196; in France, 192n, 200; in Germany, 191n; in India, 193, 197-200, 199n; funds for, 193-94.

Industrial and Exchange Bank of India, 199n.

Insurance, early attempts, 451n, relative position, 32, 186; investments of, 451-52, 452n; average size of policy, 452, of deposits, see under 'banking legislation' & 'bank deposits'.

Interest rates, 1n, 5-6; long and short rates, 65-6, 67n; in England, 67; in U.S.A., 67-8; episodes of, 5-6, 69-78; differentials between, 79-82; borrowing rates, 82-3; 84; lending rates 85, 90-8; 92n; and agriculture 81; customer's rates 91-2; open market rates, 92-5; 92n; on demand loans, 66, 92; of Imperial Bank, 119n; hundi rates, territorial disparities of, 92, 115-16, 308; and war finance, 470-71, 490-91; post-war, 500-1, 504; see also, deposit rates; regulation of, 194-5.

Investments, Big Five, 159-61, 162n; 163n; British 109n; Seasonal 121; of Imperial Bank of India, 161, 163n; during War II, 480-81; during peace, 506-7; relative importance of 159-60; composition of 163, 163n; & short & long rates, 160-61; long term, 185-88; in precious metals, 38.

Jaffer Joosab, 341.

Jagatseths, 8-10, 14, 15-16, 18-19, 20-21.

Joint stock banks, origin of, 1-2.

Karachi Bank, 388n.

Kashmiri Mal, 24.

Kathiawar and Ahmedabad Corporation, 337-38.

Kemmerer, 70n.

Keynes, J. M., 64, 72.

Khusal Chand, 9.

Kyastha Trading & Banking Corporation, 332n.

Land mortgage banks, central, 457-62; management of, 461; and debentures, 459-60; sources of funds of, 459-60; Application of funds of, 460-61; purposes of loans, 461n.

- Life assurance, see under Insurance
Liquidation & reconstruction, 41, 418.
Liquidity, see under Industrial Banking.
Lloyds Bank, 185n.
Loans, 145-46; see under advances.
Loans Offices, 179-80.
London Stock Exchange, 68, 416, 419, 422, 423, 427, 429, 431.
Luxmi Bank, 180.
Macmillan Committee, 186, 192n, 297, 299.
Manikchand, 9, 12.
Mints, 19.
Mistri, 841.
Money market, 17, 147-59; bill market, see Bills of Exchange; and stock exchange, 443-45; inter-bank loans, 302.
Money order transfers, 309n.
Mortgage banks, 458; see under Land Mortgage Banks.
Mulla J. 388n.
Multanis, 155n, 301.
Nanabhai and Co, 350.
National Bank of Indore, 388n.
Nationalisation, of banks, 395-96, 489; and planned economy, 486-89; of central bank, 490-98; of commercial banks, 493-97; & standardisation of methods 497.
Native States and banking, 40-41, 40n, 413-14.
Net profits rate, 224, 244, 251, 262, 277; and distribution of dividends, 278; of London Banks, 278, 275.
New York, bill market of, 68, 151n.
New York Stock Exchange, 416-17, 419, 422-23, 429, 431, 433, 499.
Nidhis, 180-82.
Note-issues, early, 3-5; and banking progress, 27-28, 28n; and reserves, 293-95, 299; and other forms of currency, 289, 290n, 291n, 291; and credit control, 294; see also under Reserve Bank.
Open market operations, effectiveness of, 307; resources for, 307-11; and permitted assets, 311-12; and size of market, 313-14, see under Reserve Bank also.
Oudh Commercial Bank, 28.
Overdrafts, see under Demand Loans.
P. & O. Banking Corporation, 389.
Paget, Sir John, 465n.
Palmer & Co, 3.
Paracks, 6.
Paris Stock Exchanges, 419, 422, 425n, 431, 433.
Peoples Bank of Lahore, 344-45.
Pioneer Bank, 337, 341.
Planned economy, and banking, 486-89.
Poona Bank, 386-37.
Post office savings banks and postal cash certificates, 32, 33, 136; number, 455n; per head deposit, 455n; rates paid on deposit, 29, 136n; and elsewhere, 35n.
Presidency Banks, 7, 25, 29, 70, 74n, 74-5, 101; relative position of 36, 130; and Act of 1876, 102, 383.
Press, and banking, 375-81.
Prices, & banking progress, 26-7, 30-31.
Profits of banking, 485; see also under gross profits, net profits, dividends; profit & loss accounts, 389.
Public Debts, & savings 33, 455-57.
Punjab National Bank, 28, 66, 88, 89, 97, 115, 116, 131, 143, 161, 165, 166, 235-40, 274, 486.
Rates structure in India and elsewhere, 94, 95, 95n; seasonal 121.
Real estate loans, 144-45.
Regionalisation of banking, 55; influences on, 55-6.
Reichsbank, 109.
Reserve Bank of India, 283; and Imperial Bank, 286; early efforts for 283-84; causes leading to, 284-85; conditions postulated for, 285; constitution, 288; and monopoly of note-issue, 289; & bank-rate, 303-6; and banking reserves, 294-96; and open market operations, 306-8; see also under open market operations; and banking practice, 316-18; as clearing house, 320; capital and reserve, 286; dividend of, 315; executive of, 288; share distribution, 286-87; separation of issue and banking depts, 296-98; and money market, 300-3; effectiveness of, 323-28; scheduling of banks to, 318-20; and commercial functions, 314-16; and seasonal finance, 217, 320-25; and banking practices, 316-18; and credit rationing, see under credit rationing; balance-sheets 329; and agriculture, see under agriculture; see also under 'Central bank for India.'
Reserves—see under Capital & Reserves; return of, 390-91.
Runs, on banks, 221, 223n, 229n; and government 364-70; and press, 375-77.
Safe custody, 14, 167, 167n.

Salaries, see under bank employees.
 Savings & banking funds, see under bank deposits.
 Savings deposits, growth, 34-6, 35n; return of 391-92; of scheduled banks; 35n; see also under bank deposits.
 Scheduled banks, see under Reserve Bank.
 Services of Banks, other, 166-68.
 Shares and stocks, as Investments, see under Investment; prices of, 78-9n; 435n; see also under Bombay Stock Exchange.
 Shiftability, see under Industrial Banking. •
 Short and long rates, see under Rates and Interest rates.
 Shroffs, 301.
 Sinha, H. 2n, 3n.
 Sivarama Ayyar Bank, Madras, 340.
 Smaller banks, 168-79.
 Sonalkar, 206n.
 Spalding, 203n, 204n, 205n.
 Stempelvereinigung, 90.
 Stock Exchanges, see under Bombay, Berlin, London, Paris, New York.

Stuart, Sir James, 2.
 Surgee Ingedaa, 23.
 Swiss National Bank, 109, 193.
 Tapidas, 18.
 Tatas, House of, 343, 349.
 Tata Industrial Bank, 132, 191, 199, 227, 347-48.
 Tavernier, 22.
 Telegraphic transfers, 308-9.
 Time or notice deposits, see under Bank deposits.
 Trade depression, 6, 29, 72-3, 77-8, 229, 271.
 Transfer of funds, 308-9.
 Travancore National and Quilon Bank, 41, 325-28, 356-62, 385.
 Treasury bills, 158-59.
 Trust receipt 205, 205n.
 Union Bank, 399.
 Union Bank of India, 7n, 265-67.
 U.S.A., banking in, 33-4, 385.
 Vakil and Muranjan, 26n, 27n.
 Virji Vora, 11.
 Wicksell, 64.
 Wilson, James, 28n.
 Window-dressing, 289.

